WikiLeaks Document Release

http://wikileaks.org/wiki/CRS-RS21297 February 2, 2009

Congressional Research Service

Report RS21297

Older Americans Act: Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program

Kirsten J. Colello, Domestic Social Policy Division

April 17, 2008

Abstract. The purpose of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program (LTCOP), established under Title VII of the Older Americans Act (OAA), is to investigate and resolve complaints made by, or on behalf of, older persons who are residents of residential longterm care facilities. There are 53 state LTCOPs operating in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and Puerto Rico. They are funded by two separate titles of the OAA, other federal sources, state funds, and other nonfederal funds.





Older Americans Act: Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program

Kirsten J. Colello Analyst in Gerontology Domestic Social Policy Division

Summary

The purpose of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program (LTCOP), established under Title VII of the Older Americans Act (OAA), is to investigate and resolve complaints made by, or on behalf of, older persons who are residents of residential longterm care facilities. There are 53 state LTCOPs operating in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and Puerto Rico. They are funded by two separate titles of the OAA, other federal sources, state funds, and other nonfederal funds. The program receives significant support from volunteers. In FY2006, about 1,301 paid staff and over 13,000 volunteers investigated over 285,000 resident complaints. In FY2006, resident care issues were the chief complaint in nursing homes, followed by residents' rights. Among residents in other long-term care facilities, the top complaint categories were residents' rights and quality of life. An evaluation conducted by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) in 1995 concluded that the program is understaffed and underfunded to carry out its broad and complex responsibilities. This report will be updated occasionally.

Background. The purpose of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program (LTCOP) is to respond to the needs of residents facing problems in long-term care facilities, including nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care homes, and other similar adult residential care settings. Ombudsmen are available to help all long-term care facility residents, not only those residents in facilities certified by Medicare and/or Medicaid. Created in 1972 as a Public Health Service (PHS) demonstration project in five states, authority for administering the ombudsman demonstration program was transferred to the Administration on Aging (AoA) within the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) in 1974. The results of the demonstration effort led to statutory authority under the Older Americans Act (OAA)¹ in 1978 (P.L. 95-478). In

¹ Congress in 1978 amended the OAA (P.L. 95-478) to include a requirement that each state develop a LTCOP in order to protect the health, safety, welfare, quality of care, and rights of the institutionalized residents in nursing facilities, board and care homes, assisted living facilities, (continued...)

1987, the program was given a separate authorization of appropriations (P.L. 100-175) and, in 1992, the program was incorporated into a new Title VII of the Act authorizing vulnerable elder rights protection activities (P.L. 102-375). Also in 1992, a provision was added to the OAA amendments requiring AoA to establish a permanent National Ombudsman Resource Center. The most recent amendments to the OAA in 2006 (P.L. 109-365) made no major changes to the program.

There are 53 state LTCOPs operating in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and Puerto Rico, and 569 local programs as of 2006.² The AoA's National Ombudsman Reporting System (NORS) compiles national statistics relating to ombudsman activities. This information includes number, status, and type of cases reported to state and local ombudsman programs; data on staff, volunteers, and funding; and, other ombudsman activities.

Function. The OAA requires state units on aging to establish an Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman. The functions of the state ombudsman programs are mandated by law and include identifying, investigating, and resolving resident complaints; protecting the legal rights of residents; advocating for systemic change; providing information and consultation to residents and their families; and publicizing issues of importance to residents. Complaints investigated by ombudsmen relate to actions, inactions, or decisions of long-term care providers or other agencies that adversely affect the health, safety, welfare, or rights of residents. Among its other responsibilities, the Office is to analyze and monitor federal, state, and local policies that affect residential long-term care facilities.

The law requires that a full-time ombudsman administer the program at the state level; local ombudsmen may be designated by the state and are considered to be representatives of the Office. According to AoA, most state ombudsman programs are located in state units on aging, but programs in 15 states and the District of Columbia³ are located in other types of organizational settings, such as non-profit organizations. Variations exist partly because the OAA gives each state leeway in determining many aspects of the ombudsman program. For example, states can decide (a) where ombudsman programs may be located organizationally within the state, (b) whether enabling legislation should be passed at the state level, and (c) whether additional funding

 $^{^{1}}$ (...continued)

and other similar facilities. For further information, see CRS Report RL31336, *Older Americans Act: Programs, Funding, and 2006 Reauthorization (P.L. 109-365)* by Carol O'Shaughnessy and Angela Napili.

² Guam's ombudsman program is for all elderly, not just those residing in long-term care facilities. In addition, ombudsman programs in 12 states are authorized or mandated under state law advocate on behalf of consumers who receive home and community-based care. For further information, see M. Miller, *Home Care Ombudsman Programs Status Report: 2007*, National Association of State Units on Aging, November 2007.

³ Based on CRS discussions with Sue Wheaton, Ombudsman Program Specialist, Administration on Aging, as of November 2006, programs in Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Kansas, Kentucky, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Maine, Oregon, Rhode Island, Virginia, Vermont, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming are either free-standing programs or located in private, non-profit agencies or a larger government ombudsman program.

will be made available through state and local sources.⁴ These differences mean that the structure, operation, and effectiveness of the ombudsman programs can vary from state to state.

Authorization and Funding. The OAA Amendments of 2006 (P.L. 109-365) reauthorized the ombudsman program for five years through FY2011. Ombudsman services are authorized under two separate titles of the OAA: Title III (Grants for States and Community Programs on Aging) and Title VII (Vulnerable Elder Rights Protection Activities). Title III authorizes grants to states for supportive services and senior centers which provide for a wide range of social services, including long-term care ombudsman services. Title VII has two separate authorizations for support of ombudsman activities: Chapter 2 (the long-term care ombudsman program) and Chapter 3 (the elder abuse prevention program).⁵

While the majority of federal funding for ombudsman activities comes from appropriations for Titles III and VII of the OAA, the program also receives substantial non-federal support. **Table 1** shows total support for ombudsman activities in FY2006. Total FY2006 funding for ombudsman activities from all sources combined (federal and non-federal) was \$77.8 million. Of that total, 33.5% came from Title III funds; 21.8% from Title VII funds; and 4.7% from other federal funds. In FY2006, nonfederal funding represented 40% of total support. Overall, the share of funding from non-federal sources has increased since FY1996 (from 36.7% in FY1996 to 40.0% in FY2006).

Total FY2006 funds (in millions)			\$77.8	100%
Federal funds	Total		\$46.6	60.0%
	Title III, OAA		\$26.1	33.5%
	Title VII, OAA	Chapter 2: ombudsman program	\$15.0	19.3%
		Chapter 3: elder abuse prevention	\$1.9	2.5%
	Other		\$3.7	4.7%
State funds		\$25.3	32.5%	
Local funds			\$5.9	7.5%

Table 1. Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program Funding, bySource, FY2006

Note: Data may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Source: AoA, 2006 National Ombudsman Reporting System Data Tables: Table A-9 LTC Ombudsman Program Funding.

⁴ For further information, see J. Harris-Wehling, J. Feasley, and C. Estes, eds., *Real People Real Problems: An Evaluation of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Programs of the Older American Act*, Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine (IOM), 1995.

⁵ Under Chapter 3, states may use funds to support the LTCOP if they choose.

Staffing. In FY2006, there were approximately 1,301 paid staff (full-time equivalents) in state LTCOPs, an increase of 34% since FY2000.⁶ Despite this increase, the program still relies heavily on volunteers to carry out program responsibilities. Nine out of every ten ombudsman staff serve as volunteers. In FY2006, there were 13,402 total volunteers; 9,183 of which were certified to investigate complaints. A nationwide study conducted by the National Long Term Care Ombudsman Center found that 45 state ombudsman programs have volunteer programs and 37 state programs reported having a certification process for their volunteers as of 1999.⁷

The 1995 IOM evaluation along with a study done by the Office of Inspector General (OIG) in DHHS (1991) acknowledged the importance of volunteers as a contributing factor to high complaint resolution rates in this program.⁸ However, the IOM evaluation advises that adequate methods for recruiting, training, and supervising volunteers are essential to maximum utilization of ombudsman program volunteers. State programs have different procedures for certification of volunteers, varying from required classroom training to tests for certification. Over two-thirds of volunteers (69%) were trained and certified to investigate complaints in FY2006, a 9% increase since FY2000.

In FY2006, ombudsmen reported just over 16,750 nursing facilities and more than 47,000 other residential long-term care facilities operating nationwide. Since FY2000 the total number of licensed facilities has increased by 5% from about 60,900 to more than 63,000 in FY2006.⁹ This increase is due to an increase in assisted living facilities, board and care homes, and other similar facilities, which more than offset the decrease in nursing homes over the past five years.

Due to the requirement that ombudsmen investigate and resolve complaints of all residents in residential long-term care facilities, the workload of staff and volunteers is substantial, as shown by the reported ratio of staff to facilities and beds. The nationwide ratio of paid ombudsman to facilities was one ombudsman to every 49 facilities in FY2006, a smaller ratio than reported in FY2000 (one ombudsman to every 62 facilities). Nationwide, there were a reported 2.8 million facility beds under the program's jurisdiction (just over 1.7 million nursing home beds and about 1.1 million beds in other long-term care facilities) in FY2006. The nationwide ratio of full-time paid ombudsman to facility beds was about one ombudsman per 2,200 beds, a smaller ratio than reported

⁶ For further information, see 2006 National Ombudsman Reporting System Data Tables, at [http://www.aoa.gov/prof/aoaprog/elder_rights/LTCombudsman/National_and_State_Data/20 06nors/2006tables.xls], visited April 11, 2008.

⁷ G. McInnes and A. Hedt, *Volunteers in the Long Term Care Ombudsman Program: Training, Certification and Liability Coverage*, Washington, DC: National Long Term Care Ombudsman Resource Center, December 1999.

⁸ For further information, see Office of Inspector General (OIG) Report OEI-02-90-02120, *Successful Ombudsman Programs*; OEI-02-90-02121, *Ombudsman Output Measures*; and, OEI-02-90-02122, *Effective Ombudsman Programs: Six Case Studies*.

⁹ The number of board and care and similar facilities includes only those licensed by the state as covered under the LTCOP. The number of unlicensed facilities is unknown, therefore, the actual number of these facilities may be higher. The number of nursing homes may be slightly higher than estimates by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), which only include nursing homes certified to participate in Medicare and/or Medicaid.

in FY2000 (one ombudsman per 2,800 beds). However, it is important to note that these ratios are nationwide, and each state has a unique ratio of paid ombudsman staff per facility bed.¹⁰ The 1995 IOM study recommended a standard staffing ratio of one paid full-time equivalent staff per 2,000 long-term care facility beds.

Despite the high number of facilities to be covered by each ombudsman, ombudsman staff and volunteers visited 83% of nursing homes on a regular basis (defined as at least quarterly) in FY2006. These visits were not in response to a complaint. The percentage of nursing homes visited regularly by ombudsman staff outweighs visits to other residential long-term care facilities. The proportion of regular visits to assisted living and other long-term care facilities was 43% in FY2006.

Training. State ombudsman programs are responsible for training new and existing staff. The OAA contains only basic requirements for training and stipulates that the AoA is to develop model standards for training long-term care ombudsman, both paid and unpaid volunteers. Furthermore, the law stipulates that the State Long Term Care Ombudsman is responsible for establishing procedures for training representatives of the local ombudsman program based on the AoA standards and that training is to be developed in consultation with representatives of citizen groups, long-term care providers, and ombudsmen. In the absence of specific federal training requirements and/or required training materials, many states have developed their own standards. Several states provide the training directly through an individual who is responsible for conducting all of the training while some states require local ombudsman programs to conduct training. State long-term care ombudsman programs have received assistance in developing training programs from the National Long Term Care Ombudsman Resource Center, operated by the National Citizen's Coalition for Nursing Home Reform.¹¹

Program Data and Resident Complaints. In FY2006, AoA data show that ombudsmen opened just over 190,000 new cases of resident complaints and closed more than 182,000 cases in all types of facilities. Between FY2000 and FY2006, the total number of cases closed increased by one-third (33%).

Since 1999, resident care issues have been the primary complaint category in nursing homes. Poor quality of care in nursing homes has been attributed to insufficient numbers of staff to care for residents. However, the relationship between staffing and quality of care is complex and includes a range of staffing-related issues such as wages and benefits, training, education, experience, and staff turnover.¹²

The top five resident complaints in nursing homes for FY2006 are (1) unheeded requests for assistance; (2) problems with discharge planning or eviction notification and

¹⁰ For further information, see 2006 National Ombudsman Reporting System Data Tables, at [http://www.aoa.gov/prof/aoaprog/elder_rights/LTCombudsman/National_and_State_Data/20 06nors/2006tables.xls], visited April 11, 2008.

¹¹ For further information on training materials to assist states, see the National Ombudsman Resource Center website at [http://www.ltcombudsman.org/].

¹² J. Schnelle et al., *Relationship of Nursing Home Staffing to Quality of Care*. Health Services Research, 39(2): 225-250, April 2004; R. Kane. *Commentary: Nursing Home Staffing — More Is Necessary but Not Necessarily Sufficient*, 39(2): 251-256, April 2004.

procedures; (3) lack of respect for residents by staff; (4) inadequate care plans that do not reflect residents' conditions or do not involve families; and (5) improper handling of residents that resulted in unexplained bruises or cuts. With the exception of (2), the other four complaints listed above have remained among the top five resident complaints in nursing homes since FY1999. Similarly, the top five resident complaints in other long-term care facilities have remained the same since FY1999 and are: (1) problems with medication administration or organization; (2) lack of quantity, quality, variety, and choice in food; (3) inadequate discharge or eviction notice or procedure; (4) poor equipment or building conditions; and (5) lack of respect for residents by staff. In FY2006, the top five complaints for each facility type accounted for one-fifth of all complaints for each facility type, respectively.

Program Evaluation. The most recent national evaluation of the ombudsman program, conducted in 1995 by the IOM, concluded that the program plays an important role in improving long-term care services, but is understaffed and underfunded to carry out its broad and complex responsibilities.¹³ In March 1999, DHHS's OIG recommended that AoA work with states to strengthen the program by: developing guidelines for a minimum level of program visibility that include criteria for the frequency and length of regular visits, as well as the ratio of ombudsman program staff to long-term care beds; further developing strategies for recruiting, training, and supervising more volunteers; and establishing ways in which ombudsman programs can enhance collaboration with the state nursing home survey and certification agencies, which are responsible for oversight of nursing home care quality.¹⁴

A 2000 study of state ombudsman programs reaffirmed the importance of several factors identified in the IOM evaluation as key to program effectiveness including sufficient funding, staff, and volunteers; autonomy of ombudsman program in organizational placement within the state; a supportive political or social environment; and strong interorganizational relationships.¹⁵ A study of local ombudsman programs conducted in two states, California and New York, in 2004, found wide variation both across and within each state's program in terms of program location (area agency on aging versus nonprofit organization) and the number of paid staff versus volunteers. Despite reporting that their program budgets were inadequate to support their mandated requirements, program coordinators in both states perceived their programs as effective, more so in the nursing home setting than in board and care facilities. Program coordinators in both states similarly identified staffing, resident care, and residents' rights as the most pressing issues.¹⁶

¹⁶ C. Estes, *Enhancing the Performance of Local Long Term Care Ombudsman in New York Sate and California: Chartbook*, University of California, San Francisco, 2006.

¹³ J. Harris-Wehling, J. Feasley, and C. Estes, eds., *Real People Real Problems: An Evaluation of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman Programs of the Older American Act*, Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine (IOM), 1995.

¹⁴ OIG Report OEI-02-98-00351, Long-Term Care Ombudsman Program: Overall Capacity.

¹⁵ C. Estes, et al. *State Long Term Care Ombudsman Programs: Factors Associated with Perceived Effectiveness*, The Gerontologist, vol. 44(1), pp.104-115, 2004.