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Abstract. This report sets forth the number of votes for Speaker of the House of Representatives received by all candidates in each year since 1913, when the House first reached its present size. Procedures for regular and special elections of the Speaker are discussed, and instances are highlighted in which either: (1) candidates other than the major party nominees received votes, or (2) the vote for the winning candidate was less than a majority of the full membership.

# Speakers of the House: Elections, 1913-2007 

Richard S. Beth<br>Specialist on the Congress and Legislative Process<br>James V. Saturno<br>Section Research Manager

January 29, 2007

## Summary

Each new House elects a Speaker by roll call vote when it first convenes. Customarily, the conference of each major party nominates a candidate whose name is placed in nomination. Members normally vote for the candidate of their own party conference, but may vote for any individual, whether nominated or not. To be elected, a candidate must receive an absolute majority of all the votes cast for individuals. This number may be less than a majority (now 218) of the full membership of the House, because of vacancies, absentees, or Members voting "present."

This report provides data on elections of the Speaker in each Congress since 1913, when the House first reached its present size of 435 Members. During that period ( $63^{\text {rd }}$ through $110^{\text {th }}$ Congresses), a Speaker was elected four times with the votes of less than a majority of the full membership.

If a Speaker dies or resigns during a Congress, the House immediately elects a new one. Four such elections have been necessary since 1913. In the earlier two cases, the House elected the new Speaker by resolution; in the more recent two, the body used the same procedure as at the outset of a Congress.

If no candidate receives the requisite majority, the roll call is repeated until a Speaker is elected. Since 1913, this procedure has been necessary only in 1923, when nine ballots were required before a Speaker was elected.

From 1913 through 1943, it usually happened that some Members voted for candidates other than those of the two major parties. The candidates in question were usually those representing the "progressive" group (reformers originally associated with the Republican party), and in some Congresses, their names were formally placed in nomination on behalf of that group. From 1943 through 1995, only the nominated Republican and Democratic candidates received votes, representing the culmination of the establishment of an exclusively two-party system at the national level.

In four of the six elections since $1997\left(105^{\text {th }}, 107^{\text {th }}-109^{\text {th }}\right.$ Congresses), however, some Members voted for Members of their own party other than the party nominees. Also, some Members in 1997 voted for candidates who were not then Members of the House. Although the Constitution does not so require, the Speaker has always been a Member. Further, in 2001, a Member affiliated with one major party voted for the nominee of the other. Until then, House practice had long taken for granted that voting for Speaker was demonstrative of party affiliation in the House.

The report will be updated as additional elections for Speaker occur.
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## Regular and Special Elections of the Speaker

The traditional practice of the House is to elect a Speaker by roll call vote upon first convening after a general election of Representatives. Customarily, the conference of each major party in the House selects a candidate whose name is formally placed in nomination before the roll call. Members may vote for one of these nominated candidates or for another individual. Usually, Members vote for the candidate nominated by their own party conference, since the outcome of this vote in effect establishes which party has the majority, and therefore will organize the House.

Table 1 presents data on the votes cast for candidates for Speaker of the House of Representatives in each Congress from 1913 ( $63^{\text {rd }}$ Congress) through 2007 ( $110^{\text {th }}$ Congress). It shows the votes cast for the nominees of the two major parties, for other candidates nominated from the floor, and for individuals not formally nominated.

Included in the table are not only the elections held regularly at the outset of each Congress, but also those held during the course of a Congress as a result of the death or resignation of a sitting Speaker. Such elections have occurred four times during the period examined:

- in 1936 ( $74^{\text {th }}$ Congress) upon the death of Speaker Joseph Byrns (D-TN);
- in 1940 ( $76^{\text {th }}$ Congress) upon the death of Speaker William Bankhead (D-AL);
- in 1962 ( $87^{\text {th }}$ Congress) upon the death of Speaker Sam Rayburn (D-TX); and
- in 1989 ( $101^{\text {st }}$ Congress) upon the resignation of Speaker Jim Wright (D-TX).

On the two earlier occasions among these four, the election was by resolution rather than by roll call vote. On the more recent two, the same procedure was followed as at the start of a Congress.

## Size of the House and Majority Required to Elect

The data presented here cover the period during which the permanent size of the House has been set at 435 Members. This period corresponds to that since the admission of Arizona and New Mexico as the $47^{\text {th }}$ and $48^{\text {th }}$ States in 1912. The actual size of the House was 436, and then 437, for a brief period between the admission of Alaska and Hawaii (in 1958 and 1959) and the reapportionment of Representatives following the 1960 census.

By practice of the House going back to its earliest days, an absolute majority of the Members present and voting is required in order to elect a Speaker. A majority of the full membership of the House (218, in a House of 435) is not required. Precedents emphasize that the requirement is for a majority of "the total number of votes cast for a person by name." ${ }^{1}$ A candidate for Speaker may receive a majority of the votes cast, and be elected, while failing to obtain a majority of the full membership, because some Members either are not present to vote, or vote "present" rather than voting for a candidate. During the period examined, this kind of result has occurred four times:

- in 1917 ( $65^{\text {th }}$ Congress), "Champ" Clark (D-MO) was elected with 217 votes;

[^0]- in 1923 ( $68^{\text {th }}$ Congress), Frederick Gillett (R-MA) was elected with 215 votes;
- in 1943 ( $78^{\text {th }}$ Congress), Sam Rayburn (D-TX) was elected with 217 votes; and
- in 1997 ( $105^{\text {th }}$ Congress), Newt Gingrich (R-GA) was elected with 216 votes.

Also, in 1931 ( $72^{\text {nd }}$ Congress), the candidate of the new Democratic majority, John Nance Garner of Texas (later Vice President), received 218 votes, a bare majority of the membership. The table does not take into account the number of vacancies existing in the House at the time of the election; it therefore cannot show whether or not any Speaker may have been elected lacking a majority of the then qualified membership of the House. ${ }^{2}$

If no candidate obtains the requisite majority, the roll call is repeated. On these subsequent ballots, Members may still vote for any individual; no restrictions have ever been imposed, such as that the lowest candidate on each ballot must drop out, or that no new candidate may enter. Because of the predominance of the two established national parties throughout the period examined, only once during that period did the House fail to elect on the first roll call. ${ }^{3}$ In 1923 ( $68^{\text {th }}$ Congress), in a closely divided House, both major party nominees initially failed to gain a majority because of votes cast for other candidates by Members from the Progressive Party, or from the "progressive" wing of the Republican Party. Progressives agreed to vote for the Republican candidate only on the ninth ballot, after the Republican leadership had agreed to accept a number of procedural reforms favored by the progressives. Thus the Republican was ultimately elected, although (as noted earlier) still with less than a majority of the full membership. ${ }^{4}$

## Third and Additional Candidates

The opening of the $105^{\text {th }}$ Congress in 1997 marked the first time since 1943 that anyone other than the two major party candidates received votes for Speaker. Exclusively two-party voting had characterized the entire period since World War II, and the entire period of the "modern

[^1]Congress," usually reckoned from the implementation in 1947 ( $80^{\text {th }}$ Congress) of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 (P.L. 79-601, 60 Stat. 812).

Earlier, however, the presence of votes for other candidates was normal, occurring in 11 of the 16 Congresses ( $63^{\text {rd }}$ through $78^{\text {th }}$ ) that convened from 1913 through 1943. On seven of those 11 occasions, candidates for Speaker, in addition to those of the two major parties, were formally nominated. These events reflect chiefly the influence in Congress, during those three decades, of the progressive movement. The additional nominations were offered in the name of that movement, and the votes cast for Members other than the major party nominees also generally represent an expression of progressive sentiments.

The pattern of occurrence of additional nominations (displayed in the table) reflects changing views of Members identifying themselves as "progressives" about whether to constitute themselves in the House as a separate Progressive Party caucus or as a wing of the Republican Party. So does the pattern of shifts in the party labels by which these nominees and others receiving votes chose to designate themselves. The last formal Progressive Party nominee appeared in 1937 ( $75^{\text {th }}$ Congress). After defeats in the following election, the only two remaining Members representing the Progressive Party were reduced to voting for each other for Speaker, and beginning in 1947 ( $80^{\text {th }}$ Congress), the last standard bearer of the tendency accepted the Republican label. The demise of this movement in the House represented the final stage in the establishment of a two-party system at the national level.

In 1997, 2001, 2003, and 2005 at least one Member voted for a member of their own party who was not that party's official nominee. These events may indicate the emergence of a new period in voting for Speaker. Votes cast for other candidates in these years reflected specific circumstances and events, however, rather than established factions or even identifiable political groupings.

The 1997 ballot was also notable because votes were cast for candidates who were not Members of the House at the time. Although the Constitution does not require the Speaker (or any other officer of either chamber) to be a Member, he has always been so, and it is not known that any votes for individuals other than Members to be Speaker had ever previously been cast in the entire history of the House.

Finally, in 2001, a Member who bore the designation of one major party voted for the nominee of the other. Although the table below does not indicate the party affiliation of the Members voting for each candidate, examination of other available records confirms that no such action had occurred at least for the previous half century. Rather, House practice had long taken for granted that the vote for Speaker determines, or at least demonstrates, not only which parties command majority and minority status, but also of which Members each of these parties is composed. Subsequently, in organizing for that Congress (the $107^{\text {th }}$ ), the party caucus against whose nominee the Member in question voted did not formally expel him, but declined to provide him with committee assignments.

Table I. Individuals Receiving Votes for Speaker, 1913-2007

| Year | Republican Nominee | Votes | Democratic Nominee | Votes | Others Receiving Votes | Votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 | James R. Mann (NY) | 111 | James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO) | 272 | * Victor Murdock (P-KS) <br> Henry A. Cooper (R-WI) <br> John M. Nelson (R-WI) | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1915 | James R. Mann (NY) | 195 | James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO) | 222 |  |  |
| 1917 | James R. Mann (NY) | 205 | James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO) | 217 | Irvine L. Lenroot (R-WI) <br> Frederick H. Gillett (R-MA) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1919 | Frederick H. Gillett (MA) | 228 | James B. ("Champ") Clark (MO) | 172 |  |  |
| 1921 | Frederick H. Gillett (MA) | 297 | Claude Kitchin (NC) | 122 |  |  |
| 1923 (first ballot) | Frederice | 197 | Finis J. Garrett (TN) | 195 | * Henry A. Cooper (R-WI) <br> * Martin B. Madden (R-IL) | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| (ninth ballot) | Frederetck H. Gillett (MA) | 215 | Finis J. Garrett (TN) | 197 | * Martin B. Madden (R-IL) | 2 |
| 1925 | Nicholăs Longworth ( OH ) | 229 | Finis J. Garrett (TN) | 173 | * Henry A. Cooper (R-WI) | 13 |
| 1927 | Nichola ${ }^{\text {dios }}$ Longworth ( OH ) | 225 | Finis J. Garrett (TN) | 187 |  |  |
| 1929 | Nicholẳ̃s Longworth ( OH ) | 254 | John N. Garner (TX) | 143 |  |  |
| 1931 | Bertrand H. Snell (NY) | 207 | John N. Garner (TX) | 218 | George J. Schneider (R-WI) | 5 |
| 1933 | Bertrandi H . Snell (NY) | 110 | Henry T. Rainey (IL) | 302 | * Paul J. Kvale (F-L-MN) | 5 |
| 1935 | Bertrand H. Snell (NY) | 95 | Joseph W. Byrns (TN) | 317 | * George J. Schneider (P-WI) <br> W.P. Lambertson (R-KS) | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1936 (June 4) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | William B. Bankhead (AL) (H.Res. 543)b | voice vote |  |  |
| 1937 | Bertrand H. Snell (NY) | 83 | William B. Bankhead (AL) | 324 | * George J. Schneider (P-WI) <br> Fred L. Crawford (R-MI) | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1939 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 168 | William B. Bankhead (AL) | 249 | Merlin Hull (P-WI) <br> Bernard J. Gehrmann (P-WI) | I |
| 1940 (Sept. 16) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sam Rayburn (TX) } \\ & \text { (H.Res. 602) } \end{aligned}$ | voice vote |  |  |
| 1941 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 159 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 247 | Merlin Hull (P-WI) <br> Bernard J. Gehrmann (P-WI) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |


| Year | Republican Nominee | Votes | Democratic Nominee | Votes | Others Receiving Votes | Votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1943 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 206 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 217 | Merlin Hull (P-WI) <br> Harry Sauthoff (P-WI) | I |
| 1945 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 168 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 224 |  |  |
| 1947 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 244 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 182 |  |  |
| 1949 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 160 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 255 |  |  |
| 1951 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 193 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 231 |  |  |
| 1953 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 220 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 201 |  |  |
| 1955 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 198 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 228 |  |  |
| 1957 | Joseph W. Martin (MA) | 199 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 227 |  |  |
| 1959 | Charles $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ A. Halleck (IN) | 148 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 281 |  |  |
| 1961 | $\text { Charles A. Halleck }(\mathbb{N})$ | 170 | Sam Rayburn (TX) | 258 |  |  |
| 1962 (Jan. 10) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Charles. Halleck (IN) | 166 | John W. McCormack (MA) | 248 |  |  |
| 1963 | Charles | 175 | John W. McCormack (MA) | 256 |  |  |
| 1965 | $\text { Gerald } \frac{\mathbb{R}_{\pi}^{0}}{n} \text {. Ford (MI) }$ | 139 | John W. McCormack (MA) | 289 |  |  |
| 1967 | $\text { Gerald } \frac{\mathbb{W}}{\underline{\mathrm{E}}} .$ | 186 | John W. McCormack (MA) | 246 |  |  |
| 1969 | $\text { Gerald } \underset{\sim}{\mathbb{R}} \text { 굴 } \operatorname{Ford}(\mathrm{MI})$ | 187 | John W. McCormack (MA) | 241 |  |  |
| 1971 | Gerald E. Ford (MI) | 176 | Carl B. Albert (OK) | 250 |  |  |
| 1973 | Gerald R. Ford (MI) | 188 | Carl B. Albert (OK) | 236 |  |  |
| 1975 | John J. Rhodes (AZ) | 143 | Carl B. Albert (OK) | 287 |  |  |
| 1977 | John J. Rhodes (AZ) | 142 | Thomas P. ("Tip") O’Neill (MA) | 290 |  |  |
| 1979 | John J. Rhodes (AZ) | 152 | Thomas P. ("Tip") O'Neill (MA) | 268 |  |  |
| 1981 | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 183 | Thomas P. ("Tip") O’Neill (MA) | 233 |  |  |
| 1983 | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 155 | Thomas P. ("Tip") O’Neill (MA) | 260 |  |  |
| 1985 | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 175 | Thomas P. ("Tip") O’Neill (MA) | 247 |  |  |
| 1987 | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 173 | Jim Wright ( $T X$ ) | 254 |  |  |
| 1989 | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 170 | Jim Wright (TX) | 253 |  |  |
| 1989 (June 6) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 164 | Thomas S. Foley (WA) | 251 |  |  |


| Year | Republican Nominee | Votes | Democratic Nominee | Votes | Others Receiving Votes | Votes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 165 | Thomas S. Foley (WA) | 262 |  |  |
| 1993 | Robert H. Michel (IL) | 174 | Thomas S. Foley (WA) | 255 |  |  |
| 1995 | Newt Gingrich (GA) | 228 | Richard A. Gephardt (MO) | 202 |  |  |
| 1997 | Newt Gingrich (GA) | 216 | Richard A. Gephardt (MO) | 205 | James Leach (R-IA) Robert H. Michel ${ }^{c}$ Robert Walkerc | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1999 | J. Dennis Hastert (IL) | 220 | Richard A. Gephardt (MO) | 205 |  |  |
| 2001 | J. Dennis Hastert (IL) | 222 | Richard A. Gephardt (MO) | 206 | John P. Murtha (D-PA) | 1 |
| 2003 | J. Dennis Hastert (IL) | 228 | Nancy Pelosi (CA) | 201 | John P. Murtha (D-PA) | 1 |
| 2005 | J. Dennésis Hastert (IL) | 226 | Nancy Pelosi (CA) | 199 | John P. Murtha (D-PA) | 1 |
| 2007 | John A. Boehner (OH) | 202 | Nancy Pelosi (CA) | 233 |  |  |

 respective years.

## Key:

Elected candidate in bolde

* = "Other" candidate's 嗢me formally placed in nomination.

Party designations of "othere" candidates: $\mathrm{R}=$ Republican, $\mathrm{P}=$ Progressive, F-L = Farmer-Labor

## Notes:

a. Special election to fill a vacancy in the Speakership caused by death or resignation.
b. Elected by resolution, not by roll call from nominations.
c. Not a Member of the House at the time.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Clerk, "Parliamentary Inquiry," remarks from the chair, Congressional Record, vol. 143, Jan. 7, 1997, p. 117.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The existence of vacancies at the point when a new House first convened was more common before the $20^{\text {th }}$ Amendment took effect in 1936. Until that time, a Congress elected in one November did not begin its term until March of the following year, and did not convene until December of that year, unless the previous Congress provided otherwise by law.
    ${ }^{3}$ This occurrence, however, was more common before the period covered in this report, when the two-party system had not become as thoroughly established, nor the discipline accompanying it as pronounced.
    ${ }^{4}$ Full results were as follows:

    | Ballot and Date | Gillett (R) | Garrett (D) | Cooper | Madden | Present |
    | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | 1 December 3, 1923 | 197 | 195 | 17 | 5 | 4 |
    | 2 December 3 | 194 | 194 | 17 | 6 | 3 |
    | 3 December 3 | 195 | 196 | 17 | 5 | 3 |
    | 4 December 3 | 197 | 196 | 17 | 5 | 3 |
    | 5 December 4 | 197 | 197 | 17 | 5 | 3 |
    | 6 December 4 | 195 | 197 | 17 | 5 | 3 |
    | 7 December 4 | 196 | 198 | 17 | 5 | 3 |
    | 8 December 4 | 197 | 198 | 17 | 5 | 3 |
    | 9 December 5 | 215 | 197 | 0 | 2 | 4 |

