

### WikiLeaks Document Release

http://wikileaks.org/wiki/CRS-RL34448 February 2, 2009

## Congressional Research Service

### Report RL34448

Federal Research and Development Funding: FY2009

John F. Sargent, Coordinator, Resources, Science, and Industry Division; Pamela W. Smith, Domestic Social Policy Division; Wayne A. Morrissey, Knowledge Services Group

October 23, 2008

Abstract. President Bush proposed total research and development (R&D) funding of \$147.0 billion in his FY2009 budget request to Congress, a \$3.9 billion (2.7%) increase over the estimated FY2008 level of \$143.1 billion. The President's request included \$29.3 billion for basic research, up \$847 million (3.0%) from FY2008; \$27.1 billion for applied research, down \$1.0 billion (-3.6%); \$84.0 billion for development, up 1.6 billion (1.9%); and \$6.5 billion for R&D facilities and equipment, up \$2.5 billion (61.7%). Congress is to play a central role in defining the nation's R&D priorities, especially with respect to two overarching issues: the extent to which the Federal R&D investment can grow in the context of increased pressure on discretionary spending and how available funding will be prioritized and allocated. A low or negative growth rate in the overall R&D investment may require movement of resources across disciplines, programs, or agencies to address priorities.



## **CRS Report for Congress**

# Federal Research and Development Funding: FY2009

**Updated October 23, 2008** 

John F. Sargent (Coordinator), Christine M. Matthews, John D. Moteff, Daniel Morgan, Robert Esworthy, and Wendy H. Schacht Resources, Science, and Industry Division

Pamela W. Smith Domestic Social Policy Division

Wayne A. Morrissey Knowledge Services Group



#### Federal Research and Development Funding: FY2009

#### **Summary**

President Bush proposed total research and development (R&D) funding of \$147.0 billion in his FY2009 budget request to Congress, a \$3.9 billion (2.7%) increase over the estimated FY2008 level of \$143.1 billion. The President's request included \$29.3 billion for basic research, up \$847 million (3.0%) from FY2008; \$27.1 billion for applied research, down \$1.0 billion (-3.6%); \$84.0 billion for development, up 1.6 billion (1.9%); and \$6.5 billion for R&D facilities and equipment, up \$2.5 billion (61.7%). Congress is to play a central role in defining the nation's R&D priorities, especially with respect to two overarching issues: the extent to which the Federal R&D investment can grow in the context of increased pressure on discretionary spending and how available funding will be prioritized and allocated. A low or negative growth rate in the overall R&D investment may require movement of resources across disciplines, programs, or agencies to address priorities.

The Administration requested significantly larger percentage increases in the R&D budgets of the three agencies that are part of its American Competitiveness Initiative: the Department of Energy's Office of Science, the National Science Foundation, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology. In 2007, Congress authorized substantial R&D increases for these agencies under the America COMPETES Act (P.L. 110-69). The President's budget would reduce R&D funding for the Department of Agriculture, down \$357 million; Department of Veterans Affairs, down \$76 million; Department of the Interior, down \$59 million; and Environmental Protection Agency, down \$7 million. The FY2009 request included increases for three multiagency R&D initiatives: the National Nanotechnology Initiative, up \$35 million; Networking and Information Technology R&D program, up \$194 million; and Climate Change Science Program, up \$177 million.

On September 30, 2008, President Bush signed into law H.R. 2638, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 110-329). This act provides FY2009 appropriations for the Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, and Military Construction and Veterans Affairs; continued funding for all other agencies not covered under these provisions at their FY2008 funding levels through March 6, 2009; and supplemental funding for disaster relief. Under this act, FY2009 R&D funding is approximately \$147.2 billion. None of the FY2008 regular appropriations bills has been passed by both the House and Senate.

For the past two years, federal R&D funding and execution has been affected by mechanisms used to complete the annual appropriations process — the year-long continuing resolution for FY2007 (P.L. 110-5) and the combining of 11 appropriations bills into the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 for FY2008 (P.L. 110-161). For example, FY2008 R&D funding for some agencies and programs is below the level requested by the President and passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate. Completion of appropriations after the beginning of each fiscal year has also resulted in delays or cancellation of planned R&D and equipment acquisition.

#### **Contents**

| Overview  | 1   |
|---|-----|
| Federal R&D Funding Perspectives                                  | 2   |
| Agency Perspective  | 2   |
| Character of Work, Facilities, and Equipment Perspective          | 3   |
| Combined Perspective  | 4   |
| Multi-Agency Initiatives Perspective                              |     |
| FY2009 Federal R&D Appropriations Status                          |     |
| Supplemental Appropriations for FY2008                            |     |
| Effect of FY2007-FY2008 Appropriations Process on R&D             |     |
| Effect of 1 12007 1 12000 rippropriations 110ccss on ReD          | ,   |
| Multiagency R&D Initiatives                                       | 8   |
| Department of Defense (DOD)                                       | 0   |
| Department of Defense (DOD)                                       | 9   |
| Department of Homeland Security (DHS)                             | 1.4 |
| Department of Homeland Security (DHS)                             | 14  |
| National Institutes of Health (NIH)                               | 10  |
| National institutes of Health (NIII)                              | 10  |
| Department of Energy (DOE)  | 24  |
| Department of Energy (DOL)  | 4   |
| National Science Foundation (NSF)                                 | 26  |
| Tuttonal Science I oundation (1951)                               | 20  |
| Department of Commerce (DOC)                                      | 31  |
|   |     |
| National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)             |     |
| National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)            | 34  |
|   | 25  |
| National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)              | 35  |
| D ( CA ' 1 (HCDA)   | 20  |
| Department of Agriculture (USDA)                                  | 38  |
| Department of the Interior (DOI)                                  | 41  |
| Department of the Interior (DOI)                                  | 41  |
| Environmental Dustration Agange (EDA)                             | 12  |
| Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)                             | 43  |
| Department of Transportation (DOT)                                | 16  |
| Department of Transportation (DOT)                                | 40  |
|   |     |
| List of Tables  |     |
| List of Tables  |     |
| Table 1 Fadami Danamik and Danala manad Familia a las Assuran     |     |
| Table 1. Federal Research and Development Funding by Agency,      | 2   |
| FY2008-FY2009   | 3   |
| Table 2. Federal Research and Development Funding by Character of |     |
| Work, Facilities and Equipment, FY2008-FY2009                     | 4   |
| Table 3. Top R&D Funding Agencies by Character of Work,           |     |
| Facilities and Equipment, FY2008-FY2009                           |     |
| Table 4 Department of Defense RDT&F                               | 12  |

| Table 5. Department of Defense RDT&E Associated with the Global War on |      |
|--|------|
| Terror Funding   | . 13 |
| Table 6. Department of Homeland Security R&D and Related Programs      | . 17 |
| Table 7. National Institutes of Health                                 | . 22 |
| Table 8. Department of Energy R&D                                      | . 25 |
| Table 9. National Science Foundation                                   | . 30 |
| Table 10. NIST   | . 33 |
| Table 11. NOAA R&D   | . 35 |
| Table 12. NASA R&D   | . 37 |
| Table 13. U.S. Department of Agriculture R&D                           | . 40 |
| Table 14. Department of the Interior R&D                               | . 42 |
| Table 15. Environmental Protection Agency S&T Account                  | . 46 |
| Table 16. Department of Transportation R&D                             | . 48 |
|  |      |

# Federal Research and Development Funding: FY2009

#### Overview

Congress continues to take a strong interest in the health of the U.S. research and development (R&D) enterprise and in providing sustained support for federal R&D activities. The United States government supports a broad range of scientific and engineering research and development (R&D). Its purposes include addressing specific concerns such as national defense, health, safety, the environment, and energy security; advancing knowledge generally; developing the scientific and engineering workforce; and strengthening U.S. innovation and competitiveness in the global economy. Most of the research funded by the federal government is in support of specific activities of the federal government as reflected in the unique missions of the funding agencies. The federal government has played an important role in supporting R&D efforts that have led to scientific breakthroughs and new technologies, from jet aircraft and the Internet to communications satellites and defenses against disease.

President Bush requested \$147.0 billion for R&D in FY2009, a 2.7% increase over FY2008 R&D funding which is estimated to be \$143.1 billion. FY2008 funding is provided through the Defense Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-116), signed into law by President Bush on November 13, 2007, and the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-161), signed into law on December 26, 2007. P.L. 110-161 provides funding for departments and agencies covered in the eleven appropriations acts on which action had not been completed.

The President's FY2009 proposed R&D increase over the FY2008 funding level is due primarily to funding for the American Competitiveness Initiative (ACI) and an advance appropriation to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) for acquisition under Project BioShield of medical countermeasures, such as vaccines, against biological terror attacks.<sup>2</sup> The Office of Management and Budget has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Funding levels included in this document are in current dollars unless otherwise noted. Inflation diminishes the purchasing power of federal R&D funds, so an increase that does not equal or exceed the inflation rate may reduce real purchasing power. For example, if inflation in 2008 exceeds 2.7 %, then the President's R&D funding request for FY2009 may represent a decline in real purchasing power. The Consumer Price Index, a key measure of inflation, rose 2.8% in 2007 and is on a pace to exceed 4% in 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2004 (P.L. 108-90), provided funding under Title III, Preparedness and Recovery, in the amount of \$5.593 billion to remain available through FY2013. The act restricts DHS from spending more than \$3.418 (continued...)

classified \$2.175 billion of the DHS advance appropriation as R&D facilities construction in FY2009. Some have questioned the appropriateness of classifying these funds as R&D facilities and equipment since the funds appear to be intended for product acquisition rather than research, development, or facilities construction. This advance appropriation accounts for more than half of the net increase in R&D funding in the President's FY2009 budget request.

Analysis of federal R&D funding is complicated by several factors, including the Administration's omission of Congressionally directed spending from its current year budget request, inconsistency among agencies in the reporting of R&D, and the apparent mis-categorization of some funding in the President's request. As a result of these and other factors, the R&D agency figures reported by OMB (and shown in **Table 1**) may differ somewhat from those agency budget analyses that appear later in this report.

#### **Federal R&D Funding Perspectives**

Federal R&D funding can be analyzed from a variety of perspectives that provide unique insights.

**Agency Perspective.** The authorization and appropriations process views federal R&D funding primarily from agency and program perspectives. **Table 1** provides data on R&D by agency for FY2007 (actual), FY2008 (estimate), and FY2009 (request) as reported by OMB. Under the President's FY2009 budget request, five federal agencies would receive 92.8% of total federal R&D funding: the Department of Defense (DOD), 54.8%; the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) (primarily the National Institutes of Health), 20.1%; the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 7.3%; the Department of Energy (DOE), 7.2%; and the National Science Foundation (NSF), 3.5%. This report provides an analysis of the R&D budget requests for these agencies, as well as for the Departments of Agriculture (USDA), Commerce (DOC), Homeland Security, Interior (DOI), and Transportation (DOT), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). In total these departments and agencies account for more than 98% of current and requested federal R&D funding.

The Administration requested significantly larger percentage increases for the three agencies that are part of its American Competitiveness Initiative (ACI): DOE's Office of Science (up 19% above the estimated FY2008 level), the National Science Foundation (up 14%), and DOC's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) (up 5%). In 2007, Congress authorized substantial R&D increases for these agencies under the America COMPETES Act (P.L. 110-69). The President's budget would reduce R&D funding for four agencies: the Department of Agriculture, down \$357 million (-15.5%); the Department of Veterans Affairs, down \$76 million (-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> (...continued) billion in fiscal years 2004 through 2008. The balance, \$2.175 billion, will become available for use by DHS in FY2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For additional information, see CRS Report RL34328, *America COMPETES Act: Programs, Funding, and Selected Issues*, by Deborah D. Stine.

7.9%); the Department of the Interior, down \$59 million (-8.7%); and the Environmental Protection Agency, down \$7 million (-1.3%).

Table 1. Federal Research and Development Funding by Agency, FY2008-FY2009

(Budget authority, dollar amount in millions)

| Department/Agency                  | FY2008<br>Estimate <sup>a</sup> | FY2009<br>Request | Dollar<br>Change,<br>2008 to<br>2009 | Percent<br>Change,<br>2008 to<br>2009 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Agriculture                        | 2,309                           | 1,952             | -357                                 | -15.5                                 |
| Commerce                           | 1,113                           | 1,157             | 44                                   | 4.0                                   |
| Defense                            | 80,192                          | 80,494            | 302                                  | 0.4                                   |
| Energy                             | 9,739                           | 10,558            | 819                                  | 8.4                                   |
| Environmental Protection<br>Agency | 557                             | 550               | -7                                   | -1.3                                  |
| Health and Human Services          | 29,475                          | 29,480            | 5                                    | 0.0                                   |
| Homeland Security                  | 1,143                           | 3,287             | 2,144                                | 187.6                                 |
| Interior                           | 676                             | 617               | -59                                  | -8.7                                  |
| NASA                               | 10,436                          | 10,737            | 301                                  | 2.9                                   |
| National Science Foundation        | 4,500                           | 5,201             | 701                                  | 15.6                                  |
| Transportation                     | 823                             | 901               | 78                                   | 9.5                                   |
| Veterans Affairs                   | 960                             | 884               | -76                                  | -7.9                                  |
| Other                              | 1,140                           | 1,145             | 5                                    | 0.4                                   |
| TOTAL                              | 143,063                         | 146,963           | 3,900                                | 2.7                                   |

**Source:** Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2009, Office of Management and Budget, The White House, February 2008.

Character of Work, Facilities, and Equipment Perspective. Federal R&D funding can also be examined by the character of work (basic research, applied research, and development) it supports, and funding provided for facilities and acquisition of R&D major equipment (see **Table 2**). The President's FY2009 request included \$29.3 billion for basic research, up \$847 million (3.0%) from FY2008; \$27.1 billion for applied research, down \$1.0 billion (-3.6%); \$84.0 billion for development, up \$1.6 billion (1.9%); and \$6.5 billion for facilities and equipment, up \$2.5 billion (61.7%).

a. The FY2008 figures in this table do not include supplemental funding for R&D for FY2008 provided under the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252).

Table 2. Federal Research and Development Funding by Character of Work, Facilities and Equipment, FY2008-FY2009

(Budget authority, dollar amount in millions)

|                          | FY2008<br>Estimate | FY2009<br>Request | Dollar<br>Change,<br>2008 to<br>2009 | Percent<br>Change,<br>2008 to<br>2009 |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Basic research           | 28,472             | 29,319            | 847                                  | 3.0                                   |
| Applied research         | 28,112             | 27,087            | -1,025                               | -3.6                                  |
| Development              | 82,432             | 84,013            | 1,581                                | 1.9                                   |
| Facilities and equipment | 4,047              | 6,544             | 2,497                                | 61.7                                  |
| TOTAL                    | 143,063            | 146,963           | 3,900                                | 2.7                                   |

**Source:** Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2009, Office of Management and Budget, The White House, February 2008.

**Combined Perspective.** Combining these perspectives, federal R&D funding can be viewed in terms of each agency's contribution to basic research, applied research, development, and facilities and equipment (see **Table 3**). The federal government is the largest supporter of basic research (funding an estimated 58.8% of U.S. basic research in 2006), primarily because the private sector asserts it cannot capture an adequate return on long-term fundamental research investments. The Department of Health and Human Services (primarily HHS's National Institutes of Health (NIH)) accounts for more than half of all federal funding for basic research.

In contrast to basic research, industry is the primary funder of applied research in the United States, accounting for an estimated 58.9% in 2006, while the federal government accounted for an estimated 33.3%. Among federal agencies, HHS is the largest funder of applied research, accounting for nearly half of all federally funded applied research.

Industry also provides the vast majority of funding for development, accounting for an estimated 82.5% in 2006, while the federal government provided an estimated 16.2%. DOD is the primary federal agency development funder, accounting for 88.5% of total federal development funding in the FY2009 request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Science and Engineering Indicators 2008, Volume 2: Appendix Tables, National Science Foundation, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibid.

Table 3. Top R&D Funding Agencies by Character of Work, Facilities and Equipment, FY2008-FY2009

(Budget authority, dollar amount in millions)

|                              | FY2008<br>Estimate | FY2009<br>Request | Dollar<br>Change,<br>2008 to<br>2009 | Percent<br>Change,<br>2008 to<br>2009 |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Basic Research               |                    |                   |                                      |                                       |
| -Health and Human Services   | 15,897             | 15,884            | -13                                  | 0.0                                   |
| -National Science Foundation | 3,689              | 4,336             | 647                                  | 17.5                                  |
| -Energy                      | 3,232              | 3,556             | 324                                  | 10.0                                  |
| Applied Research             |                    |                   |                                      |                                       |
| -Health and Human Services   | 13,414             | 13,424            | 10                                   | 0                                     |
| -Defense                     | 5,058              | 4,245             | -813                                 | -16.1                                 |
| -Energy                      | 3,513              | 3,474             | -39                                  | -1.1                                  |
| Development                  |                    |                   |                                      |                                       |
| -Defense                     | 73,358             | 74,393            | 1,035                                | 1.4                                   |
| -NASA                        | 5,436              | 5,731             | 295                                  | 5.1                                   |
| -Energy                      | 2,232              | 2,472             | 240                                  | 10.7                                  |
| Facilities and equipment     |                    |                   |                                      |                                       |
| -Homeland Security           | 147                | 2,250             | 2,102                                | 1420.3                                |
| -NASA                        | 1,922              | 2,175             | 253                                  | 13.2                                  |
| -Energy                      | 762                | 1,056             | 294                                  | 38.6                                  |

**Source:** Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2009, Office of Management and Budget, The White House, February 2008.

Note: Top funding agencies based on FY2009 request.

**Multi-Agency Initiatives Perspective.** Federal R&D funding can also be viewed in terms of multi-agency efforts, such as the National Nanotechnology Initiative (see "Multiagency R&D Initiatives" section), and other initiatives, such as the Administration's American Competitiveness Initiative (ACI).

The ACI was proposed by President Bush in February 2006 as a response to growing concerns about America's ability to compete in the global marketplace. The \$136 billion ACI proposal included \$50 billion for additional research, science education, and the modernization of research infrastructure from FY2007 through FY2016. These funds were intended to double physical sciences and engineering research in three agencies — NSF, DOE's Office of Science, and NIST — over ten

years. Congress established authorization levels for FY2008-2010 that would put funding for research at these agencies on track to double in approximately seven years. However, FY2008 research funding provided in P.L. 110-161 for these agencies falls below these doubling targets. Estimated FY2008 funding for ACI research totals \$10.61 billion, an increase of approximately \$350 million (3.5%) over the FY2007 ACI funding level.

In FY2009, President Bush requested \$12.21 billion in funding for ACI research at NSF, DOE's Office of Science, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (including its core research program and facilities), an increase of \$1.6 billion (15.1%) above the estimated FY2008 level of \$10.61 billion. The NSF funding request for FY2009 is \$6.85 billion, an increase of \$821 million (13.6%) above the estimated FY2008 level of \$6.03 billion. The FY2009 request for the DOE Office of Science is \$4.72 billion, \$749 million (18.9%) more than the estimated FY2008 level of \$3.97 billion. FY2009 proposed funding for NIST's core research program and facilities totals \$634 million, an increase of \$33 million (4.5%) above the estimated FY2008 level of \$610 million.

#### FY2009 Federal R&D Appropriations Status

On September 30, 2008, President Bush signed into law H.R. 2638, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 110-329). This act provides FY2009 appropriations for the Department of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, and Military Construction and Veterans Affairs, as well as supplemental funding for disaster relief. In addition, Division A of the act provides continuing appropriations for FY2009 at their original FY2008 levels<sup>12</sup> to agencies not otherwise addressed in the act through March 6, 2009, or until the enactment into law of an appropriation for any project or activity provided for in the act, or the enactment into law of the applicable appropriations act for FY2009 without any provision for such project or activity, whichever occurs first. Under the act, estimated total R&D funding for FY2009 is \$147.2 billion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The ACI proposes to double "innovation-enabling physical science and engineering research" at the three agencies over ten years, and states that "individual agency allocations remain to be determined." (*The American Competitiveness Initiative: Leading the World in Innovation*, Office of Science and Technology Policy/Domestic Policy Council, The White House, February 2006.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> American Competitiveness Initiative Research fact sheet, FY2009 request, Office of Science and Technology Policy, The White House, February 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Office of Management and Budget website. [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2009/nsf.html]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Office of Management and Budget website. [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2009/energy.html]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Office of Management and Budget website. [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2009/commerce.html]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The original FY2008 funding levels do not include R&D funding provided under the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252)

Previously, only one of the FY2009 regular appropriations bills, the Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriation, FY2009 (H.R. 6599) had passed the House; none had passed the Senate. The Senate Appropriations Committee has approved nine of the regular appropriations bills, and the House Appropriations Committee has approved four draft bills and H.R. 6599. Copies of some draft bills and draft reports considered by the House Appropriations Committee are available on the website of *Congressional Quarterly*. Section 522 of the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2009 (S.Con.Res. 70), agreed to by the House and Senate in June 2008, expresses Congress' support for the research and education efforts authorized in the America COMPETES Act and states that "the Congress should provide sufficient funding so that our Nation may continue to be the world leader in education, innovation and economic growth."

#### **Supplemental Appropriations for FY2008**

On June 30, 2008, President Bush signed into law H.R. 2642, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252). Among its provisions, the act provides additional funding for FY2008 of \$1.75 billion to the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) activities; \$365 million to the Department of Defense, Defense Health Program RDT&E; and \$338 million to other agencies for science-related activities. Of these funds, the National Institutes of Health received \$150 million; NASA received \$62.5 million for its Return to Flight activity; NSF received \$62.5 million; and the Department of Energy received \$62.5 million to the Department of Energy for defense environmental cleanup.

#### Effect of FY2007-FY2008 Appropriations Process on R&D

For the past two years, federal R&D funding levels and execution have been affected by mechanisms used to complete the annual appropriations process — the year-long continuing resolution for FY2007 (P.L. 110-5) and the combining of 11 appropriations bills into the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 for FY2008 (P.L. 110-161). For example, FY2008 R&D funding for some agencies and programs is below the level requested by the President, and originally passed by House and Senate appropriations committees. <sup>14</sup> The Department of Energy estimates that cuts in its FY2008 R&D budget for its Office of Science will result in layoffs of 525 personnel at the Stanford Linear Accelerator, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory, and other laboratories and universities. <sup>15</sup> Completion of the appropriations process after the beginning of the fiscal year may also result in delay, reduction, or cancellation of planned R&D, equipment acquisition, and facilities construction, and may impede the ability of agencies to fully obligate funds ultimately appropriated (see CRS Report RS22774, *Federal Research and* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See [http://www.cq.com/budgettracker.do].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Letter from Secretary of Energy Samuel W. Bodman to Sen. Jeff Bingaman, Chairman, Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, February 4, 2008. [http://energy.senate.gov/public/\_files/SignedlettertoSenBingamanrequest0.pdf]

<sup>15</sup> Ibid.

Development Funding: Possible Impacts of Operating Under a Continuing Resolution, by Dana A. Shea and Daniel Morgan).

The following sections provide analyses of the President's FY2009 R&D and related funding requests for selected Federal agencies and multiagency R&D initiatives. These sections include information on appropriations actions taken by Congress and will be updated periodically.

#### **Multiagency R&D Initiatives**

The President's FY2009 budget requests increased funding for three multiagency R&D initiatives. Funding for the National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI) was requested in the amount of \$1.53 billion for FY2009, an increase of 2.4% over the estimated FY2008 level of \$1.50 billion (see CRS Report RL34401, *The National Nanotechnology Initiative: Overview, Reauthorization, and Appropriations Issues*, by John F. Sargent). Under the President's FY2009 budget, the NNI would increase its efforts in fundamental phenomena and processes by \$19.2 million (3.6%); instrument research, metrology, and standards by \$21.1 million (34.9%); environmental, health, and safety by \$17.8 million (30.4%); and nanomanufacturing by \$11.9 million (23.7%). Smaller increases would support major research facilities and instrumentation acquisition (up \$6.9 million, 4.5%) and efforts in education and societal dimensions (up \$1.7 million, 4.4%). Funding would fall by \$27.5 million (-10.8%) for nanomaterials research and by \$15.3 million (-4.5%) for nanoscale devices and systems.

The President requested \$3.57 billion in FY2009 funding for the Networking and Information Technology R&D (NITRD) program, an increase of 5.8% above the estimated FY2008 level of \$3.37 billion. The NITRD increase is due primarily to requested funding increases for NSF (up \$159 million, 17.1%) and DOE (up \$58 million, 13.3%). For additional information, see CRS Report RL33586, *The Federal Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Program: Funding Issues and Activities*, by Patricia Moloney Figliola.

The administration proposed \$2.01 billion for the Climate Change Science Program (CCSP), an increase of 9.6% over the estimated FY2008 level of \$1.84

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> National Nanotechnology Initiative: Research and Development Funding in the President's FY2009 Budget, fact sheet, Office of Science and Technology Policy, The White House, February 2008; National Nanotechnology Initiative website. [http://www.nano.gov/html/about/funding.html]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Analytical Perspectives: Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2009, Office of Management and Budget, The White House, 2008. The NITRD data in OMB's Analytical Perspectives include the DOD Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA). According to the NITRD National Coordination Office, DISA's contribution is not included in the FY2009 Networking and Information Technology Research and Development: Supplement to the President's Budget report.

billion. <sup>18</sup> (See CRS Report RL33817, *Climate Change: Federal Funding and Tax Incentives*, by Jane A. Leggett). Four agencies account for most of the FY2009 CCSP requested funding increase: NASA (up \$126 million, 11.7%), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (up \$20 million, 8.3%), DOE (up \$18 million, 14.1%), and NSF (up \$16 million, 7.8%).

#### **Department of Defense (DOD)**

Congress supports research and development in the Department of Defense (DOD) through its Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The appropriation primarily supports the development of the nation's future military hardware and software and the technology base upon which those products rely.

Nearly all of what DOD spends on RDT&E is appropriated in Title IV of the defense appropriation bill (see **Table 4**). However, RDT&E funds are also requested as part of the Defense Health Program and the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction Program. The Defense Health Program supports the delivery of health care to DOD personnel and their families. Program funds are requested through the Operations and Maintenance appropriation. The program's RDT&E funds support Congressionally directed research in such areas as breast, prostate, and ovarian cancer and other medical conditions. The Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction Program supports activities to destroy the U.S. inventory of lethal chemical agents and munitions to avoid future risks and costs associated with storage. Funds for this program are requested through the Army Procurement appropriation. The Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund also contains additional RDT&E monies. However, the fund does not contain an RDT&E line item as do the two programs mentioned above. The Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Office, which now administers the fund, tracks (but does not report) the amount of funding allocated to RDT&E. Typically, Congress has funded all of these programs in Title VI (Other Department of Defense Programs) of the defense appropriations bill.

More recently, RDT&E funds have also been requested and appropriated as part of DOD's separate funding to support what the Bush Administration terms the Global War on Terror (GWOT). Congress has appropriated these funds in response to emergency supplemental requests and under a separate GWOT request. GWOT-related requests/appropriations often include funds for a number of transfer funds. These include the Iraqi Freedom Fund (IFF), the Iraqi Security Forces Fund, the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, and, more recently, the Mine Resistant and Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund (MRAPVF). Congress typically makes a single appropriation into each of these funds, and authorizes the Secretary to make transfers to other baseline accounts, including RDT&E, at his discretion. GWOT-related RDT&E funding is given in **Table 5**. Note that while much of these GWOT-related appropriations are distributed to a baseline account, they are accounted for separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Analytical Perspectives: Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2009, Office of Management and Budget, The White House, 2008.

For FY2009, the Bush Administration requested \$79.6 billion for DOD's baseline Title IV RDT&E, roughly \$2.5 billion more than Congress appropriated for Title IV in FY2008. The FY2009 requests for RDT&E in the Defense Health Program and the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction program were \$194 million and \$269 million, respectively. The Administration also submitted an FY2008 Global War on Terror request (i.e., a supplemental request), which included \$2.9 billion for RDT&E. Congress only partially approved the Administration's FY2008 GWOT request made last year. The Administration hopes to make up the balance of that request this year. The Administration also made a FY2009 GWOT "Bridge" request in March. This additional request included \$379 million in classified RDT&E. By requesting bridge funding, the administration hopes to have ready emergency funds at the beginning of the fiscal year (in October) rather than await passage of the entire defense appropriations.

Since FY2001, funding for RDT&E in Title IV has increased from \$42 billion to \$77 billion in FY2008. In constant FY2008 dollars, the increase is roughly 58%. Historically, RDT&E funding has reached its highest levels in constant dollars, dating back to 1948. Congress has appropriated more for RDT&E than has been requested, every year, since FY1996.

RDT&E funding can be broken out in a couple of ways. Each of the military services request and receive their own RDT&E funding. So, too, do various DOD agencies (e.g., the Missile Defense Agency and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency), collectively aggregated within the Defensewide account. RDT&E funding also can be characterized by budget activity (i.e., the type of RDT&E supported). Those budget activities designated as 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3 (basic research, applied research, and advanced development) constitute what is called DOD's Science and Technology Program (S&T) and represents the more research-oriented part of the RDT&E program. Budget activities 6.4 and 6.5 focus on the development of specific weapon systems or components (e.g., the Joint Strike Fighter or missile defense systems), for which an operational need has been determined and an acquisition program established. Budget activity 6.7 supports system improvements in existing operational systems. Budget activity 6.6 provides management support, including support for test and evaluation facilities.

S&T funding is of particular interest to Congress since these funds support the development of new technologies and the underlying science. Assuring adequate support for S&T activities is seen by some in the defense community as imperative to maintaining U.S. military superiority. This was of particular concern at a time when defense budgets and RDT&E funding were falling at the end of the Cold War. As part of its 2001 Quadrennial Review, DOD established a goal of stabilizing its base S&T funding (i.e., Title IV) at 3% of DOD's overall funding. Congress has embraced this goal. The FY2009 S&T funding request in Title IV is \$11.5 billion, about \$1.3 billion less than what Congress appropriated for S&T in Title IV in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> This historical data can be found in DOD's *National Defense Budget Estimates for the FY2008 Budget* (also known as the "Green Book"). Office of the Under Secretary for Defense (Comptroller).March 2007.pp 62-67. See [http://www.defenselink.mil/comptroller/defbudget/fy2008/fy2008\_greenbook.pdf]. Last viewed May 10, 2007.

FY2008 (not counting S&T funding requested as part of the GWOT request or S&T's share of the general reduction made to Title IV). Furthermore, the S&T request for Title IV is approximately 2.2% of the overall baseline DOD budget request (not counting funds for the Global War on Terror), short of the 3% goal. The ability for the Administration to meet its 3% goal has been strained in recent years as the overall Defense budget continues to rise. In the FY2007 defense authorization bill (P.L. 109-364, Sec. 217), Congress reiterated its support for the 3% goal, extended it to FY2012, and stipulated that, if the S&T budget request does not meet this goal, DOD submit a prioritized list of S&T projects that were not funded solely due to insufficient resources.

Within the S&T program, basic research (6.1) receives special attention, particularly by the nation's universities. DOD is not a large supporter of basic research, when compared to the National Institutes of Health or the National Science Foundation. However, over half of DOD's basic research budget is spent at universities and represents the major contribution of funds in some areas of science and technology (such as electrical engineering and material science). The FY2009 request for basic research (\$1.7 billion) is roughly \$65 million more than what Congress appropriated for Title IV basic research in FY2008.

Congress passed the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252) on June 30, 2008. Title IX of the act provided supplemental funding for the Department of Defense and the Global War on Terror. See **Table 5**. Chapter 1 of Title IX addressed the GWOT Pending request and Chapter 2 of Title IX addressed the FY2009 GWOT Bridge request. Congress did not provide all the RDT&E funding requested in the FY2008 GWOT Pending request for the departments and defense agencies, but added RDT&E funds for the Defense Health Program. In addition, Congress directed a general reduction of the RDT&E funds provided. Congress provided the requested level of RDT&E in the Bridge request, plus a little more for the Air Force. The act also provided a \$2.5 billion for the Iraqi Security Forces Fund, \$2 billion for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund, and \$1.7 billion for the Mine Resistant and Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund, from which the Secretary may transfer funds into RDT&E.

Congress passed a FY2009 defense appropriations as part of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 101-329, Division C). The bill provided \$80.5 billion for Title IV RDT&E. This included \$13.5 billion for S&T, of which \$1.8 billion was for basic research (i.e. approximately \$100 million more than was requested). Section 8101 reduced Title IV funding by \$218 million to account for revised economic assumptions. In addition, Congress provided \$903 million for RDT&E within the Defense Health Program (including \$150 million and \$80 million for peer-reviewed breast and prostate cancer research, respectively) and \$289 million for RDT&E within the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction Program. (CRS Contact: John Moteff.)

#### CRS-12

#### Table 4. Department of Defense RDT&E

(\$ in millions)

|   | FY2008<br>Enacted <sup>d</sup> | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>Enacted<br>(P.L. 110-329) |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Title IV - By Account   |                                |                   |                                     |
| Army  | 12,127                         | 10,524            | 12,060                              |
| Navy  | 17,919                         | 19,337            | 19,764                              |
| Air Force   | 26,255                         | 28,067            | 27,084                              |
| Defense Agencies  | 20,791                         | 21,499            | 21,423                              |
| Dir. Test & Eval  | 180                            | 189               | 189                                 |
| Adjustments improved economic assumptions   | (367) <sup>e</sup>             |                   | (218)                               |
| Total Title IV - By Account a   | 76,905                         | 79,616            | 80,303                              |
| Title IV - By Budget Activity   |                                |                   |                                     |
| 6.1 Basic Research  | 1,634                          | 1,699             | 1,842                               |
| 6.2 Applied Research  | 5,096                          | 4,245             | 5,113                               |
| 6.3 Advanced Development  | 6,039                          | 5,532             | 6,532                               |
| 6.4 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes   | 15,745                         | 15,774            | 15,817                              |
| 6.5 Systems Dev. and Demo   | 18,321                         | 19,537            | 18,654                              |
| 6.6 Management Support <sup>b</sup>   | 4,274                          | 4,369             | 4,543                               |
| 6.7 Op. Systems Dev <sup>c</sup>  | 26,163                         | 28,461            | 28,020                              |
| Adjustments improved economic assumptions   | (367) <sup>e</sup>             |                   | (218)                               |
| Total Title IV - by Budget Activity <sup>a</sup>  | 76,905                         | 79,617            | 80,303                              |
| Tanker Replacement Transfer Fund  | 150                            |                   |                                     |
| Title VI - Other Defense Programs   |                                |                   |                                     |
| Defense Health Program  | 536                            | 194               | 903                                 |
| Chemical Agents and Munitions<br>Destruction  | 313                            | 269               | 289                                 |
| Continuing Resolution (P.L. 110-92) and<br>Consolidated Appropriations Act 2008<br>(P.L. 110-161) | 926 <sup>f</sup>               |                   |                                     |
| Grand Total   | 78,830                         | 80,080            | 81,495                              |

**Sources:** Title IV figures for the FY2009 request were taken from RDT&E Programs (R-1) Exhibits, Department of Defense Budget FY2009. The FY2009 RDT&E request for the Defense Health Program was taken from the Operations and Maintenance Exhibit (O-1), Department of Defense Budget FY2009. The FY2009 RDT&E request for the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction Program was taken from the Procurement Exhibit (P-1), Department of Defense Budget FY2009. The FY2009 enacted figures were taken from P.L. 110-329 and the Congressional Record version of the DOD explanatory statement, Sept. 24, 2008.

a. Total Budget Authority for Account and Budget Activity may not agree due to rounding.

- b. Includes funds for Developmental and Operational Test and Evaluation.
- c. Includes funding for classified programs.
- d. Does not include subsequent rescissions or transfers, unless noted.
- e. Sec. 8104 of the FY2008 Defense Appropriations Act (P.L. 110-116) required a general reduction to account for improved economic assumptions. RDT&E's designated share was \$367 million. Sec. 8097 of this act also required a general reduction of \$507 million to be taken proportionately from Operations and Maintenance (Title II), Procurement (Title III), and RDT&E (Title IV) to account for contractor efficiencies. The RDT&E's share of this reduction is not counted in this table.
- f. Congress addressed some of the Administration's FY2008 GWOT request in one of the continuing resolutions (P.L. 110-92) which supported government operations in early FY2008 and in the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-161). The continuing resolution provided additional funds for the MRAPVF. The Consolidated Appropriations Act provided funds to the IFF, some of which were transferred to RDT&E.

Table 5. Department of Defense RDT&E Associated with the Global War on Terror Funding

(\$ in millions)

|   | FY2008<br>GWOT<br>Pending | FY2009<br>GWOT<br>(Bridge<br>Request) | P.L. 110-252<br>Supplemental Appropriations<br>Act, 2008<br>Title IX |                               |  |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
|   | Request                   | Request                               | Ena  | cted                          |  |
|   |                           |                                       | FY2008<br>Supplemental   | FY2009<br>Bridge Fund-<br>ing |  |
| <b>GWOT-Related Title IV</b>                      |                           |                                       |  |                               |  |
| By Account  |                           |                                       |  |                               |  |
| Army  | 163                       |                                       | 163  |                               |  |
| Navy  | 611                       | 113                                   | 366  | 113                           |  |
| Air Force   | 1,487                     | 72                                    | 400  | 72                            |  |
| Defense Agencies                                  | 684                       | 194                                   | 816  | 203                           |  |
| Dir. Test & Eval                                  |                           |                                       |  |                               |  |
| Total Budget Auth.a                               | 2,945                     | 379                                   | 1,745  | 388                           |  |
| By Budget Activity                                |                           |                                       |  |                               |  |
| 6.1 Basic Research                                |                           |                                       |  |                               |  |
| 6.2 Applied Research                              | 6                         |                                       |  |                               |  |
| 6.3 Advanced Development                          | 25                        |                                       |  |                               |  |
| 6.4 Advanced Component Development and Prototypes | 228                       |                                       |  |                               |  |
| 6.5 Systems Dev. and<br>Demo                      | 514                       |                                       |  |                               |  |
| 6.6 Management Support <sup>b</sup>               | 54                        |                                       | _  |                               |  |
| 6.7 Op. Systems Dev                               | 2,121                     | 379                                   |  | 388 <sup>d</sup>              |  |
| Sec. 8003 general reduction                       |                           |                                       | ?c   |                               |  |

|                                     | FY2008<br>GWOT<br>Pending | FY2009<br>GWOT<br>(Bridge<br>Request) | P.L. 110-252 Supplemental Appropriation Act, 2008 Title IX Enacted |                               |  |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
|                                     |                           |                                       | FY2008<br>Supplemental   | FY2009<br>Bridge Fund-<br>ing |  |
| Total Budget Auth.a                 | 2,948                     | 379                                   |  | 388                           |  |
| GWOT-Related Other Defense Programs |                           |                                       |  |                               |  |
| Defense Health Program              |                           |                                       | 365  |                               |  |
| Grand Total                         | 2,948                     | 379                                   | <2,110   | 388                           |  |

**Sources:** The figures for the Continuing Resolution (P.L. 110-92) and the Consolidated Appropriations Act 2008 (P.L. 110-161) and the FY2008 GWOT Pending Request were taken from the Office of Secretary of Defense, FY2008 Global War on Terror Pending Request, Exhibits for FY2008, Feb. 2008.

- a. Account vs. Budget Activity Total Obligational Authority numbers may not agree due to rounding.
- b. Includes funds for Developmental and Operational Test and Evaluation.
- c. Section 8003 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 included a general reduction of \$3.6 billion to be applied proportionately to each of the following accounts: Procurement, RDT&E, and Defense Working Capital. RDT&E's share is not calculated here.
- d. P.L. 110-252 does not designate which budget activity was supported. The table presumes the enacted amounts were for the same budget activity as requested.

#### **Department of Homeland Security (DHS)**

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) requested \$1.449 billion for R&D and related programs in FY2009, an 8% increase from FY2008. This total included \$869 million for the Directorate of Science and Technology (S&T), \$564 million for the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO), and \$16 million for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) in the U.S. Coast Guard. The House committee recommended a total of \$1.447 billion.<sup>20</sup> The Senate committee recommended a total of \$1.476 billion.<sup>21</sup> The final appropriation was a total of \$1.465 billion.<sup>22</sup> For details, see **Table 6**.

The Directorate of Science and Technology (S&T) is the primary DHS R&D organization. Headed by the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, the directorate performs R&D in several laboratories of its own and funds R&D performed by the national laboratories, industry, universities, and other government agencies. The FY2009 request for the S&T Directorate was 5% above the FY2008 appropriation. A proposed increase of \$18 million for the Explosives program was to fund R&D on countering improvised explosive devices (IEDs), with an emphasis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> CRS analysis of H.R. 6947 as reported and H.Rept. 110-862.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> CRS analysis of S. 3181 as reported and S.Rept. 110-396.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> CRS analysis of P.L. 110-329, Division D, and explanatory statement, *Congressional Record*, September 24, 2008, pp. H9806-H9807.

on basic research to complement shorter-term R&D being conducted by other agencies. A proposed increase of \$43 million for the Laboratory Facilities program included \$29 million for startup costs at the National Biodefense Analysis and Countermeasures Center (NBACC) as well as \$14 million for laboratory employee salaries previously budgeted in the Management and Administration account. A proposed \$27 million reduction in the Infrastructure and Geophysical program was largely the result of reducing funding for local and regional initiatives previously established or funded at congressional direction.

The House committee recommended a total of \$887 million for S&T. Increases relative to the request included \$11 million for the Infrastructure and Geophysical program to support the National Institute for Hometown Security; \$5 million for Laboratory Facilities to accelerate ongoing construction activities at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL); \$4 million to help develop an operational test and evaluation program for first responder technologies; \$2 million for a pilot program to improve the productivity and efficiency of the homeland security industrial base; and \$7 million for University Programs to support university centers of excellence and maintain the fellowship program at the FY2008 level. Decreases included \$5 million for new maritime technologies "more appropriately handled by the Coast Guard" and \$6 million for the Innovation program "due to a lack of budgetary details." The committee directed DHS to provide a report on issues related to the S&T Directorate's unobligated balances.

The Senate committee recommended a total of \$919 million for S&T. Increases relative to the request included \$25 million for cyber security research in the Command, Control, and Interoperability program; \$27 million for the Infrastructure and Geophysical program to continue the Southeast Region Research Initiative; and \$15 million for the ongoing construction at PNNL. Decreases included \$12 million for Innovation (because of the need for "sound business plans" based on "operational requirements") and \$4 million for Human Factors. The committee recommended that \$5 million for the Homeland Security Institute be provided as a separate item, as it was in FY2008, rather than as part of the Transition program as the Administration requested.

The final appropriation for S&T was \$933 million. Relative to the request, this total included increases of \$10 million for cyber security research, \$11 million for the National Institute for Hometown Security, \$27 million for the Southeast Region Research Initiative, \$15 million for the ongoing construction at PNNL, and \$6 million for University Programs. Decreases included \$12 million from Innovation, because the DHS Inspector General "raised concerns about how projects were selected and managed" and because S&T took nine months to inform the committee how FY2008 funding would be spent. Funding for the Homeland Security Institute was provided as a separate line item.

Among the issues facing Congress are the S&T Directorate's priorities and how they are set, its relationships with other federal R&D organizations, its budgeting and financial management, and the allocation of its R&D resources to national laboratories, industry, and universities. The directorate announced five new university centers of excellence in February 2008. Some existing centers are expected to be terminated or merged over the next few years to align with the

directorate's division structure. For more information, see CRS Report RL34356, *The DHS Directorate of Science and Technology: Key Issues for Congress.* 

The Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO) is the primary DHS organization for combating the threat of nuclear attack. It is responsible for all DHS nuclear detection research, development, testing, evaluation, acquisition, and operational support. The FY2009 request for DNDO was a 16% increase from FY2008. Most of the growth was in the Systems Acquisition account, where an increase of \$68 million for procurement of Advanced Spectroscopic Portals (ASPs) was partly offset by a decrease of \$10 million for the Securing the Cities initiative in the New York City area.

The House committee recommended a total of \$544 million for DNDO. Changes relative to the request included reductions of \$3 million for new headquarters employees, \$1 million for a proposed fellowship program at the National Technical Nuclear Forensics Center, and \$15 million for the Radiation Portal Monitoring Program. The House bill would continue the prohibition on full-scale procurement of ASPs until the Secretary certifies their performance and would prohibit DNDO from engaging in high-risk concurrent development and production of mutually dependent software and hardware. The draft House report directed DNDO to conduct a risk assessment for radiological dispersal devices.

The Senate committee recommended a total of \$541 million for DNDO. The only change relative to the Administration request was a reduction of \$23 million in the Radiation Portal Monitoring Program because of delays in the required certification of ASP performance. Like the House bill, the Senate bill would continue the prohibition on full-scale procurement of ASPs and prohibit high-risk concurrent development and production. The Senate committee report urged DNDO to prioritize its programs based on risk and directed it to contract with the National Academy of Sciences (or another independent organization) to develop a conceptual framework for prioritizing defensive efforts relative to mitigation measures.

The final appropriation for DNDO was \$514 million. Reductions relative to the request included \$10 million from new initiatives in Transformational R&D and \$38 million from the Radiation Portal Monitoring Program due to development delays. Like the House and Senate bills, the final bill continued the prohibition on full-scale procurement of ASPs and prohibited high-risk concurrent development and production.

Congressional attention has focused on the testing and analysis DNDO conducted to support its decision to purchase and deploy ASPs, a type of next-generation radiation portal monitor.<sup>23</sup> The requirement for secretarial certification before full-scale ASP procurement has been included in each appropriations act since FY2007. The expected date for certification has been postponed several times; the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See, for example, Government Accountability Office, *Combating Nuclear Smuggling: Additional Actions Needed to Ensure Adequate Testing of Next Generation Radiation Detection Equipment*, GAO-07-1247T, testimony before the House Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, September 18, 2007.

current target is reportedly November 2008.<sup>24</sup> The global nuclear detection architecture overseen by DNDO and the relative roles of DNDO and the S&T Directorate in research, development, testing, and evaluation also remain issues of congressional interest. For more information on the global nuclear detection architecture, see CRS Report RL34574, *The Global Nuclear Detection Architecture: Issues for Congress.* (CRS Contact: Daniel Morgan.)

Table 6. Department of Homeland Security R&D and Related Programs

(\$ in millions)

|  | FY2008<br>Enacted | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>H. Cte. | FY2009<br>S. Cte. | FY2009<br>Final |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Directorate of Science & Technology        | 830               | 869               | 887               | 919               | 933             |
| Management and Administration <sup>a</sup> | 139               | 132               | 132               | 132               | 132             |
| R&D, Acquisition, and Operations           | 692               | 737               | 755               | 787               | 800             |
| Border and Maritime                        | 25                | 35                | 30                | 35                | 33              |
| Chemical and Biological                    | 208               | 200               | 200               | 200               | 200             |
| Command, Control, & Interoperability       | 57                | 62                | 62                | 87                | 75              |
| Explosives                                 | 78                | 96                | 96                | 96                | 96              |
| Human Factors                              | 14                | 12                | 12                | 8                 | 12              |
| Infrastructure and Geophysical             | 64                | 38                | 49                | 65                | 76              |
| Innovation                                 | 33                | 45                | 39                | 33                | 33              |
| Laboratory Facilities <sup>a</sup>         | 104               | 147               | 152               | 162               | 162             |
| Test and Evaluation, Standards             | 29                | 25                | 29                | 25                | 29              |
| $Transition^b$                             | 25                | 32                | 34                | 27                | 29              |
| University Programs                        | 49                | 44                | 51                | 44                | 50              |
| Homeland Security Institute <sup>b</sup>   | 5                 | _                 | _                 | 5                 | 5               |
| <b>Domestic Nuclear Detection Office</b>   | 485               | 564               | 544               | 541               | 514             |
| Management and Administration              | 32                | 39                | 35                | 39                | 38              |
| Research, Development, and Operations      | 324               | 334               | 333               | 334               | 323             |
| Systems Engineering and Architecture       | 22                | 25                | 25                | 25                | 25              |
| Systems Development                        | 118               | 108               | 108               | 108               | 108             |
| Transformational R&D                       | 96                | 113               | 113               | 113               | 103             |
| Assessments                                | 38                | 32                | 32                | 32                | 32              |
| Operations Support                         | 34                | 38                | 38                | 38                | 38              |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Government Accountability Office, Combating Nuclear Smuggling: DHS Need to Consider the Full Costs and Complete All Tests Prior to Making a Decision on Whether to Purchase Advanced Portal Monitors, GAO-08-1178T, September 25, 2008.

|  | FY2008<br>Enacted | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>H. Cte. | FY2009<br>S. Cte. | FY2009<br>Final |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Natl. Technical Nuclear Forensics Ctr. | 15                | 18                | 17                | 18                | 17              |
| Systems Acquisition                    | 130               | 191               | 176               | 168               | 153             |
| Radiation Portal Monitoring Program    | 90                | 158               | 143               | 135               | 120             |
| Securing the Cities                    | 30                | 20                | 20                | 20                | 20              |
| Human Portable Radiation Detn. Sys.    | 10                | 13                | 13                | 13                | 13              |
| U.S. Coast Guard RDT&E                 | 25                | 16                | 16                | 16                | 18              |
| TOTAL                                  | 1,340             | 1,449             | 1,447             | 1,476             | 1,465           |

**Source:** DHS FY2009 congressional budget justification; H.R. 6947 as reported and H.Rept. 110-862; S. 3181 as reported and S.Rept. 110-396; and P.L. 110-329, Division D, and explanatory statement, *Congressional Record*, September 24, 2008, pp. H9806-H9807.

Notes: Totals may not add because of rounding.

- a. Funding for the salaries of DHS laboratory employees (\$14 million in FY2008) was transferred from Management and Administration to Laboratory Facilities in the FY2009 request.
- b. For FY2008, Congress appropriated \$5 million for the Homeland Security Institute as a separate line item. The FY2009 budget justification incorporated this amount into Transition. The FY2009 request for Transition included \$5 million for the Homeland Security Institute.

#### **National Institutes of Health (NIH)**

The NIH budget request for FY2009 continued the trend of the previous five years, during which increases, if any, have been below the rate of inflation. Congressional action on FY2009 appropriations bills, not yet finalized, has recommended funding growth approximately equal to the estimated 3.5% inflation rate. Currently, NIH is operating under the FY2009 continuing resolution at a rate based on its original FY2008 appropriations.

The President's FY2009 request for \$29.165 billion for NIH was about level with the original FY2008 amount (see **Table 7**). The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-161) provided a total of \$29.171 billion. Later, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252, enacted June 30, 2008) gave NIH an additional \$150 million, bringing the FY2008 program level total to \$29.321 billion, 1.0% above FY2007. The FY2009 request was \$155 million below the FY2008 enacted program level (-0.5%). In **Table 7**, FY2008 amounts are shown both before and after the supplemental appropriations.

The FY2009 Continuing Appropriations Resolution (Division A of P.L. 110-329, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, enacted September 30, 2008) provides funds for government operations from October 1, 2008, through March 6, 2009. For most covered agencies and programs, including NIH, funds are available at a rate for operations provided in the FY2008 appropriations acts, not counting amounts designated as emergency funding (such as the June 2008 supplemental funding NIH received). In the discussion below, most references are to FY2008 funding levels prior to the supplemental

appropriations, since the FY2009 request and congressional actions were based on the original FY2008 amounts.

NIH's funding comes primarily from the appropriations bill for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies (Labor/HHS), with an additional amount for Superfund-related activities from the appropriations bill for the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies (Interior/Environment). Those two bills provide NIH's discretionary budget authority. In addition, NIH receives \$150 million annually from separate legislation funding diabetes research, and \$8.2 million from a transfer within the Public Health Service (PHS). NIH loses part of its appropriation to a transfer to the Global Fund to Fight HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria. For several years, about \$100 million of the annual NIH appropriation was transferred to the Global Fund. In the FY2008 request, the President increased the amount to \$300 million, and the final amount of the transfer from the NIH appropriation was \$295 million. The FY2009 budget again proposed to transfer \$300 million to the Global Fund. In **Table 7**, the total funding available for NIH activities, taking account of add-ons and transfers, is called the program level.<sup>25</sup>

In congressional action on the FY2009 request, the Senate Appropriations Committee reported S. 3230 (S.Rept. 110-410) on July 8, 2008, recommending a program level total of \$30.113 billion for NIH within the Labor/HHS appropriations bill. Although the committee did not take action on the Interior/Environment bill, funding of approximately \$78 million for the NIH Superfund account might have been projected based on past years. The NIH program level total recommended by the Senate committee would then have been about \$30.191 billion, some \$1.02 billion (3.5%) over the original FY2008 amount and \$870 million (3.0%) over the revised FY2008 level.

The full House Appropriations Committee did not take final action on either its Labor/HHS bill or its Interior/Environment bill. On June 19, 2008, the House Labor/HHS subcommittee reported a draft bill to the full committee that recommended program level funding of \$30.238 billion for NIH, \$125 million more than the Senate committee amount. The total NIH program level, again assuming \$78 million for the Superfund account, might have been projected at approximately \$30.316 billion, some \$1.145 billion (3.9%) over the original FY2008 total amount and \$995 million (3.4%) over the revised FY2008 level.

In this decade, the peak of NIH's purchasing power was in FY2003, when Congress completed a five-year doubling of the NIH budget. Congress provided NIH with annual increases in the range of 14%-15% each year from FY1999 through FY2003. Since then, increases have been between 1%-3% each year, except that FY2006 was a 0.3% decrease. The President requested no increase for NIH for FY2009, while the advocates in the research community recommended a 6.5% increase. The projected inflation rate for medical research prices is 3.5% for both FY2008 and FY2009. In inflation-adjusted terms, the FY2008 funding level

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The "NIH program level" cited in the Administration's budget documents does not reflect the Global Fund transfer.

represented an estimated 10.7% decrease in purchasing power from the FY2003 peak, and the FY2009 request level was 14% below FY2003.

The agency's organization consists of the Office of the NIH Director and 27 institutes and centers. The Office of the Director (OD) sets overall policy for NIH and coordinates the programs and activities of all NIH components, particularly in areas of research that involve multiple institutes. The institutes and centers (collectively called ICs) focus on particular diseases, areas of human health and development, or aspects of research support. Each IC plans and manages its own research programs in coordination with the Office of the Director. As shown in **Table 7**, Congress provides a separate appropriation to 24 of the 27 ICs, to OD, and to a buildings and facilities account. (The other three centers, not included in the table, are funded through the NIH Management Fund, financed by taps on other NIH appropriations.)

The President's FY2009 budget gave most of the institutes and centers approximately level funding from their original FY2008 amounts, requesting increases of 0.1% or 0.2%. The President requested increases greater than 0.5% only for the National Center for Research Resources (1.0%) and the National Library of Medicine (0.8%). The Senate committee and the House subcommittee recommended increases of about 3% and 3.5%, respectively, for most of the ICs compared to the original FY2008 levels.

The two biggest changes proposed in the request were a 5.6% increase in the Buildings and Facilities account, and a 4.7% drop in funding for the Office of the Director. Many of the laboratories, animal facilities, and office buildings on the NIH campus are aging, and are in need of upgrading to stay compliant with health and safety guidelines and to provide the proper infrastructure for the Intramural Research program. The budget requested \$126 million for Buildings and Facilities, an increase of \$7 million. The House subcommittee agreed with that amount, while the Senate committee recommended \$147 million, an increase of \$28 million (23%).

In the request, the net \$52 million drop in the OD account, from \$1,109 million in FY2008 to \$1,057 million, represented the proposed cancellation of a study combined with increases for several other OD activities. The National Children's Study was funded at \$111 million in FY2008. It is a long-term (25+ year), multiagency environmental health study that was mandated by the Children's Health Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-310). The overall projected cost for the whole study is about \$2.7 billion. Starting with the FY2007 request, when the study moved from the planning phase to the more costly implementation phase, the Administration has proposed each year to end its funding. Congress has continued to support the study. Both the Senate committee and the House subcommittee included \$192 million for the study, an increase of \$81 million (73%).

The President proposed increases within the OD account totaling \$59 million, including a \$38 million increase (7.7%) for the NIH Roadmap initiatives funded through the Common Fund. The NIH Roadmap for Medical Research is a set of trans-NIH research activities designed to support high-risk/high-impact research in emerging areas of science or public health priorities. For FY2009, the President requested \$534 million for the Roadmap/Common Fund, up from \$496 million in

FY2008. The Senate committee recommended \$568 million for the Common Fund (a \$73 million increase), and boosted overall funding for the OD account to \$1,275 million, an increase of \$166 million (15%). The House subcommittee recommended \$544 million for the Common Fund (a \$49 million increase) within overall funding of \$1,255 million for the OD account, an increase of \$146 million (13%). The other major increase in the President's request for OD was an additional \$19 million (19.9%) for research on medical countermeasures against nuclear, radiological, and chemical threats, increasing that program to \$113 million from \$94 million in FY2008. That was the only significant increase for NIH's biodefense portfolio, which totaled \$1,748 million in the President's FY2009 request (up 1.2%). The House subcommittee included \$100 million for countermeasures research; the Senate committee did not discuss biodefense research.

NIH has two major concerns in the face of tight budgets: maintaining support of investigator-initiated research through research project grants, and expanding the supply of new investigators. Total funding for research project grants (RPGs), at \$15.5 billion, represents about 53% of NIH's budget. The FY2009 request proposed to support an estimated 38,257 awards, about the same number as projected in FY2008 before the supplemental. Within that total, 9,757 awards would have been competing RPGs, 14 fewer than in FY2008. ("Competing" awards means new grants plus competing renewals of existing grants.) The Senate committee estimated that its funding level would support 10,471 competing RPGs, while the House subcommittee level would support 10,812. The request proposed that no inflationary increases be paid for noncompeting (continuation) RPGs, and that the average annual cost of competing RPGs remain at the FY2008 level, about \$361,000. The House subcommittee included an average 2% increase for both new and continuing grants; the Senate report did not specify average costs. Under the request, the expected "success rate" of applications receiving funding would have declined to about 18% from the estimated rate of 19% for FY2008 (pre-supplemental). Estimated success rates for the various ICs would have ranged from 8% to 26%.

Several NIH efforts are focused on supporting new investigators to encourage young scientists to undertake careers in research and to help them speed their transition from training to independent research. The request proposed that the Pathway to Independence program support approximately 500 awardees, including 170 new awards, for a total of \$71 million. The request proposed an increase of \$5 million (0.6%) to \$786 million for regular training mechanisms such as the National Research Service Awards, including stipend increases of 1% for both pre- and postdoctoral fellows. Clinical research training, including the Clinical and Translational Science Awards, would have been funded at a total of \$475 million. The request proposed to support about 25 New Innovator Awards for a total of \$56 million in the Common Fund. The NIH Director's Bridge Award is a program that can give shortterm funding to established, meritorious investigators who have just missed the funding cutoff for a renewal application and who have little other support, giving them time to resubmit without disrupting the operation of their laboratory. The request included \$91 million for 244 awards, an increase of \$1.6 million. Both the Senate committee and the House subcommittee specifically mentioned support for most of these initiatives, as well as others; both included funding increases beyond the request for the New Innovator Awards.

Changes proposed in the request for other funding mechanisms within the NIH budget included increased support for research centers, up \$20 million to \$2,963 million; a \$33 million increase to \$3,275 million for R&D contracts, including \$5 million additional for the Global HIV/AIDS Fund; \$50 million more for the NIH intramural research program, for a total of \$3,119 million; an increase of \$20 million to a total of \$1,361 million for research management and support; and a decrease of \$23 million for other research grants totaling \$1,786 million.

NIH and three of the other Public Health Service agencies within HHS are subject to a budget "tap" called the PHS Program Evaluation Set-Aside. Section 241 of the PHS Act (42 U.S.C. § 238j) authorizes the Secretary to use a portion of eligible appropriations to assess the effectiveness of federal health programs and to identify ways to improve them. The tap has the effect of redistributing appropriated funds among PHS and other HHS agencies. The FY2008 appropriation kept the tap at 2.4%, the same as in FY2007; the FY2009 Senate bill and the draft House bill maintain that level. NIH, with the largest budget among the PHS agencies, becomes the largest "donor" of program evaluation funds, and is a relatively minor recipient. By convention, budget tables such as **Table 7** do not subtract the amount of the evaluation tap, or of other taps within HHS, from the agencies' appropriations. For further information on the Evaluation Set-Aside, see CRS Report RL34098, *Public Health Service (PHS) Agencies: Background and Funding*, coordinated by Pamela W. Smith.

At the end of the 109<sup>th</sup> Congress, the House and Senate agreed on the first NIH reauthorization statute enacted since 1993, the NIH Reform Act of 2006 (P.L. 109-482). The law made managerial and organizational changes in NIH, focusing on enhancing the authority and tools for the NIH Director to do strategic planning, especially to facilitate and fund cross-institute research initiatives. The measure authorized, for the first time, overall funding levels for NIH, although not for the individual ICs, and established a "common fund" for trans-NIH research. For further information on NIH, see CRS Report RL33695, *The National Institutes of Health: Organization, Funding, and Congressional Issues*, by Pamela W. Smith. (CRS Contact: Pamela Smith.)

Table 7. National Institutes of Health

(\$ in millions)

| Institutes and Centers (ICs)                     | FY2008<br>original<br>approp. <sup>a</sup> | FY2008<br>enacted <sup>b</sup> | FY2009<br>request | FY2009<br>House<br>Subcom. | FY2009<br>Senate<br>Comm. |
|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Cancer (NCI)                                     | 4,805                                      | 4,831                          | 4,810             | 4,975                      | 4,959                     |
| Heart/Lung/Blood (NHLBI)                         | 2,922                                      | 2,938                          | 2,925             | 3,026                      | 3,006                     |
| Dental/Craniofacial Research (NIDCR)             | 390  | 392                            | 391               | 404                        | 401                       |
| Diabetes/Digestive/Kidney (NIDDK)                | 1,707                                      | 1,716                          | 1,708             | 1,767                      | 1,756                     |
| Neurological Disorders/Stroke (NINDS)            | 1,544                                      | 1,552                          | 1,545             | 1,599                      | 1,588                     |
| Allergy/Infectious Diseases (NIAID) <sup>c</sup> | 4,561                                      | 4,583                          | 4,569             | 4,716                      | 4,689                     |
| General Medical Sciences (NIGMS)                 | 1,936                                      | 1,946                          | 1,938             | 2,004                      | 1,992                     |
| Child Health/Human Development (NICHD)           | 1,255                                      | 1,261                          | 1,256             | 1,299                      | 1,291                     |

| Institutes and Centers (ICs)                        | FY2008<br>original<br>approp. <sup>a</sup> | FY2008<br>enacted <sup>b</sup> | FY2009 request | FY2009<br>House<br>Subcom. | FY2009<br>Senate<br>Comm. |
|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Eye (NEI)   | 667  | 671                            | 668            | 691                        | 687                       |
| Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS)               | 642  | 646                            | 643            | 665                        | 661                       |
| Aging (NIA)   | 1,047                                      | 1,053                          | 1,048          | 1,084                      | 1,077                     |
| Arthritis/Musculoskeletal/Skin (NIAMS)              | 509  | 511                            | 509            | 527                        | 523                       |
| Deafness/Communicat'n Disorders (NIDCD)             | 394  | 396                            | 395            | 409                        | 406                       |
| Nursing Research (NINR)                             | 137  | 138                            | 138            | 142                        | 141                       |
| Alcohol Abuse/Alcoholism (NIAAA)                    | 436  | 439                            | 437            | 452                        | 449                       |
| Drug Abuse (NIDA)                                   | 1,001                                      | 1,006                          | 1,002          | 1,036                      | 1,030                     |
| Mental Health (NIMH) <sup>d</sup>                   | 1,405                                      | 1,413                          | 1,407          | 1,455                      | 1,446                     |
| Human Genome Research (NHGRI)                       | 487  | 489                            | 488            | 505                        | 501                       |
| Biomedical Imaging/Bioengineering (NIBIB)           | 299  | 300                            | 300            | 311                        | 307                       |
| Research Resources (NCRR)                           | 1,149                                      | 1,156                          | 1,160          | 1,200                      | 1,193                     |
| Complementary/Alternative Med (NCCAM)               | 122  | 122                            | 122            | 126                        | 125                       |
| Minority Health/Hlth Disparities (NCMHD)            | 200  | 201                            | 200            | 207                        | 205                       |
| Fogarty International Center (FIC)                  | 67   | 67                             | 67             | 69                         | 69                        |
| National Library of Medicine (NLM)                  | 321  | 322                            | 323            | 332                        | 330                       |
| Office of Director (OD)                             | 1,109                                      | 1,112                          | 1,057          | 1,255                      | 1,275                     |
| Common Fund (non-add)                               | (496)                                      | (498)                          | (534)          | (544)                      | (568)                     |
| Buildings & Facilities (B&F)                        | 119  | 119                            | 126            | 126                        | 147                       |
| Subtotal, Labor/HHS Appropriation                   | 29,230                                     | 29,380                         | 29,230         | 30,380                     | 30,255                    |
| Superfund (Interior approp to NIEHS) <sup>e</sup>   | 78   | 78                             | 78             | 78 <sup>e</sup>            | 78 <sup>e</sup>           |
| Total, NIH discretionary budget authority           | 29,307                                     | 29,457                         | 29,307         | 30,458 <sup>f</sup>        | 30,333 <sup>f</sup>       |
| Pre-appropriated Type 1 diabetes funds <sup>g</sup> | 150  | 150                            | 150            | 150                        | 150                       |
| PHS Evaluation Tap funding <sup>h</sup>             | 8  | 8                              | 8              | 8                          | 8                         |
| Global Fund transfer (AIDS/TB/Malaria) <sup>c</sup> | -295                                       | -295                           | -300           | -300                       | -300                      |
| Total, NIH program level                            | 29,171                                     | 29,321                         | 29,165         | 30,316 <sup>f</sup>        | 30,191 <sup>f</sup>       |

**Sources:** Adapted by CRS from NIH and congressional tables. FY2008 amounts are from NIH Office of Budget at [http://officeofbudget.od.nih.gov/ui/fy2008elws.html]. FY2009 amounts are from House Appropriations Committee table reflecting subcommittee action on the draft bill, and S.Rept. 110-410 reflecting the committee-reported bill (S. 3230). Details may not add to totals due to rounding.

- a. FY2008 original appropriations were provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-161, Division G, enacted December 26, 2007). Also includes comparative IC transfers from NHLBI to NIDDK (\$0.816 million) and from NLM to NIDCR (\$0.455 million). The FY2008 amounts are the reference point for the FY2009 Continuing Resolution (CR).
- b. FY2008 enacted includes \$150 million from the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252, June 30, 2008), distributed proportionally to the ICs. Those funds were designated as emergency spending and are not counted for the FY2009 CR.
- c. NIAID totals include funds for transfer to the Global Fund to Fight HIV/AIDS, TB, and Malaria.
   d. FY2008 NIMH has \$0.983m from Office of the Secretary to administer the Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee.
- e. Separate account in the Interior/Environment appropriations for NIEHS research activities related to Superfund. For FY2009, neither the Senate nor the House Appropriations Committees took action on the Interior/Environment bills. The \$78 million figure is an estimated amount based on past years.

- f. These totals include the estimated \$78 million for Superfund-related activities.
- g. Funds available to NIDDK for diabetes research under PHS Act § 330B (authorized by P.L. 106-554, P.L. 107-360, and P.L. 110-173).
- h. Additional funds for NLM from PHS Evaluation Set-Aside (§ 241 of PHS Act).

#### **Department of Energy (DOE)**

The Department of Energy (DOE) has requested \$10.535 billion for R&D in FY2009, including activities in three major categories: science, national security, and energy. See **Table 8** for details. This request is 6% above the FY2008 appropriation. The House committee recommended \$10.903 billion. The Senate committee recommended \$11.010 billion. The Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 (Division A of P.L. 110-329) provides funding for continuing DOE activities at the FY2008 rate through March 6, 2009.

The request for the DOE Office of Science is \$4.722 billion, a 19% increase from FY2008. This unusually large increase reflects the American Competitiveness Initiative (ACI), which President Bush announced in the 2006 state of the union address. Over 10 years, the ACI would double R&D funding for the Office of Science and two other agencies. Congress set even faster growth targets in the America COMPETES Act (P.L. 110-69), establishing authorization levels that would double R&D funding for these agencies in seven years. The percentage increase in the President's FY2009 request for the Office of Science is higher than what would be required on an annual basis to reach the ACI doubling target. This was also the case in FY2007 and FY2008, but although the House and Senate bills for those years would have provided increases even relative to the request, the final appropriations were lower than the ACI amount. For FY2009, the House committee recommended \$140 million more than the request, while the Senate committee recommended \$82 million less than the request.

Within the Office of Science, the request for basic energy sciences includes increases of \$153 million for a new program of Energy Frontier Research Centers, \$66 million for construction of the National Synchrotron Light Source II, and \$73 million to increase operating time at existing facilities. The Senate committee recommended reducing basic energy sciences by \$153 million below the request; \$59 million of that amount represents solar energy R&D activities transferred to another account; the remainder of the reduction was not specified. The requested 17% increase for high energy physics would go mostly to programs cut in the final FY2008 appropriation that were funded in the House and Senate bills for that year. The requested 72% increase for fusion energy sciences would fund the U.S. contribution to the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), which was eliminated in the final FY2008 appropriation, again despite support in the House

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> The February 2006 White House document *American Competitiveness Initiative: Leading the World in Innovation* states that "ACI doubles total research fund; individual agency allocations remain to be determined." The three ACI agencies may individually receive more or less than the amount required to double their separate FY2006 levels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> In response to these cuts, the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252) provided \$62.5 million in supplemental FY2008 funding for the DOE Office of Science.

and Senate bills for that year. In December 2007, DOE announced new estimates of the cost and schedule for ITER: between \$1.45 and \$2.2 billion (previously \$1.122 billion) with a completion date between FY2014 and FY2017 (previously FY2014). The House and Senate committees recommended the requested amount for ITER.

The requested funding for DOE national security R&D is \$3.132 billion, a 2% decrease. Increases would include \$53 million for the naval reactors program, mostly to support processing and storage of spent nuclear fuel, and \$10 million for the reliable replacement warhead program, which Congress zeroed in the FY2008 appropriation. The major decrease would be \$79 million for proliferation detection R&D, a program that Congress increased in FY2008. The House committee recommended a total of \$3.052 billion, while the Senate committee recommended \$3.252 billion. Neither committee recommended any funding for the reliable replacement warhead program, and the Senate committee recommended restoring \$75 million of the requested decrease for proliferation detection R&D. In the Weapons Activities account, the House committee recommended an increase of \$87 million for inertial confinement fusion and a decrease of \$66 million for advanced simulation and computing.

The request for DOE energy R&D is \$2.681 billion, down 2% from FY2008. Within this total, R&D on nuclear energy and coal would increase, while hydrogen R&D would decrease and gas and oil technology programs would be terminated (as also proposed, unsuccessfully, in other recent years). Most of the requested 17% decrease for energy efficiency and renewable energy results from the omission of \$186 million in FY2008 congressionally directed projects. The requested 44% increase for nuclear energy R&D would be mostly for the Advanced Fuel Cycle Initiative (AFCI). The House and Senate committees both recommended substantial increases in energy R&D, particularly in energy efficiency and renewable energy, but decreases in nuclear energy. Both committees recommended funding the gas and oil technology programs at approximately the FY2008 level, and both provided less than the request for the AFCI. (CRS Contact: Daniel Morgan.)

Table 8. Department of Energy R&D (\$ in millions)

|  | FY2008  | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>H.Cte. | FY2009<br>S.Cte. |
|--|---------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Science                                | \$3,973 | \$4,722           | \$4,862          | \$4,640          |
| Basic Energy Sciences                  | 1,270   | 1,568             | 1,600            | 1,415            |
| High Energy Physics                    | 689     | 805               | 805              | 805              |
| Biological and Environmental Research  | 544     | 569               | 579              | 599              |
| Nuclear Physics                        | 433     | 510               | 517              | 510              |
| Fusion Energy Sciences                 | 287     | 493               | 499              | 493              |
| Advanced Scientific Computing Research | 351     | 369               | 379              | 369              |
| Other                                  | 399     | 408               | 483              | 449              |
| National Security                      | 3,199   | 3,132             | 3,052            | 3,252            |
| Weapons Activities <sup>a</sup>        | 2,016   | 1,996             | 1,916            | 2,051            |
| Naval Reactors                         | 775     | 828               | 828              | 828              |

|   | FY2008 | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>H.Cte. | FY2009<br>S.Cte. |
|---|--------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Nonproliferation and Verification R&D               | 387    | 275               | 276              | 350              |
| Defense Environmental Cleanup TD&D                  | 21     | 32                | 32               | 22               |
| Energy  | 2,730  | 2,681             | 2,989            | 3,118            |
| Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy <sup>b</sup> | 1,440  | 1,197             | 1,567            | 1,542            |
| Fossil Energy R&D                                   | 743    | 754               | 854              | 877              |
| Nuclear Energy R&D <sup>c</sup>                     | 438    | 630               | 464              | 566              |
| Electr. Delivery & Energy Reliability R&D           | 110    | 100               | 105              | 133              |
| Total   | 9,903  | 10,535            | 10,903           | 11,010           |

**Source:** DOE FY2009 congressional budget justification; draft House-reported bill and draft House report; and S. 3258 and S.Rept. 110-416.

- a. Includes Stockpile Services R&D Support, Stockpile Services R&D Certification and Safety, Reliable Replacement Warhead, Science Campaigns, Engineering Campaigns except Enhanced Surety and Enhanced Surveillance, Inertial Confinement Fusion, Advanced Simulation and Computing, and a prorated share of Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities. Additional R&D activities may take place in the subprograms of Directed Stockpile Work that are devoted to specific weapon systems, but these funds are not included in the table because detailed funding schedules for those subprograms are classified.
- b. Excludes Weatherization and Intergovernmental Activities.
- c. Includes Nuclear Power 2010, Generation IV Nuclear Energy Systems Initiative, Nuclear Hydrogen Initiative, and Advanced Fuel Cycle Initiative (AFCI). Note that AFCI funding appears in the Fuel Cycle Research and Facilities line item in FY2008 and the FY2009 House report, but in the Research and Development line item in the FY2009 request, and the FY2009 Senate report.

#### **National Science Foundation (NSF)**

The FY2009 request for the National Science Foundation (NSF) was \$6.854 billion, a 13.6% increase (\$822.1 million) over the FY2008 estimate of \$6.032 billion (see **Table 9**). President Bush has proposed doubling the NSF budget over 10 years, from FY2007 to FY2016, as part of his American Competitiveness Initiative (ACI). The FY2009 request represents another installment toward that doubling effort. In August 2008, Congress passed the America COMPETES Act which authorizes funding for NSF for FY2008 through FY2010 at a pace that would more than double the agency's funding in seven years. NSF has identified several strategies in the FY2009 budget request: to maintain a portfolio with "powerful momentum" across all disciplines; to build a world-class science and engineering workforce; to perform effectively with the highest standards of accountability; and to support potentially transformative research. The NSF Director describes transformative research as "a range of endeavors, which promise extraordinary outcomes; such as, revolutionizing entire disciplines, creating entirely new fields, or disrupting accepted theories and perspective."28 Several reports have recommended that funds be allocated specifically for this type of research. NSF contends that in the global environment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Bement, Jr., Arden L., Director, National Science Foundation, "Transformative Research: The Artistry and Alchemy of the 21st Century," remarks, Texas Academy of Medicine, Engineering and Science Fourth Annual Conference, Austin, Texas, January 4, 2007. [http://www.nsf.gov/news/speeches/bement/07/alb070104\_texas.jsp]

of science and engineering, support for transformative, high-risk, high-reward research is critical to U.S. competitiveness. These strategies parallel some of the goals contained in the President's ACI, and are designed to promote research that will drive innovation and support the design and development of world-class facilities, instrumentation, and infrastructure.

Included in the FY2009 request is \$5.594 billion for Research and Related Activities (R&RA), a 16.0% increase (\$772.5 million) above the FY2008 estimate of \$4.822 billion. R&RA funds research projects, research facilities, and education and training activities. The scientific and academic communities have voiced concerns about the imbalance between support for the life sciences and the physical sciences. Research is multidisciplinary and transformational in nature, and very often, discoveries in the physical sciences lead to advances in other disciplines. The America COMPETES ACT authorizes increased federal research support in the physical sciences, mathematics, and engineering. The FY2009 request provides a 20.2% increase for the Mathematical and Physical Sciences (MPS) directorate. The MPS portfolio supports investments in fundamental research, facilities, and instruments, and provides approximately 44.0% of the federal funding for basic research in mathematics and physical sciences conducted at colleges and universities. R&RA includes Integrative Activities (IA), a cross-disciplinary research and education program, and is a source of funding for the acquisition and development of research instrumentation at institutions. The FY2009 request provides \$276.0 million for IA. The IA also funds Partnerships for Innovation, disaster research teams, and the Science and Technology Policy Institute. In FY2008, support for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR) was transferred from the Education and Human Resources Directorate (EHR) to IA. NSF's FY2009 request for EPSCoR is \$113.5 million, which is a part of the total IA funding request. The FY2009 request would support a portfolio of three complementary strategies research infrastructure, co-funding, and outreach — for the 27 EPSCoR jurisdictions. Approximately 67.0% of the funding for EPSCoR would be used for a combination of new awards and research infrastructure improvement grants. The balance of funding would support co-funding (31.7%) and outreach activities (1.7%).

The NSF asserts that international research partnerships are critical to the nation in maintaining a competitive edge, addressing global issues, and capitalizing on global economic opportunities. The Administration has requested \$47.4 million for the Office of International Science and Engineering (OISE). The OISE manages NSF's offices in Beijing, Paris, and Tokyo that report on and analyze in-country and regional science and technology policies and developments. The OISE serves as a liaison with research institutes and foreign agencies, and facilitates coordination and implementation of NSF research and education efforts.

The Office of Polar Programs (OPP) is funded in the R&RA. The FY2009 request for addressing the challenges in polar research is \$491.0 million. NSF continues in its leadership role in planning U.S. participation in observance of the International Polar Year, 2007-2009.<sup>29</sup> The NSF also serves in a leadership capacity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> International Polar Year runs from March 2007 through March 2009. Sponsors say that (continued...)

for several international research partnerships in the Arctic and Antarctic. Increases in OPP in FY2009 are directed at research programs for arctic and antarctic sciences — glacial and sea ice, terrestrial and marine ecosystems, the ocean and the atmosphere, and biology of life in the cold and dark. In FY2006, responsibility for funding the operational costs of three icebreakers that support scientific research in the polar regions was transferred from the U.S. Coast Guard to the NSF.<sup>30</sup> NSF is responsible for the operation, maintenance, and staffing of the vessels. Beginning in FY2009, one of the icebreakers is to be in drydock. To meet the need for back-up icebreaking services, the FY2009 request includes an additional \$9.0 million for contracting of other vessels.

NSF supports several interagency R&D priorities in the FY2009 request. It is a lead agency in the U.S. nanotechnology research effort, accounting for \$396.8 million of the National Nanotechnology Initiative's \$1.53 billion FY2009 request. Funding would support research in emerging areas of nanoscale science and technology such as new drug delivery systems, advanced materials, more powerful computer chips. Support would be directed also at research and education in the environmental, health, and safety impacts of nanotechnology. NSF's other interagency priorities include funding for the Climate Change Science Program (\$220.6 million), Homeland Security (\$379.2 million), Networking and Information Technology R&D (\$1,090.3 million), and Climate Change Technology Program (\$23.5 million).

The NSF supports a variety of individual centers and center programs. The FY2009 request provides \$76.0 million for Science and Technology Centers, \$53.6 million for Materials Research Science and Engineering Centers, \$53.6 million for Engineering Research Centers, \$44.6 million for Nanoscale Science and Engineering Centers, \$15.0 million for Science of Learning Centers, \$20.0 million for Centers for Chemical Innovation, and \$18.4 million for Centers for Analysis and Synthesis.

The FY2009 request for the EHR Directorate is \$790.4 million, \$64.8 million (8.9%) above the FY2008 estimate. The EHR portfolio is focused on, among other things, increasing the technological literacy of all citizens; preparing the next generation of science, engineering, and mathematics professionals; and closing the achievement gap of underrepresented groups in all scientific fields. Support at the various educational levels in the FY2009 request is as follows: research on learning in formal and informal settings (including precollege), \$226.5 million; undergraduate, \$219.8 million; and graduate, \$190.7 million. Priorities at the precollege level include research and evaluation on education in science and engineering (\$42.0 million), informal science education (\$66.0 million), and Discovery Research K-12 (\$108.5 million). Discovery Research is structured to combine the strengths of three existing programs and encourage innovative thinking in K-12 science, technology, engineering, and mathematics education.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> (...continued)

a two-year period was selected to provide equal coverage of both the Arctic and Antarctic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> For expanded discussion of the icebreakers see for example CRS Report RL34391, *Coast Guard Polar Icebreaker Modernization: Background, Issues, and Options for Congress*, by Ronald O'Rourke.

According to NSF, programs at the undergraduate level are designed to "create leverage for institutional change." Priorities at the undergraduate level include the Robert Noyce Scholarship Program (\$11.6 million); Course, Curriculum, and Laboratory Improvement (\$39.2 million); STEM Talent Expansion Program (\$29.7 million); Advanced Technological Education (\$51.6 million); and Scholarship for Service (\$15.0 million). The Math and Science Partnership Program (MSP), an interagency program, is proposed at \$51.0 million in the FY2009 request. The MSP in NSF coordinates activities with the Department of Education and its state-funded MSP sites. At the graduate level, NSF's priorities are Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship (\$25.0 million), Graduate Research Fellowships (\$116.7 million), and the Graduate Teaching Fellows in K-12 Education (\$49.0 million).

Additional priorities in the EHR would support a portfolio of programs directed at strengthening and expanding the participation of underrepresented groups and diverse institutions in the scientific and engineering enterprise. Among these targeted programs in the FY2009 request are the Historically Black Colleges and Universities Undergraduate Program (\$31.0 million), Tribal Colleges and Universities Program (\$13.4 million), Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation (\$42.5 million), and Centers of Research Excellence in Science and Technology (\$30.5 million).

Improving the success rate of grant applicants has been a long-term priority for NSF. The funding rate (the number of grants awarded as a share of total grant applications) declined from 30% in FY2000 to an estimated 21% in FY2008. NSF anticipates increasing the funding rate to 23.0% in FY2009 by supporting an additional 1,370 research grants.

The Major Research Equipment and Facilities Construction (MREFC) account is funded at \$147.5 million in the FY2009 request, a decrease of 33.2% from the FY2008 estimate. The MREFC supports the acquisition and construction of major research facilities and equipment that extend the boundaries of science, engineering, and technology. According to NSF, it is the primary federal agency providing support for "forefront instrumentation and facilities for the academic research and education communities." NSF's first priority for funding is for ongoing projects. Second priority is given to projects that have been approved by the National Science Board for new starts. To qualify for support, NSF required MREFC projects to have "the potential to shift the paradigm in scientific understanding and/or infrastructure" technology." The FY2009 request is indicative of NSF's tighter standards and requirements for receiving funding in this account. Three projects that appeared in the FY2008 request (Alaskan Regional Research Vessel, Ocean Observatories Initiative, and the National Ecological Observatory Network) have to undergo a final design review and a risk management plan to meet NSF's policy of not allowing cost overruns on major facilities projects. These projects are still supported by NSF, and will be considered for inclusion in the budget cycle following submission of their revised baseline budgets and contingencies. The FY2009 request supports three ongoing projects: Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory (\$51.4 million), Atacama Large Millimeter Array (\$82.3 million), and the IceCube Neutrino Observatory (\$11.3 million). The request also provides \$2.5 million to support design activities for a new start, the Advanced Technology Solar Telescope.

On June 25, 2008, the House Appropriations Committee approved a Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies draft bill that would provide \$6.854 billion for the NSF in FY2009, \$789.1 million above the FY2008 enacted and the same as the President's request. The R&RA would receive \$5.554 billion, a \$722.7 million increase above the FY2008 level and \$49.9 million below the request. Additional funding in the House bill includes \$840.3 million for the EHR and \$147.5 million for MREFC. The Senate-reported bill of June 18, 2008 would provide \$6.854 billion for the NSF, the same as the House bill and the request. R&RA would be funded at \$5.594 billion, \$40 million above the House bill and the same as the President's request. The Senate-reported bill would fund the EHR and the MREFC at \$790.4 million and \$152 million, respectively.

On June 30, 2008, the President signed into law the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L.110-252, H.R. 2642). The act provides, among other things, \$62.5 million in emergency supplemental funding for the NSF. Included in the total is \$22.5 million for R&RA, of which \$5.0 million is to be available solely for the Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship program. The supplemental provides \$40.0 million for the EHR, of which \$20.0 million is directed for activities of the Robert Noyce scholarship program. Please note that the FY2008 supplemental funding has not been included in the table column below.

On September 30, 2008, the President signed into law the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L.110-329, H.R. 2638). The continuing resolution funds the NSF at the FY2008 level until passage of the Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies appropriations bill or until March 6, 2009, whichever occurs first.

(CRS Contact: Christine M. Matthews.)

Table 9. National Science Foundation

(\$ in millions)

|                                     | FY2008<br>Estimate | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>House | FY2009<br>Senate |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Research & Related Activities       |                    |                   |                 |                  |
| Biological Sciences                 | \$612.0            | \$675.1           |                 |                  |
| Computer & Inform. Sci. & Eng.      | 534.5              | 638.8             |                 |                  |
| Engineering                         | 636.9              | 759.3             |                 |                  |
| Geosciences                         | 752.7              | 848.7             |                 |                  |
| Math and Physical Sciences          | 1,167.3            | 1,402.7           |                 |                  |
| Social, Behav., & Econ. Sciences    | 215.1              | 233.5             |                 |                  |
| Office of Cyberinfrastructure       | 185.3              | 220.1             |                 |                  |
| Office of International Sci. & Eng. | 41.3               | 47.4              |                 |                  |
| U.S. Polar Programs                 | 442.5              | 491.0             |                 |                  |
| Integrative Activities              | 232.3              | 276.0             |                 |                  |
| U.S. Arctic Research Commission     | 1.5                | 1.5               |                 |                  |
| Subtotal Res. & Rel. Act            | 4,821.5            | 5,594.0           | 5,554.0°        | 5,594.0°         |

|  | FY2008<br>Estimate   | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>House | FY2009<br>Senate |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Ed. & Hum. Resr.                       | 725.6                | 790.4             | 840.3           | 790.4            |
| Major Res. Equip. & Facil. Constr.     | 220.7                | 147.5             | 147.5           | 152.0            |
| Agency Operations & Award Management.  | 281.8                | 305.1             | 305.1           | 300.6            |
| National Science Board                 | 4.0                  | 4.0               | 4.0             | 4.0              |
| Office of Inspector General            | 11.4                 | 13.1              | 13.1            | 13.1             |
| Rescission required under P.L. 110-161 | -33.0                | _                 | _               |                  |
| Total NSF <sup>a</sup>                 | 6,032.0 <sup>b</sup> | 6,854.1           | 6,854.0         | 6,854.1          |

- a. The totals do not include carry overs or retirement accruals. Totals may not add due to rounding.
- b. The Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-252) provides NSF with \$62.5 million in additional FY2008 funding. NSF obligated all of its supplemental monies by the end of the fiscal year. Emergency supplemental funds are not included in the base for a Continuing Resolution. The FY2008 supplemental funding has not been incorporated into the above table column.
- Specific allocations for each directorate or for individual programs and activities are not yet available.

#### **Department of Commerce (DOC)**

#### National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is a laboratory of the Department of Commerce with a mandate to increase the competitiveness of U.S. companies through appropriate support for industrial development of precompetitive, generic technologies and the diffusion of government-developed technological advances to users in all segments of the American economy. NIST research also provides the measurement, calibration, and quality assurance techniques that underpin U.S. commerce, technological progress, improved product reliability, manufacturing processes, and public safety.

The Administration's original FY2009 budget proposed \$638.0 million in funding for NIST. On June 6, 2008, the President submitted a series of amendments to his budget including a reduction of \$2.0 million in the amount requested for NIST (from the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) program). The new request of \$636.0 million is 15.9% below FY2008 due to an absence of support for the Technology Innovation Program (TIP)<sup>31</sup> and a significant decrease in financing for MEP. Funding for in-house research and development under the Scientific and Technology Research and Services (STRS) account (including the Baldrige National Quality Program) was to increase 21.5% to \$535.0 million, while MEP would be provided \$2.0 million to close out the federally financed portion of the program such that "...MEP centers will become independent, as intended in the program's original authorization." Construction support would decline 38.3% to \$99.0 million. (See **Table 10.**)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The Technology Innovation Program replaced the Advanced Technology Program as mandated by P.L. 110-69.

The draft bill approved by the House Committee on Appropriations, would fund NIST at \$816.9 million, 8.1% above FY2008. The STRS account would increase 13.7% to \$500.7 million while support for TIP at \$65.2 million would remain constant and MEP funding would increase 36.2% to \$122.0 million. Construction would decrease 19.6% to \$129.0 million. S. 3182, as reported by the Senate Committee on Appropriations, provided \$813.5 million for NIST, an increase of 7.6% over FY2008. Included was \$489.5 million for the STRS account (an 11.1% increase), \$65.0 million for TIP, and \$110.0 million for MEP (a 22.8% increase). The construction budget would decline 7.2% to \$149.0 million.

No final FY2009 appropriations legislation was enacted by the beginning of that fiscal year. P.L. 110-329, the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides, in part, funding for NIST at FY2008 levels through March 6, 2009.

The FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act, P.L. 110-161, financed NIST at \$755.8 million, an increase of 11.7% over FY2007. Support for the STRS account increased 1.4% to \$440.5 million (including \$7.9 million for the Baldrige Quality Program). The Technology Innovation Program (formerly the Advanced Technology Program (ATP)) was appropriated \$65.2 million (with an additional \$5 million from FY2007 unobligated balances under ATP), 17.6% below the previous fiscal year. Funding for MEP decreased 14.4% to \$89.6 million. Support for construction almost tripled to \$160.5 million.

The President's FY2008 budget proposal requested \$640.7 million for NIST, 5.3% below the FY2007 appropriation. The STRS account would have increased 15.2% to \$500.5 million (including the Baldrige Quality Program). There was no funding for ATP and financing for MEP would have been reduced 55.8% to \$46.3 million. Construction expenses were to increase 60% to \$93.9 million.

No final FY2007 appropriations legislation for NIST was enacted during the 109<sup>th</sup> Congress. A series of continuing resolutions funded the program at FY2006 levels through February 15, 2007. However, P.L. 110-5, passed in the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress, provided \$676.9 million in FY2007 support for NIST. Funding for the STRS account increased 10% over the previous fiscal year to \$434.4 million while the construction budget decreased 66% to \$58.7 million. Financing for ATP at \$79.1 million and support for MEP at \$104.7 million reflected similar funding in FY2006.

As part of the American Competitiveness Initiative, the Administration stated its intention to double over 10 years funding for "innovation-enabling research" performed at NIST through its "core" programs (defined as internal research in the STRS account and the construction budget). To this end, the President's FY2007 budget requested an increase of 18.3% for intramural R&D at NIST; FY2007 appropriations for these in-house programs increased 9.6%. For FY2008, the omnibus appropriations legislation provided for a small increase in the STRS account. This was in contrast to the Administration's FY2008 budget which included a 15.2% increase in funding, as did the original appropriations bill, H.R. 3093, as passed by the House, while the Senate-passed version contained a 15.6% increase. The President's FY2009 budget request proposed a 21.5% increase in support for the

STRS account. Increases in the STRS account were included in the House and Senate bills, but at amounts less than the budget request.

Continued support for the Advanced Technology Program was a major funding issue, particularly because opponents objected to large companies receiving research grants. Although Congress maintained (often decreasing) funding for ATP, the initial appropriation bills passed by the House since FY2002 failed to include financing for the program. In FY2006, support for the program was cut 41% and in FY2007, P.L. 110-69 replaced ATP with the Technology Innovation Program which focuses on small and medium sized firms. The Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY2008, provides funding for this new initiative. The Administration's FY2009 budget request did not include financing for TIP, while the House and Senate bills provided support similar to FY2008. The budget for the Manufacturing Extension Partnership, another extramural program administered by NIST, has also been debated for several years. The President's FY2009 budget proposal recommended curtailing the federally funded portion of the MEP and provided \$2.0 million to accomplish this objective. The House and Senate bills included large increases in funding for the program.

For additional information, see CRS Report 95-30, *The National Institute of Standards and Technology: An Appropriations Overview*; CRS Report RS22815, *The Technology Innovation Program*; and CRS Report 97-104, *The Manufacturing Extension Partnership Program: An Overview*, all by Wendy H. Schacht. (CRS Contact: Wendy H. Schacht.)

Table 10. NIST (\$ in millions)

| NIST Program      | FY2008<br>Request | FY2008            | FY2009<br>Request<br>(amended) | FY2009<br>House | S. 3182 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| STRS <sup>a</sup> | \$500.5           | 440.5             | 535.0                          | 500.7           | 489.5   |
| ATP/TIP           | 0                 | 65.2 <sup>b</sup> | 0                              | 65.2            | 65.0    |
| MEP               | 46.3              | 89.6              | 2.0                            | 122.0           | 110.0   |
| Construction      | 93.9              | 160.5             | 99.0                           | 129.0           | 149.0   |
| NIST Total        | 640.7             | 755.8             | 636.0                          | 816.9           | 813.5   |

**Note:** Figures may not add up because of rounding.

a. Includes funding for the Baldrige National Quality Program.

b. Funding is for the new Technology Innovation Program (TIP) that replaces ATP.

#### **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)**

For FY2009, President Bush proposed \$576 million for the Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for R&D funding (**Table 11**). According to the *NOAA FY2009 Budget Summary*, released on February 4, 2008, this is about 14% of NOAA's total discretionary budget request of \$4.109 billion. Also, the R&D request would consist of 93% research funding and 7% development funding. About 70% of the R&D request would fund intramural programs and 30% would fund extramural activities.

NOAA's Budget Office reported the R&D funding request for the Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR) to be \$293 million, or 51% of total NOAA R&D funding requested for FY2009. NOAA R&D request figures indicate that there would be an increase of 38% for the National Ocean Service (NOS) and one of 24% for the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). These line offices in general sustained overall budget cuts affecting R&D in FY2008. The National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service (NESDIS) R&D budget request of \$29 million is a slight increase of \$2 million as compared with the FY2008 enacted appropriation. National Weather Service (NWS) R&D is essentially flat funded at \$23 million. The President's budget request for FY2009 indicated that \$260 million of NOAA's budget would be for the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP). Also, it indicated that the \$378 million requested for OAR represents NOAA's portion of the President's "Federal Science and Technology Budget" for FY2009, of which \$128.1 million would be for OAR labs and cooperative institutes.

The Senate Committee on Appropriations reported S. 3182 on June 19, 2008 (S.Rept. 110-397). According to a AAAS R&D analysis, the committee recommended a total of \$633 million for R&D for FY2009 (**Table 11**). This amount is reported to be 9.9% greater than the FY2009 request of \$576 million, or an increase of \$57 million, and is proposed for NOAA's five line offices and "all other R&D." Also, it is 8.9% more than the FY2008 appropriation of \$581 million. The largest monetary increase, for R&D, compared with the President's request, would be \$33 million for OAR. Of that amount, climate research and high performance computing R&D would stand to benefit the most from S. 3182. The Senate's largest increase above the R&D request in terms of percentage is for NOS (23.2%), or an additional \$13 million. AAAS indicated that the NOS R&D increase is for congressionally directed programs.<sup>33</sup>

The FY2009 Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act (P.L. 110-329) would freeze most NOAA funding at FY2008 levels. AAAS noted that "R&D in NOAA would have gained 8.9 percent to \$633

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), "Funding Update on Commerce R&D in Senate Appropriations" (Based on FY2009 Appropriations Bills and Including Conduct of R&D and R&D Facilities)," July 1, 2008, at [http://bakser.aaas.org/spp/rd/doc09s.htm].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Id.

million in the Senate plan instead of a requested cut."<sup>34</sup> Even so, Division B of the act provides \$17 million in supplemental appropriations for NOAA to improve its hurricane track and intensity forecasts for the protection of life and property. This may boost R&D funding for experimental modeling activities at NOAA's Hurricane Research Division (HRD) at the Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Lab (AOML) and foster research to operations for NOAA Central Forecast Guidance.

Table 11. NOAA R&D

(CRS Contact: Wavne Morrissev.)

(\$ in millions)

| R&D By NOAA Line Office<br>and Program Support                               | FY2008<br>Enacted <sup>a</sup> | FY2009<br>Request | S. 3182 |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| National Ocean Service (NOS)   | 57                             | 58                | 71      |
| National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)                                     | 45                             | 52                | 56      |
| Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR)                                       | 323                            | 288               | 321     |
| National Weather Service (NWS)   | 23                             | 23                | 24      |
| National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service (NESDIS)       | 26                             | 29                | 29      |
| Office of Marine and Aviation Services (OMAO) <sup>b</sup> — Program Support | 107                            | 127               | 132     |
| Total Conduct of R&D <sup>c</sup>  | \$581                          | \$577             | \$633   |

**Source:** Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA, "FY2007-FY2009, Research and Development," personal communication, March 13, 2008.

# National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)

The Administration requested \$12.857 billion for NASA R&D in FY2009. This request is a 5% increase over FY2008, in a total NASA budget that would increase by 2%. The House committee recommended \$12.967 billion.<sup>35</sup> The Senate committee recommended \$13.044 billion.<sup>36</sup> In addition, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Authorization Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-422) includes authorization levels for many programs for FY2009. For details, see **Table 12**. The

a. P.L. 110-161 (Reported as Amendment to the Senate Amendment to H.R. 2764, the Consolidated.
 Appropriations Act of 2008, Div. B, Title I, Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies

 b. OMAO R&D includes marine research data acquisition and services.

c. The request figures for FY2009 reported by AAAS are based on "OMB R&D data and supplemental agency budget data."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> AAAS, "Federal Research Funding Flat in 2009 as Federal Budget Stalls," September 30, 2008, at [http://www.aaas.org/spp/rd/upd908.htm].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> CRS analysis of committee-approved drafts of the House-reported bill and House report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> CRS analysis of S. 3182 as reported and S.Rept. 110-397.

Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 (Division A of P.L. 110-329) provides funding for continuing NASA activities at the FY2008 rate through March 6, 2009. For more information, see CRS Report RS22818, *National Aeronautics and Space Administration: Overview, FY2009 Budget, and Issues for Congress.* 

Budget priorities throughout NASA are being driven by the Vision for Space Exploration. Announced by President Bush in January 2004 and endorsed by Congress in the NASA Authorization Act of 2005 (P.L. 109-155), the Vision includes returning the space shuttle to regular flight status following the 2003 *Columbia* disaster, but then retiring it by 2010; completing the International Space Station, but discontinuing its use by the United States by 2017; returning humans to the Moon by 2020; and then sending humans to Mars and "worlds beyond." To replace the space shuttle and carry astronauts to the Moon, NASA is developing a new spacecraft and a new launch vehicle, known as Orion and Ares I. Their first crewed flight is expected in March 2015.

In general, the FY2009 request includes increases for programs related to the Vision and decreases for other programs. The request for Constellation Systems, the program responsible for developing Orion and Ares I, is an increase of \$576 million or 23% relative to FY2008. The request for the International Space Station is an increase of \$247 million or 14%. Among programs not focused on human space exploration, the request for Science is a decrease of \$15 million or 0.3%, <sup>37</sup> and the request for Aeronautics is a decrease of \$65 million or 13%. The Senate committee recommended \$30 million more than the request for Constellation Systems, while the House committee recommended \$20 million less (but \$26 million more for Advanced Capabilities in the same account). Both committees recommended the requested amount for the International Space Station and more than the request for both Science and Aeronautics.

Within the nearly flat request for Science, increases for Earth Science and Planetary Science would be offset by a decrease for Astrophysics. The request for Earth Science would fund two new missions recommended by the National Research Council in its decadal survey,<sup>38</sup> while the request for Planetary Science would initiate a new program in lunar robotic science. In Astrophysics, two programs have been of particular congressional interest: the NASA/DOE Joint Dark Energy Mission (JDEM) and the Space Interferometer Mission (SIM). The request includes funds for JDEM, as directed by Congress in the FY2008 explanatory statement,<sup>39</sup> but not for SIM. NASA explains that a new exoplanet exploration initiative could include a smaller, medium-class version of SIM, as recommended by the FY2008 Senate report.<sup>40</sup> The House and Senate committees both recommended more than the request for each of the four Science programs. Among their recommended increases were additions to cover cost growth in the Glory, Mars Science Laboratory, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> After adjusting for transfers. See notes to **Table 12**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> National Research Council, Earth Science and Applications from Space: National Imperatives for the Next Decade and Beyond, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Congressional Record, December 17, 2007, pp. H15820 and H15923.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> S.Rept. 110-124, p. 110.

#### **CRS-37**

James Webb Space Telescope missions and to fund decadal survey missions in Earth Science. (CRS Contact: Daniel Morgan.)

Table 12. NASA R&D

(\$ in millions)

|                                   | FY2008 <sup>a</sup> | FY2009<br>Request | FY2009<br>H.Cte. | FY2009<br>S.Cte. | FY2009<br>Auth. |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Science <sup>b</sup>              | \$4,456             | \$4,442           | \$4,518          | \$4,523          | \$4,932         |
| Earth Science                     | 1,280               | 1,368             | 1,448            | 1,440            | 1,518           |
| Planetary Science                 | 1,248               | 1,334             | 1,411            | 1,411            | 1,483           |
| Astrophysics                      | 1,338               | 1,162             | 1,181            | 1,184            | 1,290           |
| Heliophysics <sup>b</sup>         | 591                 | 577               | 618              | 633              | 641             |
| Adjustment <sup>c</sup>           | _                   | _                 | -140             | -145             | _               |
| Aeronautics                       | 512                 | 446               | 515              | 500              | 853             |
| Exploration                       | 3,143               | 3,500             | 3,506            | 3,530            | 4,886           |
| Constellation Systems             | 2,472               | 3,048             | 3,028            | 3,078            | 4,148           |
| Advanced Capabilities             | 671                 | 452               | 478              | 452              | 738             |
| International Space Station       | 1,813               | 2,060             | 2,060            | 2,060            | n/a             |
| Subtotal R&D                      | 9,924               | 10,449            | 10,599           | 10,613           | n/a             |
| Other NASA Programs <sup>d</sup>  | 4,142               | 3,866             | 3,925            | 3,880            | n/a             |
| Cross-Agency Support <sup>e</sup> | 3,243               | 3,300             | 3,245            | 3,320            | 3,300           |
| Associated with R&D               | 2,288               | 2,409             | 2,368            | 2,431            | n/a             |
| Associated with Other             | 955                 | 891               | 877              | 889              | n/a             |
| Total R&D                         | 12,212              | 12,857            | 12,967           | 13,044           | n/a             |
| Total NASA                        | 17,309              | 17,614            | 17,769           | 17,814           | 20,210          |

**Source:** NASA FY2009 congressional budget justification; draft House-reported bill and draft House report; S. 3182 and S.Rept. 110-397; and Sec. 101 of P.L. 110-422. Amounts not specified in P.L. 110-422 are shown as n/a (not available).

- a. Adjusted for accounting changes to be comparable with the FY2009 request.
- b. Reduced by \$250 million in FY2008 to adjust for the transfer of Near Earth Networks and Deep Space Mission Systems from Heliophysics to Space and Flight Support in FY2009.
- c. Reflects reallocated funds carried over from FY2008.
- d. Space Shuttle, Space and Flight Support (increased in FY2008 as in note b), Education, and Inspector General.
- e. Allocation between R&D and non-R&D is estimated by CRS in proportion to the underlying program amounts in order to allow calculation of a total for R&D. The Cross-Agency Support account consists mostly of indirect costs for other programs assessed in proportion to their direct costs.

#### **Department of Agriculture (USDA)**

The FY2009 request for research and education activities in the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) was \$2.280 billion, a 12.0% decrease (\$310.7 million) from the FY2008 estimate of \$2.591 billion (see **Table 13**). Agricultural Research Service (ARS) is USDA's in-house basic and applied research agency, and operates approximately 100 laboratories nationwide. laboratories focus on efficient food and fiber production, development of new products and uses for agricultural commodities, development of effective biocontrols for pest management, and support of USDA regulatory and technical assistance programs. Included in the total support for USDA in FY2009 is \$1.050 billion for ARS, \$117.2 million below the FY2008 estimate. In the ARS, the Administration proposes the reduction of \$41.0 million in funding add-ons designated by Congress for research at specific locations. Also, there is the proposed discontinuation and redirection of \$105.0 million in lower priority programs. The amounts are to be redirected to critical research priorities of the Administration that include livestock production, food safety, crop protection, and human nutrition. Included in the FY2009 request for ARS is \$13.2 million for buildings and facilities.

The Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CSREES) distributes funds to State Agricultural Experiment Stations, State Cooperative Extension Systems, land-grant universities, and other institutions and organizations that conduct agricultural research, education, and outreach. Included in these partnerships is funding for research at 1862 land-grant institutions, 1890 historically black colleges and universities, and 1994 tribal land-grant colleges. Funding is distributed to the states through competitive awards, statutory formula funding, and special grants. The FY2009 request provides \$994.1 million for CSREES, a decrease of \$189.7 million from the FY2008 estimate. The CSREES FY2009 budget includes the proposed elimination of \$144.0 million in Congressional add-ons and the reduction of \$88.0 million in lower priority programs. Funding for formula distribution in FY2009 to the state Agricultural Experiment Stations is \$273.2 million, approximately \$1.5 million below the FY2008 estimate. Support for the 1890 formula programs is \$38.3 million, \$2.8 million below the FY2008 level. One of the primary goals of the FY2009 CSREES budget is to expand competitive, peerreviewed allocation of research programs. The FY2009 budget request has proposed, as in previous years, to modify the Hatch formula program.<sup>41</sup> It would expand the multistate research programs share of Hatch funds from 25.0% to approximately 70.0%. The request would redirect 42.0% of Hatch funds to nationally, competitively awarded, multi-state/multi-institutional projects in the first year, with the balance of funds distributed over a four year period. In addition, the FY2009 request proposes allocating 67.0% of McIntire-Stennis funds for the creation of a competitively awarded multi-state research program. The extension programs are also proposed to be strengthened through competitively awarded grants. The programs are designed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Hatch Act Formula grants are provided for agricultural research to state agricultural experiment stations (SAES) in accordance with the act approved July 2, 1862 (7 U.S.C. 301 et seq.) — as amended through P.L. 107-293. SAESs are directed to support research projects that have relevance to the special needs of the respective states. SAESs are required to provide 100% in matching funds.

to be more responsive to critical national issues such as agricultural security, local and regional emergencies, zoonotic diseases, and pest risk management.

The FY2009 request proposes \$256.5 million for the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI), an increase of \$65.6 million over the FY2008 estimate. In addition to supporting fundamental and applied science in agriculture, USDA maintains that the AFRI makes a significant contribution to developing the next generation of agricultural scientists by providing graduate students with opportunities to work on research projects. A focus of these efforts is providing increased opportunities for minority and under-served communities in agricultural science. AFRI funding also will support projects directed at developing alternate methods of biological and chemical conversion of biomass, and research determining the impact of a renewable fuels industry on the economic and social dynamics of rural communities. The Administration has proposed support for initiatives in agricultural genomics, emerging issues in food and agricultural security, the ecology and economics of biological invasions, and plant biotechnology. Research is proposed that moves beyond water quality issues to extend to water availability, reuse, and conservation.

The FY2009 request for USDA provides \$82.1 million for the Economic Research Service (ERS), \$4.2 million above the FY2008 estimated level; and \$153.5 million for the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), approximately \$9.9 million below the FY2008 level. The budget includes support to improve research efforts in analyzing the impacts of bioenergy production, and to examine those concerns pertaining to feedstock storage, transportation networks, and the vagaries in commodity production. Funding for NASS will allow for the creation of a data series on key elements of bioenergy production. Research areas to explore include production and utilization of biomass materials; stocks and prices of distillers' grains; and current and proposed ethanol plants. Funding is provided in the NASS FY2009 request to fully fund the last year of the 2007 Census of Agriculture. Funding will be available also for data collection to measure energy use and production on farms.

On July 21, 2008, the Senate Committee on Appropriations reported S. 3289, the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriation Bill, FY2009 (S.Rept. 110-426). The bill would provide a total of \$2.543 billion for research and education activities in USDA, \$262.6 million above the Administrations's FY2009 request and \$48.1 million below the FY2008 estimate. S. 3289 would fund the ARS at \$1.165 billion and CSREES at \$1.150 billion. In addition, funding in the Senate-reported bill for the ERS and the NASS would be \$78.2 million and \$149.1 million, respectively.

On September 30, 2008, the President signed into law the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 110-329, H.R. 2638). The continuing resolution funds the USDA at the FY2008 level until passage of the regular appropriations bill or until March 6, 2009, whichever occurs first. (CRS Contact: Christine M. Matthews.)

Table 13. U.S. Department of Agriculture R&D

(\$ in millions)

|   | FY2008<br>Estimate | FY2009<br>Request <sup>a</sup> | FY2009<br>Senate<br>(S. Rept.110-<br>426) |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Agricultural Research Service (ARS)                   |                    |                                |   |
| Product Quality/Value Added                           | \$105.1            | \$97.6                         |   |
| Livestock Production                                  | 84.8               | 70.1                           |   |
| Crop Production                                       | 200.6              | 191.0                          |   |
| Food Safety   | 104.5              | 105.8                          |   |
| Livestock Protection                                  | 82.4               | 68.8                           |   |
| Crop Protection                                       | 196.0              | 188.7                          |   |
| Human Nutrition                                       | 85.3               | 79.5                           |   |
| Environmental Stewardship                             | 222.5              | 199.6                          |   |
| National Agricultural Library                         | 21.8               | 18.4                           |   |
| Repair and Maintenance                                | 17.5               | 17.5                           |   |
| Subtotal  | 1,120.6            | 1,037.0                        | 1,134.1 <sup>d</sup>                      |
| Buildings and Facilities                              | 46.8               | 13.2                           | 31.0                                      |
| Total, ARS  | 1,167.4            | 1,050.2                        | 1,165.1                                   |
| Cooperative State Research, Education, & Extens       | ion (CSREES        | S) Research                    | and Education                             |
| Hatch Act Formula                                     | 195.8              | 139.2                          | 205.6                                     |
| Cooperative Forestry Research                         | 24.8               | 19.5                           | 26.0                                      |
| Evans-Allen Formula (Payments to 1890                 | 41.1               | 38.3                           | 43.1                                      |
| Special Research Grants                               | 107.1              | 18.1                           | 50.7                                      |
| Agriculture & Food Research Initiative                | 190.9              | 256.5                          | 200.0                                     |
| Federal Administration                                | 42.2               | 10.7                           | 20.4                                      |
| Higher Education <sup>b</sup>                         | 47.8               | 41.6                           | 52.0                                      |
| Other Programs  | 18.6               | 11.4                           | 32.1                                      |
| Total, Cooperative Research. & Education <sup>c</sup> | 668.3              | 535.3                          | 629.9                                     |
| Extension Activities                                  |                    |                                |   |
| Smith-Lever Sections 3b&c                             | 274.7              | 273.2                          | 288.4                                     |
| Smith-Lever Sections 3d                               | 97.5               | 91.5                           | 97.6                                      |
| Renewable Resources Extension                         | 4.0                | 4.1                            | 4.0                                       |
| 1890 Colleges, Tuskegee, & West Virginia State        | 17.3               | 16.6                           | 37.6                                      |
| Other Extension Prog. & Admin.                        | 59.7               | 46.4                           | 36.7                                      |
| Total, Extension Activities                           | 453.2              | 431.8                          | 464.3                                     |
| Integrated Activities                                 | 55.9               | 20.1                           | 55.9                                      |
| Outreach for Disadvantaged Farmers                    | 6.4                | 6.9                            | $0.0^{e}$                                 |
| Total, CSREES <sup>c</sup>                            | 1,183.8            | 994.1                          | 1,150.1                                   |
| Economic Research Service                             | 77.3               | 82.1                           | 78.2                                      |
| National Agricultural Statistics Service              | 162.1              | 153.5                          | 149.1                                     |
| Total, Research, Education, and Economics             | 2,590.6            | 2,279.9                        | 2,542.5                                   |

**Note:** Research activities carried out in support of Homeland Security are reflected under the Food Safety, Livestock Protection, and Crop Protection program areas — FY2008, \$35.5 million; and FY2009, \$64.3 million.

- a. Funding levels are contained in U.S. Department of Agriculture FY2009 Budget Summary, documents internal to the agency, and S.Rept. 110-426.
- b. Higher education includes payments to 1994 institutions and 1890 Capacity Building Grants program, the Native American Institutions Endowment Fund, the Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions Education Grants, and others.
- c. Program totals may or may not include set-asides (non-add) or contingencies. The CSREES total includes support for Community Food Projects and the Organic Agriculture Research and Education Initiative.
- d. Specific allocations for individual programs and activities are not yet available.
- e. The Committee does not include funding for this program. The Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2009 provides \$15.0 million for this activity and repeals the authorization for appropriations.

## **Department of the Interior (DOI)**

President Bush has requested \$617 million for Department of the Interior (DOI) R&D in FY2009, an estimated decrease of 8.7% from FY2008 funding of \$676 million (see **Table 14**). The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) is the primary supporter of R&D within DOI, accounting for nearly 90% of the department's total R&D appropriations. President Bush has proposed \$546 million for USGS R&D in FY2009, a reduction of \$40.6 million (-6.9%) from the estimated FY2008 level. FY2009 R&D funding would decline in three of the four USGS research divisions: Geographic Research, Geological Resources, and Water Resources. FY2009 funding for the Biological Research Division would remain flat. Funding for a new USGS program, Global Change, was authorized by Congress in FY2008 and funded at \$7.4 million. The President's FY2009 budget proposes a 260.1% increase in funding for this program to \$26.6 million.

No final FY2009 appropriations legislation was enacted by the beginning of that fiscal year. On September 30, 2008, President Bush signed into law the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 110-329). Division A of this act provides continuing appropriations for FY2009 at their FY2008 levels to agencies not otherwise addressed in the act through March 6, 2009, or until the enactment into law of an appropriation for any project or activity provided for in the act, or the enactment into law of the applicable appropriations act for FY2009 without any provision for such project or activity, whichever occurs first. For the Department of the Interior, this provides \$671 million for R&D, an increase of \$43 million above the President's FY2009 request.

Previously, the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies have held hearings on agency budget requests, however scheduled full committee markups have been postponed and no bill to fund Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies for FY2009 has been introduced to date.

USGS Geographic Research efforts seek to describe and interpret America's landscape by mapping the nation's terrain, monitoring changes over time, and analyzing how and why these changes have occurred. The President's FY2009 budget for Geographic Research R&D proposes a \$5.6 million cut (-11.8%) to \$41.9 million from its estimated FY2008 level of \$47.5 million.

Funding for Geological Resources R&D in FY2009 would decrease by \$33.4 million (-15.2 percent) to \$185.5 million from its estimated FY2008 level of \$218.8 million. The Geological Resources Program assesses the availability and quality of the nation's energy and mineral resources. The Geological Resources Program researches, monitors, and assesses the landscape to understand geological processes to help distinguish natural change from those resulting from human activity. Within the earth sciences, the USGS plays a major role in important geological hazards research, including research on earthquakes and volcanoes. Enterprise Information conducts information science research to enhance the National Map and National Spatial Data infrastructure.

USGS Water Resources R&D is focused on water availability, water quality and flood hazards. The President's FY2009 budget for Water Resources R&D proposes a \$21.4 million cut (-16.7%) to \$106.7 million from its estimated FY2008 level of \$128.1 million.

USGS Biological Research efforts seek to generate and distribute scientific information that can assist in the conservation and management of the nation's biological resources. The President's FY2009 budget request for Biological Research R&D proposes a small increase of \$0.5 million (less than 1%) to \$180.3 million. The USGS Biological Research program serves as DOI's biological research arm, using the capabilities of 17 research centers and associated field stations, one technology center, and 40 cooperative research units that support research on fish, wildlife, and natural habitats. Major research initiatives are carried out by USGS scientists who collect scientific information through research, inventory, and monitoring investigations. These activities develop new methods and techniques to identify, observe, and manage fish and wildlife, including invasive species and their habitats. (CRS Contact: John Sargent.)

**Table 14. Department of the Interior R&D** (\$ in millions)

|                             | FY2008<br>estimate | FY2009<br>request |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Geographic Research         | 47                 | 42                |
| Geological Resources        | 219                | 185               |
| Water Resources             | 128                | 107               |
| Biological Research         | 180                | 180               |
| Global Change               | 7                  | 27                |
| Enterprise Information      | 5                  | 5                 |
| USGS total                  | 586                | 546               |
| Other agencies <sup>a</sup> | 84                 | 82                |
| Total <sup>b</sup>          | 671                | 628               |

**Source:** R&D estimates are from the Department of the Interior's FY2009 agency budget justification. [http://www.doi.gov/budget]

- Includes the Bureau of Reclamation, the Bureau of Land Management, the Minerals Management Service, and the National Park Service.
- b. Totals may not add due to rounding.

## **Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)**

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the regulatory agency responsible for carrying out a number of environmental pollution control laws, funds a broad portfolio of R&D activities to provide the necessary scientific tools and knowledge to support decisions relating to preventing, regulating, and abating environmental pollution. Beginning in FY2006, EPA has been funded within the "Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies" appropriations bill. 42 Most of EPA's scientific research activities are funded within the agency's Science and Technology (S&T) appropriations account. This account is funded by a "base" appropriation and a transfer from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (Superfund) account. These transferred funds are dedicated to research on more effective methods to clean up contaminated sites. The House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies held hearings on agency budget requests, however, scheduled full committee markups were postponed and no bills to fund Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies for FY2009 were introduced prior to the enactment of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act (P.L. 110-329).

P.L. 110-329 as enacted September 30, 2008, contained no specific provisions regarding EPA's programs and activities including the agency's R&D activities and programs. As per Section 101 in Division A of P.L. 110-329, until March 6, 2009, funds are appropriated "at a rate for operations as provided in the applicable appropriations Acts for fiscal year 2008 and under the authority and conditions provided in such Acts." For FY2008, Title II of Division F of the FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 110-161) appropriated \$7.46 billion for EPA, including \$785.8 million (including a transfer from the Superfund account) for the S&T account.

Including the transfer from the Superfund account, the President's original FY2009 budget request included \$789.9 million for the S&T account. On August 1, 2008, the President submitted a series of amendments<sup>43</sup> to the FY2009 budget request including a \$10.6 million increase within the EPA S&T account for homeland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> For information on funding for all EPA accounts and each of the other agencies funded in this bill see CRS Report RL34461, *Interior*, *Environment*, *and Related Agencies: FY2009 Appropriations*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> White House, Office of Management and Budget, *Estimate #7 — FY 2009 Budget Amendments: Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Education, Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, the Interior, Labor, and State, and the Environmental Protection Agency.* [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/amendments.htm]

security bioterrorism activities.<sup>44</sup> The amended request after the transfers from the Superfund account was \$800.5 million, a \$14.7 million increase (nearly 2%) above the FY2008 appropriation of \$785.8 million (see **Table 15**). The total amount requested for the S&T account represented 11% of the \$7.18 billion requested for EPA overall for FY2009.

Without adjusting for inflation, requested FY2009 funding for certain research activities would have been an increase relative to FY2008 appropriated levels, however, funding for many of the program areas within the S&T account would have remained relatively constant or declined. For example, the request included decreased funding for the "Climate Change Protection Program" and "Global Change Research," but an increase for "Air Toxics and Quality" programs. Overall funding for "Human Health and Ecosystem Research," including funding for the Science to Achieve Results (STAR) program, would have decreased based on the FY2009 request. However, within this category, funding for human health "Computational Toxicology Research" would have increased. The largest requested increase for FY2009 within the S&T account was for two EPA homeland security activities: Water Security Initiative, and Decontamination Research.<sup>45</sup> As revised by the President's August 1<sup>st</sup> budget request amendment, the combined \$62.0 million requested<sup>46</sup> for FY2009 was \$29.9 million above the FY2008 appropriation of \$32.1 million for these two activities; a 93% increase.<sup>47</sup>

Although the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) reports<sup>48</sup> historical and projected budget authority amounts for R&D at EPA (and other federal agencies), OMB documents do not describe how these amounts explicitly relate to the requested and appropriated funding amounts for the many specific EPA program activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> The August 1, 2008 White House amendment also included a \$24.2 million increase within EPA's Hazardous Substance Superfund appropriations account for homeland security bioterrorism activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Under the Bioterrorism Act of 2002, and Homeland Security Presidential Directives 7, 9 and 10, EPA is the lead federal agency for coordinating security of the Nation's water systems, and plays a role in developing early warning monitoring and decontamination capabilities associated with potential attacks using biological contaminants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Includes recommended increase per the August 1, 2008 White House amendments to the FY2009 budget request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> The FY2009 President's budget as amended included a total of \$84.5 million for Homeland Security activities within the S&T account; \$30.4 million above the FY2008 enacted appropriation of \$54.1 million.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) reports R&D budget authority amounts in its Analytical Perspectives accompanying the annual President's budget, but amounts for specific programs are not included. The R&D budget authority amounts reported by OMB are typically significantly less than amounts appropriated/requested for the S&T account, but the differences are not explicitly defined. For example, for EPA R&D OMB reported actual budget authority of \$606 million for FY2007, an estimated amount of \$557 million for FY2008, and \$550 million proposed for FY2009. See OMB, *Fiscal Year 2009 Budget of the United States: Analytical Perspectives - Cross Cutting Programs*. [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/fy2009/]

EPA's annual appropriations are requested, considered, and enacted according to eight statutory appropriations accounts, which were established by Congress during the FY1996 appropriations process. The Science and Technology (S&T) account incorporates elements of the former EPA Research and Development (R&D) account, as well as a portion of the former Salaries and Expenses, and Program Operations accounts, which had been in place until FY1996. Because of the differences in the scope of the activities included in these accounts, apt comparisons before and after FY1996 are difficult.

The activities funded within the Science and Technology (S&T) account include research conducted by universities, foundations, and other non-federal entities with grants awarded by EPA, and research conducted by the agency at its own laboratories and facilities. R&D at EPA headquarters and laboratories around the country, as well as external R&D, is managed primarily by EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD). A large portion of the S&T account funds EPA's R&D activities managed by ORD, including the agency's research laboratories and research grants. The account also provides funding for the agency's applied science and technology activities conducted through its program offices (e.g., the Office of Water). Many of the programs implemented by other offices within EPA have a research component, but the research is not necessarily the primary focus of the program.

The operation and administration of the agency's laboratories and facilities necessitate significant expenditures for rent, utilities, and security. Prior to FY2007, a significant portion of the funding for these expenses had been requested and appropriated within EPA's Environmental Programs and Management (EPM) appropriations account. In FY2007, and FY2008, increasing portions of funding for these expenses were requested and appropriated within the S&T account. This change affects comparisons of the S&T appropriations over time. Funding for these latter expenses represents approximately 10% of the total S&T account in the FY2009 request and the FY2008 appropriations, compared to less than 5% in the FY2007 appropriations.<sup>49</sup>

Some Members of Congress and an array of stakeholders have continually raised concerns about the adequacy of funding for scientific research at EPA. The adequacy of funding for EPA's scientific research activities has been part of a broader question about the adequacy of overall federal funding for a broad range of scientific research activities administered by multiple federal agencies. Some Members of Congress, scientists, and environmental organizations have expressed concern about the downward trend in federal resources for scientific research over time. The debate continues to center around the question of whether the regulatory actions of federal agencies are based on "sound science," and how scientific research is applied in developing federal policy. (CRS Contact: Robert Esworthy).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> For example, for research alone (net after operations and administration expenses), the FY2008 consolidated appropriations provided a \$6.4 million increase above the FY2008 request for the S&T account, but \$17.5 million less than the FY2007 appropriations (includes transfers from the Superfund account).

**Table 15. Environmental Protection Agency S&T Account**(\$ in millions)

| <b>Environmental Protection Agency</b>           | FY2008<br>Enacted | FY2009<br>Requested |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| Science and Technology Appropriations<br>Account |                   |                     |
| — Base Appropriations                            | \$760.1           | \$774.1             |
| — Transfer in from Superfund Account             | 25.7              | 26.4                |
| Science and Technology Total                     | 785.8             | 800.5               |
| — (Operations and Administration)                | (72.7)            | (74.9)              |
| Net Science and Technology                       | 713.1             | 725.6               |

**Source:** Prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS) using information provided by the House Appropriations Committee, and the July 31, 2008 White House amendments to the FY2009 budget request. Enacted amounts for FY2008 in the above table reflect a 1.56 % across-the-board rescission required in P.L. 110-161 for any discretionary appropriations in Division F Titles I through IV of the law (Division F Title IV § 437 of P.L. 110-161). Numbers may not add due to rounding.

#### **Department of Transportation (DOT)**

President Bush requested \$901 million for Department of Transportation (DOT) R&D in FY2009, an increase of approximately \$78 million (9.5%) from FY2008 funding of \$823 million (see **Table 16**). In addition to receiving R&D funds through the regular appropriations process, DOT also receives R&D funding from the Transportation Trust Fund through authorization legislation. For example, P.L. 109-59, the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act — A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), which became law in August 2005, set DOT surface transportation authorization levels for each fiscal year from FY2005 through FY2009, providing increased DOT R&D funding during this period.

No final FY2009 appropriations legislation was enacted by the beginning of that fiscal year. On September 30, 2008, President Bush signed into law the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (P.L. 110-329). Division A of this act provides continuing appropriations for FY2009 at their FY2008 levels through March 6, 2009, to agencies not otherwise addressed in the act, or until the enactment of an appropriation for any project or activity provided for in the act, or the enactment of the applicable appropriations act for FY2009 without any provision for such project or activity, whichever occurs first. For the Department of Transportation, this provides \$823 million for R&D, \$78 million less than the President's FY2009 request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Appropriators may add to or direct funds identified in authorization legislation.

The Senate Committee on Appropriations unanimously reported S. 3261, the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009, on July 10, 2008. Neither the bill nor the accompanying report (S.Rept. 110-418) provide sufficient detail to allow a complete analysis of the level of R&D funding provided to DOT. Where the bill provides detailed R&D information, it is provided in the agency funding discussions below. The American Association for the Advancement of Science has estimated DOT agency R&D funding under S. 3261; this data is included in **Table 16.** 

Previously, the House Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies marked-up an unnumbered draft on June 20, 2008. No details have been provided publicly in the form of a bill or report, though a related press release and a summary table of funding are available on the subcommittee's website.<sup>51</sup>

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) together account for more than 80 percent of DOT's R&D funding request. FHWA, FAA and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) account for all increases in the DOT FY2009 R&D budget request.

The President requested \$392.8 million in FY2009 for FHWA R&D, an increase of \$20.1 million (5.4%) above the FY2008 funding level of \$372.6 million. FHWA's research programs include the investigation of ways to improve safety, reduce congestion, improve mobility, reduce lifecycle construction and maintenance costs, improve the durability and longevity of highway pavements and structures, enhance the cost-effectiveness of highway infrastructure investments, and minimize negative impacts on the natural and human environment.

FHWA's FY2009 budget would provide \$166.9 million for R&D under the Surface Transportation Research, Development, and Deployment Program, an increase of \$23 million (16%) above the FY2008 level of \$143.9 million, and \$51.3 million for R&D for the Intelligent Transportation Systems program, an increase of \$7.5 million (17%) above the FY2008 level of \$43.8 million. These increases are partially offset by decreases in R&D funds for State Planning and Research (down \$10.7 million, -6.4%) which would receive \$156.2 million in FY2009. S.Rept. 110-418 states that S. 3261 recommends FHWA transportation research at the level requested by the President.

The President requested \$335.0 million for Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) R&D, up \$64.2 million (23.2%) from the FY2008 level of \$270.7 million. The request includes \$171.0 million for Research, Engineering, and Development, \$161.5 million for the Air Traffic Organization (ATO), \$2.3 million for Safety and Operations, and \$125,000 for Commercial Space Transportation. The request includes an increase in R&D funding for FAA's Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) which is focused on addressing air traffic growth by increasing the nation's airspace capacity and efficiency and reducing emissions and noise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> See [http://appropriations.house.gov/Subcommittees/sub\_tranurb.shtml].

NextGen R&D funding under Research, Engineering, and Development increases from \$24.3 million in FY2008 to \$56.5 million in FY2009, up \$32.2 million (132.5%). An additional \$69.4 million is requested for NextGen R&D under ATO focused on systems development, demonstrations and infrastructure development. S.Rept. 110-418 reports that S. 3261 provides \$171 million for FAA's Research, Engineering, and Development (RE&D) activity, approximately equal to the President's request. FAA's RE&D activity accounts for approximately one-half of the agency's total overall R&D funding. Additional FAA R&D funding details are not available.

The President's FY2009 budget proposes \$16.8 million in R&D funding for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), up \$4.9 million over the FY2008 level of \$11.9 million. (CRS Contact: John Sargent.)

Table 16. Department of Transportation R&D

(\$ in millions)

| Department of Transportation    | FY2008 estimated | FY2009<br>request | FY2009<br>Senate <sup>b</sup> |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Federal Highway Administration  | 373              | 393               | 393                           |
| Federal Aviation Administration | 271              | 335               | 335                           |
| Other agencies <sup>a</sup>     | 179              | 174               | 185                           |
| Total                           | 823              | 901               | 912                           |

**Source:** R&D estimates are from unpublished OMB tables and DOT budget justifications.

- a. "Other agencies" includes National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Federal Railroad Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Research and Innovative Technology Administration, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, and the Office of the Secretary.
- b. Based on analysis by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS).