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Nancy Burns, Donald R. Kinder, Steven J. Rosenstone, Virginia Sapiro, and the National Election Studies

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEY (ICPSR 3131)

SUMMARY: This study is part of a time-series collection of national surveys fielded continuously since 1952. The election studies are designed to present data on Americans' social backgrounds, enduring political predispositions, social and political values, perceptions and evaluations of groups and candidates, opinions on questions of public policy, and participation in political life. The 2000 National Election Study (NES) entailed both a pre-election interview and a post-election reinterview. A freshly drawn cross-section of the electorate was taken to yield 1,807 cases. Because the study includes a carefully designed mode experiment, the data represent two presidential studies in 2000, side by side. The core study preserves the past commitment to probability area sampling and face-to-face interviewing: 1,000 respondents were interviewed prior to the election and 694 were reinterviewed face-to-face after the election. Supporting the core study, random-digit dial sampling and telephone interviewing were used: 803 respondents were interviewed by phone prior to the election and 862 respondents were interviewed by phone after the election. As such, the experiment examines the differences between the two modes and provides a preview of what shifting to telephone interviewing will mean for the NES time-series. The content of the 2000 election study reflects its dual purpose as a traditional presidential election year time-series data collection and as a mode study. Many of the substantive themes included in the 2000 questionnaires are a continuation of past topics. Interest in politics and the election was examined through questions regarding interest in the political campaigns, concern about the outcome, attentiveness to the media's coverage of the campaign, and information about politics. Respondents' knowledge of candidates and the political parties was ascertained through questions evaluating the presidential candidates and placement of presidential candidates on various issue dimensions, knowledge of the religious background of the major presidential and vice-presidential candidates, partisanship and evaluations of the political parties, and knowledge of and evaluation of United States House and United States Senate candidates. Respondents were also asked about their political participation (in the November general election and in other forms of electoral campaign activity), and their choice for president, the United States House, and the United States Senate, as well as second choice for president. Respondents were also asked about the
Clinton legacy and knowledge of George Bush Sr. and his administration. Additional items focused on respondents' perceptions of personal and national economic well-being, their positions on social welfare issues (including government health insurance, federal budget priorities, and the role of government in the provision of jobs and a good standard of living), campaign finance and preference for divided government, social issues (including gun control, abortion, women's roles, the rights of homosexuals, the death penalty, school vouchers, environmental policy), racial and ethnic stereotypes, affirmative action, attitudes toward immigrants, and views on the nation's most important problem. Respondents' values and political predispositions (including moral traditionalism, political efficacy, egalitarianism, humanitarianism, individualism, and trust in government), views on fairness in elections, satisfaction with democracy, and the value of voting were also assessed. Other questions addressed social altruism, social connectedness, feeling thermometers on a wide range of political figures and political groups, affinity with various social groups, and detailed demographic information and measures of religious affiliation and religiosity. Several new concepts were also addressed in the 2000 study and include measures of social trust derived from perceptions of the trustworthiness of neighbors and coworkers. Voter turnout was also investigated with expanded response categories to help respondents be more accurate in determining whether they did in fact vote in November 2000. The concept of political knowledge was also addressed with new instructions encouraging respondents to take their best guesses when answering the political knowledge questions. The 2000 study also incorporated a social network battery, based entirely on the perceptions of survey respondents regarding the characteristics of their identified discussants. Two brief but reliable measures of cognitive style, the need for cognition and the need to evaluate, were also included in this study. Another important feature of the 2000 NES is the mode experiment, which supplies the ability to compare interviews taken in person with interviews taken over the phone. This carefully designed mode experiment, driven by theoretical and practical interest, allows scholars to test the consequences of survey mode on data quality and reliability. The 2000 study incorporates numerous experiments that examine the effects of mode: 7-point scales and branching, response order, "don't know" filters, and social desirability. Demographic variables include gender, race, employment status, and length of residency in the community.

UNIVERSE: All United States citizens of voting age on or before Election Day (November 6, 2000), residing in housing units other than on military reservations in the 48 coterminous states.
SAMPLING: National multistage area probability sample.

NOTE: (1) The SAS transport file was created using the SAS CPORT procedure. (2) The codebook and data collection instrument are provided as Portable Document Format (PDF) files. The PDF file format was developed by Adobe Systems Incorporated and can be accessed using PDF reader software, such as the Adobe Acrobat Reader. Information on how to obtain a copy of the Acrobat Reader is provided on the ICPSR Web site.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 1 data file + machine-readable documentation (PDF) + SAS data definition statements + SPSS data definition statements + data collection instrument (PDF)

EXTENT OF PROCESSING: CONCHK.PR/ MDATA.PR/ UNDOCCHK.PR/ REFORM.DOC

DATA FORMAT: Logical Record Length with SAS and SPSS data definition statements with SAS transport file and SPSS export file

File Structure: rectangular
Cases: 1,807
Variables: 1,904
Record Length: 3,093
Records Per Case: 1
Processing Note

The following errata have been reported by the NES staff.

1) The following note should be added to the codebook documentation for V000087a (alph county name): NOTE: This variable was constructed for use in Post-Election question C3 (variable V001243) which attempts to ascertain registration location. It should be noted that, although county identification in this variable for face-to-face interviews meets normal standards for accuracy, V000087a data for RDD cases were created by imputing area code, central office codes and similar listed numbers. This method of imputation has a certain amount of error, and for RDD cases V000087a should not be considered as satisfying NES standards. It is the intention of NES staff to provide accurate data for county identification of RDD data in an additional forthcoming variable.

2) 14 cases coded by the interviewer "7. Other (specify)" in V000876 were examined and should have been coded otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE ID</th>
<th>V000001</th>
<th>V000876</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td>= 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>= 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>= 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>= 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>739</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>808</td>
<td>= 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>891</td>
<td>= 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>915</td>
<td>= 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>924</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>= 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1038</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1056</td>
<td>= 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1357</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1565</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1594</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1636</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1729</td>
<td>= 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1786</td>
<td>= 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2000 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEYS

During the early spring of 2001 the National Election Studies staff prepared a comprehensive version of the 2000 American National Election Study. The number of cases in this file, 1807, includes all respondents from the 2000 Pre- and Post-Election surveys. 1881 variables are produced by default using the data definition files provided with the raw data for creation of SAS and SPSS system files.

The codebook contains documentation for variables beginning with identification variables which provide the NES VERSION NUMBER (version number of the data file), NES DATASET NUMBER (number of this dataset), and ICPSR study number.
The 2000 American National Election Study was conducted by the Center for Political Studies of the Institute for Social Research, under the general direction of Nancy Burns and Donald R. Kinder. Ashley Grosse was the Director of Studies for the National Election Studies and oversaw the study from early planning stages through release of the 2000 data collection. She was assisted by Patricia Luevano, Laurie Pierson, and Chuck Kierpie. This is the twenty-sixth in a series of studies of American national elections produced by the Center for Political Studies and the Survey Research Center, and it is the twelfth traditional time-series study to be conducted under the auspices of National Science Foundation Grants (SBR-9317631, SES-9209410, SES-9009379, SES-880361, SES-8341310, SES-8207580, SOC77-08885 and SES 9707741) providing long-term support for the National Election Studies. Since 1978, the National Election Studies have been designed by a national Board of Overseers, the members of which meet several times a year to plan content and administration of the major study components. Board members during the planning of the 2000 National Election Study included Larry Bartels, Chair (Princeton University), Nancy Burns, ex officio (University of Michigan), Charles Franklin (University of Wisconsin), John Mark Hansen (University of Chicago), Robert Huckfeldt, (Indiana University), Donald Kinder, ex officio (University of Michigan), Jon A. Krosnick, (Ohio State University), Arthur Lupia (University of California, San Diego), Wendy Rahn (University of Minnesota), Virginia Sapiro (University of Wisconsin), W. Phillips Shively (University of Minnesota), Laura Stoker (University of California, Berkeley). As part of the study planning process, a special planning committee was appointed, a pilot study conducted, and stimulus letters sent to members of the scholarly community soliciting input on study plans. Board member Robert Huckfeldt chaired the Planning Committee for the 2000 National Election Study which included from the Board: Larry Bartels (Princeton University), Nancy Burns (University of Michigan), Charles Franklin, (University of Wisconsin), John Mark Hansen (University of Chicago), Donald Kinder (University of Michigan), Jon A. Krosnick (Ohio State University), Arthur Lupia (University of California, San Diego), Virginia Sapiro (University of Wisconsin), Laura Stoker (University of California, Berkeley), and five other scholars from the community, Steven Ansolabehere (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Janet Box-Steppensmeier (Ohio State University), Clem Brooks (Indiana University), Darren Davis (Michigan State University), and Donald Green (Yale University), and Ashley Grosse (NES Director of Studies).

Two pilot studies were carried out prior to the 2000 Election Study for the purpose of developing new instrumentation and the methodological investigation of concepts previously measured in NES surveys. The 1998 Pilot Study, one of the most innovative pilots to date, was the first pilot to be fielded during an election season. The timing allowed NES to test instrumentation that is exclusively related to the electoral context. The pilot study focused on the three high-profile gubernatorial contests in California, Illinois, and Georgia. Several new measures that were piloted include: media usage; social context and communication; need for evaluation; group mobilization; public mood; tone of campaign; awareness of campaign issues; and whether R owns stock. Also, a significant portion of the interview was devoted to the methodological investigation of concepts previously measured in NES surveys. Among those were: campaign participation; media use; feeling thermometers as measures of awareness; vote intention; and political knowledge.
In March of 2000, NES fielded a Special Topic Pilot Study, funded by the Russell Sage Foundation, to develop and refine a series of new measures on social trust. Additionally, new items were tested in the areas of trust in elections, civic engagement, need for cognition, and social desirability. New measures were developed for domain specific trust involving neighbors and co-workers. Results indicated that these new measures gauge trust reliably, that neighborhood and workplace trust are related to but distinct from general social trust, and they contribute independently to participation in politics. These items were included in the 2000 Election Study.

Data from the 1998 and 2000 NES pilot studies are available through the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (respectively, ICPSR 2693 and ICPSR 2936).

Results from these pilot studies were used by the Planning Committee in formulating recommendations to the Board about study content for the 2000 Pre- and Post-Election Survey. Copies of the Pilot Study Reports are available on the NES Website (www.umich.edu/~nes), or may be obtained by contacting the NES project staff.

NES Project Staff
Center for Political Studies
Room 4026
Institute for Social Research
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor MI 48106-1248
nes@umich.edu
http://www.umich.edu/~nes
STUDY DESIGN

The 2000 National Election Study entailed both a pre-election interview and a post-election re-interview. A freshly drawn cross section of the electorate was taken to yield 1807 cases. The 65 minute pre election survey went into the field September 5th, nine weeks before election day. The 65 minute post election study, unique to the time series in that no president elect was named for several days, went into the field the day after the election, November 8th, and remained in the field until December 18th.

Because of the study's most innovative feature, a carefully designed mode experiment, the data represent two presidential studies in 2000, side by side. The core study preserves our past commitment to probability area sampling and face to face interviewing: 1006 respondents interviewed prior to the election and 694 were re-interviewed face to face after the election. Supporting the core study, we used the efficiencies of RDD sampling and telephone interviewing: 801 respondents were interviewed by phone prior to the election and 862 respondents were interviewed by phone after the election. As such, the experiment will define sharply the differences between the two modes and allow us to learn what a shift to telephone interviewing will mean for the NES time-series. Further details of the administration of the surveys are given in "Study Administration," below.

STUDY CONTENT

Substantive themes

The content for the 2000 Election Study reflects its double duty, both as the traditional presidential election year time-series data collection and as a mode study. Substantive themes represented in the 2000 questionnaires include:

* interest in the political campaigns; concern about the outcome; and attentiveness to the media's coverage of the campaign
* information about politics
* evaluation of the presidential candidates and placement of presidential candidates on various issue dimensions
* knowledge of the religious background of the major Presidential and Vice-Presidential candidates
* partisanship and evaluations of the political parties
* vote choice for President, the U.S. House, and the U.S. Senate, including second choice for President
* political participation: turnout in the November general election; other forms of electoral campaign activity
* personal and national economic well-being
* positions on social welfare issues including: government health insurance; federal budget priorities, the budget surplus, and the role of the government in the provision of jobs and good standard of living
* position on campaign finance and preference for divided government
* positions on social issues including: gun control, abortion; women's roles; the rights of homosexuals; the death penalty; school vouchers; environmental policy
* Clinton legacy
knowledge of George Bush Sr. and his previous administration
* fairness in elections; satisfaction with democracy; and the value of voting
* racial and ethnic stereotypes; opinions on affirmative action; attitudes towards immigrants
* opinions about the nation's most important problem
* values and predispositions: moral traditionalism; political efficacy; egalitarianism; humanitarianism individualism; trust in government
* social altruism and social connectedness
* feeling thermometers on a wide range of political figures and political groups; affinity with various social groups
* social networks, shared information and expertise on politics
* detailed demographic information and measures of religious affiliation and religiosity.

Several new concepts addressed in the 2000 study:

SOCIAL TRUST: Over the last decade, research on social trust has exploded. In order to allow NES to contribute to this research effort, we developed a series of new measures that approach the problem from a new angle. With supplementary funding from the Russell Sage Foundation, we developed measures addressed not to the trustworthiness of people in general, but to the trustworthiness of neighbors and co-workers. Our 2000 Special Topic Pilot Study showed that the new measures gauge trust reliably, that neighborhood and workplace trust are related to but distinct from general social trust, and that they contribute independently to participation in politics. We included these measures in the 2000 NES, again, with support from the Russell Sage Foundation. Together with an expanded set of questions on participation in civic life that are also part of the 2000 study, we expect to see a wide range of exciting new investigations on trust and participation.

VOTER TURNOUT: A particularly vexing problem for NES has been over-reporting of voter turnout. Over the years we have sponsored a series of investigations trying out possible remedies, without much success. But now it seems that we may have a solution in hand, based on the source monitoring theory of recall. The notion here is that some people may remember having voted sometime in the past but confuse the source of that memory, accidentally misassigning it to the most recent election, when it actually derives from a prior election. We are therefore implementing a new item, with expanded response categories to help respondents be more accurate in determining whether they did in fact vote in November of 2000.

POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE: The 2000 study also sees a slight change in the way political knowledge is measured. In the past, we have encouraged respondents to say they "don't know" the answer to our information questions, partly to avoid embarrassment. But research shows that this differentially encourages "don't know" responses from some people who may actually know the correct answer but lack the confidence to say so. As a consequence, the standard way of putting these questions may underestimate levels of knowledge. In the 2000 study we are therefore encouraging respondents to take their best guesses when answering the political knowledge questions.

SOCIAL NETWORKS: The reality of citizenship is that individuals seldom go it alone when they engage in political activities. Preferences, choices, and levels of engagement are contingent on the location of individuals within particular social settings. The 2000 study incorporates a social network battery. The battery is based entirely on the perceptions of survey respondents regarding the characteristics of their identified discussants.
COGNITIVE STYLE: The 2000 NES includes two brief but reliable measures of cognitive style: need for cognition and need to evaluate. The first differentiates among people in the care they give to thinking through problems; the second differentiates among people in their tendency to evaluate objects as good or bad. Both are associated with extensive literatures in psychology, which led to their audition in the 1998 NES Pilot Study. Because of their success there in clarifying turnout, knowledge about politics, voter decision-making, and more, they were added to the 2000 NES.

SURVEY MODE: Perhaps the most important single feature of the 2000 NES is a mode experiment, which supplies the ability to compare interviews taken in person (as we've taken them for the past fifty years) with interviews taken over the phone. This carefully designed mode experiment, driven by theoretical and practical interest, allows scholars to test the consequences of survey mode on data quality and reliability. Moreover, it allows the community to assess the impact of what such a change in mode would mean for the NES times series. The 2000 study incorporates numerous experiments to look at the effects of mode on: 7 pt. scales and branching, response order, don't know filters, and social desirability.

Congressional Ballot Cards and Incumbent Bias

In 2000, NES redesigned the Congressional ballot card used in face to face interviewing in an attempt to combat overreport for incumbents. The ballot redesign was based on the research of Box-Steffensmeier, Jacobson, and Grant, (later published in POQ, 2000). Moreover, the change in ballot form was intended to eliminate the measurement error in vote report that has concerned numerous scholars (Wright 1993; Gow and Eubank 1984; Jacobson and Rivers 1993; and Jackson and Carsey 2001). Based on three experiments during the 1996 elections - the Ohio Union Study, the National Black Election Study, and the Texas Post Election Study, NES concluded that a modification to the 1982 style ballot was in order.

The new ballot cards are intended to give respondents two cues in recalling their vote - party identification and name of candidate. Based on the findings of Box-Steffensmeier et al., party is the predominant cue in the revised ballot. To randomly distribute that cue, each respondent had two ballots printed for the interview - one with the Republican listed first, and one with the Democrat listed first. Based on a randomly generated number, interviewers were instructed via CAPI to show the respondent the gold or the blue card. Examples of the redesigned ballot cards are available on the 2000 Election Study Page: http://www.umich.edu/~nes/studyres/nes2000/nes2000.htm.

In another effort to combat incumbent bias, the vote report question was placed earlier in the interview than in previous studies to avoid any possible contamination from thermometers, which ask R to rate their member of Congress.

Features of a CAI questionnaire

Using the capabilities of computer-assisted interviewing (CAI) in the 2000 NES enabled the introduction of several features that are not feasible using a paper-and-pencil questionnaire. The most significant of these for users of this data are: randomization within batteries or sequences of questions; application of half-sampling to some questions; and random order
of presentation of blocks of questions. Randomization within batteries refers to presenting, in a randomly determined order, a series of questions about the same objects (or people). An example would be the questions about the respondent's likes and dislikes of the four main Presidential candidates where the names of Gore, Bush, Buchanan, and Nader were inserted randomly as the first, second, third or fourth person to be asked about in this series. Randomization of names/objects in this way avoids ordering effects that might be obtained if, for example, the candidates were always asked about in the same order in every series of questions where a parallel question is asked about each of the three. Questions where randomization of order within a series was in force are clearly identified in the codebook. Randomization variables, which allow the user to identify the order of presentation, are provided for all instances of randomized presentation. A few questions, primarily open-ended questions, were half-sampled, so that a randomly selected half of respondents were asked the question. Finally, an order experiment, where a sequence of closed-ended questions was asked early in the interview for a random half of respondents and late in the interview for the other half, was included as part of the mode comparison experiment described below. For both of these features, the relevant codebook entries contain explanatory notes. All random selections were programmed into the computer application of the questionnaire and occurred automatically and independently of other circumstances of the interview. CAI eliminates the preparation of a paper and pencil version which would previously have been published in the codebook.

Candidate information (names, gender and candidate codes) were "pre-loaded" into the application to be used during the interview. The pre-loaded information is included in the released data. However, since paper candidate lists are no longer utilized as field materials, there is no "Candidate List" appended to this codebook, although the term 'Candidate List' continues to be used in the codebook as a reference to the candidate information available to the interviewer (CAPI preload).

STUDY ADMINISTRATION: MODE EXPERIMENT

NES election studies are traditionally based on personal, face to face interviewing rather than telephone interviewing in order to preserve the quality of sampling and survey response. Given questions that have been raised within the research community about the relatively high expense of face-to-face interviewing compared with the more widely used telephone mode, the NES Board of Overseers authorized a series of efforts to investigate possibilities for maximizing the use of telephone interviewing. The 1996 and 1998 election studies included smaller mode experiments to test the consequences of mode on survey quality and reliability. The design and administration of the mode experiment in 2000 was guided by the work of a blue ribbon committee and the commission of two reports (available at http://www.umich.edu/~nes/) comparing face to face with telephone surveys. The issues included sample coverage, non-response, item non-response, social desirability bias, and satisficing. Several experiments were designed in the 2000 NES to gather more evidence on those effects. Those experiments are labeled in the question tags by the letter "E".
Question wording experiments for mode effects

In assessing possible mode effects, the NES Board of Overseers along with the 2000 Planning committee implemented a number of experiments to analyze response order effects, satisficing, and other possible fatigue effects of phone interviewing.

The experiments, placed almost exclusively in the pre-election survey are: G6, G7, G8, G9, G10, H1, H2, H4, H11, H12, L3, L6, M4, P1, and K2 in the post-election survey. Question tags identify experimental questions with the letter "E". The table below specifies the type of experiment, concept and question number, and the altered wording.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal/Conservative - G6, G7, G8, G9, G10</td>
<td>Branching vs. scale format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much Do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative or extremely conservative? Do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate or haven't you thought much about this? Strong or not strong?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic - H1</td>
<td>Response order effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse ...worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Conditions - H2</td>
<td>Response order effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...or gotten easier for people to find enough work ...or gotten harder for people to find enough work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Expectations - H4</td>
<td>Response order effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...to get better, stay about the same, or get worse ...to get worse, stay about the same, or get better</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Positions on Imports - H11</td>
<td>Don't know effects by mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this? ...Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolationism - H12</td>
<td>Agree/Disagree format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...Do you agree or disagree with this statement ...stay at home or try to solve problems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Govt v. Private Health Care - L3</td>
<td>Response order effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some people feel that there should be a govt insurance plan....suppose these people are at one end of the scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Affirmative Action - L6

Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program? Should companies that have discriminated ... or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

Tradeoff: Environment v. Jobs - M4

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much?

Women's Rights - P1

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much? Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Political Knowledge - K2

The first name is Trent Lott. What job or political office does he now hold? [DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
The first name is Trent Lott. What job or political office does he now hold? [PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?]

Telephone wording

Because the questions asked by NES over the last fifty years have been administered in person, the question text, that we are careful not to alter, reflects the context of that traditional face to face interview. To understand what such a change in mode would mean to the time series we implemented the RDD study with a questionnaire that reflected the necessary changes in mode. The overlap between those questions is approximately 75%. Where questions were to be read differently, question tags are identified with the letter "T".

Pre-election study: administration

Interviewing for the pre-election survey began on September 5, 2000 and concluded on November 6, 2000. A total of 1807 interviews were conducted prior to the election - 1006 face to face and 801 by telephone. The average length of interview was 68.1 minutes - 70.5 minutes in face to face interviews and 65.1 minutes in telephone interviews. The overall response rate was 61.2% - 64.8 for the face to face interviewing and 57.2 for the telephone interviewing.

In an effort to improve response rates, respondents received a pre-notification packet by two day mail, which included a brochure on the study, and a "Monte Blanc" style pen with the University of Michigan seal, and a letter notifying them we would be contacting them and would offer them payment for their time - 20 dollars. Toward the end of the study, NES staff became concerned that the production goals would not be met by election day. This concern motivated a number of interventions: refusal conversion training for interviewers having difficulty, refusal conversion packets mailed by two day mail, and interviewer incentives, and increased respondent incentives. Interviewers were given ten dollars for every interview
conducted after 10/26/01, and respondent incentives were increased from $20 to $40. To take account of those changes, variable V000139a identifies those cases where interviewers received an incentive per completed case, and variable V00016 identifies those cases where R received the increased incentive.

Post-election study: administration

In an effort to cut rising costs while in the field, two segment areas of the face to face sample were randomly selected to receive post interviews by telephone. By randomly selecting forty-seven segments for telephone post interviews, 200 cases were removed from the strict mode experiment.

Respondents again received a prenotification letter. Respondents were informed that they would receive $20 dollars as payment for their time. Incentives were not increased for those who had received $40 in the pre-election.

Interviewing began on November 8, 2000 and concluded on December 18, 2000. A total of 1555 interviews were conducted after the election - 693 face to face and 862 by telephone. The average length of interview was 63.7 minutes - 66.6 minutes in face to face interviews and 61.4 minutes in telephone interviews. The overall response rate was 86% - 86.1 face to face, and 85.8%.

The day after the election, it remained unclear who would be President and issues of fairness were increasingly being raised. To take advantage of this historical moment NES promptly included additional content on the fairness of the election, the importance of one's vote, and whether R was satisfied with democracy.

Evaluation of problems in study implementation

Two implementation problems arose in the post-election field randomization problem. The first involves randomization and the second involves the mode treatment. On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the seed used to generate randomization in the instrument application was not properly assigned within the CAPI program. Consequently, interviews conducted prior to the correction of this error (or, for interviews started before and completed after correction of this error, portions of interviews) did not have randomization functioning for interview logic. Cases conducted without randomization in the logic were administered as if only 1 choice were available at each point where logic was intended to make a random selection among two or more choices: most of these cases have an identical choice made at each point where randomization was to have been effected. The Form description variables V000127a and V000127b and the randomization variables documented in V001752-V001810 describe the Post randomizations affected.

The second problem involves the 200 FTF Pre cases randomly selected to be switched to Phone administration in the Post (see above "Post-election study: assignment to telephone mode"). Post interviews were completed for 168 of these cases. Among these 168 Post interviews, 5 were mistakenly administered by interviewers face-to-face instead of by phone. These 5 cases are flagged in the Post administration variable describing mode (V000126) as code 7; note that in 3 of these 5 cases, the IWR actually identified the case as Phone at the start of the interview (although it was being administered face-to-face), and telephone logic was followed by the CAPI
survey instrument as the interview was conducted: telephone versions of questions were produced for the interviewer to administer. In the 4th case, the interviewer identified the case at the start of the interview as a face-to-face interview, and FTF logic was used.

RESPONSE RATES

The final result codes for the face to face and telephone sample were used to calculate the two response rates below. The pre-election face to face response rate (the ratio of completed interviews to the total number of potential respondents) for the study was 64.8%. The pre-election telephone response rate was 57.2%. The overall re-interview response rate in the post election interviewing was 86% The response rate in the face to face mode was 86.1% and for telephone it was 85.8%.

2000 Election Study: Response Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>completed interviews</th>
<th>response rate</th>
<th>cooperation rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Face to Face</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>64.8%</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telephone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>85.8%**</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-election</td>
<td>1807</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>82.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-election</td>
<td>1555</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td>96.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The field and study staff implemented a number of strategies to bolster response rates, including respondent incentives, interviewer incentives, carefully written appeals to respondents sent express mail, special non-response training for interviewers, and extensive refusal conversion attempts. Most of these strategies were implemented during the pre-election study. The post-election study, which occurred during a unique time for the country, was marked by the willingness of our respondents to be re-interviewed. The overall refusal rate (the proportion of all cases in which a respondent refuses to do an interview to the total eligible respondents contacted) for the post election study was 4%.

**The 200 cases from the face to face sample that were assigned for telephone interviewing in the post had a response rate of 84.5% The response rate for all the cases minus the 200 "reassigned mode" cases is 86.3%.
STUDY POPULATION

The study population for the 2000 Pre- and Post-Election Study is defined to include all United States citizens of voting age on or before the 2000 Election Day. Eligible citizens must have resided in housing units in the forty-eight coterminous states. This definition excludes persons living in Alaska or Hawaii and requires eligible persons to have been both a United States citizen and eighteen years of age on or before the 7th of November 2000.

DUAL FRAME SAMPLE DESIGN

The 2000 NES is a dual frame sample with both an area sample and an RDD component. The RDD frame provides coverage of telephone households while the area sample provides full coverage of all U.S. households including those without telephones. Each of these sample designs will be described in the following sections. The 2000 NES data set contains 1006 area sample cases and 801 telephone sample cases.

FTF SAMPLE DESIGN - MULTI-STAGE AREA PROBABILITY

The area sample is based on a multi-stage area probability sample selected from the Survey Research Center's (SRC) 1990 National Sample design. Identification of the 2000 NES sample respondents was conducted using a four stage sampling process--a primary stage sampling of U.S. Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) or New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs) and non-MSA counties, followed by a second stage sampling of area segments, a third stage sampling of housing units within sampled area segments and concluding with the random selection of a single respondent from selected housing units. A detailed documentation of the 1990 SRC National Sample, from which the 2000 NES sample was drawn, is provided in the SRC publication titled 1990 SRC National Sample: Design and Development.

The 2000 NES sample design called for an entirely new cross-section sample to be drawn from the 1990 SRC National Sample; no panel component was included in 2000. The 1990 SRC National Sample is a multi-stage area probability sample. The 2000 NES sample was drawn from both the 1990 SRC National Sample strata (MSA PSUs) and the 1980 SRC National Sample strata (non-MSA PSUs). The modification of the 1990 design in which the 1980 strata definitions were used for the non-MSA counties fully represents the non-MSA domain of the 48 contiguous states. This modification was made for cost and interviewing efficiency reasons related to the availability of interviewers in these areas who work on some of SRC's large panel studies. The following sections will focus on the 1990 SRC National Sample design.

Selection Stages for the 2000 NES FTF Sample: 1990 SRC National Sample

Primary Stage Selection

The selection of primary stage sampling units (PSUs) for the 1990 SRC National Sample, which depending on the sample stratum are either MSAs, New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs), single counties, independent cities, county equivalents or groupings of small counties, is based on the
county-level 1990 Census Reports of Population and Housing (1). Primary stage units were assigned to 108 explicit strata based on MSA/NECMA or non-MSA/NECMA status, PSU size, Census Region and geographic location within region. Twenty-eight of the 108 strata contain only a single self-representing PSU, each of which is included with certainty in the primary stage of sample selection. The remaining 80 nonself-representing strata contain more than one PSU. From each of these nonself-representing strata, one PSU was sampled with probability proportionate to its size (PPS) measured in 1990 occupied housing units.

The full 1990 SRC National Sample of 108 primary stage selections was designed to be optimal for surveys roughly three to five times the size of the 2000 NES. To permit the flexibility needed for optimal design of smaller survey samples, the primary stage of the SRC National Sample can be readily partitioned into smaller subsamples of PSUs such as a one-half sample or a three-quarter sample partition. Each of the partitions represents a stratified subselection from the full 108 PSU design. The 2000 NES sample of 44 PSUs is a stratified random subsample of PSUs from the "A" half-sample partition of the 1990 SRC National Sample. Because of the small size of this NES sample, both the number of PSUs (selected primary areas) and the secondary stage units (area segments) in the National half-sample were reduced by subselection for the 2000 NES sample design. The 18 self-representing areas in the 1990 SRC National half-sample were all retained for the 2000 NES sample (8 of these remained self-representing in the 2000 NES and 10 represent not only their own MSA but their "pair" among the twenty additional self-representing primary areas of the full 1990 SRC National Sample design). Nineteen of the 26 nonself-representing half-sample MSAs and 7 of the 14 half-sample non-MSAs were retained by the subselection for the 2000 NES sample (or 26 of 40 NSR PSUs).

Table 1 identifies the 44 PSUs in the 2000 NES sample by MSA status and Region and also indicates the number of area segments used for the 2000 NES sample (see next section on second stage selection).

Table 1: PSU Name and Number of Area Segments in the 2000 NES Sample Showing 1990 SRC National-Sample Stratum and MSA Status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Sample PSU</th>
<th>National Sample PSU Name</th>
<th># of 2000 NES Segments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eight Largest Self-representing PSUs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 New York, NY MSA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190 Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA MSA130</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 Chicago, IL MSA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 Philadelphia, PA-NJ MSA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131 Detroit, MI MSA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Washington DC-MD-VA MSA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 Boston, MA NECMA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171 Dallas and Ft Worth, TX CMSA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ten Remaining Largest MSA PSUs
-------------------------------
170  Houston, TX MSA                             6
191  Seattle-Tacoma, WA CMSA                     6
141  St Louis, MO-IL MSA                         6
152  Baltimore, MD MSA                           6
122  Nassau-Suffolk, NY MSA                      6
144  Anaheim-Santa Ana, CA MSA                   6
132  Cleveland, OH MSA                           6
154  Miami-Hialeah, FL MSA                       5(2)
181  Denver, CO MSA                              6
196  San Francisco, CA MSA                       6

Nonself-representing MSAs: Northeast
-------------------------------------
211  New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA       6
213  Manchester-Nashua NH NECMA                  6
220  Buffalo, NY MSA                             6
226  Atlantic City, NJ MSA                       6

Nonself-representing MSAs: Midwest
-----------------------------------
230  Milwaukee, WI MSA                           6
434  Saginaw, MI MSA                             6
239  Steubenville-Wheeling, OH (3)               6
240  Des Moines, IA MSA                          6

Nonself-representing MSAs: South
---------------------------------
250  Richmond-Petersburg, VA MSA                 6
255  Columbus, GA-AL MSA                         6
257  Jacksonville, FL MSA                        6
258  Lakeland, FL MSA                            6
260  Knoxville TN MSA                            6
262  Birmingham, AL MSA                         6
273  Waco, TX MSA                                6
274  McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX MSA            6

Nonself-representing MSAs: West
--------------------------------
280  Salt Lake City-Ogden etc, UT MSA            6
292  Fresno, CA MSA                              6
293  Eugene-Springfield, OR MSA                  6

Nonself-representing Non-MSAs: Northeast
-----------------------------------------
464  Gardner, MA                                 6

Nonself-representing Non-MSAs: Midwest
----------------------------------------
466  Decatur County, IN                          6
470  Mower County, MN                            6
Nonself-representing Non-MSAs:  South
-------------------------------------
474              DeSoto Parish, LA                           6
477              Chicot County, AR                           6
480              Montgomery County, VA                       6

Nonself-representing Non-MSAs:  West
------------------------------------
482              ElDorado County, CA                         6

Total Number of Segments       279

(1) Office of Management and Budget (OMB) June 1990 definitions of MSAs, NECMAs, counties, parishes, independent cities. These, of course, differ in some respects from the primary stage unit (PSU) definitions used in the 1980 SRC National Sample so will not be strictly comparable to the 1996 NES Panel PSUs--particularly in New England where MSAs were used as PSUs in the 1980 National Sample and NECMAs were used as PSUs in the 1990 National Sample.

(2) One selected segment (023) was in a former trailer park that had no housing units to be listed in January 1996. All had been destroyed in 1992 by hurricane Andrew and there were no plans to rebuild.

(3) In the 1990 SRC National Sample, U.S. Census Region boundaries were maintained for purposes of stratification at the Primary State of selection. Since some MSA definitions cross Region boundaries, such MSAs were split and the MSA counties recombined in ways that maintained the Region boundary. This PSU actually contains the Ohio counties from both the Steubenville-Wierton, OH-WV MSA (Jefferson County, OH) and the Wheeling, WV-OH MSA (Belmont County, OH) and although it is made up of MSA counties -- it is not a cohesive MSA by OMB 1990 definition.

Second Stage Selection Area Segments

The second stage of the 1990 SRC National Sample, used for the 2000 NES sample, was selected directly from computerized files that were extracted for the selected PSUs from the 1990 U.S. Census summary file series STF1-B. These files (on CD Rom) contain the 1990 Census total population and housing unit (HU) data at the census block level. The designated second-stage sampling units (SSUs), termed "area segments", are comprised of census blocks in both the metropolitan (MSA) primary areas and in the rural areas of non-MSA primary areas. Each SSU block or block combination was assigned a measure of size equal to the total 1990 occupied housing unit count for the area. SSU block(s) were assigned a minimum measure of 72 1990 total HUs per MSA SSU and a minimum measure of 48 total HUs per non-MSA SSU. Second stage sampling of area segments was performed with probabilities proportionate to the assigned measures of size (PPS).

For the 2000 NES sample the number of area segments used in each PSU varies. In the self-representing (SR) PSUs the number of area segments varies in proportion to the size of the primary stage unit, from a high of 12 area segments in the self-representing New York and Los Angeles MSA PSUs, to a low of 6 area segments in the smaller self-representing PSUs such as Cleveland,
Miami-Hialeah or Nassau-Suffolk MSAs. All nonself-representing (NSR) PSUs were represented by 6 area segments each. A total of 279 NES area segments were selected as shown in Table 1.

Third Stage Selection Housing Units

For each area segment selected in the second sampling stage, a listing had been made of all housing units located within the physical boundaries of the segment. For segments with a very large number of expected housing units, all housing units in a subselected part of the segment were listed. The final equal probability sample of housing units for the 2000 NES sample was systematically selected from the housing unit listings for the sampled area segments.

The 2000 NES sample design was selected from the 1990 SRC National Sample to yield an equal probability sample of 2269 listed housing units. This total included 1972 housing units for the main sample and three reserve replicates of 99 cases each. Table 2 below shows the assumptions that were used to determine the number of sample housing units. The overall probability of selection for 2000 NES cross-section sample of households was f=0.00002116 or 0.2116 in 10,000. The equal probability sample of households was achieved for the 2000 NES sample by using the standard multi-stage sampling technique of setting the sampling rate for selecting housing units within area segments to be inversely proportional to the PPS probabilities used to select the PSU and area segment (Kish, 1965).

Fourth Stage Selection - Respondent Selection

Within each sampled 2000 NES occupied housing unit, the SRC interviewer prepared a complete listing of all eligible household members. Using an objective procedure described by Kish (1949) a single respondent was then selected at random to be interviewed. Regardless of circumstances, no substitutions were permitted for the designated respondent.

>> AREA SAMPLE DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND OUTCOMES

The 2000 National Election Study sought a total of 1000 in-person interviews. It was estimated that this would require a NES sample draw of 1972 housing units. This assumed an occupancy/growth rate of 0.83, an eligibility rate of 0.94 and a response rate of 0.65. These assumptions were based on the 1998 NES field experience. The overall 2000 NES area sample design specifications, assumptions and outcomes are set out in Table 2, below. A sample of 2269 listed housing units was actually selected for the 2000 NES study. This allowed for three reserve replicates of 99 cases each. There was no panel component in 2000.

A comparison of the 2000 NES sample outcome figures to the design specifications and assumptions in Table 2 shows that the actual occupancy, eligibility, and response rates were very close to the expected rates. The actual response rate for the Post-Election Telephone sample was 0.86, which was slightly higher than the assumed rate of 0.85.
Table 2: 2000 NES Area Sample Pre and Post-Election Design Specifications and Assumptions Compared to Sample Outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Interviews</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Rate</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible Sample Households</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>805 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility Rate</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Households</td>
<td>1634</td>
<td>1639</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy/growth Rate</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sample Lines</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(4) Initial sample lines (FTF and Phone) are different from the Pre-Election completed interviews because of the switch in mode for randomly selected sample cases.

>> 2000 NES RDD (RANDOM DIGIT DIAL)SAMPLE

The RDD telephone component of the 2000 NES is a stratified equal probability sample of telephone numbers. The sample is not clustered. The telephone numbers were selected from a commercial listed one hundred series sampling frame consisting of every possible phone number that can be generated by appending the 2-digit numbers 00 - 99 to the set of hundred banks that have at least two listed household telephone numbers. Hundred banks are the first eight digits of a phone number - area code, exchange, and the next two digits. Each hundred bank defines a set of 100 possible phone numbers. Directory listings are used to define the set of listed hundred series. However both listed and unlisted telephone numbers can be selected from the sampling frame. A small amount of noncoverage of telephone numbers results from household numbers that are in hundred banks with 0 or 1 listed residential numbers. These telephone households as well as non-telephone households are covered by the area sample component.

An initial sample of 8500 telephone numbers was selected from the listed frame for the coterminous 48 states. These numbers were pre-screened by the vendor to remove most business and non-working phone numbers. After
pre-screening, 5760 or 67.8% of the 8500 telephone numbers were returned as potentially working residential numbers. The potentially working phone numbers were matched against a file of directory listings to append address information so that Congressional Districts could be assigned. Before sample selection, the telephone numbers were stratified by the competitiveness of the Congressional race (5 levels), whether or not the race was open, and by Census Division. A half sample was systematically selected from the stratified file. An initial sample of 2349 cases was selected from the random half sample and the remaining telephone numbers were assigned to 5 reserve replicates of 106-107 numbers each. The reserve replicates were available for use in case the working rate or response rate were lower than expected.

>> 2000 NES RDD SAMPLE DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS, SPECIFICATIONS AND OUTCOMES

The 2000 National Election Study sought a total of 861 telephone interviews. It was estimated that this would require a NES sample draw of 2349 telephone numbers assuming a working rate (after pre-screening) of 0.65, an eligibility rate of 0.94, and a response rate of 0.60. The eligibility rate was based on the 1998 NES experience. Working rate and response rate assumptions were based on the Survey Research Center's recent experience with RDD samples. The overall 2000 NES RDD sample design specifications, assumptions and outcomes are set out in Table 3, below. A comparison of the 2000 NES RDD sample design specifications and assumptions to the outcome figures in Table 3 indicates that, although the actual eligibility rate was higher than assumed, both the working rate and response rates were lower than specified in the sample design assumptions. This resulted in fewer interviews being taken in the Pre-Election study. The actual response rate for the Post-Election telephone sample was 0.86, which was higher than the assumed rate of 0.75.

Table 3: 2000 NES Telephone Sample Design Specifications and Assumptions Compared to Sample Outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completed Interviews</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Rate</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible Sample Households</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>1002 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility Rate</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Households</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(5) Initial sample lines (FTF and Phone) are different from the Pre-Election completed interviews because of the switch in mode for randomly selected sample cases.

>> 2000 NES POST-ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE OUTCOMES

Of the 1807 respondents interviewed in the Pre-Election Study, 1555 completed Post-Election interviews for an overall response rate of 0.86. FTF interviews were attempted with 805 of the 1006 persons interviewed FTF in the Pre-Election study and 693 FTF interviews were obtained for a FTF response rate of 0.86. Approximately 200 FTF cases were transferred to telephone interviewing for the Post-Election study in order to reduce field costs. This was accomplished through a systematic random sample of approximately 20 percent of the area segments. Telephone interviews were attempted with 1002 (201 FTF in the Pre-Election study and 801 Telephone in Pre-Election study) respondents in the Post-Election study. 862 telephone interviews were obtained for a response rate of 0.86.

>> 2000 NES DATA - WEIGHTED ANALYSIS

The 2000 NES data set includes a person-level analysis weight, which incorporates sampling, nonresponse and post-stratification factors. Analysts interested in developing their own nonresponse or stratification adjustment factors must request access to the necessary sample control data from the NES Board.

>> 2000 NES ANALYSIS WEIGHTS - CONSTRUCTION

Household Selection Weight Component
-------------------------------------

The joint household selection weight is the same for both the RDD and the area sample. This weight is an inflation factor equal to 34195.298. It is equal to the inverse of the joint probability of selection, which is the sum of the RDD and the area sample probabilities minus their product. It was not possible from the data available to reliably identify the area sample respondents who did not have telephone service. The 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates that 5.5% of U.S. households do not have telephone service. The household selection weight component therefore slightly underestimates respondents who live in households that cannot be reached through the RDD sample frame.

Person-Level Sample Selection Weight Component
-----------------------------------------------

The dual frame sample design for the 2000 NES results in a probability sample of U.S. households. Within sample households a single adult respondent is chosen at random to be interviewed. Since the number of eligible adults varies from one household to another, the random selection of a single adult
introduces inequality into respondents' selection probabilities. In analysis, a respondent selection weight should be used to compensate for these unequal selection probabilities. The person-level selection weight is the product of the joint household selection weight and the within household selection weight. The within household selection weight is equal to the number of eligible persons in the household and is capped at 3. The use of the respondent selection weight is strongly encouraged, despite past evaluations that have shown these weights to have little significant impact on the values of NES estimates of descriptive statistics.

Nonresponse Adjusted Selection Weight
-------------------------------------

The base weight equals the product of the joint selection weight and the household level nonresponse adjustment factors. Nonresponse adjustment factors were constructed at the household level separately for the area sample and the RDD sample. Nonresponse adjustment cells for the 2000 NES sample were formed by crossing MSA status by the four Census regions (Northeast, Midwest, South, and West). A nonresponse adjustment factor equal to the inverse of the response rate in each cell was applied to the interview cases. Tables 4 and 5 show the response rates and nonresponse adjustment factors for the area and RDD samples.

Table 4. Computation of Nonresponse Adjustment Weights -- 2000 NES Area Sample.
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSU Type</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
<th>Response Rate (%)</th>
<th>Nonresponse Adjustment Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSAs</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>55.28</td>
<td>1.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>62.86</td>
<td>1.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>61.87</td>
<td>1.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>67.82</td>
<td>1.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non MSAs</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>61.54</td>
<td>1.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>65.71</td>
<td>1.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>79.55</td>
<td>1.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>1.200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Computation of Nonresponse Adjustment Weights -- 2000 NES RDD Sample.
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSU Type</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
<th>Response Rate (%)</th>
<th>Nonresponse Adjustment Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSAs</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>43.94</td>
<td>2.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>62.08</td>
<td>1.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>58.72</td>
<td>1.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>53.56</td>
<td>1.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non MSAs</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>67.90</td>
<td>1.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>62.70</td>
<td>1.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>67.86</td>
<td>1.474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-stratification factor

--------------------------

The 2000 NES weights are post-stratified to 2000 CPS March Supplement proportions for six (6) ages by four (4) education categories. Table 6 shows the weighted estimates and proportions for the 24 cells for the 2000 CPS and the 2000 NES. The post-stratification adjustment is computed by dividing the CPS weighted total by the 2000 NES total weighted by the nonresponse adjusted selection weight. The final two columns show the NES weighted totals using the final post-stratified analysis weight and the resulting percents, which match the CPS percents.

Final Analysis Weights

----------------------

The final analysis weight (FINAL_WT) is the product of the household level non-response adjustment factor, the number of eligible persons, and a person-level post-stratification factor. The final analysis weight for the 2000 NES sample (FINAL_WT) is scaled to sum to 1807, the total number of respondents. This weight is trimmed at the 1st and 99th percentiles and then re-scaled to match the 2000 CPS proportions for the 24 age by education cells.

Post-Election Attrition Weight

-----------------------------

The 1555 Post-Election cases were post-stratified to 2000 CPS March Supplement proportions for six (6) ages by four (4) education categories (the same categories used for post-stratifying the Pre-Election cases). The post-stratification compensates for differential non-response by age group and education level. Response rates for the Post-Election Study ranged from a high of 100 percent for persons 70 or older with a college degree or higher to a low of 76 percent for persons age 30 - 39 who did not graduate from high school. The panel attrition weight for the Post-Election Study, POST_WT, is the product of the Pre-Election FINAL_WT and the post-stratification factor formed by dividing the CPS proportion by the weighted NES proportion for each of the 24 age by education cells. The weight is scaled to sum to the number of cases, 1555.

Table 6: 2000 NES Sample Weight: Post-stratification Factors.

==============================================================================
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>2000 CPS Est in 000s</th>
<th>2000 CPS %</th>
<th>Prelim 2000</th>
<th>Post-strat wtd</th>
<th>NES wtd</th>
<th>Final NES wtd</th>
<th>Final wtd centered %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>&lt;High School Graduation</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6,411.4 3.438</td>
<td>2,490.3 2.574</td>
<td>62.08</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduate</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12,223.7 6.555</td>
<td>9,628.2 1.270</td>
<td>118.53</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
==============================================================================
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Average Income</th>
<th>Median Income</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Mean 2019</th>
<th>Standard Deviation 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>&lt;High School Graduation</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3,242.8</td>
<td>1,780.1</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>31.48</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High School Graduation</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>12,543.8</td>
<td>10,873.1</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>121.56</td>
<td>6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>10,759.0</td>
<td>11,727.6</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>104.32</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College Graduate</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>10,786.4</td>
<td>14,122.3</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>104.36</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>&lt;High School Graduation</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3,478.8</td>
<td>2,277.5</td>
<td>1.527</td>
<td>33.74</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High School Graduation</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>13,087.2</td>
<td>9,899.0</td>
<td>1.322</td>
<td>126.84</td>
<td>7.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>11,548.5</td>
<td>13,551.0</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>111.85</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College Graduate</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>11,327.1</td>
<td>14,505.2</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>109.74</td>
<td>6.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>&lt;High School Graduation</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3,300.1</td>
<td>2,192.9</td>
<td>1.505</td>
<td>32.04</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Graduate</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>9,364.1</td>
<td>9,558.1</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>90.70</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>7,449.2</td>
<td>10,185.6</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>72.12</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College Graduate</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>7,984.6</td>
<td>11,542.5</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>77.40</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>&lt;High School Graduation</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4,136.4</td>
<td>3,429.9</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>40.20</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High School Graduate</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7,201.9</td>
<td>6,060.7</td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td>69.77</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3,886.6</td>
<td>4,280.8</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>37.58</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
College Graduate 49 3,880.8 2.081 4,688.9 0.828 37.53 2.08
70 + <High School 58 7,298.9 3.914 5,033.8 1.450 70.63 3.91
Graduation
High School Graduate 73 7,994.7 4.287 6,327.7 1.263 77.51 4.29
Some College 48 4,073.3 2.184 3,811.1 1.069 39.41 2.18
College 46 3,303.4 1.771 4,071.8 0.811 32.07 1.77
Totals 1807 186,470.0 100.0 180,100.0 100.0

(6) Because U.S. citizenship is required for NES eligibility, the CPS counts used for stratification include only U.S. citizens.

>> 2000 NES PROCEDURES FOR SAMPLING ERROR ESTIMATION

The 2000 NES sample design is based on a stratified multi-stage area probability sample of United States households. Although smaller in scale, the NES sample design is very similar in its basic structure to the multi-stage designs used for major federal survey programs such as the Health Interview Survey (HIS) or the Current Population Survey (CPS). The survey literature refers to the NES, HIS and CPS samples as complex designs, a loosely-used term meant to denote the fact that the sample incorporates special design features such as stratification, clustering and differential selection probabilities (i.e., weighting) that analysts must consider in computing sampling errors for sample estimates of descriptive statistics and model parameters. This section of the 2000 NES sample design description focuses on sampling error estimation and construction of confidence intervals for survey estimates of descriptive statistics such as means, proportions, ratios, and coefficients for linear and logistic linear regression models.

Standard analysis software systems such as SAS and SPSS assume simple random sampling (SRS) or equivalently independence of observations in computing standard errors for sample estimates. In general, the SRS assumption results in underestimation of variances of survey estimates of descriptive statistics and model parameters. Confidence intervals based on computed variances that assume independence of observations will be biased (generally too narrow) and design-based inferences will be affected accordingly.

Sampling Error Computation Methods and Programs

Over the past 50 years, advances in survey sampling theory have guided the development of a number of methods for correctly estimating variances from complex sample data sets. A number of sampling error programs which implement these complex sample variance estimation methods are available to NES data analysts. The two most common approaches to the estimation of sampling error for complex sample data are through the use of a Taylor Series Linearization of the estimator (and corresponding approximation to its
variance) or through the use of resampling variance estimation procedures such as Balanced Repeated Replication (BRR) or Jackknife Repeated Replication (JRR). New Bootstrap methods for variance estimation can also be included among the resampling approaches. See Rao and Wu (1988).

1. Taylor series linearization method:

When survey data are collected using a complex sample design with unequal size clusters, most statistics of interest will not be simple linear functions of the observed data. The linearization approach applies Taylor's method to derive an approximate form of the estimator that is linear in statistics for which variances and covariances can be directly and easily estimated (Woodruff, 1971). SUDAAN and Stata are two commercially available statistical software packages that include procedures that apply the Taylor series method to estimation and inference for complex sample data.

SUDAAN (Shah et al., 1996) is a commercially available software system developed and marketed by the Research Triangle Institute of Research Triangle Park, North Carolina (USA). SUDAAN was developed as a stand-alone software system with capabilities for the more important methods for descriptive and multivariate analysis of survey data, including: estimation and inference for means, proportions and rates (PROC DESCRIPT and PROC RATIO); contingency table analysis (PROC CROSSTAB); linear regression (PROC REGRESS); logistic regression (PROC LOGISTIC); log-linear models (PROC CATAN); and survival analysis (PROC SURVIVAL). SUDAAN V7.0 and earlier versions were designed to read directly from ASCII and SAS system data sets. The latest versions of SUDAAN permit procedures to be called directly from the SAS system. Information on SUDAAN is available at the following web site address: http://www.rti.org.

Stata (StataCorp, 1997) is a more recent commercial entry to the available software for analysis of complex sample survey data and has a growing body of research users. Stata includes special versions of its standard analysis routines that are designed for the analysis of complex sample survey data. Special survey analysis programs are available for descriptive estimation of means (SVYMEAN), ratios (SVYRATIO), proportions (SVYTOT) and population totals (SVYTOTAL). Stata programs for multivariate analysis of survey data currently include linear regression (SVYREG), logistic regression (SVYLOGIT) and probit regression (SVYPROBT). Information on the Stata analysis software system can be found on the Web at: http://www.stata.com.

2. Resampling methods:

BRR, JRR and the bootstrap comprise a second class of nonparametric methods for conducting estimation and inference from complex sample data. As suggested by the generic label for this class of methods, BRR, JRR and the bootstrap utilize replicated subsampling of the sample database to develop sampling variance estimates for linear and nonlinear statistics. WesVar PC (Brick et al., 1996) is a publicly available software system for personal computers that employs replicated variance estimation methods to conduct the more common types of statistical analysis of complex sample survey data. WesVar PC was developed by Westat, Inc. and is distributed along with documentation free of charge to researchers from Westat's Web site: http://www.westat.com/wesvarpc/. WesVar PC includes a Windows-based application generator that enables the analyst to select the form of data input (SAS data file, SPSS for Windows data base, dBase file, ASCII data set) and the computation method (BRR or JRR methods). Analysis programs contained
in WesVar PC provide the capability for basic descriptive (means, proportions, totals, cross tabulations) and regression (linear, logistic) analysis of complex sample survey data. WestVar Complex Samples 3.0 is the latest version of WestVar PC that is licensed and distributed by SPSS. Information on the latest developments can be obtained at http://www.spss.com.

These new and updated software packages include an expanded set of user friendly, well-documented analysis procedures. Difficulties with sample design specification, data preparation, and data input in the earlier generations of survey analysis software created a barrier to use by analysts who were not survey design specialists. The new software enables the user to input data and output results in a variety of common formats, and the latest versions accommodate direct input of data files from the major analysis software systems. Readers who are interested in a more detailed comparison of these and other survey analysis software alternatives are referred to Cohen (1997).

Sampling Error Computation Models
----------------------------------

Regardless of whether linearization or a resampling approach is used, estimation of variances for complex sample survey estimates requires the specification of a sampling error computation model. NES data analysts who are interested in performing sampling error computations should be aware that the estimation programs identified in the preceding section assume a specific sampling error computation model and will require special sampling error codes. Individual records in the analysis data set must be assigned sampling error codes that identify to the programs the complex structure of the sample (stratification, clustering) and are compatible with the computation algorithms of the various programs. To facilitate the computation of sampling error for statistics based on 2000 NES data, design-specific sampling error codes will be routinely included in all public-use versions of the data set. Although minor recoding may be required to conform to the input requirements of the individual programs, the sampling error codes that are provided should enable analysts to conduct either Taylor Series or Replicated estimation of sampling errors for survey statistics.

Table 7 defines the sampling error coding system for 2000 NES sample cases. Two sampling error code variables are defined for each case based on the sample design primary stage unit (PSU) and area segment in which the sample household is located.

Sampling Error Stratum Code (Variable 000097). The Sampling Error Computation Stratum Code is the variable that defines the sampling error computation strata for all sampling error analysis of the NES data. Each self-representing (SR) design stratum is represented by one sampling error computation stratum. Pairs of similar nonself-representing (NSR) primary stage design strata are "collapsed" (Kalton, 1977) to create NSR sampling error computation strata. Since there was an uneven number of nonself-representing MSA and non-MSA strata used in the 2000 NES, and since it was felt that a nonself-representing MSA PSU should be paired with a non-MSA PSU, one of each of these PSUs stands alone within its Sampling Error Stratum Code.

For the 1990 SRC National Sample design controlled selection and a "one-per-stratum" PSU allocation are used to select the primary stage of the 2000 NES
The purpose in using controlled selection and the "one-per-stratum" sample allocation is to reduce the between-PSU component of sampling variation relative to a "two-per-stratum" primary stage design. Despite the expected improvement in sample precision, a drawback of the "one-per-stratum" design is that two or more sample selection strata must be collapsed or combined to form a sampling error computation stratum. Variances are then estimated under the assumption that a multiple PSU per stratum design was actually used for primary stage selection. The expected consequence of collapsing design strata into sampling error computation strata is the overestimation of the true sampling error; that is, the sampling error computation model defined by the codes contained in Table 7 will yield estimates of sampling errors which in expectation will be slightly greater than the true sampling error of the statistic of interest.

SECU - Stratum-specific Sampling Error Computation Unit code (Variable OOOO97) is a half sample code for analysis of sampling error using the BRR method or approximate "two-per-stratum" Taylor Series method (Kish and Hess, 1959). Within the SR sampling error strata, the SECU half sample units are created by dividing sample cases into random halves, SECU=1 and SECU=2. The assignment of cases to half-samples is designed to preserve the stratification and second stage clustering properties of the sample within an SR stratum. Sample cases are assigned to SECU half samples based on the area segment in which they were selected. For this assignment, sample cases were placed in original stratification order (area segment number order) and beginning with a random start entire area segment clusters were systematically assigned to either SECU=1 or SECU=2.

In the general case of nonself-representing (NSR) strata, the half sample units are defined according to the PSU to which the respondent was assigned at sample selection (with the exception of the two unpaired NSR strata mentioned above). That is, the half samples for each NSR sampling error computation stratum bear a one-to-one correspondence to the sample design NSR PSUs. The particular sample coding provided on the NES public use data set is consistent with the "ultimate cluster" approach to complex sample variance estimation (Kish, 1965; Kalton, 1977). Individual stratum, PSU and segment code variables may be needed by NES analysts interested in components of variance analysis or estimation of hierarchical models in which PSU-level and neighborhood-level effects are explicitly estimated.

Table 7 shows the area sample sampling error stratum and SECU codes to be used for the paired selection model for sampling error computations for any 2000 NES analyses. Strata 01 through 26 reflect the half sample 1990 National Sample design used for the 2000 NES area sample. It can be seen from this table that the three-digit 2000 SE code is comprised of, first, the two-digit SE Stratum code followed by the one-digit SECU code. The RDD sample cases are assigned to Strata 27 through 66. The RDD sample is a stratified unclustered design. In order to reflect the stratification of the RDD frame, the sample was sorted by area code within metropolitan status within Census Division prior to the assignment of sampling error stratum and SECU codes. The sorted file was then divided into groups of 20 adjacent cases to form the strata. Within each stratum, cases were assigned alternately to each of the pair of SECUs, 10 cases per SECU. This assignment of sampling error stratum and SECU codes allows for design effects to be estimated for the complete NES data set as well as separately for the RDD and area sample components.
Table 7: 2000 NES Election Study Sampling Error Codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratum</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>SECU</th>
<th>SE Code</th>
<th>PSU</th>
<th>Segment #s</th>
<th>Total Rs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>015, 031, 047, 063, 079, 099</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>012</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>007, 023, 039, 055, 071, 087</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>021</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>007, 023, 039, 055, 071, 087</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>022</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>016, 031, 047, 063, 079, 095</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>031</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>011, 028, 044, 060</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>032</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>004, 020, 036, 052, 068</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>041</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>002, 018, 034, 050</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>042</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>010, 026, 042</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>051</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>016, 032, 047</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>052</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>008, 024, 040</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>061</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>007, 023, 039</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>062</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>015, 031, 047</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>071</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>010, 026, 042</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>072</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>002, 018, 034</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>081</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>004, 020, 036</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>082</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>012, 028, 044</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>091</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>011, 027, 031, 039</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>092</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>003, 007, 011, 015, 019</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>008, 012, 015, 024, 028, 032</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>004, 012, 016, 020, 028, 032</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>004, 008, 016, 020, 024, 032</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 021</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>001, 005, 009, 017, 021, 025</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 021</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>004, 008, 016, 020, 024, 032</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>001, 005, 009, 013, 017, 021</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>004, 007, 011, 015, 020, 023</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>004, 008, 012, 016, 020, 024</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>002, 006, 010, 014, 018, 022</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>002, 304, 306, 008, 010, 011</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generalized Sampling Error Results for the 2000 NES

To assist NES analysts, the PC SUDAAN program was used to compute sampling errors for a wide-ranging example set of proportions estimated from the 2000 NES election Survey data set. Sampling errors were computed for the complete NES data set as well as separately for the area sample and RDD sample components. For each estimate, sampling errors were computed for the total sample and for fifteen demographic and political affiliation subclasses of the 2000 NES sample. The results of these sampling error computations were then summarized and translated into the general usage sampling error tables provided in Tables 8 - 10. The mean value of deft, the square root of the design effect, was found to be 1.098 for the combined sample, 1.076 for the area sample component, and 1.049 for the RDD sample component. The design effects were primarily due to weighting effects (Kish, 1965) and did not vary significantly by subclass size. Therefore the generalized variance tables are produced by multiplying the simple random sampling standard error for each proportion and sample size by the average deft for the set of sampling error computations.

Incorporating the pattern of "design effects" observed in the extensive set of example computations, Tables 8 - 10 provide approximate standard errors for percentage estimates based on the 2000 NES. To use the tables, examine the column heading to find the percentage value which best approximates the value...
of the estimated percentage that is of interest. Next, locate the approximate sample size base (denominator for the proportion) in the left-hand row margin of the table. To find the approximate standard error of a percentage estimate, simply cross-reference the appropriate column (percentage) and row (sample size base). Note: the tabulated values represent approximately one standard error for the percentage estimate. To construct an approximate confidence interval, the analyst should apply the appropriate critical point from the "z" distribution (e.g., z=1.96 for a two-sided 95% confidence interval half-width). Furthermore, the approximate standard errors in the table apply only to single point estimates of percentages not to the difference between two percentage estimates.

The generalized variance results presented in Tables 8 - 10 are a useful tool for initial, cursory examination of the NES survey results. For more in depth analysis and reporting of critical estimates, analysts are encouraged to compute exact estimates of standard errors using the appropriate choice of a sampling error program and computation model.

Table 8: Generalized Variance Table.
2000 NES election Survey - Combined Sample.

APPROXIMATE STANDARD ERRORS FOR PERCENTAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample n</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or 60%</td>
<td>or 70%</td>
<td>or 80%</td>
<td>or 90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9: Generalized Variance Table.
2000 NES election Survey - Area Sample.

APPROXIMATE STANDARD ERRORS FOR PERCENTAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample n</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Generalized Variance Table.
2000 NES election Survey - RDD Sample.

APPROXIMATE STANDARD ERRORS FOR PERCENTAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample n</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Starting with the 1986 Election Study, NES has released occupation code variables in somewhat less detail than in years past. The full release of this dataset will include a two-digit code with 71 categories corresponding to Census Bureau occupational groupings. Those who need the full occupation code for their research should contact the NES project staff for information about the conditions under which access may be provided. Similarly, the National Election Studies have not included information for census tracts or minor civil divisions since 1978. Beginning this year, we have omitted county name. This new procedure was implemented to protect the anonymity of respondents living in sparsely populated counties. Permission to use the more detailed geographic information for scholarly research may be obtained from the Board of Overseers. More information about this is available from NES project staff. Coding of the new religious denomination variable is in some cases based on an alphabetic "other, please specify" variable. This variable is restricted for reasons of confidentiality, but access may be provided to legitimate scholars under established NES procedures.

Traditionally, the National Election Studies have contained several minutes of open-ended responses (for example, the candidate likes and dislikes). These questions are put into Master Codes by the SRC coding section. Other scholars have developed alternative or supplemental coding schemes for the questions (for example, the levels of conceptualization, released as ICPSR 8151). The Board of Overseers wishes to encourage these efforts but in ways which respect the NES and SRC obligation to protect the privacy and anonymity of respondents. Circumstances under which individuals may have access to transcribed versions of these questions have been worked out and those interested should contact the NES project staff for further details.
The data file for the AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2000: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION STUDY is constructed with a single logical record for each respondent. There are 1881 variables for 1807 respondents.

NES "Dataset number"
----------------------

In early 1999, each unique dataset in the NES archive was assigned a "Dataset number". Dataset numbers for datasets from all archived NES studies are included in the NES "VERSION TABLE" described below.

"Versions" of NES datasets
--------------------------

The term "dataset" used by NES refers to the following associated components:
1- ASCII data file
2- SAS and SPSS data definition files
3- Codebook files

Components of the initial release of a dataset will be identified as version 01. According to this system, a corrected component of a specific dataset is called a new "VERSION" of that component and is assigned a new "Version Number."

Because the initial release of a dataset is sometimes followed by corrections to one or more components, a labeling method has been implemented to identify the release version of the dataset component(s). In practice, the version labeling will allow the analyst to easily verify if he or she has the most up to date component(s) for that dataset.

The version number of a particular component file is written as the first information in the machine-readable component file:

1) In the ASCII data file (.dat file), the version number of that data file is written in each record in columns 1-2.

2) In the SAS and SPSS data definition files, the version number of the file** is written in the very first line as a comment similar to the following:
   * Version 01 SAS DATA DEFINITION FILE ;
   or:
   * Version 01 SPSS DATA DEFINITION FILE

3) In the codebook file, the version number is written as the first line similar to the following:
   VERSION 01 CODEBOOK
The NES Web site (www.umich.edu/~nes) includes an NES Dataset "Version Table" which can be used to identify the latest version of component files for released NES datasets.

**NOTE:** Since SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) are identified together as a single component, a new "version" of either signifies a new "version" of both, even if only one data definition file required correction. The "Note" field in the NES VERSION TABLE will indicate if only one file has actually been corrected.
The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line
1  ==============================  
2  VAR 480026    NAME-NOT AVAILABLE IN ADVANCE RELEASE  
3  COLUMNS 61   - 61  
4  NUMERIC  
5  MD=0 OR GE 8  
6  
7            Q. 17. (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE) TO VOTE.  
8            ...........................................................  
9            82         1. YES  
10           149       2. NO  
11           0         8. DK  
12            9         9. NA  
13           422       0. INAP., R VOTED  

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example
"Bla." from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10 - When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16
Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16
Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage. NOTE: marginals may be present as a table following the list of codes.
Due to the complexity of the dataset, NES staff have created the table below to assist users in navigating through numerous variables representing different formats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANDARDS FORMAT</th>
<th>EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 7-point scale</td>
<td>Branching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a. Response order a,b,c</td>
<td>Response order c,b,a *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b. Response order a,c,b</td>
<td>Response order c,a,b *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c. Response order 7 pt scale</td>
<td>Reversed scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a. &quot;Haven't thought&quot; response</td>
<td>No response &quot;haven't thought much&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b. No response &quot;Haven't thought&quot;</td>
<td>Response &quot;haven't thought much&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Agree/disagree with policy</td>
<td>Choose policy / opposing policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Yes/no agree with position</td>
<td>Choose position/ statement of opposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. No use of probe for DK</td>
<td>Use of probe for DK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>STANDARD</th>
<th>EXPERIMENT</th>
<th>EXP.</th>
<th>STANDARD AND EXPERIMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal-Conservative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF</td>
<td>V000439</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>V000446a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phone</td>
<td>V000439a</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>V000446b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF &amp; Phone</td>
<td>V000440,441,441a</td>
<td>V000442-445</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V000446,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF</td>
<td>V000448</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phone</td>
<td>V000448a</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF &amp; Phone</td>
<td>V000449</td>
<td>V000450-453</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V000454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF</td>
<td>V000455,457</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phone</td>
<td>V000455a,458</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF &amp; Phone</td>
<td>V000456,458a</td>
<td>V000459-462,464</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V000463,464a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW Bush</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF</td>
<td>V000465,467</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phone</td>
<td>V000465a,468</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF &amp; Phone</td>
<td>V000466,466a</td>
<td>V000469-472,474</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V000473,474a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchanan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF</td>
<td>V000475,477</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Phone</td>
<td>V000475a,478</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- FTF &amp; Phone</td>
<td>V000476,476a</td>
<td>V000479-482,484</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V000483,484a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Econ retrospective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment retrosp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Econ prospective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit imports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolationism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>FTF</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>FTF &amp; Phone</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Govt med insurance</td>
<td>V000608a</td>
<td>V000610a</td>
<td>V000609</td>
<td>2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V000608b</td>
<td>V000610b</td>
<td>V000611-613</td>
<td>2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>2c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affirmative action</td>
<td>V000671a</td>
<td>V000671b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment vs jobs</td>
<td>V000707a</td>
<td>V000709a</td>
<td>V000708</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V000707b</td>
<td>V000709b</td>
<td>V000713</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School vouchers</td>
<td>V000741a</td>
<td>V000741b</td>
<td>3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women's role</td>
<td>V000754a</td>
<td>V000756a</td>
<td>V000755</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V000754b</td>
<td>V000756b</td>
<td>V000760</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POST</th>
<th>EXP.</th>
<th>STANDARD AND EXPERIMENT TYPE EXPERIMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge (office)</th>
<th>FTF</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>FTF &amp; Phone</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trent Lott</td>
<td>V001446a</td>
<td>V001446b,1448</td>
<td>V001447</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Rehnquist</td>
<td>V001449a</td>
<td>V001449b,1451</td>
<td>V001450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Blair</td>
<td>V001452a</td>
<td>V001452b,1454</td>
<td>V001453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet Reno</td>
<td>V001455a</td>
<td>V001455b,1457</td>
<td>V001456</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Policy placements, traditionally done on 7 point scales, of self and others is particularly complicated with mode, various experiments, and built variables. To assist users, NES staff have created the table below so that users can quickly identify the variable of interest.

### TABLE 1 - PRE

#### 7-POINT SCALE/BRANCHING SERIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SELF-PLACEMENTS</th>
<th>ALL SERIES exc.Lib-Con (SEE Table 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SERIES:</strong></td>
<td><strong>L1 = SPENDING/SERVICES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>L2 = DEFENSE SPENDING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>L3 = GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>L4 = JOBS/STD LIVING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>L5 = AID TO BLACKS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>M4 = ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P1 = WOMEN'S ROLE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>P2 = ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPERIMENTS:** L3, M4, P1 self-placements

Note: Prefix "V000" omitted in variable numbers listed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FTF</th>
<th>PHONE</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>L3</th>
<th>L4</th>
<th>L5</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>____</td>
<td>____</td>
<td>____</td>
<td>____</td>
<td>____</td>
<td>____</td>
<td>____</td>
<td>____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a. FTF: standard</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>608a</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>707a</td>
<td>754a</td>
<td>771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b. FTF: experimental</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>608b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>707b</td>
<td>754b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c. FTF: combined</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a. PHONE: version 1</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>582/3</td>
<td>610a</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>709a</td>
<td>756a</td>
<td>772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b. PHONE: version 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>610b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>709b</td>
<td>756b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c. PHONE: combined</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. PHONE: 'strength'</td>
<td>547/8</td>
<td>584/5</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>617/8</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. PHONE: 5pt summary</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>773/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ALL: 5pt summary</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>776</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TABLE 2 - PRE
7POINT SCALE/ BRANCHING SERIES

CANDIDATE AND PARTY PLACEMENTS

ALL SERIES exc.Lib-Con (Table 3)

Note: Prefix "V000" omitted in variable numbers listed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CLINTON</th>
<th>GORE</th>
<th>BUSH</th>
<th>DEM PTY</th>
<th>REP PTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>L1 Spending/services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. FTF</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. PHONE</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. PHONE: STRENGTH</td>
<td>553/54</td>
<td>559/60</td>
<td>565/66</td>
<td>571/72</td>
<td>577/78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **L2 Defense spending** |
| 1. FTF     | -       | 588  | 593  | 598     | 603     |
| 2. PHONE    | -       | 589  | 594  | 599     | 604     |
| 3. PHONE: STRENGTH | -       | 590  | 595  | 600     | 605     |
| 4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY | -       | 591  | 596  | 601     | 606     |
| 5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY  | -       | 592  | 597  | 602     | 607     |

---no cand/party placements in L3---

| **L4 Jobs/std living** |
| 1. FTF     | -       | 588  | 593  | 598     | 603     |
| 2. PHONE    | -       | 589  | 594  | 599     | 604     |
| 3. PHONE: STRENGTH | -       | 590  | 595  | 600     | 605     |
| 4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY | -       | 591  | 596  | 601     | 606     |
| 5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY  | -       | 592  | 597  | 602     | 607     |

| **L5 Aid to blacks** |
| 1. FTF     | 646     | 651  | 656  | 661     | 666     |
| 2. PHONE    | 647     | 652  | 657  | 662     | 667     |
| 3. PHONE: STRENGTH | 648    | 653  | 658  | 663     | 668     |
| 4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY | 649    | 654  | 659  | 664     | 669     |
| 5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY  | 650    | 655  | 660  | 665     | 679     |

| **M4 Envir vs. jobs** |
| 1. FTF     | -       | 714  | 719  | -       | -       |
| 2. PHONE    | -       | 715  | 720  | -       | -       |
| 3. PHONE: STRENGTH | -       | 716  | 721  | -       | -       |
| 4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY | -       | 717  | 722  | -       | -       |
| 5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY  | -       | 718  | 723  | -       | -       |

| **P1 Women's role** |
| 1. FTF     | -       | 761  | 766  | -       | -       |
| 2. PHONE    | -       | 762  | 767  | -       | -       |
### TABLE 3 - PRE
LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE (G SERIES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SELF</th>
<th>CLINTON</th>
<th>GORE</th>
<th>BUSH</th>
<th>BUCH.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a. STANDARD FTF</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b. STANDARD PHONE</td>
<td>439a</td>
<td>448a</td>
<td>455a</td>
<td>465a</td>
<td>475a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. STANDARD COMBINED FTF &amp; PHONE</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. STANDARD FTF &amp; PHONE: 'CHOICE'</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. STANDARD 3PT SUMMARY FTF &amp; PH</td>
<td>441a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a. STANDARD CERTAINTY - FTF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b. STANDARD CERTAINTY - PHONE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. STANDARD COMBINED FTF &amp; PHONE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>458a</td>
<td>468a</td>
<td>478a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. EXPERIMENT FTF &amp; PHONE</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. EXPERIMENT FTF &amp; PHONE: FOLLOWUPS</td>
<td>443-5</td>
<td>451/2</td>
<td>460/1</td>
<td>470/1</td>
<td>480/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. EXPERIMENT 5PT SUMMARY FTF &amp; PH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. BOTH 5PT SUMMARY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. BOTH 7PT SUMMARY: FTF &amp; PH</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a. BOTH 7PT SUMMARY: FTF ONLY</td>
<td>446a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b. BOTH 7PT SUMMARY: PHONE ONLY</td>
<td>446b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. BOTH 3PT SUMMARY: FTF &amp; PH</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. EXPERIMENT FTF &amp; PH - CERTAINTY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. BOTH CERTAINTY SUMMARY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>464a</td>
<td>474a</td>
<td>484a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Prefix "V000" omitted in variable numbers listed.

STANDARD = 7PT SCALE RATING (FTF and/or PHONE)
EXPERIMENTAL = BRANCHING SERIES (FTF and/or PHONE)
BOTH = STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL

---

**P2 Envir regulation**

1. FTF - 778 785 - -
2. PHONE - 779 786 - -
3. PHONE: STRENGTH - 780/81 787/88 - -
4. PHONE: 5PT SUMMARY - 782 789 - -
5. ALL: 5PT SUMMARY - 783 790 - -
6. ALL: CERTAINTY: - 784 791 - -
# TABLE 4 - Post 7POINT SCALE/ BRANCHING SERIES

LIBERAL - CONSERVATIVE G1-G10

---

## ALL 7-POINT SCALES (FTF and PHONE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLACEMENT</th>
<th>'CHOICE'</th>
<th>3 CATEGORY SUMM</th>
<th>CERTAINTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SELF</td>
<td>V001368</td>
<td>V001369</td>
<td>V001370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CLINTON</td>
<td>V001371</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. GORE</td>
<td>V001372</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. GW BUSH</td>
<td>V001374</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. BUCHANAN</td>
<td>V001376</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>V001377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. DEM HSE CAND*</td>
<td>V001378a,b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>V001379a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. REP HSE CAND*</td>
<td>V001380a,b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>V001381a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. DEM PARTY</td>
<td>V001382</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. REP PARTY</td>
<td>V001383</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. REFORM PARTY</td>
<td>V001384</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* "b" variable for VT01 incumbent ind. Hse candidate
** "b" variable for VA05 incumbent ind. Hse candidate

---

## SERVICES/SPENDING G11, CRIME K12

FTF = 7PT SCALE
PHONE = BRANCHING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SELF</th>
<th>DEM HSE*</th>
<th>REP HSE*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G11 Services/spending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. FTF</td>
<td>V001385</td>
<td>V001391a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. PHONE</td>
<td>V001386</td>
<td>V001392a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. PHONE FOLLOWUPS</td>
<td>V001387-89</td>
<td>V001393a,b-1395a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. FTF &amp; PHONE 7PT SUMM</td>
<td>V001390</td>
<td>V001396a,b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| K12 Crime |
| 1. FTF | V001482 |
| 2. PHONE | V001482a |
| 3. PHONE FOLLOWUPS | V001483-85 |
| 4. FTF & PHONE 7PT SUMM | V001486 |

* "b" variable for VT01 incumbent ind. Hse candidate
** "b" variable for VA05 incumbent ind. Hse candidate
>> 2000 PROCESSING INFORMATION

The data collection was processed according to standard processing procedures. The data were checked for illegal or inconsistent code values which, when found, were corrected or recoded to missing data values. Consistency checks were performed. Annotation was added by the processors for explanatory purposes.
Note: The list of 'subsample' variables does not include those corresponding to questions administered to a random selection of cases as part of a split sample; in the latter, no systematic difference by mode or format exists among respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FULL SAMPLE VAR AND</th>
<th>SUB-SAMPLE VAR AND</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IDENTIFICATION AND WEIGHTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VVERSION</td>
<td>Process.1. NES VERSION NUMBER OF DATA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDSETNO</td>
<td>Process.2. NES DATASET NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICPSRNO</td>
<td>Process.2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000001</td>
<td>Process.4. Case ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000001a</td>
<td>Process.4a. Post ID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000002</td>
<td>Process.5. Sample weight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000002a</td>
<td>Process.5a. Post weight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000003</td>
<td>Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post interview</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRE ADMINISTRATIVE AND FIELD VARIABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V000004</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.1. Mode of Pre IW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005a</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005b</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005c</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2c. Form - Q1-Q11 or Q14-15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005d</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2d. Form - G section lib-con</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005e</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005f</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005g</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2g. Form - H4 or H4.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005h</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2h. Form - H11 or H11.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005j</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005k</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005m</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005n</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2n. Form - M4 env vs. jobs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005p</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000005q</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000006</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000007</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000008</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000009</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000010</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.7. IW length</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000011</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.8. IW number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000012</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000013</td>
<td>Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000014 Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ
V000015 Pre/Admin.12. Advance letter sent?
V000016 Pre/Admin.13. Payment amount
V000017 Pre/Admin.14. Payment mode
V000018 Pre/Admin.15. Payment date
V000019 Pre/Admin.16. Tape recorded?
V000020 Pre/Admin.17. Verification
V000021 Pre/Admin.18. Evaluation
V000022 Pre/Admin.19. Conversion indicator
V000023 Pre/Admin.20. Persuasion letter
V000024 Pre/Admin.21. Date per letter requested
V000025 Pre/Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
V000026 Pre/Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
V000027 Pre/Admin.24. Telephone calls
V000028 Pre/Admin.25. FTF calls
V000029 Pre/Admin.26. Final result
V000030 Pre/Admin.27. Sample release (all 1)
V000031 Pre/Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English)

PRE COVERSHEET VARIABLES

V000032 Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
V000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
   V000034 T Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #
   V000035 T Pre.CSheet.4. PH- business with residence
   V000036 T Pre.CSheet.5. PH- bus res has personal#
   V000037 F Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
V000038 Pre.CSheet.7. Selection table
V000039 Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults
V000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number ineligible adults
V000041 Pre.CSheet.10. Household composition
V000042 Pre.CSheet.11. R person number
   V000043 F Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure
   V000044 F Pre.CSheet.13. FTF- gatekeeper
   V000045 F Pre.CSheet.14. FTF- type gatekeeper
V000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
V000047 Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist- waste time
V000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist- too personal
V000049 Pre.CSheet.18. Contact resist- confidentiality
V000050 Pre.CSheet.19. Contact resist- not interested politics
V000051 Pre.CSheet.20. Contact resist- too busy
V000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist- health
V000053 Pre.CSheet.22. Contact resist- other
V000054 Pre.CSheet.23. R refuse initially?
V000055 Pre.CSheet.24. R break appointments
V000056 Pre.CSheet.25. Was R contact resister?
V000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
V000058 Pre.CSheet.27. R resist- waste time
V000059 Pre.CSheet.28. R resist- too personal
V000060 Pre.CSheet.29. R resist- confidentiality
V000061 Pre.CSheet.30. R resist- not interested in politics
V000062 Pre.CSheet.31. R resist- too busy
V000063 Pre.CSheet.32. R resist- health
V000064 Pre.CSheet.33. R resist- other
   V000065 T Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)
   V000066 T Pre.CSheet.35. IWR est race (CSMS)
PRE INTERVIEWER VARIABLES

Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor
Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education
Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
Pre.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
Pre.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)

PRE - SAMPLING INFORMATION

Pre.Sample.1. ICPSR state code
Pre.Sample.2. FIPS state code
Pre.Sample.3. 2000 state abbr and CD
Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD
Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county
Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha)
Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name
Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code
Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)
Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)
Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units
Pre.Sample.15. Census region
Pre.Sample.16. Belt code
Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place
Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code

PRE SUMMARY DESCRIPTIONS/CALCULATIONS

Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C
POST ADMINISTRATIVE AND FIELD VARIABLES

V000126  Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
V000127a Post.Admin.2a. Form desc 1 - H in Post
V000127b Post.Admin.2b. Form desc 2 - K format
V000128  Post.Admin.3. Mo. IW
V000129  Post.Admin.4. Day IW
V000130  Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
V000131  Post.Admin.6. No. days after election
V000132  Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession
V000133  Post.Admin.8. IW length
V000134  Post.Admin.9. IW no.
V000135  Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file
V000136  Post.Admin.11. Date of end VQ file
V000137  Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ
V000138  Post.Admin.13. Release
V000139  Post.Admin.14. Payment amt
V000140  Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
V000141  Post/Admin.16. Payment date
V000142  Post/Admin.17. Tape recorded
V000143  Post/Admin.18. Verification
V000144  Post/Admin.19. Evaluation
V000145  Post/Admin.20. Conversion ind.
V000146  Post/Admin.21. Persuasion letter
V000147  Post/Admin.22. Date requested
V000148  Post/Admin.23. Date sent
V000149  Post/Admin.24. Type letter
V000150  Post/Admin.25. Tel calls
V000151  Post/Admin.26. FTP calls
V000152  Post/Admin.27. Final result
V000153  Post/Admin.28. Result date (NI)
V000154  Post/Admin. 29. Flag – mode switch
V000155  Post/Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)

POST COVERSHEET VARIABLES

V000156  Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
V000157  Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
POST INTERVIEWER VARIABLES
------------------------------------------------------

V000185 Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
V000186 Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
V000187 Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
V000188 Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education
V000189 Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race
V000190 Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
V000191 Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
V000192 Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
V000193 Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)

POST - CANDIDATE AND TYPE RACE INFORMATION
------------------------------------------------------

V000194 Post.Cand.1. House race type
V000194a Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name
V000194b Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
V000194c Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
V000194d Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election
V000194e Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election
V000194g Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 election
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.2.</td>
<td>House Democratic cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.3.</td>
<td>House Democratic cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.4.</td>
<td>House Democratic cand gendr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.5.</td>
<td>House Republican cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.6.</td>
<td>House Republican cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.7.</td>
<td>House Republican cand gendr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.8.</td>
<td>House Ind cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.9.</td>
<td>House Ind cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.9a.</td>
<td>House Ind cand party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.10.</td>
<td>House Ind cand gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.11.</td>
<td>Senate race type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.12.</td>
<td>Senate Democr cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.13.</td>
<td>Senate Democr cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.15.</td>
<td>Senate Repub cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.16.</td>
<td>Senate Repub cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.17.</td>
<td>Senate Repub cand gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.18.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 1 cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.19.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 1 cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.19a.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 1 cand party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.20.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 1 cand gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.21.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 2 cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.22.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 2 cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.22a.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 2 cand party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.23.</td>
<td>Senate Ind 2 cand gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.24.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Dem cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.25.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Dem cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.26.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Dem cand gendr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.27.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Rep cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.28.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Rep cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.29.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Rep cand gendr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.30.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Ind cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.31.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Ind cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.32.</td>
<td>Outside Hse Ind cand gendr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.33.</td>
<td>Outside Hse race type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.33a.</td>
<td>Outside Sen race type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.34.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Dem cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.35.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Dem cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.36.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Dem cand gendr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.37.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Rep cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.38.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Rep cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.40.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Ind1 cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.41.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Ind1 cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.42.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Ind1 cand gend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.43.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Ind2 cand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.44.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Ind2 cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Cand.45.</td>
<td>Outside Sen Ind2 cand gend</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POST SUMMARY DESCRIPTIONS/CALCULATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post.Summary.1.</td>
<td>Post timing - section A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Summary.2.</td>
<td>Post timing - section B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Summary.3.</td>
<td>Post timing - section C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Summary.4.</td>
<td>Post timing - section D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post.Summary.5.</td>
<td>Post timing - section E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A1-A2 - CURRENT CAMPAIGN/ELECTION

| V000301 | A1. Attention R paid to campaigns |
| V000302 | A2. Does R care about Pres election |

A3 - LAST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

| V000303 | A3. Did R vote in 1996 election |
| V000304 | A3a. Who did R vote for in 1996 |

A4-A5 - PRES. CAND LIKES-DISLIKES

| V000305 | A4a. Does R like anything about Gore |
| V000306 | A4b(1). #1 detail about Gore R likes |
| V000307 | A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes |
| V000308 | A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes |
| V000309 | A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes |
| V000310 | A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes |
| V000311 | A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore |
| V000312 | A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes |
| V000313 | A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes |
| V000314 | A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes |
| V000315 | A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes |
| V000316 | A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes |
| V000317 | A5a. Does R like anything about Bush |
| V000318 | A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes |
| V000319 | A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes |
| V000320 | A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes |
| V000321 | A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes |
| V000322 | A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes |
| V000323 | A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush |
| V000324 | A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes |
| V000325 | A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes |
| V000326 | A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes |
A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news
A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news
A7. Days R watched early local news
A8. Days R watched late local news
A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news
A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv
A10. Days R read a daily newspaper
A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper
A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
A11. Did R see ads for candidates on tv
A12. Approve/disappr Clinton job
A12a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton
A12x. Summary app/disapp Clinton job
B1. Did R care about House election
B2. Does R remember names of House cands
B2a. #1 recalled name, House cand
B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand
B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party
B2ax2. #1 House cand recall- accuracy
B2b. #2 recalled name, House cand
B2b1. #2 recall party, House cand
B2bx1. #2 House cand recall-actual party
B2bx2. #2 House cand recall- accuracy
B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand
B2cl. #3 recall party, House cand
B2cx1. #3 House cand recall-actual party
B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy
B3. Congress job approve/dissappr
B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong
B3x. Summary R approval of US Congress
C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
C1b/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush
C1d/C1d.T. Thermometer Buchanan
C1e/C1e.T. Thermometer Nader
C1f/C1f.T. Thermometer Mccain
C1g/C1g.T. Thermometer Bradley
C1h/C1h.T. Thermometer Lieberman
C1j/C1j.T. Thermometer Cheney
C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
C2d. Thermometer parties in general

D1-D4 - PARTY LIKES-DISLIKES
------------------------------------------------------

D1a(1). #1 like Dem Party
D1a(2). #2 like Dem Party
D1a(3). #3 like Dem Party
D1a(4). #4 like Dem Party
D1a(5). #5 like Dem Party

D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party
D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party
D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party

D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
D3a(2). #2 like Rep Party
D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
D3a(4). #4 like Rep Party
D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party

D4a(1). #1 dislike Rep Party
D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party

D5 - PARTY CONTROL
------------------------------------------------------

D5. Preference for divided government

D1. Like anything- Dem Party
D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party
D3. Like anything- Rep Party
D4. Dislike anything- Rep Party

E1-E3 - R FINANCIAL SITUATION
------------------------------------------------------

E1/E1.T. Better/worse off in last year
E1a. How much better off- last year
E1b. How much worse off- last year
E1x. Summary R econ situation last year
E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment
E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
E3a. How much better off- next year
E3b. How much worse off- next year
E3x. Summary R econ situation next year
F1-F3 - PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE AFFECTS

V000407  F1a. Angry- Gore affect
V000408  F1aa. Angry- how often Gore affect
V000409  F1b. Hopeful- Gore affect
V000410  F1bb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect
V000411  F1c. Afraid- Gore affect
V000412  F1cc. Afraid- how often Gore affect
V000413  F1d. Proud- Gore affect
V000414  F1dd. Proud- how often Gore affect
V000415  F2a. Angry- Bush affect
V000416  F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect
V000417  F2b. Hopeful- Bush affect
V000418  F2bb. Hopeful- how often Bush affect
V000419  F2c. Afraid- Bush affect
V000420  F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect
V000421  F2d. Proud- Bush affect
V000422  F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect
V000423  F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
V000424  F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
V000425  F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect
V000426  F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect
V000427  F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect
V000428  F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect
V000429  F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
V000430  F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect

F6-F9 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM(S)

V000431  F6(1). #1 most important problem
V000432  F6(2). #2 most important problem
V000433  F6(3). #3 most important problem
V000434  F6(4). #4 most important problem
V000435  F6x. Checkpoint for # of mentions
V000436  F7. Choice - most important problem
V000437  F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem
V000438  F9. Party performance on most imp problem

G1-G10 - LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

V000439  FSR  G1a. Self placement lib-con scale
V000439a TSR  G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
V000440  SR  G1ax. Summary: combined FTF/ph
V000441  SR  G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
V000441a SR  G1bx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
V000442  EB  G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching
V000443  EB  G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching
V000444  EB  G6b.E. R strong liberal or not
V000445  EB  G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
V000446  G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
V000447  G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ

V000448  FSR  G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF
V000448a TSR  G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone
V000449  SR  G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
V000450  EB  G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch
V000451  EB  G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not
V000452  EB  G7b.E. Clinton strong conservative or not
V000453  EB  G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
V000454  EB  G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con
------------------------------------------------------------------------
V000455  FSR  G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF
V000455a TSR  G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone
V000456  SR  G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale
V000457  FSR  G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
V000458  TSR  G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt phone
V000458a  EB  G3ax.T. Comb. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt
------------------------------------------------------------------------
V000459  EB  G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
V000460  EB  G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not
V000461  EB  G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not
V000462  EB  G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con
V000463  EB  G8x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore lib-con
V000464  EB  G8c.E. Gore-certain lib-con placement br
V000464a  EB  G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c
------------------------------------------------------------------------
V000465  FSR  G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF
V000465a TSR  G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone
V000466  SR  G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale
V000467  FSR  G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
V000468  TSR  G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone
V000468a  EB  G4ax.T. Comb. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con
------------------------------------------------------------------------
V000469  EB  G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch
V000470  EB  G9a.E. Bush strong liberal or not
V000471  EB  G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not
V000472  EB  G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
V000473  EB  G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con
V000474  EB  G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br
V000474a  EB  G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c
------------------------------------------------------------------------
V000475  FSR  G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
V000475a TSR  G5.T. Buchanan plcmnt lib-con scale phon
V000476  SR  G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchanan lib-con scl
V000477  FSR  G5a. Buchanan-certain lib-con plcmnt FTF
V000478  TSR  G5a.T. Buchanan-certain lib-con plcmnt phone
V000478a  EB  G5ax.T. Combined Buchanan-certain lib-con plcmnt
------------------------------------------------------------------------
V000479  EB  G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch
V000480  EB  G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not
V000481  EB  G10b.E. Buchanan strong conserv or not
V000482  EB  G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchanan lib-con
V000483  EB  G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchanan lib-con
V000484  EB  G10c.E. Certain-Buchanan plcmnt lib-con br
V000484a  EB  G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchanan l-c crt

G11 - ANTICIPATED OUTCOME OF NOVEMBER ELECTION
------------------------------------------------------------------------
V000485  EB  G11. Who does R think will be elected
V000486  EB  G11a. Race close or not- cand named
V000487  EB  G11b. Race close or not- cand not named
H1-H4 - NATIONAL ECONOMY

V000488a S  H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan
V000488b E  H1.E. US econ bttr/worse in last year ex
V000489  H1a. How much better US econ next year
V000490  H1b. How much worse US econ next year
V000491  H1x. Summary US econ bttr/worse last year
V000492a S  H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan
V000492b E  H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex
V000493  H2a. How much harder to find work lst yr
V000494  H2b. How much easier to find work lst yr
V000495  H2x. Summary employ opps in last year
V000496a S  H4. US econ bttr/worse in next year stan
V000496b E  H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
V000497  H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
V000498  H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year
V000499  H4x. Summary US econ in next year

H5 - APPROVE PRESIDENT HANDLING OF ECONOMY

V000500  H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy
V000501  H5a. How much approve Clinton w/economy
V000502  H5b. How much dissprv Clinton w/economy
V000503  H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy
V000504  H6. Is R invested in stock market

H7-H8 - PARTY PERFORMANCE

V000505  H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ
V000506  H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war

H9-H12 - NATION : STRENGTH, IMMIGRATION, IMPORTS, ISOLATION

V000507  H9. Us position in world weaker/stronger
V000508  H10. Increase/decrease immigratration
V000509  H10a. Inc/dec immigrtn little or a lot
V000510  H10x. Summary immigration level
V000511a S  H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan
V000511b E  H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex
V000512  H11x. Combined versions import limits
V000513a S  H12. US better off to stay at home stan
V000513b E  H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex
V000514  H12x. Combined versions isolationism

H13 - APPROVE PRESIDENT HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS

V000515  H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr
V000516  H13a. Strength approve Clinton frgn rel
V000517  H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel
V000518  H13x. Summary Clinton foreign relations
K1 - PARTY ID

------------------------------------------------------
V000519 K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind
V000520 K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not
V000521 K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not
V000522 K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party
V000523 K1x. Party ID summary

K2-K4 - PRES. CANDIDATE TRAITS

------------------------------------------------------
V000524 K2a. Gore trait - moral
V000525 K2b. Gore trait - really cares
V000526 K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable
V000527 K2d. Gore trait - strong leader
V000528 K2e. Gore trait - dishonest
V000529 K2f. Gore trait - intelligent
V000530 K2g. Gore trait - out of touch
V000531 K3a. Bush trait - moral
V000532 K3b. Bush trait - really cares
V000533 K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
V000534 K3d. Bush trait - strong leader
V000535 K3e. Bush trait - dishonest
V000536 K3f. Bush trait - intelligent
V000537 K3g. Bush trait - out of touch
V000538 K4a. Buchanan trait - moral
V000539 K4b. Buchanan trait - really cares
V000540 K4c. Buchanan trait - knowledgeable
V000541 K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader
V000542 K4e. Buchanan trait - dishonest
V000543 K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent
V000544 K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch

L1 - SERVICES/SPENDING TRADEOFF

------------------------------------------------------
V000545 FR Lia. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
V000546 TB Lia.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
V000547 TB Lia1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone
V000548 TB Lia2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone
V000549 TB Liax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend
V000550 Liax2. Comb.7pt/br summ of self on serv/spend
V000551 FR Lib. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF
V000552 TB Lib.T. Clinton- serve/spend scale phone
V000553 TB Lib1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph
V000554 TB Lib2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph
V000555 TB Libx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd
V000556 Libx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton srv/spnd
V000557 FR Lic. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF
V000558 TB Lic.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone
V000559 TB Lic1.T. Gore- how much red serv/spend ph
V000560 TB Lic2.T. Gore- how much incr serv/spend ph
V000561 TB Licx1. 5-pt br summary Gore serv/spend
V000562 Licx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend
V000563  FR  L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF
V000564  TB  L1d.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone
V000565  TB  L1d1.T. Bush- how much reduce serv/spend ph
V000566  TB  L1d2.T. Bush- how much increase serv/spend ph
V000567  TB  L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend
V000568  L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend

V000569  FR  L1e. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF
V000570  TB  L1e.T. Dem Party- serv/spend scale phone
V000571  TB  L1e1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph
V000572  TB  L1e2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
V000573  TB  L1ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd
V000574  L1ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp

V000575  FR  L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF
V000576  TB  L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone
V000577  TB  L1f1.T. Rep Party-how much red srv/sp ph
V000578  TB  L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
V000579  TB  L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd
V000580  L1fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party srv/sp

L2 - DEFENSE SPENDING

V000581  FR  L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF
V000582  TB  L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone
V000583  TB  L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone
V000584  TB  L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph
V000585  TB  L2a1b.T. How much incres def spending ph
V000586  TB  L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending
V000587  L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending

V000588  FR  L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF
V000589  TB  L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph
V000590  TB  L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph
V000591  TB  L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend
V000592  L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend

V000593  FR  L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF
V000594  TB  L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph
V000595  TB  L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph
V000596  TB  L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend
V000597  L2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush def spend

V000598  FR  L2d. Dem Party-def spending scale FTF
V000599  TB  L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone
V000600  TB  L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph
V000601  TB  L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp
V000602  L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp

V000603  FR  L2e. Rep Party-def spending scale FTF
V000604  TB  L2e.T. Rep Party-def spend scale phone
V000605  TB  L2e1.T. Rep Party-how much inc/red ds ph
V000606  TB  L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp
V000607  L2ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party def sp
L3 - GOVERNMENT MEDICAL INSURANCE

V000608 FR L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
V000608a FSR L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur
V000608b FER L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex
V000609 FR L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale
V000610a TSB L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan
V000610b TEB L3a.TE. Self placement-insur scale phone ex
V000611 TB L3ax.TE. Comb. Ph versions R insur scale
V000612 TB L3al/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan
V000613 TB L3xl. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance
V000614 L3xx. Comb. 7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance

L4 - GUARANTEED JOB/STANDARD OF LIVING

V000615 FR L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF
V000616 TB L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph
V000617 TB L4al/T. How much should gov guar jobs
V000618 TB L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own
V000619 TB L4xl. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs
V000620 L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs

V000621 FR L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF
V000622 TB L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone
V000623 TB L4bl/b2.T. Gore-strength of guar job
V000624 TB L4bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore guar job
V000625 L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job

V000626 FR L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF
V000627 TB L4c.T. Bush-guar job/std liv scl phone
V000628 TB L4cl/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job
V000629 TB L4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job
V000630 L4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush guar job

V000631 FR L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF
V000632 TB L4d.T. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl ph
V000633 TB L4dl/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job
V000634 TB L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb
V000635 L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb

V000636 FR L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF
V000637 TB L4e.T. Rep Party-guar job/std liv phone
V000638 TB L4el/e2.T. Rep Party-strength guar job
V000639 TB L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
V000640 L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job

L5 - AID TO BLACKS

V000641 FR L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
V000642 TB L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone
V000643 TB L5al/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks
V000644 TB L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks
V000645 L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks
L5b. Clinton placement aid to blacks scale FTF
L5b.T. Clinton aid to blacks scale phone
L5bl/b2.T. Clinton strength aid to blacks
L5bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton aid to blacks

L5c. Gore placement aid to blacks scale FTF
L5c.T. Gore aid to blacks scale phone
L5cl/c2.T. Gore strength aid to blacks
L5cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blacks

L5d. Bush placement aid to blacks scale FTF
L5d.T. Bush aid to blacks scale phone
L5dl/d2.T. Bush strength aid to blacks
L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blacks

L5e. Dem Party placement aid to blacks scale FTF
L5e.T. Dem Party aid to blacks scale phone
L5el/e2.T. Dem Party strength aid to blacks
L5ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party aid to blacks

L5f. Rep Party placement aid to blacks scale FTF
L5f.T. Rep Party aid to blacks scale phone
L5fl/f2.T. Rep Party strength aid to blacks
L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid to blacks

L5b2. Comb. 7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks
L5c2. Comb. 7pt/br summ Gore aid to blacks
L5d2. Comb. 7pt/br summ Bush aid to blacks
L5ex2. Comb. 7pt/br summ Dem Party aid to blacks
L5fx2. Comb. 7pt/br summ Rep Party aid to blacks

L6 - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

L6. Appr/disappr affirmative action stan
L6.E. Appr/disappr affirmative action ex
L6a. Strength for affirmative action
L6b. Strength against affirmative action
L6x. Summary affirmative action (Standard & Experm)
L6x1. Summary strength of feeling affirmative action

L7-L9 - FEDERAL BUDGET

L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways
L7b. Inc/dec welfare programs
L7c. Inc/dec spending on aids research
L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid
L7e. Inc/dec food stamps
L7f. Inc/dec aid to poor people
L7g. Inc/dec Social Security
L7h. Inc/dec environmental protection
L7j. Inc/dec public schools
L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime
L7m. Inc/dec child care
L7n. Inc/dec against illegal immigrants
L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks
L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts
V000689  L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts
V000690  L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus
V000691  L9. App/dis surplus for Soc Sec medicare
V000692  L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare
V000693  L9x. Summary surplus for Soc Sec medicare

M1-M3  -  ABORTION

M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement
M1a. Importance of abortion to R
M1b/M1b.T. Gore-abortion scale
M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement
M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale
M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement
M2. App/dis abortion parental consent
M2a. Strength abortion parental consent
M2x. Summary abortion parental consent
M3. Fav/oppose prtl-birth abortion ban
M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban
M3x. Summary partial-birth abortion ban

M4  -  PROTECT ENVIRONMENT

M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan
M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex
M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir
M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan
M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex
M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir
M4al/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir
M4alx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
M4alx2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir

M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF
M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone
M4bl/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir
M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
M4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore jobs/envir

M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF
M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone
M4cl/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir
M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir
M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir

M5  -  HOMOSEXUALS IN THE ARMED FORCES

M5. Fav/oppose homosexuals in military
M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military
M5b. Strngth opp homosexuals in military
M5x. Summary homosexuals in military
**M6 - GUN CONTROL**

-----------------------------

V000728  M6a. R-gun control scale
V000729  M6a1. R-strength more gun control
V000730  M6a2. R-strength less gun control
V000731  M6ax. Summary gun control
V000732  M6a3. R-importance of gun control
V000733  M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale
V000734  M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl
V000735  M6bx. Summary Gore gun control
V000736  M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement
V000737  M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale
V000738  M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl
V000739  M6cx. Summary Bush gun control
V000740  M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement

**N1 - SCHOOL VOUCHERS**

-----------------------------------------------

V000741a S  N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan
V000741b E  N1.E. Fav/opp school voucher program ex
V000742  N1x. Combined versions school vouchers
V000743  N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers
V000744  N1ax. Summary school vouchers

**N2 - ENGLISH AS OFFICIAL LANGUAGE**

-----------------------------------------------

V000745  N2. R fav/opp English official language

**N3 - SCHOOL INTEGRATION**

-----------------------------------------------

V000746  N3. R interest in school integration
V000747  N3a. Fav/opp gov help school integration

**N4 - ADOPTION BY HOMOSEXUALS**

-----------------------------------------------

V000748  N4. Fav/opp h-sexual couples adopt chldn

**N5 - DEATH PENALTY**

-----------------------------------------------

V000749  N5. Fav/opp death penalty
V000750  N5a. How much favor death penalty
V000751  N5b. How much oppose death penalty
V000752  N5x. Summary R position on death penalty

**P1 - WOMEN'S ROLE**

-----------------------------------------------

V000754a FSR  Pla. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF
V000754b FER  Pla.E. R plcmnt equal role scale
V000755 FR  Plax. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
V000756a TSB  Pla.T. R equal role branch stan
V000756b TEB  Pla.TE. R equal role branch ex
P1 - VERSIONS

P1a. P1ax.T. Comb. Ph. Versions R eql role br
P1a1/a2.T. R strength equal roles
P1a1x1. 5-pt br summary R equal role
P1a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role

P1b. P1bx.T. Gore-equal role scale FTF
P1b1/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role
P1bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore equal role
P1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore equal role

P1c. P1cx.T. Bush-equal role scale FTF
P1c1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role
P1cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role
P1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role

P2 - ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

P2a. P2ax.T. R-envir regulation branch
P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation
P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul

P2b. P2bx.T. Gore-envir regulation branch
P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation
P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden
P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul
P2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore envir regul
P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation

P2c. P2cx.T. Bush-envir regulation branch
P2c1.T. Bush-strength tougher regulation
P2c2.T. Bush-strength regulation burden
P2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush envir regul
P2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush envir regul
P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation

P3 - TURNOUT/VOTE INTENT

P3. P3x. R expect to vote in Nov election
P3a. Who will R vote for President
P3b. Strength of preference for candidate
P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President
P3d. Strength of preference for candidate
P4-P5 - ANTICIPATED PARTY CONTROL AFTER ELECTION

V000797
P4. Which party will control the House
V000798
P5. Which party will control the Senate

P6-P7 - FAIR AND PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT FOR BLACKS

V000799
P6. Interest in fair treatment in jobs
V000800
P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equal treatment in jobs
V000801
P6a1. Strength blacks equal treatment jobs
V000802
P6x. Summary blacks equal treatment jobs
V000803
P7. Preferences for blacks in jobs
V000804
P7a. Strength for preference blks jobs
V000805
P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs
V000806
P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs

Q1-Q11 - CLINTON LEGACY

(Rs were asked Clinton Legacy in either pre or post. For full sample see variables 1529a, 1595a, 1599a, 1603a, 1608a, 1612a 1616a, 1620a, 1624a, & 1628a.)

V000807
Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992
V000808
Q1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
V000809
Q1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
V000810
Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992
V000811
Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992
V000812
Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor
V000813
Q2x. Summary assistance to poor
V000814
Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992
V000815
Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better
V000816
Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
V000817
Q3x. Summary economy since 1992
V000818
Q4. Clinton made economy better/worse
V000819
Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
V000820
Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
V000821
Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ
V000822
Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
V000823
Q6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
V000824
Q6a. U.S. much more secure from enemies
V000825
Q6b. U.S much less secure from enemies
V000826
Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies
V000827
Q7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
V000828
Q7a. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat more secure
V000829
Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat less secure
V000830
Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
V000831
Q8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since 1992
V000832
Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat better
V000833
Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat worse
V000834
Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992
V000835
Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
V000836
Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat btr
V000837
Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat wrse
V000838
Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate
Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992
Q10a. Moral climate much/smwhat better
Q10b. Moral climate much/smwhat worse
Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992
Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse
Q11a. Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr
Q11b. Clinton made moral climate much/swht wse
Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate

Q14 - CLINTON AFFECTS
(Rs were asked Clinton Affects in either pre or post. For full sample see variables 1629a, 1630a, 1631a, 1632a, 1633a, 1634a 1635a, 1636a.)

Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect
Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect
Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect
Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect
Q14c. Afraid- Clinton affect
Q14c1. Afraid- how often Clinton affect
Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect
Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect

Q15 - CLINTON TRAITS

Q15a/Q15a.T. Clinton trait-moral
Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares
Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable
Q15d/Q15d.T. Clinton trait-strong leader
Q15e/Q15e.T. Clinton trait-dishonest
Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent
Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch

R1-R3 - OPINIONS AND COMPLEX DECISIONS

R1. How opinionated is R
R1a. Fewer or more opinions than avg
R1a1. How much more opinions than avg
R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg
R1x. Summary degree R opinionated
R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking
R2a. How much like respnsbty for thinking
R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking
R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking
R3. Like simple or complex problems

S1-S5 - RELIGIOSITY

S1. Is religion important to R
S2. How much guidance from religion
S3/S3.T. How often does R pray
X1-X9 - RELIGIOUS IDENTIFICATION

V000877  X1. Attend religious services
V000878  X1a. Part of a church or denomination
V000879  X2. Attend religious services how often
V000880  X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week
V000881  X3. Attend church checkpoint
V000882  X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
V000883  X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
V000884  X4. Denomination/other specify
V000885  X4(1). (blanked) denomination other
V000886  X4a. Baptist group
V000887  X4b. Independent Baptist group
V000888  X4c. Lutheran group
V000889  X4d. Methodist group
V000890  X4e. Presbyterian group
V000891  X4f. Reformed group
V000892  X4g. Brethren group
V000893  X4h. Christian group
V000894  X4i. Church of Christ group
V000895  X4j. Church of God group
V000896  X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal
V000897  X4a-j. (blanked) other
V000898  X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination
V000899  X4m1. Is other group christian
V000900  X6a. Attend Jewish group
V000901  X6b. Jewish denomination
V000902  X7. Member place of worship
V000903  X8. Born-again christian
V000904  X9x. Religion summary

Y1-Y31A - DEMOGRAPHICS

V000905  Y1(1). Month of birth
V000906  Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)
V000907  Y1(3). Year of birth
V000908  Y1x. Respondent age
V000909  Y2. Marital status
V000910  Y3. Highest grade completed
V000911  Y3a. Diploma/GED
V000912  Y3b. Highest degree earned
V000913  Y3x. R educ summary
V000914  Y4. Highest grade of partner
V000915  Y4a. Partner diploma/GED
V000916  Y4b. Partner highest degree
V000917  Y4x. Sp educ. Summary
V000918  Y6(1). Assigned employment status
V000919  Y6(2). 2 digit employment status
V000920  Y6(3). 1 digit employment status
V000921  Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay
V000922  Y10a(1). 2-digit occup
V000922a Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V000923</td>
<td>Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000924</td>
<td>Y10a(4). Prestige code (blanked)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000925</td>
<td>Y10b. R unemp: past industry code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000926</td>
<td>Y10c. R unemp: past self employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000927</td>
<td>Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000928</td>
<td>Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000929</td>
<td>Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000930</td>
<td>Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000931</td>
<td>Y10h. R unemp: worry about finding job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000932</td>
<td>Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000933</td>
<td>Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000934</td>
<td>Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000934a</td>
<td>Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000935</td>
<td>Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000936</td>
<td>Y12a(4). Prestige code (blanked) retired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000937</td>
<td>Y12b. R ret: industry code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000938</td>
<td>Y12c. R ret: self employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000939</td>
<td>Y12d. R ret: employed by govt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000940</td>
<td>Y12e. R ret: work last 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000941</td>
<td>Y12f. R ret: hours/wk work 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000942</td>
<td>Y12g. R ret: working for pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000943</td>
<td>Y12h. R ret: looking for work now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000944</td>
<td>Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000945</td>
<td>Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000946</td>
<td>Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000946a</td>
<td>Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000947</td>
<td>Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000948</td>
<td>Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000949</td>
<td>Y14b. R dis: industry code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000950</td>
<td>Y14c. R dis: self employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000951</td>
<td>Y14d. R dis: work for govt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000952</td>
<td>Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000953</td>
<td>Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000954</td>
<td>Y14g. R dis: working for pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000955</td>
<td>Y14h. R dis: looking for work now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000956</td>
<td>Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000957</td>
<td>Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000958</td>
<td>Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000959</td>
<td>Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000959a</td>
<td>Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000960</td>
<td>Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000961</td>
<td>Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000962</td>
<td>Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000963</td>
<td>Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000964</td>
<td>Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000965</td>
<td>Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000966</td>
<td>Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000967</td>
<td>Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000968</td>
<td>Y17a(1). 2-digit occup R work now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000968a</td>
<td>Y17a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wk work now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000969</td>
<td>Y17a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000970</td>
<td>Y17a(4). Prestige code (blanked) R wk now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000971</td>
<td>Y17b. Work now/TLO: industry code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000972</td>
<td>Y17c. Work now/TLO: self employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000973</td>
<td>Y17d. Work now/TLO: work for govt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000974</td>
<td>Y17e. Work now/TLO: hours work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V000975</td>
<td>Y17f. Work now/TLO: work hours right</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000976  Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job
V000977  Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo
V000978  Y7j. Work now: reduction in hrs/pay
V000979  Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup
V000979a Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked)
V000980  Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup
V000981  Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)
V000982  Y17(4). Stacked - industry
V000983  Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
V000984  Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt
V000985  Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week
V000986  Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job
V000987  Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.
V000988  Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work
V000989  Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay
V000990  Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union
V000991a Y25a1. Who belongs to union #1
V000991b Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2
V000991c Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3
V000992  Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+
V000993  Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+
V000994  Y27x. HH income -all HHs
V000995  Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+
V000996  Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+
V000997  Y28x. R income -all HHs
V000998  Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class
V000999  Y29a. Which one (workng or middle class)
V001000  Y29b. If had to choose class
V001001  Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper
V001002  Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class
V001003  Y29e. Working class - avg or upper
V001004  Y29f. Working class -feel close to class
V001005  Y29x. Soc.class summary
V001006a Y30(1). Racial group #1 self-description
V001006b Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description
V001006c Y30(3). Racial group #3 self-description
V001007  Y30a. Both parents born in U.S.?
V001008  Y30b(1). Ethnic/nationality group #1
V001009  Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group #2
V001010  Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?
V001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned
V001011  Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group
V001012  Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent
V001013  Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descnt

V001014  Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code
V001015 F Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up
V001016 TB Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
V001017 T Z2a. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
V001018 T Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
V001019  Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up
V001020a Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community
V001020b Z3(2). Yrs. -how long lived in community
V001020c Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community
V001021a                Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home
V001021b                Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home
V001021c                Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home
V001022                Z5. Does R family own/rent home
V001023                Z8. Does R have children
V001024                Z8a. How many children R has under 18
V001025                Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R
V001026a                Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age
V001026b                Z8c(2). Mention 2 minor child age
V001026c                Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age
V001026d                Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age
V001026e                Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
V001026f                Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age
V001026g                Z8c(7). Mention 7 minor child age
V001026h                Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age
V001027                Z9. How many miles R drives per day

ZZ1-ZZ11 - PRE INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION

V001028                ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW
V001029                ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
V001030 F                ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race
V001031a F                ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW
V001031b F                ZZ3(2). FTF: #2 others present during IW
V001031c F                ZZ3(3). FTF: #3 others present during IW
V001031d F                ZZ3(4). FTF: #4 others present during IW
V001031e F                ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW
V001032                ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
V001033                ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics
V001034                ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence
V001035                ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious
V001036                ZZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW
V001037                ZZ9. IWR obs: R sincerity
V001038                ZZ9a. IWR: obs: insincere in what part (blanked)
V001039 F                ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly
V001040 F                ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off
V001041a                ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW
V001041b                ZZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW
V001041c                ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW
V001041d                ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW
V001041e                ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW
V001041f                ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW
V001041g                ZZ11(7). Mention 7 - R reaction to IW
V001041h                ZZ11(8). Mention 8 - R reaction to IW
V001041j                ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW

PRE RANDOMIZATION DESCRIPTIONS

V001043                Pre.Rand.Clb. Pos-Gore in therms
V001045                Pre.Rand.Cld. Pos-Buchanan in therms
V001046                Pre.Rand.Cle. Pos-Nader in thermoms
V001047                Pre.Rand.Clf/g. Pos-McCain, Bradley ther
Pre.Rand.C2. Order-parties in therms
Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn
Pre.Rand.F1a. Pos-angry -Gore affects
Pre.Rand.F1b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects
Pre.Rand.F1c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects
Pre.Rand.F1d. Pos-proud -Gore affects
Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GW Bush affects
Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GW Bush affects
Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects
Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affects
Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affects
Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affects
Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/con
Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowledg -Gore traits
Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits
Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits
Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits
Pre.Rand.K3c. Order-knowledg -GWB traits
Pre.Rand.K3e. Order-dishon -GWB traits
Pre.Rand.K3f. Order-intell -GWB traits
Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits
Pre.Rand.K4d. Ord-leader -Buchann traits
Pre.Rand.K4e. Ord-dishon -Buchann traits
Pre.Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits
Pre.Rand.Lic/L1d. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
Pre.Rand.Lie/L1f. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp
Pre.Rand.L2d/L2e. Order-parties defse sp
Pre.Rand.L4b/L4c. Order-Gore,GWB guarjob
Pre.Rand.L4d/L4e. Order-parties guar job
Pre.Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid blcks
Pre.Rand.L5e/L5f. Order-parties aid blks
Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng
Pre.Rand.L7c. Order-aids resch-fed spend
Pre.Rand.L7d. Order-forgn aid -fed spend
Pre.Rand.L7e. Order-food stps -fed spend
Pre.Rand.L7g. Order-soc sec -fed spend
Pre.Rand.L7h. Ord-env protect -fed spend
Pre.Rand.L7j. Ord-publ schls -fed spend
Pre.Rand.L7k. Ord-deal w/crime-fed spend
Pre.Rand.L7m. Order-child care-fed spend
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V001106</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.L7n. Order-border sec-fed spend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001108</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001109</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001110</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001111</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.P1b/P1c. Ord-Gore-women's role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001112</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001113</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001114</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001115</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001116</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001117</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001118</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001119</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001120</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001121</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001122</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001123</td>
<td>Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clint trait</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A1-A4 - CURRENT CAMPAIGN AND POLITICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V001201</td>
<td>A1. R interest in campaigns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001202</td>
<td>A2. R watched programs about campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001203</td>
<td>A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001204</td>
<td>A3. Does R ever discuss politics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001205</td>
<td>A3a. How often does R discuss politics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001206</td>
<td>A4. Does R recall names of House candidates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001207</td>
<td>A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001208</td>
<td>A4b1. Hse party recall 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001209</td>
<td>A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001210</td>
<td>A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001211</td>
<td>A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001212</td>
<td>A4b2. Hse party recall 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001213</td>
<td>A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001214</td>
<td>A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001215</td>
<td>A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001216</td>
<td>A4b3. Hse party recall 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001217</td>
<td>A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001218</td>
<td>A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B1-B12 - PARTICIPATION, CONTRIBUTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V001219</td>
<td>B1. Party talked to R about campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001220</td>
<td>B1a. Party that spoke to R about campgn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001221</td>
<td>B1b. Anyone else talk to R about cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001222</td>
<td>B1c. Party send R mail about campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001223</td>
<td>B1cl. Party that sent mail about campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001224</td>
<td>B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001225</td>
<td>B2. Did R try to influence vote of others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001226</td>
<td>B3. Did R display button/sticker/sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001227</td>
<td>B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001228</td>
<td>B5. Did R do any other campaign work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001229</td>
<td>B6. Did R contribute to candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001230</td>
<td>B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001231</td>
<td>B7. Did R give money to party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B7a. Party - R contribution
B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate
B9. Did anyone talk to R about registering or voting
B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss campaign w/R
B11. Clergy provide election information
B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty
B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1
B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2
B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3

C1-C4 - TURNOUT, REGISTRATION

C1. Did R vote
C2. Was R registered
C3. Registered in county of IW?
C3a1. State of registration
C3a2. County of registration (blank)
C4. Did R vote on election day or before
C4a. How long before election did R vote
C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee

C5-C8- VOTE FOR PRESIDENT

C5. Did R vote for President?
C6. R vote cast for President
C6a. How strong R support Pres cand
C7. Timing of Pres vote decision
C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st
C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd
C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd

VOTE FOR CONGRESS

C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county
C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote
C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code
C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code
C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party
C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote
C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code
C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party

VOTE FOR SENATE

C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?
C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote
C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code
NONVOTER PREFERENCES FOR HOUSE, SENATE

V001269 C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county - Sen vote - party
V001270 C14a/C16a.T. Out-county: vote for Senate?
V001271 C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote - cand code
V001272 C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party
V001273 C14c/C16c.T. Out of county - party of Sen vote
V001274 C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ - Sen vote - cand code
V001275 C14x2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party

C20 - FAIRNESS OF PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

V001276 C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?
V001277 C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred
V001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref
V001279 C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?
V001280 C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref
V001281 C18a1/C18a1.T. Nonvot-Hse cand pref code
V001282 C18a2/C18a2.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty
V001283 C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-prefer Senate cand?
V001284 C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref
V001285 C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code
V001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty
V001287 (Blank)
V001288 (Blank)
V001289 (Blank)
V001290 (Blank)

C20. How fair was November election

D1-D2 - THERMOMETERS

V001291 D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton
V001292 D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
V001293 D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush
V001294 D1d/D1d.T. Thermometer Nader
V001295 D1e/D1e.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson
V001296 D1f/D1f.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush
V001297 D1g/D1g.T. Thermometer Dem House cand
V001298 D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand
V001299 D1i/D1i.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
V001300 D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Sen rep
V001300a D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?
V001301 D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
V001302 D1m/D1m.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand
V001303 D1n/D1n.T. Thermometer Ind House cand
V001303a D1nx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05
V001304 D2a. Thermometer supreme court
V001305 D2b. Thermometer Congress
V001306 D2c. Thermometer military
V001307 D2d. Thermometer federal govt in Wash DC
V001308 D2e. Thermometer blacks
V001309 D2f. Thermometer whites
V001310 D2g. Thermometer conservatives
V001311 D2h. Thermometer liberals
E1-E4 - HOUSE CANDIDATE LIKES/DISLIKES

E5-E7 - POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE (HOUSE AND SENATE)
F1-F4 - MEMBER OF CONGRESS
----------------------------------------------------
V001358  F1. Appr/dissapr House incumbent
V001359  F1a. Strength approve House incumbent
V001360  F1b. Strength dissappr House incumbent
V001361  F1x. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb
V001362  F2. Special action by incumbent
V001363  F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv
V001364  F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of
V001365  F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic
V001366  F4. House incumb kept in touch w/distric

F5 - FOLLOW PUBLIC AFFAIRS
----------------------------------------------------
V001367  F5. R follows govt and public affairs

G1-G10 - LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT
SELF, CLINTON, GORE, BUCHANAN AND PARTIES
----------------------------------------------------
V001368  G1a/G1a.T. R placement lib-con scale
V001369  G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
V001370  G1x. 3-category lib-con summary
V001371  G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale
V001372  G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale
V001373  G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placemnt
V001374  G4/G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale
V001375  G4a/G4a.T. Bush-certain lib-con placemnt
V001376  G5/G5.T. Buchanan placemnt lib-con scale
V001377  G5a/G5a.T. Buchanan-certain lib-con
V001378  G6. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05
V001378a  G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib
V001378b  G6a2/G6a2.T. Dem Hse cand-ctnl lib
V001379a  G6b1/G6b1.T. Rep Hse cand placemt-lib
V001379b  G6b2/G6b2.T. Rep Hse cand-ctnl lib
V001380a  G7.1/G7.1.T. Dem Party placemnt-lib
V001380b  G7.2/G7.2.T. Rep Party placemnt-lib
V001381a  G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-ctnl lib
V001381b  G7a2/G7a2.T. Rep Hse cand-ctnl lib
V001382  G8/G8.T. Dem Party placemnt lib-con scale
V001383  G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con
V001384  G10/G10.T. Reform Party placemnt lib-con

G11 - SERVICES/SPENDING TRADEOFF
----------------------------------------------------
V001385  FR  G11a. R placement- services/spend scale
V001386  TB  G11a.T. R plcmnt-services/spend branch
V001387  TB  G11a1.T. How much reduce services/spend
V001388  TB  G11a2.T. How much increase servcs/spend
V001389  TB  G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec
V001390  B  G11ax1. Summary R serv/spend scale- branching
V001390  BR  G11ax2. Summary R serv/spend scale- branch & scale

-----------------------------------------------------
G11b. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05
V001391a FR G11b(1.) Dem Hse candidate on services/spend
V001391b FR G11b(2.) #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
V001392a TB G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spend
V001392b TB G11b(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv/spend
V001393a TB G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend
V001393b TB G11b1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much red
V001394a TB G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much increase serv/sp
V001394b TB G11b2(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incr
V001395a TB G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cand- if serv/spnd chngd, inc/dec
V001395b TB G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chngd, incr/decr

G11c(1). Repub House candidate on services/spend
V001397a FR G11c(2). #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spend
V001398a TB G11c(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spend
V001398b TB G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
V001399a TB G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
V001399b TB G11c1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc
V001400a TB G11c2(1).T. Rep Hse cand-how much increase serv/spd
V001400b TB G11c2(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incre
V001401a TB G11c3(1).T. Rep Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de
V001401b TB G11c3(2).T. #2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang

G11cx1. Summary Dem cand serv/spend - branching
V001396 BR G11bx2. Summary Dem cand serv/spend -branch & scale
V001396a

G11cx1. Summary Rep cand serv/spend plcmt -branching
V001402a

G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale
V001403

G12a. How important is abortion issue to R
V001404

G12b. Checkpoint: number of cands/VT01/VA05
V001405

V001405a
V001405b
V001406a
V001406b
V001407a
V001407b
V001408a
V001408b

G12c(1). Repub House candidate placment on abortion
V001407b

G12c(2). #2 Ind inc Hse cand plac on abort
V001408a

G12c(2).T. #2 Ind inc Hse cand placmt on abort
V001408b

G12c(2).T. #2 Ind inc Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de
V001408b

G12c(2).T. #2 Ind inc Hse cand- if srv/spd chang
V001402

G11cx1. Summary Rep cand serv/spend plcmt -branching
V001402a

G13. R thinks of themself as Republican or Democrat

G13 - Party id without independent mention

V001409

H1-H3 - R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION

V001410

H1. R better/worse off in last year financially
V001411a

H1a. How much better off in last yer financially
V001411b

H1b. How much worse off last year financially
V001412

H1x1. Summary of R's financial situation in last year
V001412a

H1x2. Pre & Post Summary of R's financial situation
V001413

H2. Put off medical or dental treatmnt in last year
V001413a

H2x. Pre & post- Put off medical or dental treatmnt
V001415

H3a. How much better off next year financially
H3b. How much worse off next year financially
H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year
H3x. Pre & post Summary: R financial expectations

H4 - FEAR OF BEING ASSAULT VICTIM

H4. How afraid is R of assault in next year

J1- JURY DUTY

J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty

J2 - GOVERNMENT ROLE AND SELF-RELIANCE

J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do
J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrkt
J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms
J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant

J3-J10 - MEDIA/INTERNET EXPOSURE AND INFORMATION

J3. # times R watched jeopardy
J4. # times R watched wheel of fortune
J5. # times R watched morning news
J6. # times R watched daytime talk show
J7. Network news program R watches most
J8. How much can you trust the media
J9. Does R listen to political talk radio
J9a. How often does R listen to talk radio
J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio
J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access
J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet

J11- DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PARTIES

J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems
J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems
J11a1(2). #1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
J11a3(1). #3 difference b/w Reps/Dems
J11a3(2). #3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems
J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems
J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems
J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
K1- GROUP INFLUENCE: TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE, RIGHT AMT

V001437 K1a. Whites influence
V001438 K1b. Blacks influence
V001439 K1c. Hispanics influence
V001440 K1d. Asian-Americans influence
V001441 K1e. Jews influence
V001442 K1f. Protestants influence
V001443 K1g. Catholics influence
V001444 K1h. Men influence
V001445 K1j. Women influence

K2 - OFFICE RECOGNITION OF POLITICAL FIGURES

V001446a S K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard
V001446b E K2a.E. Identify Trent Lott -experimental
V001447 K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott
V001448 E K2al.E. Identify Trent Lott - DK probe used

V001449a S K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard
V001449b E K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental
V001450 K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist
V001451 E K2bl.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used

V001452a S K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard
V001452b E K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair -experimental
V001453 K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair
V001454 E K2cl.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used

V001455a S K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard
V001455b E K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental
V001456 K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno
V001457 E K2dl.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used

K3-K4 - KNOWLEDGE ABOUT PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

V001458 K3a. In what state does Bush live
V001459 K3al. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001460 K3b. What is Bush's religion
V001461 K3bl. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001462 K4a. What state is Gore from
V001463 K4al. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001464 K4b. What is Gore's religion
V001465 K4bl. Respondent was probed for DK answer

K5-K6 - KNOWLEDGE ABOUT VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES

V001466 K5a. In what state does Cheney live
V001467 K5al. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001468 K5b. What is Cheney's religion
V001469 K5bl. Respondent was probed for DK answer
V001470 K6a. In what state does Lieberman live
V001471 K6al. Respondent was probed for DK answer
K6b. What is Lieberman's religion

K6bl. Respondent was probed for DK answer

K7 - INVOLVEMENT IN VOLUNTEER WORK

K7. Volunteer work in last year

K8-K10 - TRUST IN PEOPLE

K8. Are people trustworthy

K9. People take advantage or act fairly

K10. People helpful or selfish

K11 - DISCRIMINATION AGAINST HOMOSEXUALS

K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrim homosexu

K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals

K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals

K11x. Summary proctng homosxls against job discrim

K12 - WAYS TO REDUCE CRIME

K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish

K12a.T. R placmnt crime -address social prbl/punish

K12a1.T. How much btr is approach -adressing social

K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls

K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better

K12ax. Summary branch- R plcmt on approach

K13-K14 -WORRY ABOUT WAR

K13. How worried is R about nuclear war

K14. How worried is R about conventional war

K15-K16 - CAMPAIGN FINANCE

K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ

K16. How should the financing of campaigns change

(there are no items L1-L4)

L5-L7 - INVOLVEMENT WITH COMMUNITY OR ISSUE

L5. Worked on community issue in last year

L6. Contacted public official to express in last year

L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year
L8 - ORGANIZATIONAL INVOLVEMENT AND INFLUENCE
-----------------------------------------------------
V001494  L8. Is R a member of any organizations
V001495  L8a. How many organizations is R currently a member
V001496  L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org
V001497  L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government
V001498  L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools
V001499  L8bb. 2+ orgs-how many hours per week spent for org
V001500  L8cc. 2+ orgs do orgs try to influence government
V001501  L8dd. 2+ orgs do orgs try to influence schools
V001502  L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org
V001503  L8x2. Summary org influence schools
V001504  L8x3. Summary org influence government
V001505  L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year

L10 - PROTEST
-----------------------------------------------------
V001506  L10. Taken part in Protest or march in last year
V001507  L10a. How many times protested/marched

M3 - POSITION OF BLACKS IN SOCIETY
(there are no items m1-m2)
-----------------------------------------------------
V001508  M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors
V001509  M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve
V001510  M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be weloff
V001511  M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today

N1 - POWER OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
-----------------------------------------------------
V001512  N1. R have opinion on strength of federal govt
V001513  N1a. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong
V001514  N1al. Should govt become more powerful
V001515  N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt

N2 - POLITICAL EFFICACY OF THE RESPONDENT
-----------------------------------------------------
V001516  N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstdg of pol issues
V001517  N2b/N2b.T. R well-qulfd to participate in politics
V001518  N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office
V001519  N2d/N2d.T. Better informed about govt than most
V001520  N3. Does R believe their vote matters

P1 - EGALITARIANISM
-----------------------------------------------------
V001521  P1a/P1a.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr
V001522  P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
V001523  P1c/P1c.T. We don't give everyone equal chance
V001524  P1d/P1d.T. Better if we worried less about equality
V001525  P1e/P1e.T. OK if some people have more chances than
V001526  P1f/P1f.T. We'd have fewr probs if people treated eq
Q1 - EXTERNAL POLITICAL EFFICACY
-------------------------------------
V001527 Q1a/Q1a.T. Public officials don't care
V001528 Q1b/Q1b.T. People don't have say in govt
V001529 Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated

Q2 - MORAL TRADITIONALISM
-------------------------------------
V001530 Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown
V001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to changed moral behavior
V001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less problems if emphasize traditional family ties
V001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality

Q3-Q6 - TRUST IN GOVERNMENT / MASS SUPPORT
-------------------------------------
V001534 Q3a. How much can govt be trusted
V001535 Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste
V001536 Q5. Govt run by big interests or for benefit of all
V001537 Q6. How many in govt are crooked

Q7-Q8 - GOVERNMENT RESPONSIVENESS
-------------------------------------
V001538 Q7. Elections make govt pay attention
V001539 Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions

R1 - GROUPS R FEELS CLOSE TO
-------------------------------------
V001540 F R1a. Close to whites FTF
V001540a T R1a.T. Close to whites phone
V001541 R1ax. Summary close to whites
V001542 F R1b. Close to poor people FTF
V001542a T R1b.T. Close to poor people phone
V001543 R1bx. Summary close to poor people
V001544 F R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF
V001544a T R1c.T. Close to Asian americans phone
V001545 R1cx. Summary close to Asian Americans
V001546 F R1d. Close to liberals FTF
V001546a T R1d.T. Close to liberals phone
V001547 R1dx. Summary close to liberals
V001548 F R1e. Close to elderly FTF
V001548a T R1e.T. Close to elderly phone
V001549 R1ex. Summary close to elderly
V001550 F R1f. Close to blacks FTF
V001550a T R1f.T. Close to blacks phone
V001551 R1fx. Summary close to blacks
V001552 F R1g. Close to labor unions FTF
V001552a T R1g.T. Close to labor unions phone
V001553 R1gx. Summary close to labor unions
V001554 F R1h. Close to feminists FTF
V001554a T R1h.T. Close to feminists phone
V001555 R1hx. Summary close to feminists
V001556 F R1j. Close to southerners FTF
R2-R4 - CHARACTERISTICS OF RACIAL GROUPS

- Hardworking
  - Whites
  - Blacks
  - Hispanic-Americans
  - Asian-Americans

- Intelligence
  - Whites
  - Blacks
  - Hispanic-Americans
  - Asian-Americans

- Trustworthy
  - Whites
  - Blacks
  - Hispanic-Americans
  - Asian-Americans

R5 - QUALITIES TO ENCOURAGE IN CHILDREN

- Independence or respect for elders
- Obedience or self-reliance
- Curiosity or good manners
- Considerate or well behaved

S1-S11 - CLINTON LEGACY
(Rs were asked Clinton Legacy in either pre or post. See summary variables "..x1" for full sample)
S1. Budget deficit lar/smaller since 1992
S1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
S1x. Summary - budget deficit since 92
S1x1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit
S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92
S2a. Spend poor a lot/somewhat more/less
S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92
S2x1. Summary pre & post - spending on poor
S3. Economy better/worse since 1992
S3a. Economy much/somewhat better
S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
S3x. Summary - economy since 1992
S3x1. Summary pre & post - econ since 92
S4. Clinton made economy better/worse since 92
S4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse
S4x1. Summary pre & post - Clinton made econ
S5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
S5x. Summary pre & post Clinton admin hurt/help
S6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
S6a. U.S. much/somewhat more secure
S6b. U.S. much/somewhat less secure
S6x. Summary - U.S. security
S6x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. security
S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
S7a. Clinton made much/smwt more secure
S7b. Clinton made much/smwt less secure
S7x. Summ-Clinton impact on U.S. security
S7x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on secur
S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92
S8a. U.S. crime rate much/smwt better
S8b. U.S. crime rate much/smwt worse
S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate
S8x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. crime rate
S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwt bettr
S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwt worse
S9x. Summ-Clinton impact on crime rate
S9x1.Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime
S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92
S10a. Moral climate much/smwt better
S10b. Moral climate much/smwt worse
S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92
S10x1. Summ pre & post - moral climate since 92
S11. Clinton made moral climate better/worse
S11a. Clinton made moral clim mch/s better
S11b. Clinton made moral clim mch/s worse
S11x. Summ-Clinton impact on moral climate
S11x1.Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on moral climate

S14 - CLINTON AFFECTS
(Rs were asked Clinton Affects & traits in either pre or post. See summary variables for full sample)
S14a. Clinton makes R angry
S14ax. Summ pre & post Clinton - angry
S14al. How often Clinton makes R angry
S14a1x. Summ pre & post - how often angry
S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful
S14bx. Summ pre & post Clinton - hopeful
S14b1. How often Clinton makes R hopeful
S14b1x. Summ pre & post - how often hopeful
S14c. Clinton makes R afraid
S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid
S14cl. How often Clinton makes R afraid
S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid
S14d. Clinton makes R proud
S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud
S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud
S14d1x. Summ pre & post - how often proud

S15 - CLINTON TRAITS

S15a. Clinton trait - moral
S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral
S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people
S15bx. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait-cares
S15c. Clinton trait - knowledgeable
S15cx. Summ pre & post-Clinton knowledgeable
S15d. Clinton trait-strong leadership
S15dx. Summ pre & post-Clinton leadership
S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest
S15ex. Summ pre & post-Clinton dishonest
S15f. Clinton trait - intelligent
S15fx. Summ pre & post-Clinton-intelligent
S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch
S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch

T1-T5 - CAMPAIGN MEDIA EXPOSURE

T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv
T1a. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate
T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio
T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches & diss
T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news
T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news

T1-T5 - OPINION ABOUT TWO PARTY SYSTEM

T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party

T6 - DEMOCRACY IN THE UNITED STATES

T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy
V1-V4 - BUSH LEGACY
----------------------------------------------------
V001652 V1. Bush effect on US economy
V001653 V1a. How much better Bush made economy
V001654 V1b. How much worse Bush made economy
V001655 V1x. Summary: Bush effect on economy
V001656 V2. Bush made US more secure
V001657 V2a. Bush made US how much more secure
V001658 V2b. Bush made US how much less secure
V001659 V2x. Summary: Bush effect on security
V001660 V3. Bush effect on US crime rate
V001661 V3a. Bush made crime rate how much better
V001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse
V001663 V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime
V001664 V4. Bush effect on moral climate
V001665 V4a. Bush moral climate how much better
V001666 V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse
V001667 V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate

V5 - TRAITS - FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH
----------------------------------------------------
V001668 V5a. Bush trait - moral
V001669 V5b. Bush trait - cares about people
V001670 V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
V001671 V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership
V001672 V5e. Bush trait - dishonest
V001673 V5f. Bush trait - intelligent
V001674 V5g. Bush trait - out of touch

Y5-Y17 - CHARACTERISTICS OF WORK COLLEAGUES
----------------------------------------------------
(there are no items y1-y4)

V001675 Y5. Is R currently working
V001676a Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1
V001676b Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2
V001676c Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3
V001677 Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work
V001678 Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people
V001679 Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves
V001680 Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
V001681 Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
V001682 Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
V001683 Y8d. WRKS:Racial diversity of Rs coworkers
V001684 Y10. Laid off:Does R spend days alone/with others
V001685 Y11. LOff: Rs coworkers just looking out for selves
V001686 Y11a. LOff: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
V001687 Y11b. LOff:Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
V001688 Y11c. LOFF: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
V001689 Y15. Retired:Does R spend days alone/with others
V001690 Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves
V001691 Y16a. RET:Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage
V001692 Y16b. RET:Were Rs coworkers respectful
V001693 Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
V001694 Y17x. Summary: R work with others
Z1-Z24 - POLITICAL DISCUSSION IN SOCIAL NETWORK

- Person R discusses politics with: name 1
- Person R discusses politics with: name 2
- Person R discusses politics with: name 3
- Person R discusses politics with: name 4

Z9. Is name 1 relative
Z9a. Is name 1 male or female
Z9b. Is name 1 coworker
Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R
Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor
Z10. How often does R discuss politics with name 1
Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics
Z12. How name 1 voted in election

Z13. Is name 2 relative
Z13a. Is name 2 male or female
Z13b. Is name 2 coworker
Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R
Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor
Z14. How often does R discuss politics with name 2
Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics
Z16. How name 2 voted in election

Z17. Is name 3 relative
Z17a. Is name 3 male or female
Z17b. Is name 3 coworker
Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with R
Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor
Z18. How often does R discuss politics with name 3
Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics
Z20. How name 3 voted in election

Z21. Is name 4 relative
Z21a. Is name 4 male or female
Z21b. Is name 4 coworker
Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R
Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor
Z22. How often does R discuss politics with name 4
Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics
Z24. How name 4 voted in election

Z25-Z29 - R'S NEIGHBORHOOD

- Has R worked with neighbor on common issue/year
- How satisfied is R with neighborhood
- Are neighbors just looking out for themselves
- Do neighbors try to take advantage of others
- Do neighbors treat others with respect
V001740 Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors
V001741 Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood

Z28 - R'S SATISFACTION WITH LIFE

V001742 Z28. How satisfying is Rs life

ZZ1-ZZ8 - INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION

V001743a F ZZ1(1). Others present for interview
V001743b F ZZ1(2). Others present for interview
V001743c F ZZ1(3). Others present for interview
V001744 ZZ2. Rs cooperation
V001745 ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics
V001746 ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence
V001747 ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem
V001748 ZZ6. How great Rs interest in IW
V001749 ZZ7. How sincere did R seem
V001750 ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity
V001751a ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW
V001751b ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW
V001751c ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW
V001751d ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW
V001751e ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW
V001751f ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
V001751g ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW

POST RANDOMIZATION DESCRIPTIONS

V001752 Post-Rand.C9(2).
V001753 Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.
V001754 Post-Rand.D2g.
V001755 Post-Rand.D2h.
V001756 Post-Rand.D2j.
V001757 Post-Rand.D2k.
V001758 Post-Rand.D2m.
V001759 Post-Rand.D2n.
V001761 Post-Rand.D3b.
V001762 Post-Rand.D3c.
V001763 Post-Rand.D3d.
V001764 Post-Rand.D3e.
V001765 Post-Rand.D3f.
V001766 Post-Rand.D3g.
V001767 Post-Rand.D3h.
V001768 Post-Rand.D3j.
V001769 Post-Rand.D3k.
V001770 Post-Rand.D3m.
V001771 Post-Rand.D3n.
V001773 Post-Rand.D3q.
V001774 Post-Rand.D3r.
V001775 Post-Rand.D3s.
V001776 Post-Rand.D3t.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V001777</td>
<td>Post-Rand.D3u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001778</td>
<td>Post-Rand.D3v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001779</td>
<td>Post-Rand.D3w.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001780</td>
<td>Post-Rand.D3x.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001781</td>
<td>Post-Rand.D3xx.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001782</td>
<td>Post-Rand.D3y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001783</td>
<td>Post-Rand.D3z.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001784</td>
<td>Post-Rand.E1/E3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001785</td>
<td>Post-Rand.G3-G5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001788</td>
<td>Post-Rand.G11b/c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001789</td>
<td>Post-Rand.G12b/c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001790</td>
<td>Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001791</td>
<td>Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001792</td>
<td>Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001793</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S14a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001794</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S14b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001795</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S14c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001796</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S14d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001798</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S15b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001799</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S15c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001800</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S15d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001801</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S15e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001802</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S15f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001803</td>
<td>Post-Rand.S15g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001805</td>
<td>Post-Rand.V5b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001806</td>
<td>Post-Rand.V5c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001807</td>
<td>Post-Rand.V5d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001808</td>
<td>Post-Rand.V5e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001809</td>
<td>Post-Rand.V5f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V001810</td>
<td>Post-Rand.V5g.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VARIABLE DESCRIPTION
2000 PRE-POST STUDY

============================================
VAR VERSION  Process.1. NES VERSION NUMBER OF DATA
               COLUMNS:  1 - 2
               Numeric

Process1.

VERSION NUMBER

This is the 2-digit number corresponding to version of the data file. (The initial release of the raw data file is data version 01.) See the introductory section of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset" numbers.

============================================
VAR DSETNO    Process.2. NES DATASET NUMBER
               COLUMNS:  3 - 8
               Character

Process2.

NES DATASET NUMBER

Dataset number 2000.T.
See the introductory section of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset" numbers.

============================================
VAR ICPSRNO   Process.2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131
               COLUMNS:  9 - 12
               Numeric

Process2a.

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131

This is the study number within the ICPSR archive.

============================================
VAR 000001    Process.4. Case ID
               COLUMNS:  13 - 16
               Numeric

Process.4.

NES 2000 CASE ID

NES 2000 Case ID corresponds to the Pre-election ID. There are gaps in the numbering (0001-1812)
VAR 000001a   Process.4a. Post ID
COLUMNS:  17 - 20
Numeric

Process.4a.

POST ID

There are gaps in the numbering.

0001-1557 Pos Interviews
2001-2254 Post non-interviews

VAR 000002    Process.5. Sample weight
COLUMNS:  21 - 26
Numeric DEC 4

Process.5.

Sample weight

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and
4 actual decimal places.
A poststratification adjustment using the 2000 CPS March
Supplement estimates as the standard was done for the combined
RDD and area samples. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age
groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29,
30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were:
< high school graduation, high school graduate, some college,
and 4 years of college or more.

VAR 000002a   Process.5a. Post weight
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  27 - 32
Numeric

Process.5a.

Sample weight for Post variables

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and
4 actual decimal places.

0. No Post IW
### Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0. Pre-Election and Post-Election interviews</td>
<td>1555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Pre-Election Interview only</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pre.Admin.1. Mode of Pre IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Personal (FTF)</td>
<td>1006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Telephone</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0. Section E not administered in Pre [Post section H V001410-V001417]</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Section E administered in Pre [V000398-V000406]</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The series of questions F6-F9 concerning "most important problem" was administered to a randomly selected half sample in the Pre.

0. R not selected for F6-F9 Pre administration
1. R selected for F6-F9 Pre administration [V000431-V000438]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rs were randomly selected to be administered either the Clinton retrospective (Q1-Q11) or the Clinton traits and affects questions (Q14-Q15 sections) in the Pre. The questions for which R was not selected for Pre administration were administered in the Post (S1-S11 and the S14-S15 sections).

1. Q1-Q11 asked in Pre [Clinton retrospective V000807-V000846]
2. Q14-Q15 sections asked in Pre [Clinton affects and traits V000847-V000861]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard 7-point scale format for Liberal/Conservative placements, or to an experimental branching format. The standard format items are G1-G5 (FTF) and G1.T-G5.T (phone); the experimental format items are G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone).

**SELF PLACEMENT**

- G1a Standard format FTF = V000439
- G1a.T Standard format Ph = V000439a

**CLINTON PLACEMENT**

- G2 Standard format FTF = V000448
- G2.T Standard format Ph = V000448a
- G7.E-G7b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000450-452

**GORE PLACEMENT**

- G3 Standard format FTF = V000455
- G3.T Standard format Ph = V000455a
- G8.E-G8b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000459-461

**GW BUSH PLACEMENT**

- G4 Standard format FTF = V000465
- G4.T Standard format Ph = V000465a

**BUCHANAN PLACEMENT**

- G5 Standard format FTF = V000475
- G5.T Standard format Ph = V000475a
- G10.E-G10b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000479-481


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000005e Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E**

**COLUMNS:** 39 - 39

**Numeric**

Pre-Admin.2e.

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H1) or experimental (H1.E) format.

1. Standard format H1 [V000488a]
2. Experimental format H1.E [V000488b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H2) or experimental (H2.E) format.

1. Standard format H1 [V000492a]
2. Experimental format H1.E [V000492b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H4) or experimental (H4.E) format.

1. Standard format H4 [V000496a]
2. Experimental format H4.E [V000496b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>896</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H11) or experimental (H11.E) format.
1. Standard format H11 [V000511a]
2. Experimental format H11.E [V000511b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================
VAR 000005j  Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E
COLUMNS:  43 - 43
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2j.

PRE: Form - H12 or H12.E isolationism format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H12) or experimental (H12.E) format.

1. Standard format H12 [V000513a]
2. Experimental format H12.E [V000513b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================
VAR 000005k  Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.
COLUMNS:  44 - 44
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2k.

PRE: Form - L3/L3.T or L3.E/L3.TE medical insurance format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Govt/Private Health Insurance self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is L3a; the experimental format (with reversed scale) is L3a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is L3a; the version 2 format (with reversed coding) is L3a.E.

1. FTF Standard L3a/ Phone Version 1 L3a.T [V000608a/V000610a]
2. FTF Experimental L3a.E/ Phone Version 2 L3a.TE [V000608b/V000610b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000005m  Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E
COLUMNS:  45 - 45
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2m.

PRE: Form - L6 or L6.E affirmative action format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (L6) or experimental (L6.E) format.

1. Standard format L6 [V00671a]
2. Experimental format L6.E [V00671b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000005n  Pre.Admin.2n. Form - M4 env vs. jobs
COLUMNS:  46 - 46
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2n.

PRE: Form - M4a/M4a.T or M4a.E/M4a.TE environment vs. jobs format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Environment Versus Jobs self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is M4a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is M4a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is M4a; the version 2 (without "haven't thought much" option) format is M4a.E.

1. FTF Standard M4a/ Phone Version 1 M4a.T [V00707a/V00709a]
2. FTF Experimental M4a.E/ Phone Version 2 M4a.TE [V00707b/V00709b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>932</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000005p  Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E
COLUMNS:  47 - 47
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2p.
Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (N1) or experimental (N1.E) format.

1. Standard format N1 [V000741a]
2. Experimental format N1.E [V000741b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 000005q Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role
COLUMNS: 48 - 48 Numeric

Pre-Admin.2q.

PRE: Form - P1/P1.T or P1.E/P1.TE women equal role format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Women's Equal Role self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is P1a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is P1a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is P1a.T; the version 2 format (without "haven't thought much" option) is P1a.TE.

1. FTF Standard P1a / Phone Version 1 P1a.T [V000754a/V000756a]
2. FTF Experimental P1a.E/Phone Version 2 P1a.TE [V000754b/V000756b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 000006 Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW
COLUMNS: 49 - 50 Numeric

Pre-Admin.3.

PRE: Month of Interview

09. September
10. October
11. November
VAR 000007  Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW
  COLUMNS:  51 - 52
  Numeric

Pre-Admin.4.

   PRE: Day of Interview
   ---------------------------------------------------------------

   Coded 1-31

VAR 000008  Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
  COLUMNS:  53 - 56
  Character

Pre-Admin.5.

   PRE: Month and day (MMDD) of Interview
   ---------------------------------------------------------------

   This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.

VAR 000009  Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election
  COLUMNS:  57 - 58
  Numeric

Pre-Admin.6.

   PRE: Number of days before election
   ---------------------------------------------------------------

   Election day was November 7, 2000.
   1-63 days

VAR 000010  Pre.Admin.7. IW length
  MD:  EQ 999.99
  COLUMNS:  59 - 66
  Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Admin.7.

   PRE: Interview length
   ---------------------------------------------------------------

   Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the
   beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument
   application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Average Pre interview length is 68.35 minutes.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

=================================
VAR 000011    Pre.Admin.8. IW number
COLUMNS:  67 - 68
Numeric

Pre-Admin.8.

PRE: Interview number

01-53

=================================
VAR 000012    Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file
COLUMNS:  69 - 69
Numeric

Pre-Admin.9.

PRE: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

0. VQ dated 8/15
1. VQ dated 8/30
2. VQ dated 9/5
3. VQ dated 9/6
4. VQ dated 9/8
5. VQ dated 9/25
6. VQ dated 9/28
7. VQ dated 9/29
8. VQ dated 10/5
9. VQ dated 10/19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
Pre-Admin.10.

PRE: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

0. VQ dated 8/15
1. VQ dated 8/30
2. VQ dated 9/5
3. VQ dated 9/6
4. VQ dated 9/8
5. VQ dated 9/25
6. VQ dated 9/28
7. VQ dated 9/29
8. VQ dated 10/5
9. VQ dated 10/19

99. INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Admin.11.

PRE: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change
1. Change in VQ

9. NA, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000015 Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent?
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 73 - 73
Numeric

Pre-Admin.12.

PRE: Advance letter sent?

0. No advance letter sent
1. Advance letter sent
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>1467</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000016 Pre.Admin.13. Payment amount
MD: EQ 99
COLUMNS: 74 - 75
Numeric

Pre-Admin.13.

PRE: Payment amount

00. R requested no payment
20. Twenty dollars
40. Forty dollars
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000017 Pre/Admin.14. Payment mode
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 76 - 76
Numeric
0. R requested no payment
1. Check
2. Cash
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Admin.14.
PRE: Payment mode

Pre-Admin.15.
PRE: Payment date

9999. NA; R requested no payment

Pre-Admin.16.
PRE: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

1. Yes
5. No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### VAR 000020 Pre.Admin.17. Verification

**COLUMNS: 82 - 82**  
**Numeric**

**Pre-Admin.17.**

**PRE: Verification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1509</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 000021 Pre.Admin.18. Evaluation

**COLUMNS: 83 - 83**  
**Numeric**

**Pre-Admin.18.**

**PRE: Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000022    Pre.Admin.19. Conversion indicator
COLUMNS: 84 - 84
Numeric

Pre-Admin.19.

PRE: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

0 1
----- ----- 
Count 1534 273

-------------------------------

VAR 000023    Pre.Admin.20. Persuasion letter
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS: 85 - 85
Numeric

Pre-Admin.20.

PRE: Persuasion letter - flag

0. No persuasion letter sent
1. Persuasion letter sent
9. NA

0 1 9
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1716 90 1

-------------------------------

VAR 000024    Pre.Admin.21. Date per letter requested
MD:  EQ 9999
COLUMNS: 86 - 89
Character

Pre-Admin.21.
PRE: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

9999. No persuasion letter requested

==============================
VAR 000025 Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
MD: EQ 9999
COLUMNS: 90 - 93
Character

Pre-Admin.22.

PRE: Date persuasion letter sent (MMDD)

9999. NA; INAP, no persuasion letter requested (9999 in Pre-Admin.21)

==============================
VAR 000026 Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 94 - 94
Numeric

Pre-Admin.23.

PRE: Type of persuasion letter

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home

9. NA
0. INAP, no persuasion letter sent (0,9 in Pre-Admin.20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE-Admin.24.

====================================================================
VAR 000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
COLUMNS: 95 - 96
Numeric

Pre-Admin.24.
PRE: Number of telephone calls

00-42

PRE: Number of face-to-face (FTF) calls

00-22

PRE: Final result

1. Interview, complete
5. Interview, partial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRE: Sample release (all 1)

All cases coded 1.
The single release of the entire sample.

1. Released at start of the field period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000031 Pre.Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English)
COLUMNS:  101 - 101
Numeric

Pre-Admin.28.

PRE: Language of IW (all English)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
All interviews conducted entirely in English (code 1)

1. English

  1
-----
Count 1807

VAR 000032 Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
COLUMNS:  102 - 102
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.1.

PRE - COVERSHEET:
Flag- missing Coversheet
---------------------------------------------------------------------
0. Coversheet not missing
1. Missing coversheet

  0   1
----- -----
Count 1783  24

VAR 000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  103 - 103
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.2.

PRE - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet
---------------------------------------------------------------------

FTF coversheets were blue or green.
Telephone coversheets were yellow.
GREEN COVERSHEETS: used by FTF interviewers when updating
the area probability sample. Type II updates involved addition
of unlisted (hidden) HUs at the selected listed address: for
example, once the IWR was inside the HU, he or she may have
noticed that the house was divided into separate and complete
apartment units that were not apparent from outside. Each
interviewer received a set of unlabeled coversheets that had a provision for adding up to three unlisted HUs discovered at the listed address.

1. Blue
2. Yellow
4. Green - FTF type II updating

9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000034 Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 104 - 104
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.3.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3:
Since the phone number I dialed is produced by a computer, I do not know whether I'm calling a business, a home, a dormitory, or some other type of residence. (Which is it?)

1. Business
2. Home
3. Both
4. Group headquarters
5. Cell phone

9. NA; Phone mode and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000035 Pre.CSheet.4. PH- business with residence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 105 - 105
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.4.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:
IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:
PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3a:
Does anyone live there on the premises?

1. Yes
5. No.
9. NA

0. Inap, 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheet.3; assigned FTF mode

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
----- & ----- \\
Count & 1805 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3b:
Do (they/you) have a different phone number inside (their/your) residence that is for personal use?

1. Have other
2. Use this
9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.4; 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheet.3; assigned FTF mode

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 2 \\
----- & ----- \\
Count & 1805 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 6:
Household listing obtained from:

VAR 000037 Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 107 - 107
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults. (An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or older by Election Day.) The Selection Table appears on the Coversheet below the Household Listing.

After a complete listing of the HH is taken, each eligible adult is assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1, males are numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females are numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then uses the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed.

The Selection Table itself consists of 2 parallel columns. In the first column the interviewer circles the total number of eligible adults in the household; in the second column (same row) is identified the Number" of the person who will be the respondent.
There are 8 different Selection Tables used and they are randomly assigned. [Note that although only 8 tables are used, there are 12 codes in this variable because the 8 tables are used in cycles of 12; this procedure is used to equalize the probability of selection for each eligible adult]. A summary describing all 8 Selection Tables appears below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSONS</th>
<th>PERSON SELECTED (person &quot;Number&quot;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A  B1   B2  C   D   E1 E2   F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1   1   1   2   2   2   2   2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1   1   1   2   3   3   3   3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1   1   2   3   3   4   4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1   2   2   4   3   5   5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 or more</td>
<td>1   2   2   4   5   5   6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Selection table A
2. Selection table B1
3. Selection table B2
4. Selection table C
5. Selection table D
6. Selection table E1
7. Selection table E2
8. Selection table F

9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
VAR 000039 Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults
MD: Eq 9
COLUMNS: 109 - 109
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.8.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number of eligible adults

Note: In addition to completing the HH listing on the coversheet, the IWR entered a value for number of eligible respondents in CSMS. For this reason, cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable.
### Pre-CSheet.9. Number ineligible adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>607</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These are usually adults (over 18) in the HU who are noncitizens. Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

### Pre-CSheet.10. Household composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1743</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age (by election day) or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.
A designation of a HH member as "the householder" (HHR) describes HU member who owns or rents the home (in whose name the lease is held). Cohabiting couples are coded as married (30, 40, 50-52). Roommates of opposite sex are coded 11, 12, 21, 22; in all cases of unrelated roommates the respondent is HHR.

If R identifies self as married/partnered but spouse/partner is residing elsewhere (nursing home, job requirement or other reason), R is coded as adult male HHR/adult female HHR (codes 10-22 and 60-95) and is identified as married/partnered in marital status question.

In cases 8, 49, 77, 157, 377, 535, 580, 814, 1087, 1117, 1202, 1212, 1381, 1639, 1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281, 1516, 46, 312, 133, 23, 222 there is no information about spouse/partner in HH listing or elsewhere other than marital status question.

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

10. 1 adult male HHR
11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
20. 1 adult female HHR
21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and nonrelatives
70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
95. Relatives of opposite sex, unclear who is HHR

99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>22</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000042 Pre.CSheet.11. R person number
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 113 - 113
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.11.
PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
R person number

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

Coded 1-5
9. NA

VAR 000043 Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 114 - 114
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.12.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)
9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode
0 | 1 | 2 | 3 
---|---|---|---
Count | 801 | 38 | 658 | 47

0 | 1 | 2 | 3 
---|---|---|---
Count | 166 | 35 | 32 | 30

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 
---|---|---|---|---
Count | 801 | 36 | 33 | 876 | 61

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 117 - 117
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.15.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000047 Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 118 - 118
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.15
VAR 000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist-too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 119 - 119
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.17.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

VAR 000049 Pre.CSheet.18. Contact resist-confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 120 - 120
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.18.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15
Pre-CSheet.19.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.20.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-CSheet.21. Contact resist-health

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.22. Contact resist-other

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.23. R refuse initially?

MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  125 - 125
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.23.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?  

1. Yes  
5. No  
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.24.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments

0. None  
1. One  
2. Two or more  
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.25.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

1. Yes  
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============

VAR 000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 128 - 128
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.26.

SUMMARY: resistance (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============

VAR 000058 Pre.CSheet.27. R resist-waste time
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 129 - 129
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15
Pre-CSheet.28.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):
Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.29.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000061  Pre.CSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  132 - 132
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.30.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================

VAR 000062  Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  133 - 133
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.31.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================

VAR 000063  Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  134 - 134
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.32.
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-CSheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000064 Pre.CSheet.33. R resist-other
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 135 - 135
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.33.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-CSheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000065 Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 136 - 136
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION (F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?
1. Under $10,000
2. $10,000-25,000
3. $25,000-50,000
4. $50,000-105,000
5. $105,000 and above

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>801</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>249</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Post equivalent of this variable in Post-CSheet.31.

1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>801</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000067  Pre.CSheet.36. Certainty of race (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  138 - 138
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.36.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000068  Pre.CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  139 - 139
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.37.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also V000184d, V000184e (Post version of these variables)
and Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode
**Pre.CSheet.38. Certainty if Hisp (CSMS)**

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9**

**COLUMNS: 140 - 140**

**Numeric**

**Pre-CSheet.38.**

**IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:**

**IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre - COVERSHEET</th>
<th>CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):</td>
<td>Is this definite or probable?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Definite</td>
<td>5. Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. NA</td>
<td>0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Data Table

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record**

**COLUMNS: 141 - 144**

**Numeric**

**Pre-IWR.1.**

**PRE: Interviewer of record ID**

4-digit ID

**Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor**

**COLUMNS: 145 - 148**

**Numeric**

**Pre-IWR.2.**

**PRE: Supervisor ID**

4-digit ID
### Pre-IWR.3. Interviewer gender

**PRE:** Interviewer gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Male</th>
<th>2. Female</th>
<th>9. NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>1581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pre-IWR.4. Interviewer education

**PRE:** Interviewer education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pre-IWR.5. Interviewer race

**PRE:** Interviewer race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Male</th>
<th>2. Female</th>
<th>9. NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
7. Other

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000075 Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 152 - 152
Numeric

Pre-IWR.6.

PRE: Interviewer ethnicity

0. No ethnic identity coded
1. Hispanic
7. Other

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1561</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000076 Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 153 - 153
Numeric

Pre-IWR.7.

PRE: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
Pre-IWR.8.

**PRE: Years Interviewer experience**

Interviewer's years of experience and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>99. NA</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-IWR.9.

**PRE: Interviewer age (bracketed)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. NA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Pre-Sample.1.

ICPSR state code - interview location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preload code</th>
<th>State Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Washington DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>MONTANA STATES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>MOUNTAIN STATES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>PACIFIC STATES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>EXTERNAL STATES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Misidentified location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Note

3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

---

## Pre-Sample.2.

Equality of States:

### Note

96. Misidentified location

99. NA

---
Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

01. Alabama 30. Montana
02. Alaska 31. Nebraska
04. Arizona 32. Nevada
05. Arkansas 33. New Hampshire
06. California 34. New Jersey
08. Colorado 35. New Mexico
10. Delaware 37. North Carolina
12. Florida 39. Ohio
13. Georgia 40. Oklahoma
15. Hawaii 41. Oregon
16. Idaho 42. Pennsylvania
17. Illinois 44. Rhode Island
18. Indiana 45. South Carolina
19. Iowa 46. South Dakota
20. Kansas 47. Tennessee
22. Louisiana 49. Utah
23. Maine 50. Vermont
24. Maryland 51. Virginia
25. Massachusetts 53. Washington
26. Michigan 54. West Virginia
27. Minnesota 55. Wisconsin
28. Mississippi 56. Wyoming
29. Missouri

96. Misidentified location
99. NA
VAR 000082    Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
MD1: EQ 9999,  MD2: GE 0
COLUMNS:  165 - 168
Numeric

Pre-Sample.4.

2000 FIPS state code and congressional district number - interview location
---------------------------------------------------------------------

FIPS state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in last 2 digits.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

====================================================================

VAR 000083    Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
MD1: EQ 99,  MD2: GE 0
COLUMNS:  169 - 170
Numeric

Pre-Sample.5.

Congressional district number - interview location
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Codes 1-52.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

96. Misidentified location
99. NA
00. Washington D.C.

====================================================================

VAR 000084    Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
MD1: EQ 9999,  MD2: GE 0
COLUMNS:  171 - 174
Numeric

Pre-Sample.6.

ICPSR state code and congressional district - interview location
---------------------------------------------------------------------

ICPSR state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in last 2 digits.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

VAR 000085  Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
            MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
            COLUMNS:  175 - 175
            Numeric

Pre-Sample.7.

Did R vote in state and CD of interview?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
0. R voted in state and CD of interview
1. R did not vote in state and CD of interview
8. NA if vote was in state/CD of interview (4 in Post C1 and 8,9,0 in Post C3)
9. INAP, R did not vote or NA/DK if voted; no Post interview; IW location misidentified in the Preload (1 in Post-Summary.24)

   0 1 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1131 22 28 626

VAR 000086  Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD
            MD1: EQ 9999,  MD2: GE 0
            COLUMNS:  176 - 179
            Numeric

Pre-Sample.8.

State and CD of vote cast outside CD of interview
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The first 2 digits represent the state FIPS code, and the second 2 digits are CD number. Where the state differs but the CD number is unknown, the state will be coded in the first 2 digits but the last 2 digits will be 99.

9999. NA if voted outside CD of interview (8 in Pre-Sample.7)
0000. INAP, 0,9 in Pre-Sample.7

VAR 000087  Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county
            MD:  EQ 0
            COLUMNS:  180 - 180
            Numeric
Pre-Sample.9.

FIPS state and county - interview location

This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality. The FIPS State code is represented in digits 1 and 2 of this variable, the FIPS County code in the last 3 digits.

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

00000. Telephone sample (RDD)

=================================================================

VAR 000087a  Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha)
    COLUMNS:  181 - 181 Character

Pre-Sample.9a.

Name of County - Interview location (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

=================================================================

VAR 000088    Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name
    COLUMNS:  182 - 201 Character

Pre-Sample.10.

Primary area name - interview location

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. This is a character variable for the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) name.

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable.

=================================================================

VAR 000089    Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code
    MD:  EQ 0
    COLUMNS:  202 - 204 Numeric

Pre-Sample.11.

Primary area code - interview location
This variable has been blanked (0) to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable.

110. Boston, MA             230. Milwaukee, WI
120. New York, NY           239. Wheelng-Steubenvl OH
121. Philadelphia, PA-NJ    240. Des Moines, IA
122. Nassau-Suffolk, NY     250. Richmond-Ptrsbrg, VA
130. Chicago, IL            255. Columbus, GA-AL
131. Detroit, MI            257. Jacksonville, FL
132. Cleveland, OH          258. Lakeld-Wntr Haven FL
141. St Louis, MO-IL        260. Knoxville, TN
150. Washington, DC-MD-VA   262. Birmingham, AL
152. Baltimore, MD          273. Waco, TX
154. Miami-Hialeh, FL       274. McAllen, TX
170. Houston, TX            280. Salt Lake C-Ogden UT
171. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX    292. Fresno, CA
181. Denver, CO             293. Eugene-Springfld, OR
190. Los Angeles, CA        434. Saginaw, MI
191. Seattle-Tacoma, WA     464. Gardner, MA
194. Anaheim, CA            466. Decatur Co., IN
196. San Francisco, CA      470. Mower Co., MN
211. New Haven, CT          474. DeSoto Parish, LA
213. Manchester-Nashua NH   477. Chicot Co., AR
226. Atlantic City, NJ      482. El Dorado Co., CA

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

============================================
VAR 000090   Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)
            MD:  EQ 0
            COLUMNS:  205 - 205
            Numeric

Pre-Sample.12.

Segment number (blanked) - interview location

                            -------------------------------------------

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).
This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

3-digit code and:

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

============================================
VAR 000090a  Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)
              COLUMNS:  206 - 206
              Character
Pre-Sample.13.

Segment name (blanked) - interview location

---------------------------------------------------------------------

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and
segment identification, it could not be provided with segment
level data, including this variable (blank).
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

====================================================================

VAR 000091 Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  207 - 207
Numeric

Pre-Sample.14.

Number of household unit

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Codes 1-5 and:

9. NA
0. Phone IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 000092 Pre.Sample.15. Census region
COLUMNS:  208 - 208
Numeric

Pre-Sample.15.

Census region - interview location

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND,
   OH, SD, WI)
3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC,
   OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA,
   WY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Sample.16.

Belt code - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
Coded according to the 1990 Census and 1990 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions, 1990 Census Population reports (as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro, City and County Data book) and 1990 Census "urbanized area" (as shown in the 1994 Rand McNally Road Atlas - 70th edition.

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, segment level data, including this variable, could not be provided.

1. Central Cities of the six largest (population over 4,500,000) Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CMSAs), plus the 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs (population over 2,000,000)
2. Central Cities of CMSAs and MSAs with fewer than 2,000,000 population (exclusive of those in the 21 largest CMSA/MSAs -- code 1)
3. Suburbs of the six largest CMSA/MSAs
4. Suburb of all other CMSA/MSAs
5. Adjacent Areas: includes all territory beyond the outer boundary of the suburban belt, but within 50 miles of the central business district of a central city
6. Outlying Area: includes all territory more than 50 miles from the central business district of a central city

0. Telephone sample (RDD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================
VAR 000094    Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996
COLUMNS:  210 - 213
Numeric
Pre-Sample.17.
Population in 1000s - interview location
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The actual 1990 Census Place population is coded in thousands, rounding to the nearest whole thousand (from the 1990 Population total for the city/place as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro City and County Data Book).
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).
Actual population in 100s and:
9996. Telephone sample (RDD)
9999. 9,998,500 persons or over
0000. Rural place, no defined Census Place, or Census Place with fewer than 500 persons

====================================================================
VAR 000095    Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  214 - 215
Numeric
Pre-Sample.18.
Census size of place - interview location
=====================================================================
See the appendix on CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
Coded according to the 1990 Census. The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place codes 21 and 41 include areas that are in the "urban fringe" but are not Census Places.
Note for Codes 50-53: in a few cases, Non-MSA PSUs (based on the 1980 OMB SMSA definitions) contain areas which are now part of a 1990 PMSA or MSA (based on the June 1990 OMB definition). In these cases, the Size of Place Code for any SSUs (segments) within such areas are coded in the "40s" rather than in the "50s" as shown. This occurred in El Dorado county CA (the major part of the El Dorado/Alpine Co, CA PSU #82) which is now a part of the Sacramento CA MSA, and also for one SSU (segment) in the Gardner MA PSU (#64), which is now a part of the Worcester MA MSA.
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and
segment identification, segment level data, including this variable, could not be provided.

THE SIX LARGEST CMSAs PLUS THE 15 NEXT LARGEST CMSAs/MSAs (i.e. those coded 1 or 3 [possibly 5, rarely 6]
11. Central Cities (these would have been coded 1 on the Belt Code SUBURBS OF THE 6 LARGEST CMSAs AND THE 15 NEXT LARGEST
20. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population
21. 2,500-9,999 plus other urbanized areas ("urban fringe" not in Census places)
22. 10,000-29,999
23. 30,000-49,999
24. 50,000-99,999
25. 100,000-149,999
26. 150,000-349,999
27. 350,000 and over, exclusive of cities coded 11
CMSAs/MSAs W/LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION CENTRAL CITIES IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION (2 on Belt Code):
30. Under 50,000 (identified by OMB, June 1990, as Central Cities
31. 50,000-99,999
32. 100,000-149,999
33. 150,000-349,999
34. 350,000 and over
SUBURBS IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000
40. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population)
41. 2,500-9,999
42. 10,000-29,999
43. 30,000-49,999
44. 50,000-99,999
45. 100,000-149,999
46. 150,000 and over
"NON-CMSA/PMSA/MASs"
50. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,000,000 population)
51. 2,500-9,999
52. 10,000-29,999
53. 30,000-49,999

00. Telephone sample (RDD)

================================
VAR 000096 Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 216 - 216
Numeric

Pre-Sample.19.

Census tract/ed indicator - interview location (blanked)

---------------------------
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).
This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent
0. Enumeration district
1. Tracted
9. Telephone sample (RDD)

VAR 000097 Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
COLUMNS: 217 - 219
Numeric

Pre-Sample.20.

2000 Sampling Error code
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See Sampling documentation in this codebook. The first 2 digits contain
the 200 Sampling Error Stratum code and the last digit represents the 2000 SECU or
Sampling Error Computation Unit code.

VAR 000098 Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
COLUMNS: 220 - 223
Numeric

Pre-Sample.21.

2000 Census NECMA/SMSA - interview location
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix
CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and
segment identification, it could not be provided with segment
level data, including this variable (blank).

360. Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA
520. Atlanta, GA
560. Atlantic City, NJ
720. Baltimore, MD
875. Bergen-Passaic, NJ PMSA (Former code and title: 6040
Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ)
1000. Birmingham, AL
1123. Boston-Lawrence-Salem-Lowell-Brockton, MA NECMA
1125. Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA
1145. Brazoria, TX PMSA
1280. Buffalo, NY
1600. Chicago, IL
1680. Cleveland OH
1800. Columbus, GA-AL
1920. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX
2000. Dayton, OH
2080. Denver-Boulder, CO
2120. Des Moines, IA
2160. Detroit, MI
2400. Eugene-Springfield, OR
2760. Fort Wayne, IN
2800. Fort Worth-Arlington TX, PMSA
2840. Fresno, CA
3000. Grand Rapids, MI
3360. Houston, TX
3600. Jacksonville, FL
3760. Kansas City, MO-KS
3840. Knoxville, TN
3965. Lake County, IL PMSA
3980. Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL
4480. Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
4720. Madison WI
4763. Manchester-Nashua, NH NECMA
4880. McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg, TX
5000. Miami, FL
5015. Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ PMSA (former code and
      title: 5460 New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville NJ)
5080. Milwaukee, WI
5120. Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI
5350. Nashua, NH PMSA
5380. Nassau-Suffolk, NY
5480. New Haven-West Haven, CT
5483. New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA
5600. New York, NY-NJ
5640. Newark, NJ
5700. Niagara Falls, NY PMSA
5775. Oakland, CA PMSA
6160. Philadelphia, PA-NJ
6200. Phoenix, AZ
6280. Pittsburgh, PA
6453. Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, NH NECMA
6760. Richmond, VA
6780. Riverside-San Bernardino, Ontario, CA
6920. Sacramento, CA (1990 MSA definition)
6960. Saginaw, MI
7040. St. Louis, MO-IL
7160. Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT MSA
7360. San Francisco-Oakland, CA
7600. Seattle- Everett, WA
8003. Springfield, MA NECMA
8080. Steubenville-Wierton, OH-WV
8200. Tacoma, WA
8800. Waco, TX
8840. Washington, DC-MD-VA
9000. Wheeling, WV-OH
9243. Worcester-Fitchburg-Leominster, MA NECMA
0000. INAP; location not in SMSA (MSA)/ NECMA
9996. Telephone sample (RDD)

==================================================================

VAR 000099 Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 224 - 225
Numeric

Pre-Sample.22.
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
The six largest CMSA's are marked with **.
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).

07. Boston-Lawrence-Salem MA-NH
10. Buffalo-Niagara Falls NY
14. Chicago-Gary-Lake County IL-IN-WI**
28. Cleveland-Akron-Lorain OH
31. Dallas-Ft Worth TX (Since Dallas and Ft Worth were made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added)
34. Denver-Boulder CO (Since Denver and Boulder were made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added in
35. Detroit-Ann Arbor MI**
42. Houston-Galveston-Brazoria TX
49. Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside CA**
56. Miami-Fort Lauderdale FL
63. Milwaukee-Racine WI
70. New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT**
77. Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton PA-NJ-DE-MD**
78. Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley PA
84. San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose CA**
91. Seattle-Tacoma WA

96. Telephone sample (RDD)
00. INAP, Location not in CMSA. This includes old code 32 (Dayton-Springfield, OH) which is no longer consolidated in 1990 since Dayton and Springfield are combined in a single MSA in 1990)

Pre-Sample.23.

2000 Census Tract 1 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank).
This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Census tract number and:

000.00 Not tracted
996.00 Telephone sample (RDD)
VAR 000101  Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  227 - 227
Numeric

Pre-Sample.24.
2000 Census Tract 2 - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and
segment identification, it could not be provided with segment
level data, including this variable (blank).
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

Census tract number and:
000.00 Not tracted; no 2nd tract
996.00 Telephone sample (RDD)

VAR 000102  Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
MD1: EQ 99,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  228 - 228
Numeric

Pre-Sample.25.
2000 Block 1 - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and
segment identification, it could not be provided with segment
level data, including this variable (blank).
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

Block number and:
99. Not tracted
96. Telephone sample (RDD)

VAR 000103  Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
MD1: EQ 99,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  229 - 229
Numeric

Pre-Sample.26.
2000 Block 2 - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and
segment identification, it could not be provided with segment
level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Block number and:

99. Not tracted; no 2nd tract
96. Telephone sample (RDD)

-------------------------------
VAR 000104 Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
  MD:  EQ 0
  COLUMNS:  230 - 230
  Numeric

Pre-Sample.27.

2000 MCD - interview location (blanked)

----------------------------------------
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Minor Civil Division number and:

0000. Telephone sample (RDD)

-------------------------------
VAR 000105 Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9999
  COLUMNS:  231 - 231
  Numeric

Pre-Sample.28.

2000 CDP - interview location (blanked)

----------------------------------------
NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Census Designated Place Code and:

00000. Telephone sample (RDD)
99999. Not a Census Designated place

-------------------------------
VAR 000106 Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code
  MD:  EQ 0
  COLUMNS:  232 - 232
  Numeric
Pre-Sample.29.

2000 FIPS place code - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

NOTE: Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with segment level data, including this variable (blank). This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

FIPS Place code and:

0000. Telephone sample (RDD)

==========================================
VAR 000107 Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 233 - 240
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.1.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION A
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

==========================================
VAR 000108 Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
MD: EQ 999.99
COLUMNS: 241 - 248
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.2.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION B
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

==========================================
VAR 000109  Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C  
MD:  EQ 999.99  
COLUMNS:  249 - 256  
Numeric  DEC 2  

Pre-Summary.3.  

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION C  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time  
between the beginning and ending of the section as  
recorded by the instrument application, and may be  
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,  
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.  
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:  

999.99 NA  

====================================================================  
VAR 000110  Pre.Summary.4. Pre timing - section D  
MD:  EQ 999.99  
COLUMNS:  257 - 264  
Numeric  DEC 2  

Pre-Summary.4.  

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION D  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time  
between the beginning and ending of the section as  
recorded by the instrument application, and may be  
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,  
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.  
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:  

999.99 NA  

====================================================================  
VAR 000111  Pre.Summary.5. Pre timing - section E  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99  
COLUMNS:  265 - 272  
Numeric  DEC 2  

Pre-Summary.5.  

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION E  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time  
between the beginning and ending of the section as  
recorded by the instrument application, and may be  
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,  
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.  
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
000.00 Section E selected for Post administration

==============================================
VAR 000112  Pre.Summary.6. Pre timing - section F
MD:   EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  273 - 280
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.6.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION F
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

==============================================
VAR 000113  Pre.Summary.7. Pre timing - section G
MD:   EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  281 - 288
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.7.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION G
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

==============================================
VAR 000114  Pre.Summary.8. Pre timing - section H
MD:   EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  289 - 296
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.8.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION H
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

========================================
VAR 000115  Pre.Summary.9. Pre timing - section K
MD:  EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  297 - 304
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.9.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

========================================
VAR 000116  Pre.Summary.10. Pre timing - section L
MD:  EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  305 - 312
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.10.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

========================================
VAR 000117  Pre.Summary.11. Pre timing - section M
MD:  EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  313 - 320
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.11.
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
Pre-Summary.14.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====================================================================

VAR 000121    Pre.Summary.15. Pre timing - section R
MD:  EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  345 - 352
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.15.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION R
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====================================================================

VAR 000122    Pre.Summary.16. Pre timing - section S
MD:  EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  353 - 360
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.16.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION S
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=====================================================================
VAR 000123    Pre.Summary.17. Pre timing - section X
MD:   EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  361 - 368
Numeric   DEC 2

Pre-Summary.17.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION X
-----------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=================================

VAR 000124    Pre.Summary.18. Pre timing - section Y
MD:   EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  369 - 376
Numeric   DEC 2

Pre-Summary.18.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y
-----------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=================================

VAR 000125    Pre.Summary.19. Pre timing - section Z
MD:   EQ 999.99
COLUMNS:  377 - 384
Numeric   DEC 2

Pre-Summary.19.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z
-----------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

*******************************
VAR 000126 Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 385 - 385
Numeric

Post-Admin.1.

POST: Mode of Interview

See Post-Admin.1a.
5 cases re-assigned to phone mode in the Post were administered FTF in error; note that several of these cases, although administered FTF, used telephone logic in the interview.

1. Personal
5. Telephone
7. ADMINISTERED USING WRONG MODE: FTF re-assigned to telephone but administered as Personal interview

0. No post IW

+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| 0   | 1   | 5   | 7   |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| Count| 252 | 693 | 857 | 5   |

*******************************
VAR 000126a Post.Admin.1a. Mode switch flag
COLUMNS: 386 - 386
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST - MODE SWITCH

200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews. Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are described here.

1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
2. Assigned Phone
3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode

+-----+-----+-----+
| 1   | 2   | 3   |
+-----+-----+-----+
| Count| 805 | 802 | 200 |
Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H. Because assignment was set during Pre administration, this randomization was unaffected by the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. Section H not administered in Post [Pre section E V000398-V000406]
1. Section H administered in Post [V000410-V000417]

9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were randomly selected to have the K2a-K2d office recognition battery administered with or without the use of probes [V001446a-V001454].

NOTE: see item Post-Summary.124 (V000262) for description of the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. K2a-K2d administered without probes
1. K2a-K2d administered with probes

9. No post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000128    Post.Admin.3. Mo. IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  389 - 390
Numeric

Post-Admin.3.

POST: Month of interview

11. November
12. December
00. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000129    Post.Admin.4. Day IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  391 - 392
Numeric

Post-Admin.4.

POST: Day of interview

Coded 1-31 and:
0. No Post IW

VAR 000130    Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9999
COLUMNS:  393 - 396
Character

Post-Admin.5.

POST: Month and day (MMDD)

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW; '9999' indicates NA

VAR 000131    Post.Admin.6. No. days after election
MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:  397 - 398
Numeric

Post-Admin.6.
POST: Number of days after election

96. INAP, no Post IW
99. NA

VAR 000132    Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  399 - 399
Numeric

Post-Admin.7.

POST: Interview taken before or after Gore concession

Gore concession:  December 13, 2000

0. Before
1. Day of concession (Dec. 13)
2. After
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000133    Post.Admin.8. IW length
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  400 - 407
Numeric  DEC 2

Post-Admin.8.

POST: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. The average Post interview length is 63.76 minutes. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; partial IW
000.00 No Post IW
VAR 000134    Post.Admin.9. IW no.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:  408 - 409
Numeric

Post-Admin.9.

POST: Interview number

Codes 1-44 and:

99. NA
00. INAP, no Post Interview

VAR 000135    Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  410 - 410
Numeric

Post-Admin.10.

POST: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27
0. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Admin.11.

POST: Date of ending VQ file
----------------------------------

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27

0. INAP, partial IW; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>605</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000137 Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 412 - 412
Numeric

Post-Admin.12.

POST: Flag- change in VQ
----------------------------------

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change
1. Change in VQ

9. INAP, partial IW; no post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because of the turnaround time needed to prepare it after the Pre interviewing was concluded, the Post sample was released in 3 releases.

1. Release 1 - November 7
2. Release 2 - November 15

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

00. R requested no payment
20. Twenty dollars
40. Forty dollars

96. INAP, no Post IW
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTERVIEWER INCENTIVE

Beginning October 26, 2000, interviewers were paid $10 for each completed interview.

0. Post interview date not in incentive period
1. Post interview date in incentive period.
9. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000140  Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 417 - 417
Numeric

Post-Admin.15.

POST: Payment to R - mode

0. R requested no payment
1. Check
2. Cash
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000141  Post.Admin.16. Payment date
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
COLUMNS: 418 - 421
Character

Post-Admin.16.

POST: Payment to R - date (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or 0 in Post-Admin.14/
Post-Admin.15; '9999' indicates NA.
VAR 000142    Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS: 422 - 422
Numeric

Post-Admin.17.

POST: Tape recorded?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

1. Yes
5. No

0. INAP, no Post IW

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000143    Post.Admin.18. Verification
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS: 423 - 423
Numeric

Post-Admin.18.

POST: Verification
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Approximately 15 percent of all interviews (completed or partial interviews) were selected and verified by field supervisors for all new interviewers and 6 percent were verified for SRC-experienced interviewers.

0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
1. Flagged for verification
2. Verified OK
3. Verified with some discrepancies
4. Not verified - attempts to verify halted
5. Unable to verify
6. Verification limit met
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000144    Post.Admin.19. Evaluation
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  424 - 424
Numeric

Post-Admin.19.

POST: Evaluation

0. Not evaluated
1. Flagged
2. Evaluated
3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
4. Cannot evaluate
9. INAP, no Post IW

VAR 000145    Post.Admin.20. Conversion ind.
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  425 - 425
Numeric

Post-Admin.20.

POST: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW
0 1 9
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1529 26 252

MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  426 - 426
Numeric

Post-Admin.21.

POST: Persuasion letter - flag

0. No persuasion letter sent
1. Persuasion letter sent
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

0 1 9
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1517 95 195

VAR 000147  Post.Admin.22. Date requested
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9999
COLUMNS:  427 - 430
Character

Post-Admin.22.

POST: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested; '9999' indicates NA.

VAR 000148  Post.Admin.23. Date sent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9999
COLUMNS:  431 - 434
Character

Post-Admin.23.

POST: Date persuasion letter sent

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested; '9999' indicates NA.
VAR 000149 Post.Admin.24. Type letter
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  435 - 435
Numeric

Post-Admin.24.

POST: Type of persuasion letter
-----------------------------------------------

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1712</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000150 Post.Admin.25. Tel calls
MD:  EQ 99
COLUMNS:  436 - 437
Numeric

Post-Admin.25.

POST: Number of telephone calls
-----------------------------------------------

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

==============================================
VAR 000151 Post.Admin.26. FTF calls
MD:  EQ 99
COLUMNS:  438 - 439
Numeric


POST: Number of Face-to-Face calls
-----------------------------------------------

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

==============================================
VAR 000152 Post.Admin.27. Final result
COLUMNS:  440 - 441
Numeric
Post-Admin.27.

POST: Final result code
---------------------------------------------------------------

01 IW complete
05 IW partial

51 Refusal by R
52 Refusal by person other than R
54 Initial refusal, not reached for conversion
61 No contact
63 Noninterview, permanent reason
66 Interview begun then terminated, insufficiently complete
67 Noninterview, other reason
68 Could not Locate R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>52</th>
<th>54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>61</th>
<th>63</th>
<th>66</th>
<th>67</th>
<th>68</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Admin.28.

POST: Result date (Post noninterviews)
---------------------------------------------------------------

'0000' is used for cases with Post IW; '9999' indicates NA.

Post-Admin.29.

POST: Flag - pre/post mode switch
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from V000004 and V000126.
Some interviews conducted FTF in the pre were administered by phone in the Post.

1. Pre FTF and Post FTF
2. Pre phone and Post phone
3. Pre FTF and Post phone
0. INAP, no post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000155 Post.Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)
COLUMNS: 447 - 447
Numeric

Post-Admin.30.

POST: Language of interview (all English)
==============================================
All interviews were conducted entirely in English
1. English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000156 Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
COLUMNS: 448 - 448
Numeric

Post-CSheet.1.

POST - COVERSHEET:
Flag- missing CS
==============================================
0. Coversheet not missing
1. Coversheet missing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000157 Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 449 - 449
Numeric

Post-CSheet.2.

POST - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet
==============================================
Pink coversheets were copies of coversheets that were in transfer.
NOTE: for an unknown reason, some cases assigned to FTF mode were administered using yellow (phone) coversheets.

1. Blue
2. Yellow
3. Pink

9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000158  Post-CSheet.3. Item 1. R at samp addr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  450 - 450
Numeric

Post-CSheet.3.

POST - COVERSHEET Item 1:
Does (NAME) still live here?

R STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS
---------------------------------------------------------------

Interviewers omitted filling out this box (code 9) on 227 coversheets.

1. Yes
5. No

9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000159  Post-CSheet.4. Item 2b. PHONE: New phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  451 - 451
Numeric

Post-CSheet.4.

IF PHONE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:
POST - PHONE COVERSHEET Item 2b:
New phone number available

1. Available
2. Not available
9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1793</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000160 Post.CSheet.5. Item 2b. FTF: Addr status
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 452 - 452
Numeric

Post-CSheet.5.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - FTF COVERSHEET Item 2b:
Address is:

STATUS OF NEW ADDRESS

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Out of range
3. Within range
5. Can't find
9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000161 Post.CSheet.6. FTF type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 453 - 453
Numeric
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000162 Post.CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 454 - 454
Numeric

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases...
data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000163 Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 455 - 455
Numeric

Post-CSheet.8.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:
Check the box below which best describes the situation

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
7. Other (specify)

9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; coversheet missing; assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000164 Post.CSheet.9. Resist from contact
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Post-CSheet.9.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Yes</th>
<th>5. No</th>
<th>9. NA</th>
<th>0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1454</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000165 Post.CSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 457 - 457 Numeric

Post-CSheet.10.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b:
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Marked</th>
<th>5. Not marked</th>
<th>9. NA</th>
<th>0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000166 Post.CSheet.11. Contct resist-too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 458 - 458 Numeric

Post-CSheet.11.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========
VAR 000167  Post.CSheet.12. Contct resist-confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  459 - 459
Numeric

Post-CSheet.12.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========
VAR 000168  Post.CSheet.13. Contct resist-not interested politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  460 - 460
Numeric

Post-CSheet.13.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1585</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 461 - 461
Numeric

Post-CSheet.14.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1585</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000170  Post.CSheet.15. Contct resist-health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 462 - 462
Numeric

Post-CSheet.15.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 000171 Post.CSheet.16. Contct resist-other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 463 - 463
Numeric
Post-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 000172 Post.CSheet.17. R refuse initially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 464 - 464
Numeric
Post-CSheet.17.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?
1. Yes
5. No

9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000173  Post.CSheet.18. R break appts
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 465 - 465
Numeric

Post-CSheet.18.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments

0. None
1. One
2. Two or more

8. NA
9. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000174  Post.CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 466 - 466
Numeric

Post-CSheet.19.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

1. Yes
5. No

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-CSheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
VAR 000175    Post.CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  467 - 467
Numeric

Post-CSheet.20.

SUMMARY: did R resist (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25
or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked"
throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have
been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the
inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

VAR 000176    Post.CSheet.21. R resist- waste time
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  468 - 468
Numeric

Post-CSheet.21.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD
EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
### Post-CSheet.22.

**IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:**

**POST-COVERSHEET**

**CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):**

Resistance from R - TOO PERSONAL

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Post-CSheet.23.

**IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:**

**POST-COVERSHEET**

**CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):**

Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000179 Post.CSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 471 - 471
Numeric

Post-CSheet.24.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000180 Post.CSheet.25. R resist- too busy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 472 - 472
Numeric

Post-CSheet.25.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000181    Post.CSheet.26. R resist- health
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  473 - 473
Numeric


IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0        9
-----    -----  
Count    1792       15

======================================================================

VAR 000182    Post.CSheet.27. R resist- other
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  474 - 474
Numeric

Post-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0        9
-----    ----- 
Count    1792       15

======================================================================

VAR 000183    Post.CSheet.28. Ever make contact (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3:
Were you ever able to make contact with someone at this housing unit?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000183a  Post.CSheet.28a. Ever contact R (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 476 - 476
Numeric

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3d:
Did you ever have any contact with the respondent?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1722</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000184  Post.CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 477 - 477
Numeric
IF NO CONTACT WITH HOUSEHOLD FOR POST INTERVIEW:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD4:
What was the reason for no contact?

1. Nobody home at any call; no info about household could be obtained
2. All occupants away during entire study period (i.e. long vacation, illness); info obtained from neighbor, manager etc.
3. Nobody answered door but think some one in HU [FTF: when visits made]
4. Could not obtain access to HU
5. Other (specify)

6. NA
7. Inap, 5, 9 in Post-Csheet.28; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000184a Post-Csheet.30. CSMS estimated income
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 478 - 478
Numeric

Post-Csheet.30.

IF FTF MODE:

POST- COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?

1. Under $10,000
2. $10,000-25,000
3. $25,000-50,000
4. $50,000-105,000
5. $105,000 and above

6. DK
7. NA
8. INAP, Phone mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000184b  Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  479 - 479
Numeric

Post-CSheet.31.

IF FTF MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by
the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's
race, and the Pre equivalent of this variable in Pre-CSheet.35.

1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

VAR 000184c  Post-CSheet.32. CSMS est HH race certain
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  480 - 480
Numeric

Post-CSheet.32.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:
POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Post-Csheet.31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 VAR 000184d Post-Csheet.33. CSMS estimated Hispanic
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 481 - 481
 Numeric

 Post-Csheet.33.

 IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

 POST - COVERSHEET
 CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
 (F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
 Is R or R's household of Hispanic origin?

 See also V000068, V000069 (Pre version of these variables)
 ans Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 VAR 000184e Post-Csheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 COLUMNS: 482 - 482
 Numeric

 Post-Csheet.34.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. Definite
5. Probable

9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000185    Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
MD:  EQ 9999
COLUMNS:  483 - 486
Numeric

Post-IWR.1.

POST: Interviewer of record ID
---------------------------------------------

4-digit ID

9999. NA

==============================
VAR 000186    Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
MD:  EQ 9999
COLUMNS:  487 - 490
Numeric

Post-IWR.2.

POST: Supervisor ID
-------------------------------

4-digit ID

9999. NA

==============================
VAR 000187    Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  491 - 491
Numeric

Post-IWR.3.
POST: Interviewer gender

1. Male
2. Female
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1606</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======

VAR 000188 Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 492 - 492
Numeric

POST: Interviewer education

1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D.
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======

VAR 000189 Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 493 - 493
Numeric

POST: Interviewer race

1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
7. Other
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000190    Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  494 - 494
Numeric

Post-IWR.6.

POST: Interviewer ethnicity

0. No ethnic identity coded
1. Hispanic
7. Other

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1577</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000191    Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
COLUMNS:  495 - 495
Numeric

Post-IWR.7.

POST: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000192    Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
MD:  EQ 99
COLUMNS:  496 - 497
Numeric

Post-IWR.8.
POST: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000193 Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 498 - 498
Numeric

Post-IWR.9.

POST: Interviewer age (bracketed)

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000194 Post.Cand.1. House race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 499 - 500
Numeric
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING</th>
<th>Republic</th>
<th>Dem incumbent running -- Republican Challenger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent running -- Republican Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent running -- Other Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent running -- Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent running -- Republican and Other Challengers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING</th>
<th>Republic</th>
<th>Rep incumbent running -- Democratic Challenger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent running -- Democratic Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent running -- Other Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent running -- Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent running -- Democratic and Other Challengers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING</th>
<th>Republic</th>
<th>Other incumbent running -- Democratic Challenger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other incumbent running -- Democratic Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other incumbent running -- Other Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other incumbent running -- Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other incumbent running -- Democratic and Republican Challengers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other incumbent running -- Republican and Other Challengers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other incumbent running -- Democratic and Other Challengers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, Other Challengers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO INCUMBENT RUNNING</th>
<th>Republic</th>
<th>Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic Candidate Unopposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic Candidate Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent not running -- Republican Candidate Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent not running -- Other Candidate Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican Cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent not running -- Republican and Other Cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and Other Cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, Other Cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic Candidate Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- Republican Candidate Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- Other Candidate Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican Cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- Republican and Other Cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and Other Cands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, Other Cands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99       NA
00       Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|        | 21  | 23  | 24  | 29  | 31  |
| Count  | 717 | 9   | 126 | 8   | 3   |
VAR 000194a  Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name
COLUMNS:  501 - 520
Character

Post-Cand.1a.

Retiring House Representative name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

VAR 000194b  Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  521 - 522
Numeric

Post-Cand.1b.

Retiring House Representative code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

41. Democratic Representative--retiring (district with open race)
42. Republican Representative--retiring (district with open race)

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

VAR 000194c  Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  523 - 523
Numeric

Post-Cand.1c.

Retiring House Representative gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1626</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============================================  
VAR 000194d Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election  
MD: EQ 99  
COLUMNS: 524 - 525  
Numeric  

Post-Cand.1d.  
Winner of 2000 House election in R's district of interview  

30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate  
31. Democratic candidate in open race  
32. Republican candidate in open race  
33. Democratic incumbent  
34. Republican incumbent  
35. Democratic challenger  
36. Republican challenger  
39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate  
99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)  
00. Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============================================  
VAR 000194e Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election  
COLUMNS: 526 - 527  
Numeric  

Post-Cand.1e.  
Winner of 2000 Senate election in R's state of interview  

30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate  
31. Democratic candidate in open race  
32. Republican candidate in open race  
33. Democratic incumbent  
34. Republican incumbent  
35. Democratic challenger  
36. Republican challenger  
39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate  
99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)  
00. Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
09  Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10  Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
08  Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
11  Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12  Republican candidate in open Senate race
13  Democratic Senate incumbent
14  Republican Senate incumbent
15  Democratic Senate challenger
16  Republican Senate challenger

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
00. No race in state; Washington CD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000194f  Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  528 - 528
Numeric

Post-Cand.1f.

Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's district
=================================================================================

1998 districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning margin of the elected candidate.

1. Least competitive
2.
3.
4.
5. Most competitive

9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1); Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000194g  Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 1998 in respondent district?
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  529 - 529
Numeric
Open seat in R's district in 1998?

- 0. NO
- 1. YES
- 9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)

Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000195 Post.Cand.2. House Democratic cand name

COLUMNS:  530 - 557
Character

House Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

---

VAR 000196 Post.Cand.3. House Democratic cand code

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  558 - 559
Numeric

House Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No Democratic candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
VAR 000197  Post.Cand.4. House Democratic cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  560 - 560
Numeric

Post-Cand.4.

House Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Democratic House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


VAR 000198  Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name
COLUMNS:  561 - 583
Character

Post-Cand.5.

House Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.


VAR 000199  Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  584 - 585
Numeric

Post-Cand.6.

House Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================================================================

VAR 000200 Post.Cand.7. House Republican cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 586 - 586
Numeric

Post-Cand.7.

House Republican candidate gender
========================================================================================================

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================================================================

VAR 000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
COLUMNS: 587 - 606
Character

Post-Cand.8.

House Independent/third party candidate name
========================================================================================================

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

========================================================================================================

VAR 000202 Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 607 - 608
Numeric

Post-Cand.9.
House Independent/third party candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================

VAR 000202a  Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party
COLUMNS:  609 - 619
Character

Post-Cand.9a.

House Independent/third party candidate party

This is an alpha variable

========================================================

VAR 000203  Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  620 - 620
Numeric

Post-Cand.10.

House Independent/third party candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Cand.11.

Senate race type:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATES WITH SENATE RACE</th>
<th>STATES WITHOUT SENATE RACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

NO RACE IN STATE
81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

96 Code 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99 NA
00 Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================
VAR 000205 Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name
COLUMNS: 623 - 644
Character

Post-Cand.12.

Senate Democratic candidate name

==============================================================================
3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

===============================================
VAR 000206    Post.Cand.13. Senate Demo  Cand code
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
   COLUMNS:  645 - 646
   Numeric

Post-Cand.13.

Senate Democratic candidate code
===============================================

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   COLUMNS:  647 - 647
   Numeric

Post-Cand.14.

Senate Democratic candidate gender
===============================================

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000208    Post.Cand.15. Senate Repub cand name
COLUMNS: 648 - 661
Character

Post-Cand.15.

Senate Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

VAR 000209    Post.Cand.16. Senate Repub cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 662 - 663
Numeric

Post-Cand.16.

Senate Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000210    Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 664 - 664
Numeric

Post-Cand.17.

Senate Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000211 Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name

**COLUMNS:** 665 - 678

**Character**

Post-Cand.18.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name

-------------------------------------------------------

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

-----------------------------
VAR 000212 Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 96

**COLUMNS:** 679 - 680

**Numeric**

Post-Cand.19.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code

-------------------------------------------------------

**THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST**

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent **
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000212a Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party

**COLUMNS:** 681 - 691

**Character**

Post-Cand.19a.
Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

VAR 000213       Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender
                  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
                  COLUMNS:  692 - 692
                  Numeric

Post-Cand.20.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate;
   Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000214       Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name
                  COLUMNS:   693 - 706
                  Character

Post-Cand.21.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

VAR 000215       Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code
                  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
                  COLUMNS:  707 - 708
                  Numeric

Post-Cand.22.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code

----------
** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000215a  Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party
COLUMNS:  709 - 719
Character

Post-Cand.22a.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate party
---------------------------------------------------------------------
3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

==============================
VAR 000216  Post.Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  720 - 720
Numeric

Post-Cand.23.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender
---------------------------------------------------------------------
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000217  Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name
COLUMNS:  721 - 735
Character

Post-Cand.24.

House Democratic candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW
-------------------------------------------------------------
This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have
the exact CD of outside vote identified.

-----------------------------
VAR 000218  Post.Cand.25. Outside Hse Dem cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  736 - 737
Numeric

Post-Cand.25.

House Democratic candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW
-------------------------------------------------------------
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
96. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
00. 0, 8, 9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000219  Post.Cand.26. Outside Hse Dem cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  738 - 738
Numeric

Post-Cand.26.

House Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW
-------------------------------------------------------------
1. Male
2. Female
8. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0, 8, 9 in Pre-Sample.7
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 000220  Post.Cand.27. Outside Hse Rep cand name
COLUMNS: 739 - 754
Character

Post-Cand.27.

House Republican candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.
====================================================================

VAR 000221  Post.Cand.28. Outside Hse Rep cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 755 - 756
Numeric

Post-Cand.28.

House Republican candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger

96. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).

00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 000222  Post.Cand.29. Outside Hse Rep cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 757 - 757
Numeric

Post-Cand.29.

House Republican candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

1. Male
2. Female
8. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------
VAR 000223  Post.Cand.30. Outside Hse Ind cand name
COLUMNS: 758 - 758
Character

Post-Cand.30.

House Independent/third party candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

---------------------------
VAR 000224  Post.Cand.31. Outside Hse Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 759 - 760
Numeric

Post-Cand.31.

House Independent/third party candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

96. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------
VAR 000225  Post.Cand.32. Outside Hse Ind cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 761 - 761
Numeric

Post-Cand.32.
House Independent/third party candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0, 8, 9 in Pre-Sample.7

VAR 000226 Post.Cand.33. Outside Hse race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 762 - 763
Numeric

Post-Cand.33.

House race type - Vote outside state of IW

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANIDS
Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANIDS
Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANIDS
Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANIDS

Washington DC
1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000226a Post.Cand.33a. Outside Sen race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 764 - 765
Numeric

Post-Cand.33a.
Senate race type - Vote outside state of IW

 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

 OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 35 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

 NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANIDS
 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANIDS
 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANIDS
 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANIDS
 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANIDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

NO RACE IN STATE
81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

96. Washington DC
99. R vote in another district but not another state
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>69</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
VAR 000227 Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name
COLUMNS: 766 - 781
Character

Post-Cand.34.

Senate Democratic candidate name - Vote outside state of IW
----------------------------------------------------------------------
This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

=======================================
VAR 000228 Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 782 - 783
Numeric

Post-Cand.35.

Senate Democratic candidate code - Vote outside state of IW
----------------------------------------------------------------------
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger

96. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000229  Post.Cand.36. Outside Sen Dem cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  784 - 784
Numeric

Post-Cand.36.

Senate Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW
-----------------------------------------------

1. Male
2. Female
9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000230  Post.Cand.37. Outside Sen Rep cand name
COLUMNS:  785 - 797
Character

Post-Cand.37.

Senate Republican candidate name - Vote outside state of IW
-----------------------------------------------

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

VAR 000231  Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  798 - 799
Numeric

Post-Cand.38.

Senate Republican candidate code - Vote outside state of IW
-----------------------------------------------

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger
96. No race in state; no Republican candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  800 - 800
Numeric

Post-Cand.39.

Senate Republican candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000233  Post.Cand.40. Outside Sen Ind1 cand name
COLUMNS:  801 - 814
Character

Post-Cand.40.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

VAR 000234  Post.Cand.41. Outside Sen Ind1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  815 - 816
Numeric

Post-Cand.41.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code - Vote outside state of IW

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000235 Post.Cand.42. Outside Sen Ind1 cand gend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 817 - 817
Numeric

Post-Cand.42.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000236 Post.Cand.43. Outside Sen Ind2 cand name
COLUMNS: 818 - 831
Character

Post-Cand.43.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no (2nd) independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

VAR 000237 Post.Cand.44. Outside Sen Ind2 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 832 - 833
Numeric

Post-Cand.44.
Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent

96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate

99. R voted in another district but not another state

00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================================

VAR 000238   Post.Cand.45. Outside Sen Ind2 cand gend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 834 - 834
Numeric

Post-Cand.45.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================================

VAR 000239   Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 835 - 842
Numeric

Post-Summary.1.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION A

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-------------------------------
VAR 000240 Post.Summary.2. Post timing - section B
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 843 - 850
Numeric

Post-Summary.2.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-------------------------------
VAR 000241 Post.Summary.3. Post timing - section C
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 851 - 858
Numeric

Post-Summary.3.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-------------------------------
VAR 000242 Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 859 - 866
Numeric

Post-Summary.4.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000243  Post.Summary.5. Post timing - section E
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  867 - 874
Numeric
Post-Summary.5.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000244  Post.Summary.6. Post timing - section F
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  875 - 882
Numeric
Post-Summary.6.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000245  Post.Summary.7. Post timing - section G
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Post-Summary.7.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

--------------------------
VAR 000246 Post.Summary.8. Post timing - section H
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 891 - 898
Numeric

Post-Summary.8.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 Section H administered in Pre; No Post IW

--------------------------
VAR 000247 Post.Summary.9. Post timing - section J
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 899 - 906
Numeric

Post-Summary.9.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION J

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================
VAR 000248 Post.Summary.10. Post timing - section K
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 907 - 914
Numeric

Post-Summary.10.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION K
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================
VAR 000249 Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 915 - 922
Numeric

Post-Summary.11.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION L
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================
VAR 000250 Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 923 - 930
Numeric

Post-Summary.12.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION M
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000254    Post.Summary.16. Post timing - section R
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  955 - 962
Numeric

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000255    Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  963 - 970
Numeric

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
VAR 000256    Post.Summary.18. Post timing - section T
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  971 - 978
Numeric

Post-Summary.18.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION T
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000257    Post.Summary.19. Post timing - section V
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  979 - 986
Numeric

Post-Summary.19.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION V
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000258    Post.Summary.20. Post timing - section Y
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS:  987 - 994
Numeric

Post-Summary.20.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000259    Post.Summary.21. Post timing - section Z
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
COLUMNS: 995 - 1002
Numeric

Post-Summary.21.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

VAR 000260    Post.Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 1003 - 1003
Numeric

Post-Summary.22.

POST: Wrong CD administered (preloaded)?

NOTE: 3 cases (case IDs 900, 1016, 1114) were misidentified in the preload as PA cases but were actually conducted in New Jersey; the actual CD for the NJ location is not determined. Variables for survey questions which were administered according to Preload information are unchanged (affected cases not set to missing data). State and CD variables (e.g. V000079, V000080 etc.) are set to missing data but sampling variables associated with segment are correct for the actual segment location (if FTF mode).

0. No misidentification of CD
1. Wrong CD identified (administered)
9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Summary.23.

POST: Type of error in preload

Code 1 corresponds to 3 cases in WV where Senate type race was misidentified as type race 12 instead of type race 19 (note: 1 additional case in WV had correct Senate type race preloaded). For the 3 cases coded 1, the preload information for the Senate Independent/3rd party candidate was not preloaded.

0. No error in Preload
1. Wrong Senate type race preloaded (WV, 3 cases)
2. Wrong CD (1 in Post-Summary.22)
9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Summary.24.

POST: Flag - randomization failure in Post admin

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). The randomization variables documented in V001752-V001810 describe the types of randomizations affected.

0. No randomization failure
1. Post randomization failure in at least a portion of the interview
9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================

VAR 000301    A1. Attention R paid to campaigns  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1006 - 1006  
Numeric

A1.

Some people don’t pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been very much interested, somewhat interested or not much interested in the political campaigns so far this year?

---------------------------------

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED  
3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED  
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================

VAR 000302    A2. Does R care about Pres election  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1007 - 1007  
Numeric

A2.

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally care a good deal who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much who wins?

---------------------------------

1. CARE A GOOD DEAL  
3. DON'T CARE VERY MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA
A3.

In 1996 Bill Clinton ran on the Democratic ticket against Bob Dole for the Republicans, and Ross Perot as an independent candidate. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. YES, VOTED
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE --> SKIP TO A4/A5
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP A4/A5
9. RF
0. NA

A3a.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 1996:

Which one did you vote for?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. BILL CLINTON
3. BOB DOLE
5. ROSS PEROT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A3
A4.

(Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the major candidates for President.)

----------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 000305    A4a. Does R like anything about Gore
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1010 - 1010
Numeric

A4a.

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?

----------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A4c
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4c
9. RF
0. NA

1  5  8  9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 912 878 13 4

----------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 000306    A4b(1). #1 detail about Gore R likes
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9995
A4b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'LIKES'
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a

==========================================
VAR 000307 A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNs: 1015 - 1018
Numeric

A4b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'LIKES'
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==========================================
VAR 000308 A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes
MD: EQ 0
A4b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================================
VAR 000309 A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1023 - 1026
Numeric

A4b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================================
VAR 000310 A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1027 - 1030
Numeric

A4b(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'LIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY–CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================
VAR 000311  A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1031 - 1031
Numeric

A4c.

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No          --> A5a Or A6
8. Don't Know  --> A5a Or A6
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000312  A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9001
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c

-----------------------------
VAR 000313  A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMN:  1036 - 1039
Numeric

A4d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

-----------------------------
VAR 000314  A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

==============================
VAR 000315 A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1044 - 1047
Numeric

A4d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

==============================
VAR 000316 A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1048 - 1051
Numeric

A4d(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

Friday, November 2, 2001

VAR 000317 A5a. Does R like anything about Bush

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 1052 - 1052

Numeric

A5a.

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO -- SKIP TO A5c
8. DON'T KNOW -- SKIP TO A5c
9. RF
0. NA

1 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 822 970 13 2

VAR 000318 A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
A5b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a

-----------------------------
VAR 000319  A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1057 - 1060
Numeric

A5b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

==============================
VAR 000320  A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
MD:  EQ 0
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

=============================================
VAR 000321   A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes
 MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1065 - 1068
 Numeric

A5b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

=============================================
VAR 000322   A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes
 MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1069 - 1072
 Numeric

A5b(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

================================
VAR 000323  A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1073 - 1073
Numeric

A5c.

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
VAR 000324  A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9001
A5d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c

______________________________
VAR 000325 A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1078 - 1081
Numeric

A5d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

______________________________
VAR 000326 A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
A5d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

==============================

VAR 000327 A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1086 - 1089
Numeric

A5d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

==============================

VAR 000328 A5d(5). #5 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1090 - 1093
Numeric

A5d(5).
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

=====================================================================
VAR 000329 A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 1094 - 1094
Numeric

A6.

How many days in the past week did you watch the national network news on TV?
=====================================================================

0. NONE --> SKIP TO A7
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================
VAR 000330 A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1095 - 1095
Numeric
A6a/A6a.T.

IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================================================================
VAR 000331  A7. Days R watched early local news
MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  1096 - 1096
Numeric

A7.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News" in the late afternoon or early-evening?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
0. NONE
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA
VAR 000332 A8. Days R watched late local news
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 1097 - 1097
Numeric

A8.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows in the late evening?

0. NONE
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

VAR 000333 A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1098 - 1098
Numeric

A8a/A8a.T.

IF NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED IS NOT 0 FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL NEWS:

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on local news
show about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

**TELEPHONE:**
How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

-------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A7 and A8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
**VAR 000334** A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1099 - 1099
Numeric

A9.
Do you have either cable or satellite television?

-------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
**VAR 000335** A10. Days R read a daily newspaper
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 1100 - 1100
Numeric

A10.
How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper?
0. NONE        --> SKIP TO A11
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000336    A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1101 - 1101
Numeric

A10a.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:

Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

-----------------------------------------------

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000337    A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1102 - 1102
Numeric

A10b/A10b.T.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:
IF R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

FTF:
(Please look at page 1 of the booklet.)
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A10a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000338  A11. Did R see ads for candidates on tv
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1103 - 1103
Numeric

A11.
Do you recall seeing any ads for political candidates on television this fall?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000339  A12. Approve/disappr Clinton job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
A12.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO B1
9. RF
0. NA

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000340  A12a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1105 - 1105
Numeric

A12a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/
IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in A12

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000341  A12x. Summary app/disapp Clinton job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1106 - 1106
Numeric
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL/ DISAPPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from A12 and A12a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK (in A12 or A12a)
9. RF (in A12 or A12b)
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B1.

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?

1. VERY MUCH
2. PRETTY MUCH
3. NOT VERY MUCH
4. NOT AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000343   B2. Does R remember names of House cands
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1108 - 1108
Numeric

B2.

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B3
8. DK --> SKIP TO B3
9. RF
0. NA; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>526</th>
<th>1277</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================================================
VAR 000344   B2a. #1 recalled name, House cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  1109 - 1110
Numeric

B2a.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000345  B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1111 - 1111
Numeric

B2a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000346  B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1112 - 1112
Numeric
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)

8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000347 B2ax2. #1 House cand recall - accuracy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 1113 - 1113
Numeric

B2ax2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B2b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list or this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention
B2b1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

| 1. Democrat |
| 2. Republican |
| 7. Other Party (specify) |
| 8. DK |
| 9. RF |
| 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B2bx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)

8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000351 B2bx2. #2 House cand recall- accuracy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 1118 - 1118
Numeric

B2bx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention
#### VAR 000352  B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98**

**COLUMNS: 1119 - 1120**

**Numeric**

B2c.

**IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:**

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

**3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE**

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

**codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)**

- **30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent**
- **31. Democratic candidate in open House race**
- **32. Republican candidate in open House race**
- **33. Democratic House incumbent**
- **34. Republican House incumbent**
- **35. Democratic House challenger**
- **36. Republican House challenger**
- **38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent**
- **39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent**
- **97. Name not on candidate list for this race**

**98. DK**

**99. RF**

**00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>35</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic candidate (31,33,35)</td>
<td>Republican candidate (32,34,36)</td>
<td>Other (30,39)</td>
<td>Name given not on candidate list (97)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000355   B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  1123 - 1123
Numeric

B2cx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000356   B3. Congress job approve/dissapr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1124 - 1124
Numeric
B3.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000357  B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1125 - 1125
Numeric

B3a.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB/
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?) Strongly or not strongly?

-----------------------------
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in B3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000358  B3x. Summary R approval of US Congress
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1126 - 1126
Numeric

B3x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R APPROVAL OF U.S. CONGRESS

Built from B3 and B3a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove not strongly
8. DK (in B3 or B3a)
9. RF (in B3 or B3a)
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C1/C1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 2 of the booklet. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.
VAR 000359  C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1127 - 1129
Numeric

C1a/C1a.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The first person is:
Bill Clinton
Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

VAR 000360  C1b/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1130 - 1132
Numeric

C1b/C1b.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Al Gore

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Al Gore
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
VAR 000361  C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush
 MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
 COLUMNS:  1133 - 1135
 Numeric

C1c/C1c.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
George W. Bush

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
George W. Bush
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

============================================
VAR 000362 C1d/C1d.T. Thermometer Buchanan
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1136 - 1138
Numeric

C1d/C1d.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Pat Buchanan

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Pat Buchanan
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him

THERMOMETER - PAT BUCHANAN
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
VAR 000363  Cle/Cle.T. Thermometer Nader
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1139 - 1141
Numeric

Cle/Cle.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Ralph Nader

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Ralph Nader
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

---------------------------------------------------------------------
VAR 000364  Clf/Clf.T. Thermometer Mccain
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1142 - 1144
Numeric

Clf/Clf.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
John McCain
TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
John McCain
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOHN MCCAIN
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====================================================================
VAR 000365   C1g/C1g.T. Thermometer Bradley
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1145 - 1147
Numeric

C1g/C1g.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Bradley

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Bill Bradley
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - BILL BRADLEY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================================================
VAR 000366  C1h/C1h.T. Thermometer Lieberman
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1148 - 1150
Numeric

C1h/C1h.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Joseph Lieberman

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Joseph Lieberman
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOSEPH LIEBERMAN
=================================================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
VAR 000367  C1j/C1j.T. Thermometer Cheney
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1151 - 1153
Numeric

C1j/C1j.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Dick Cheney

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Dick Cheney
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - DICK CHENEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

VAR 000368  C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1154 - 1156
Numeric
Looking at page 2 of the booklet

(How would you rate:)

Hillary Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:

Hillary Clinton

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate her?)

THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

C2.

Still using the thermometer:

VAR 000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1157 - 1159
Numeric

C2a.

(How would you rate:)

the Democratic Party

THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
VAR 000370      C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1160 - 1162
Numeric

C2b.

(How would you rate:)
the Republican Party

THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
VAR 000371      C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1163 - 1165
Numeric

C2c.

(How would you rate:)
Reform Party

THERMOMETER - REFORM PARTY

-----------------------------
C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

VAR 000372  C2d. Thermometer parties in general
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 1166 - 1168
Numeric

C2d.

(How would you rate:)
Political parties in general

THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D0.

I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points about the two national parties.

VAR 000373  D1. Like anything- Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1169 - 1169
Numeric
D1.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO             --> SKIP TO D2
8. DON'T KNOW     --> SKIP TO D2
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000374 D1a(1). #1 like Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 1170 - 1173
Numeric

D1a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1

=================================================================
VAR 000375 D1a(2). #2 like Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1174 - 1177
Numeric

D1a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

  Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
  (What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=================================================================
VAR 000376 D1a(3). #3 like Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1178 - 1181
Numeric

D1a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

  Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
  (What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

=================================================================
VAR 000377   D1a(4).  #4 like Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1182 - 1185
Numeric

D1a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

==========================================

VAR 000378   D1a(5).  #5 like Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1186 - 1189
Numeric

D1a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

==========================================

VAR 000379   D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
COLUMNS:  1190 - 1190
Numeric
D2.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO              --> SKIP TO D3 or D5
8. DON'T KNOW      --> SKIP TO D3 or D5
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000380  D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 1191 - 1194
Numeric

D2a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

89999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2

=================================
VAR 000381    D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1195 - 1198
Numeric

D2a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

   Is there anything in particular that you don't like
   about the Democratic party?
   (What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=================================
VAR 000382    D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1199 - 1202
Numeric

D2a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

   Is there anything in particular that you don't like
   about the Democratic party?
   (What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

=================================


VAR 000383   D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
MD:   EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1203 - 1206
Numeric

D2a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

====================================================================

VAR 000384   D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party
MD:   EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1207 - 1210
Numeric

D2a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

====================================================================

VAR 000385   D3. Like anything- Rep Party
MD1:   EQ 0,  MD2:   GE 8
COLUMNS: 1211 - 1211
Numeric
D3.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D4
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D4
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000386    D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS:  1212 - 1215
Numeric

D3a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3

=================================
VAR 000387 D3a(2). #2 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1216 - 1219
Numeric

D3a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=================================
VAR 000388 D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1220 - 1223
Numeric

D3a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

=================================
VAR 000389  D3a(4). #4 like Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1224 - 1227
Numeric

D3a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

====================================================================
VAR 000390  D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1228 - 1231
Numeric

D3a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

====================================================================
VAR 000391  D4. Dislike anything- Rep Party
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1232 - 1232
Numeric
D4.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4

VAR 000393 D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1237 - 1240
Numeric

D4a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

VAR 000394 D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1241 - 1244
Numeric

D4a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions
VAR 000395 D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1245 - 1248
Numeric

D4a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

==============================

VAR 000396 D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1249 - 1252
Numeric

D4a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

==============================

VAR 000397 D5. Preference for divided government
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
COLUMNS:  1253 - 1253
Numeric
D5.

Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress, better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't it matter?

1. BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH
3. BETTER WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT
5. IT DOESN'T MATTER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E1/E1.T.

FTF:
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

TELEPHONE:
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE  --> Skip to Elb
5. THE SAME  --> Skip to E2

8. DK  --> Skip to E2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000399    Ela. How much better off- last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1255 - 1255
Numeric

Ela.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000400    Elb. How much worse off- last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1256 - 1256
Numeric

Elb.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000401    Elx. Summary R econ situation last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1257 - 1257
Numeric
Elx.

Would you say that you (and your family [FTF: living here]) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY - R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

Built from El/E1.T and Ela/Elb.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK (in E1 or Ela/Elb)
9. RF (in E1 or Ela/Elb)
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000402 E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1258 - 1258
Numeric

E2/E2.T.

FTF:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

TELEPHONE:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------

VAR 000403 E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1259 - 1259
Numeric

E3/E3.T.

FTF:
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family living here) will be better off
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

TELEPHONE:
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family) will be better off financially, worse
off, or just about the same as now?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for
administration of the E section.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE      --> Skip to E3B
5. THE SAME   --> Skip to F1
8. DK         --> Skip to F1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------

VAR 000404 E3a. How much better off- next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1260 - 1260
Numeric

E3a.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

   Much better off or somewhat better off?

   1. MUCH BETTER     --> SKIP to F1
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP to F1
8. DK --> SKIP to F1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000405  E3b. How much worse off- next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1261 - 1261
Numeric

E3b.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000406  E3x. Summary R econ situation next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1262 - 1262
Numeric

E3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family [FTF: living here]) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

Built from E3 and E3a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Just about the same
4. Somewhat worse  
5. Much worse  
8. DK (in E3 or E3a/E3b)  
9. RF (in E3 or E3a/E3b)  
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half sample  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F0.

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride.

VAR 000407 Fla. Angry- Gore affect  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1263 - 1263  
Numeric  

Fla.  

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:  
ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES  
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000408 F1aa. Angry- how often Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1264 - 1264
Numeric

F1aa.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-----------------------------------------------
1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000409 F1b. Hopeful- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1265 - 1265
Numeric

F1b.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

-----------------------------------------------

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000410   F1bb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1266 - 1266
Numeric

F1bb.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000411   F1c. Afraid- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1267 - 1267
Numeric
Flc.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel: AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> Next F1 In Randomization
8. DK --> Next F1 In Randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000412 Flcc. Afraid- how often Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1268 - 1268
Numeric

Flcc.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Often</th>
<th>Fairly Often</th>
<th>Occasionally</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Flc
(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel: PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
1. Very Often
2. Fairly Often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1199</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------
VAR 000415   F2a. Angry- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1271 - 1271
Numeric

F2a.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------
VAR 000416   F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1272 - 1272
Numeric
F2aa.

**IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R ANGRY:**

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================

VAR 000417  F2b. Hopeful- Bush affect
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS:  1273 - 1273
 Numeric

F2b.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

================================================================================

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA
VAR 000418  F2bb. Hopeful- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1274 - 1274
Numeric

F2bb.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F26

VAR 000419  F2c. Afraid- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1275 - 1275
Numeric

F2c.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000420  F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1276 - 1276
Numeric

F2cc.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000421  F2d. Proud- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1277 - 1277
Numeric

F2d.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

PROUD
The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000422   F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1278 - 1278
Numeric

F2dd.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

-------------------------------
1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000423   F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1279 - 1279
Numeric
(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000424 F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1280 - 1280
Numeric

F3aa.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3a
(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000427 F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1283 - 1283
Numeric

F3c.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No   --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK   --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000428  F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1284 - 1284
Numeric

F3cc.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid-- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3c

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000429  F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1285 - 1285
Numeric

F3d.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. Yes
5. No  --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000430  F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1286 - 1286
Numeric

F3dd.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1729</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000431  F6(1). #1 most important problem
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 991
COLUMNS:  1287 - 1289
Numeric

F6(1).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 1 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of
the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

991. "There were no issues"; "no issues, just party politics"
992. "There was no campaign in my district"
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, R not selected for half-sample administration (see Pre-Admin.2b, V000005b=0)

---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Codes 001-899 and:
What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 4 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

0. No problem mentioned (000, 991-999 in F6(1))
1. One problem mentioned
2. Two or more problems mentioned
9. R not selected for administration of F6-F7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention
F7.

IF R HAS MADE 2 OR MORE MENTIONS OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7. See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code. If R mentioned only 1 problem, that mention is duplicated here. Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, R not selected for administration of F6-F7 (0 in F6x)

F8.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

How good a job is the government in Washington doing in dealing with this problem -- a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

1. A GOOD JOB
3. ONLY FAIR
5. A POOR JOB

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

1. REPUBLICANS
3. DEMOCRATS
5. THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1/G1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 3 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.
G1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G1a.T; for FTF (and telephone) branching format, see G6.E (V000442).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
8. DK --> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================

VAR 000439a G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1306 - 1306
Numeric

G1a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G1a; for telephone (and FTF) branching format, see G6.E (V000442)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2

8. DK --> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1407</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
VAR 000440 Glax. Summary: combined FTF/ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 COLUMNS: 1307 - 1307 Numeric

Glax.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G1a and G1a.T.
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1308 - 1308
Numeric

G1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

1. LIBERAL
3. CONSERVATIVE
5. MODERATE
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
8. DK
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 or RF/NA in G1a or G1a.T; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1bx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1309 - 1309
Numeric
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT-SCALE SERIES:

Built from G1a and G1b.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.
Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a,G1b.

1. Liberal (1/2/3; 0/4/8,1)
3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
7. Refused to choose (0/8,7)

8. DK (0/8,8)
9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,9/NA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000442 G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1310 - 1310
Numeric

G6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
See also: G1a and G1a.T (V000439 and V000439a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

1. A LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G6b.E
2. A CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
3. A MODERATE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
4. NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO G6a.E
5. A CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
6. A MODERATE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
7. NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO G6a.E
8. DK --> SKIP TO G6a.E
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> SKIP TO G6a.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000443 G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1311 - 1311
Numeric

G6a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS DK/NEITHER/MODERATE/NO THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
G6b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
8. DK --> SKIP TO G7.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G6c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch

VAR 000444    G6b.E. R strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1312 - 1312
Numeric

VAR 000445    G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1313 - 1313
Numeric

VAR 000446    G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

COMBINED 7-POINT LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from:
- 7-pt scale data in Glax, G1b.

NOTE: To make 7pt scale data comparable with the construction of a 7-pt summary of branching data, both the 7pt-scale data in Glax and the "had to choose" data in G1b were used for constructing this summary. For both 7pt-scale and branching cases, Rs who answered moderate (4 in 7pt-scale) or DK or 'haven't thought much' (branching: or 'neither') were asked for the "had to choose" selection of liberal or conservative. Branching: If R selected liberal or conservative but strength followup was missing data (typically: DK) then 'not strong' has been coded in G6x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA
VAR 000446a  G6x1a. 7pt lib-con summary - FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1315 - 1315
Numeric

G6x1a.

IF FTF MODE:
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the equivalent of G6x1 for FTF cases only, summarizing FTF data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

0        1        2        3        4
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count  801       51       77      212       57
VAR 000446b  G6x1b. 7pt lib-con summary - PHONE
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1316 - 1316
Numeric

G6x1b.

IF PHONE MODE:
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal? Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for phone cases only, summarizing telephone data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
VAR 000447     G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ  
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1317 - 1317  
Numeric

G6x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
7PT FTF AND PHONE: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY:  3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Collapsed from G6x1.

1. Liberal  (1-3 in G6x1)  
3. Moderate  (4 in G6x1)  
5. Conservative  (5-7 in G6x1)  
7. Refused to choose (9 in G6x1)

8. DK (8 in G6x1)  
0. NA (0 in G6x1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000448     G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1318 - 1318  
Numeric

G2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.;
for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000448a  G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1319 - 1319
Numeric

G2.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton?
Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.;
for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G2; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000449  G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1320 - 1320
Numeric

G2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from G2/G2.T.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000450    G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1321 - 1321
Numeric

G7.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton?
Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)

1. LIBERAL         --> G7a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE    --> SKIP TO G7b.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000451    G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1322 - 1322
Numeric
G7a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----
Count    1373      280      150        4

G7b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    ------
Count    1729       45       32        1

G7x1.E.

5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con

000453 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1324 - 1324
Numeric
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G7.E/G7a.E/G7b.E.

1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G7.E
9. RF in G7.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000454    G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1325 - 1325
Numeric

G7x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

==================================
Built from G2x and G7x1.E

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3, 4, 5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000455  G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1326 - 1326
Numeric

G3.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G3.T; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000455a  G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1327 - 1327
Numeric

G3.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G3; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000456  G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1328 - 1328
Numeric

G3x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT

Built from G3/G3.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000457    G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1329 - 1329
Numeric

G3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN        --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN      --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK                  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1371</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------------

VAR 000458    G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placement phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1330 - 1330
Numeric

G3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN        --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN      --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK                  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000458a  G3ax.T. Combined - certain Gore lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1331 - 1331
Numeric

G3ax.T.

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This combines data from G3a and G3a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9, NA in G3/G3.T; R selected for branching format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000459    G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1332 - 1332
Numeric

G8.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore?
(Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
See also G3 and G3.T (V000455 and V000455a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL --> G8a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G8b.E
3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G8c.E
4. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>868</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 000460  G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1333 - 1333
Numeric

G8a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================================================

VAR 000461  G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1334 - 1334
Numeric
G8b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Al Gore a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

---

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

Count | 1657 | 89 | 58 | 3
----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |

VAR 000462 G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1335 - 1335
Numeric

G8x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES


1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G8.E
9. RF in G8.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

Count | 868 | 250 | 136 | 254
----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from G3x and G8x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G8c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. VERY CERTAIN        --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN      --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK                  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000464a  G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1338 - 1338
Numeric

G8cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from G3ax.T and G8c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G3/G3.T/G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000465    G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1339 - 1339
Numeric

G4.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF: Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G4.T; for branching format see G9.E (V000469).

The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

0 1 2 3 4
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1339 8 23 37 58

5 6 7 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 105 164 36 35 2

-----------------------------
VAR 000465a    G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1340 - 1340
Numeric
G4.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G4; for branching format see G9.E (V000469).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK                      --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------
VAR 000466    G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:    1341 - 1341
Numeric

G4x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT

Built from G4/G4.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000467 G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1342 - 1342
Numeric

G4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4
VAR 000468  G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1343 - 1343
Numeric

G4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN        --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN      --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK                  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G4.T

Count
-----
0  1376
1   93
3  208
5  129
8    1

VAR 000468a  G4ax.T. Combined - certain GW Bush lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1344 - 1344
Numeric

G4ax.T.

IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT

This combines data from G4a and G4a.T.
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G4/G4.T; R selected for branching format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000469   G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1345 - 1345
Numeric

G9.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush?
(Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements. See also G4 and G4.T (V000465 and V000465a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL       --> G9a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE  --> SKIP TO G9b.E
3. MODERATE      --> SKIP TO G9c.E

8. DON'T KNOW    --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
If R selected for branching version of Lib-Con series:
If GW Bush placement is Liberal:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

1. Strong Liberal        --> SKIP TO G9c.E
5. Not Very Strong Liberal --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. Don't Know            --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

Count 1694 70 43

If R selected for branching version of Lib-Con series:
If GW Bush placement is Conservative:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

1. Strong Conservative   --> SKIP TO G9c.E
5. Not Very Strong Conservative --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. Don't Know            --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

Count 1343 287 162 14 1
What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
_____________________________________________________________________


1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G9.E
9. RF in G9.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================================================================

VAR 000472    G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1348 - 1348
Numeric

G9x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G4x and G9x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000474 G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1350 - 1350
Numeric

G9c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G9.E; 8,9 or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000474a  G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1351 - 1351
Numeric

G9cx.

**BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:**

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

**COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING**

Built from G4ax.T and G9c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G4/G4.T/G9.E; 8,9,or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000475    G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1352 - 1352
Numeric

G5.

**IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:**

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale version see G5.T; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G5.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E) for the FTF version of the 7-point scale version see G5; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
--- SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000476  G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchanan lib-con scl
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1354 - 1354
Numeric

G5x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

Built from G5/G5.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
VAR 000477   G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1355 - 1355
Numeric

G5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN       --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN     --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN   --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK                 --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G5

Count

0 1 2 3 4
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
939 17 32 34 92

5 6 7 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
48 116 223 299 7

0 1 3 5
----- ----- ----- ----- 
1487 100 113 107

------------------------

VAR 000478   G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1356 - 1356
Numeric

G5a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN       --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN     --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G5.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000478a  G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1357 - 1357
Numeric

G5ax.T.

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

This combines data from G5a and G5a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000479  G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1358 - 1358
Numeric

G10.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan?
(Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
See also G5 and G5.T (V000475 and V000475a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL --> G10a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G10b.E
3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G10c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000480 G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1359 - 1359
Numeric

G10a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHEING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G10.E
G10b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong conservative or a
not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

G10x1.E.

IF R SELECTED BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a
conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES


1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative
8. DK in G10.E
9. RF in G10.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000483 G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1362 - 1362
Numeric

G10x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE)
What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G5x and G10x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000484  G10c.E. Certain-Buchan plcmnt lib-con br
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1363 - 1363
Numeric

G10c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN      --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G5/G5.T/G10.E; 8,9,or NA in G10a.E/G10b.E

Count    1252      225      181      149

G10cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G5ax.T and G10c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
VAR 000485    G11. Who does R think will be elected
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1365 - 1365
Numeric

G11.
Who do you think will be elected President in November?
```

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK    --> SKIP to G11b
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
VAR 000486    G11a. Race close or not- cand named
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1366 - 1366
Numeric

G11a.
IF R NAMES PRES. CANDIDATE WHO R THINKS WILL WIN ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will [NAME GIVEN IN G11] win by quite a bit?
```

1. WILL BE CLOSE   --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
8. DK              --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
9. RF
### G11b. Race close or not- cand not named

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1449</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000487 G11b. Race close or not- cand not named**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

**Columns:** 1367 - 1367

**Numeric**

**G11b.**

**IF R SAYS HE/SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN THE PRES. ELECTION:**

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will one candidate win by quite a bit?

1. WILL BE CLOSE
2. WIN BY QUITE A BIT
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1-7 in G11

### H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1678</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000488a H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

**Columns:** 1368 - 1368

**Numeric**

**H1.**

**IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:**

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

1. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
2. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
3. GOTTEN WORSE --> SKIP TO H1b
4. DK --> SKIP TO H2

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.E

+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000488b  H1.E. US econ btr/worse in last year ex
             MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
             COLUMNS:  1369 - 1369
             Numeric

H1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a
whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the
nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the
same, or gotten better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1
or H1.E

1. GOTTEN WORSE.  --> SKIP TO H1b
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME  --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN BETTER  --> SKIP TO H1a

8. DK  --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.

+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000489  H1a. How much better US econ next year
             MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
             COLUMNS:  1370 - 1370
             Numeric

H1a.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE BETTER IN THE NEXT YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER  --> SKIP TO H2
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER  --> SKIP TO H2
8. DK  --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------
VAR 000490   H1b. How much worse US econ next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1371 - 1371
Numeric

H1b.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY WILL BE WORSE IN THE NEXT YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

-----------------------------------------------
1. SOMEWHAT WORSE
5. MUCH WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1505</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------
VAR 000491   H1x. Summary US econ btr/worse last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1372 - 1372
Numeric

H1x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?
BOTH VERSIONS: Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?
COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT YEAR

Built from H1/H1.E and H1a,H1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
9. RF in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000492a  H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1373 - 1373
Numeric

H2.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

1. GOTTEN HARDER --> SKIP TO H2a
3. STAYED THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b

8. DK --> SKIP TO H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000492b  H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1374 - 1374
Numeric

H2.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

1. GOTTEN EASIER      --> SKIP TO H2b
3. ABOUT THE SAME     --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN HARDER

8. DK                  --> SKIP TO H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================================================

VAR 000493    H2a. How much harder to find work lst yr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1375 - 1375
Numeric

H2a.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN HARDER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much harder or a little harder?

1. MUCH HARDER       --> H4
5. A LITTLE HARDER   --> H4

8. DK                --> H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================================================= 
VAR 000494    H2b. How much easier to find work lst yr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1376 - 1376
Numeric

H2b.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN EASIER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much easier or a little easier?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000495    H2x. Summary employ opps in last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1377 - 1377
Numeric

H2x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much harder/easier or a little harder/easier?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN LAST YEAR

Built from H2/H2.E and H2a,H2b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
9. RF in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000496a  H4. US econ bttr/worse in next year stan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1378 - 1378
Numeric

H4.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E

1. GET BETTER          --> SKIP TO H4a
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET WORSE           --> SKIP TO H4b

8. DK                 --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000496b  H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1379 - 1379
Numeric

H4.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E

1. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET BETTER

8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>911</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000497   H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1380 - 1380
Numeric

H4a.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET BETTER IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000498   H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1381 - 1381
Numeric

H4b.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMETHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1478</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000499  H4x. Summary US econ in next year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1382 - 1382
Numeric

H4x.

STANDARD VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS
-------------------------------------------------------------
Built from H4/H4.E and H4a,H4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
9. RF in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000500  H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1383 - 1383
Numeric
H5.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H5b
8. DK --> SKIP to H6
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H5a.

IF R APPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
8. DK --> SKIP to H7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H5b.

IF R DISAPPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000503   H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1386 - 1386
Numeric

H5x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from H5 and H5a/H5b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK in H5 or H5a/b
9. RF in H5 or H5a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000504   H6.  Is R invested in stock market
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1387 - 1387
Numeric

H6.

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000505   H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1388 - 1388
Numeric

H7.

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy..., the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM/NO DIFFERENCE
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000506   H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1389 - 1389
Numeric

H8.

Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war would be handled better IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS by the Democrats, the Republicans, or about the same by both?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]
Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?

1. WEAKER
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
5. STRONGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Count 500 874 403 28 2

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000509    H10a. Inc/dec immigratn little or a lot
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1392 - 1392
Numeric

H10a.

IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE INCREASED/
IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE DECREASED:

A little or a lot?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. A LITTLE
5. A LOT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000510    H10x. Summary immigration level
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1393 - 1393
Numeric

H10x.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: IMMIGRATION LEVEL
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from H10 and H10a.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Left the same
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============
VAR 000511a  H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    COLUMNS:  1394 - 1394
    Numeric

H11.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============
VAR 000511b  H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    COLUMNS:  1395 - 1395
    Numeric
H11.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000512 H11x. Combined versions import limits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS: 1396 - 1396
Numeric

H11x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: IMPORT LIMITS

Built from H11 and H11.E

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Haven't thought much (H11 only)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000513a H12. US better off to stay at home stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1397 - 1397
Numeric

H12.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12 or H12.E

1. AGREE --> SKIP to H13
5. DISAGREE --> SKIP to H13
8. DK --> SKIP to H13
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000513b H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1398 - 1398
Numeric

H12.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12 or H12.E

1. BETTER OFF IF WE JUST STAYED HOME
5. BETTER OFF TRYING TO SOLVE SOME PROBLEMS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 000514   H12x. Combined versions isolationism
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1399 - 1399
Numeric

H12x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ISOLATIONISM
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from H12 and H12.E

1. Agree/ Better off to stay home
5. Disagree/ Better off trying to solve problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 000515   H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
H13.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H13b
8. DK          --> SKIP to K1
9. RF
0. NA

1 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1161 534 102 10

VAR 000516  H13a. Strength approve Clinton frgn rel
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1401 - 1401
Numeric

H13a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY   --> SKIP TO K1
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
8. DK          --> SKIP TO K1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H13

0 1 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 646 605 553 3

VAR 000517  H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1402 - 1402
Numeric

H13b.
IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON’S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H13x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: CLINTON HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Built from H13 and H13a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K1.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a
Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN            --> SKIP TO K1b
3. INDEPENDENT           --> SKIP TO K1c
4. OTHER PARTY [SPECIFY] --> SKIP TO K1c
5. NO PREFERENCE         --> SKIP TO K1c
8. DK                    --> SKIP TO K1c
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000520  K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1405 - 1405
Numeric

K1a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT:

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

1. STRONG            --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
5. NOT VERY STRONG   --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
8. DK                --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2-5, 8,9,0 in K1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000521  K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1406 - 1406
Numeric

K1b.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN:
Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4,5,8,9,0 in K1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000522   K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1407 - 1407
Numeric

K1c.

IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN
3. NEITHER [VOL]
5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,9,0 in K1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000523   K1x. Party ID summary
MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  1408 - 1408
Numeric

K1x.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?
Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/Republican?
Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican
Party or to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY: PARTY ID

Built from K1, K1a/b, K1c.
Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a code of 5 (no preference) in K1 and a code of 3,8 or 9 in K1c AND also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions: PRE question A1.(interest in campaigns), PRE question B1 (care about Congressional race outcome), POST question C1 (voted), POST question F5 (follow public affairs); respondents who showed an interest in politics were coded 3.

0. Strong Democrat (1,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
1. Weak Democrat (1,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
2. Independent-Democrat (3/4/5/8,0,5 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
3. Independent-Independent (3,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c; 8,0,3 and 5,0,3/8/9 if not apolitical)
4. Independent-Republican (3/4/5/8,0,1 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
5. Weak Republican (2,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
6. Strong Republican (2,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (4,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
8. Apolitical (8,0,3 or 5,0,3/8/9 in E6, E6a/b, E6c and no interest in politics)
9. NA (8/9,0,0 in E6, E6a/b, E6c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K1z/K1z.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, please tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

VAR 000524  K2a. Gore trait - moral
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
K2a.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - MORAL

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================================

VAR 000525  K2b. Gore trait - really cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1410 - 1410
Numeric

K2b.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000526 K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1411 - 1411
Numeric

K2c.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE
-----------------------------
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000527  K2d. Gore trait - strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1412 - 1412
Numeric

K2d.

Think about Al Gore. 
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
VAR 000528  K2e. Gore trait - dishonest
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1413 - 1413
Numeric

Think about Al Gore. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

VAR 000529  K2f. Gore trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1414 - 1414
Numeric
Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]})

GORE TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000530   K2g. Gore trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1415 - 1415
Numeric

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]})

GORE TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA
8. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000531 K3a. Bush trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1416 - 1416
Numeric

K3a.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
K3b.

Think about George W. Bush. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1418 - 1418
Numeric

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

VAR 000534 K3d. Bush trait - strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1419 - 1419
Numeric
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
-------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================
VAR 000535  K3e. Bush trait - dishonest
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1420 - 1420
Numeric

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - DISHONEST
-------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000536 K3f. Bush trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1421 - 1421
Numeric

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]})

BUSH TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000537 K3g. Bush trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1422 - 1422
Numeric

K3g.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>’
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

**BUCHANAN TRAIT - MORAL**

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000541    K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1426 - 1426
Numeric

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEadership

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000542  K4e. Buchanan trait - dishonest
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1427 - 1427
Numeric

K4e.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - DISHONEST

==============================================
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000543 K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1428 - 1428
Numeric

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>,' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000544    K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1429 - 1429
Numeric

K4g.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
-----------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L1/L1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 5 of the booklet.
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

-----------------------------
VAR 000545    L1a. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1430 - 1430
Numeric

L1a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
For telephone (branching) version of this question see L1a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES  --> L1b
2.                                           --> L1b
3.                                           --> L1b
4.                                           --> L1b
5.                                           --> L1b
6.                                           --> L1b
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES     --> L1b
8. DK                                         --> L1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS            --> L1b

    0     1     2     3     4
----- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count  142   46   60  102  251

    5     6     7     8     9
----- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count  184  115   98    7  802

==============================
VAR 000546 L1a.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1431 - 1431
Numeric

L1a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

For FTF (7-point scale) version of this question see L1a.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING       --> L1a1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING       --> L2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]  --> L1b.T

8. DK                                     --> L1b.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS         --> L1b.T

    0     1     5     7     8     9
----- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 141  179  378   93    7  1009

==============================
VAR 000547 L1a1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1432 - 1432
Numeric
IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

-----------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL  --> L1b.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> L1b.T
8. DK            --> L1b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============================================
VAR 000548 L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1433 - 1433
Numeric

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

-----------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL  --> L1b.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> L1b.T
8. DK            --> L1b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============================================
VAR 000549 L1ax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS: 1434 - 1434
Numeric

L1ax1.
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: SPT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1a.T and L1a1.T/L1a2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
7. Haven't thought much
8. DK in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
9. RF in L1a.T or L1a1.T/L1a2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000550  Llax2. Comb.7pt/br summ of self on serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1435 - 1435
Numeric

Llax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

COMBINED 5PT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from L1a and Llax1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a or L1a.T
0. Haven't thought much

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000551    L1b. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1436 - 1436
Numeric

L1b.

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L1b.T

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES
   --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2.                        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3.                        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4.                        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5.                        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6.                        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES
   --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK
   --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the FTF version of this question, see L1b.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1b1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1b2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1699</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
VAR 000554   L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1439 - 1439
Numeric

L1b2.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

---

1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT NAME
8. DK             --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1285</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
VAR 000555   L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1440 - 1440
Numeric

L1bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the
government to provide fewer services in order to
reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1b.T and L1b1.T/L1b2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
9. RF in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------
VAR 000556   L1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton srv/spnd
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1441 - 1441
Numeric

L1bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending? (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1b and L1bx1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000557   Llc. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1442 - 1442
Numeric

Llc.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then Llc and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see Llc.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2.                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3.                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4.                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5.                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6.                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK                     --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

|--|--|--|--|--|
  0 1 2 3 4
Count 801 9 27 62 154

5 6 7 8 9
Count 262 251 129 111 1

=================================================================================
VAR 000558   Llc.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8

=================================================================================
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the
government to provide fewer services in order to
reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f
were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question, see L1c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1c1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1c2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]-->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
8. DK -->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000559  L1c1.T. Gore- how much red serv/spend ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1444 - 1444
Numeric

L1c1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
VAR 000560 L1c2.T. Gore- how much inc serv/spend ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1445 - 1445
Numeric

L1c2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

VAR 000561 L1cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1446 - 1446
Numeric

L1cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the
government to provide fewer services in order to
reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built from L1c.T and L1c1.T/L1c2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
9. RF in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

smarty summary: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1c and L1cx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c or L1c.T
VAR 000563  L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1448 - 1448
Numeric

L1d.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING -->L1d1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING -->L1d2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]--NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T

8. DK -->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR -- L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR -- L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR -- L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L1d2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR -- L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR -- L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR -- L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?
SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1d.T and L1d1.T/L1d2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
9. RF in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000568 L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1453 - 1453
Numeric

L1dx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from L1d and L1dx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d or L1d.T

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000569   L1e. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1454 - 1454
Numeric

L1e.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this
issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f
were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES     --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
8. DK              --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1e1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1e2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending only some)?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1723</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000572  L1e2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1457 - 1457
Numeric

L1e2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending only some)?

1. A Great Deal --> Next Party or L2
5. Only Some --> Next Party or L2

8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000573  L1ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1458 - 1458
Numeric

L1ex1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even
if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase
services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase
services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Lle.T and Lle1.T/Lle2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in Lle.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
9. RF in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lle.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000574    Llex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1459 - 1459
Numeric

Llex2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this
issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?  (Do you think they
would like the government to provide fewer services in
order to reduce spending or provide more services even
if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase
services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase
services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Lle and Llex1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e or L1e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================
VAR 000575   L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1460 - 1460
Numeric

L1f.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
### L1f.T.

**TELEPHONE:**
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.

**Randomization:** Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- **1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING** --> L1f1.T
- **5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING** --> L1f2.T
- **7. SHOULD STAY SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]** --> Next Party or L2
- **8. DK** --> Next Party Or L2
- **9. RF**
- **0. NA; INAP, FTF mode**

### L1f1.T.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000578 L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1463 - 1463
Numeric

L1f2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000579 L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1464 - 1464
Numeric

L1fx1.
TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L1f.T and L1f1.T/L1f2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T
9. RF in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000580  L1fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party srv/sp
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1465 - 1465
Numeric

L1fx2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L1f and L1fx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f or L1f.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L2/L2.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 6 of the booklet.
Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased.

var 000581 L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1466 - 1466
Numeric

L2a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question, see L2a.T

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b
2. --> L2b
3. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  
4. DK  
5. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode  
6. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>161</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>229</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000582  L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMN:  1467 - 1467  
Numeric  

L2a.T.  
TELEPHONE:  
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

For the FTF version of this question, see L2a.  

1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION  
5. NO, NO OPINION (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH)  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000583  L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMN:  1468 - 1468  
Numeric  

L2a1.T.  
IF R DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:  
TELEPHONE:
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2a1a.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2a1b.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT     --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK                         --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,0 in L2a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000584   L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1469 - 1469
Numeric

L2a1a.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce defense spending a lot or a little?

1. A LOT  --> L2bT/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK      --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1697</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000585   L2a1b.T. How much incre def spending ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1470 - 1470
Numeric

L2a1b.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase defense spending a lot or a little?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

0 1 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1476 188 141 1 1

==============================
VAR 000586  L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS:  1471 - 1471
Numeric
L2ax1.

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L2a.T, L2a1.T and L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

7. Haven't thought much (5 in L2a.T)
8. DK in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
9. RF in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode

0 1 2 3 4
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1006 54 56 146 141
L2ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEFENSE SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L2a and from L2a.T/L2a1.T/L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a; 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000588   L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1473 - 1473
Numeric
L2b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>186</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 000589   L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1474 - 1474
Numeric

L2b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2b1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2b1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT        --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK                             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF                             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000590    L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1475 - 1475
Numeric

L2b1.T.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000591    L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1476 - 1476
Numeric
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L2b.T and L2b1.T/L2b2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
9. RF in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000592  L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1477 - 1477
Numeric

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L2b and L2bx1.
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b or L2b.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000593  L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1478 - 1478
Numeric

L2c.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE
   DEFENSE SPENDING  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2.   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3.   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4.   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5.   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6.   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE
   DEFENSE SPENDING  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000594  L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1479 - 1479  
Numeric

L2c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2c1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2c1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000595  L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1480 - 1480  
Numeric
L2c1.T.

*IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
*IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

**TELEPHONE:**

A lot or a little?

---

1. A LOT    --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
8. DK       --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1307</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================

VAR 000596   L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1481 - 1481
Numeric

L2cx1.

**TELEPHONE:**

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

A lot or a little?

**SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES**

Built from L2c.T and L2c1.T/L2c2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
9. RF in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

**TELEPHONE:**
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

A lot or a little?

**SUMMARY:** 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

---

**Built from L2c and L2cx1.**

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE
   DEFENSE SPENDING           --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2.                             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3.                             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4.                             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5.                             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6.                             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE
   DEFENSE SPENDING           --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK                        --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000599 L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1484 - 1484
Numeric

L2d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------
VAR 000600      L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph
MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:   1485 - 1485
Numeric

L2d1.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------
VAR 000601      L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:   1486 - 1486
Numeric
L2dx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?  (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L2d.T and L2d1.T/L2d2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
9. RF in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 000602  L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1487 - 1487
Numeric

L2dx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?  (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L2d and L2dx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d or L2d.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VaR 000603  L2e. Rep Party-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1488 - 1488
Numeric

L2e.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
L2e1.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000606  L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1491 - 1491
Numeric

L2ex1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party?  (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L2e.T and L2e1.T/L2e2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
9. RF in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e.T; FTF mode
 Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE: What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2e and L2ex1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e or L2e.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


L3. FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Please look at page 7 of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a
government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

L3.E. FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Please look at page 8 of the booklet.
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

L3.T TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans.

L3.TE. TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone.

-----------------------------
VAR 000608    L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
COLUMNS:  1493 - 1493
Numeric

L3(1).

CHECKPOINT:  MODE AND VERSION
FOR GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT
-----------------------------------------------

FTF:  Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (with endpoints reversed).
Phone:  Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question is coded in reverse.
1. FTF standard version  
2. FTF experimental version  
3. PHONE version 1  
4. PHONE version 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================

VAR 000608a  L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1494 - 1494  
Numeric

L3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L3 for complete text.  
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.  
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN           --> SKIP TO L4  
2.                               --> SKIP TO L4  
3.                               --> SKIP TO L4  
4.                               --> SKIP TO L4  
5.                               --> SKIP TO L4  
6.                               --> SKIP TO L4  
7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN        --> SKIP TO L4  
8. DK                            --> SKIP TO L4  
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in L3(1)  
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH         --> SKIP TO L4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================

VAR 000608b  L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
L3a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.E for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental). The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

1. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
2. --> L4
3. --> L4
4. --> L4
5. --> L4
6. --> L4
7. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
8. DK --> L4
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4

```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

--------------------------
VAR 000609 L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1496 - 1496
Numeric

L3ax.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF: STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL 7 PT MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a/L3a.E.
1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, phone mode [3, 4 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000610a   L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1497 - 1497
Numeric

L3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L3.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a1.T
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> SKIP TO L4

8. DK --> SKIP TO L4.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO L4.T

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
L3a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.TE for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

1. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
5. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1382</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L3ax.TE.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED PHONE VERSION 1/VERSION 2 - MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a.T and L3a.TE

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000612   L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1500 - 1500
Numeric

L3a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF R FEELS THERE SHOULD BE GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/
IF R FEELS INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

==============================================

1. STRONGLY  --> L4.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4.T
8. DK          --> L4.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,0 or RF/NA in L3a.T or L3a.TE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000613   L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1501 - 1501
Numeric

L3x1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY:  5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built L3ax.T and L3a1.T/L3a2.T

1. Strongly - government insurance plan
2. Not strongly - government insurance plan
3. Stay the same as it is now
4. Not strongly - individual insurance
5. Strongly - individual insurance

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------
VAR 000614  L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1502 - 1502
Numeric

L3x2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L3ax and L3ax.T

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt insurance plan
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt insurance plan
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 ; BRANCHING: Stay the same as it is now
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - individual insurance
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - individual insurance

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
L4/L4.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 9 of the booklet.
Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Please look at page 9 of the booklet.
Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

-----------------------------
VAR 000615    L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1503 - 1503
Numeric

L4a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question see L4a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING  --> L4b.
2.  --> L4b.
3.  --> L4b.
4.  --> L4b.
5.  --> L4b.
6. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN  --> L4b.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN  --> L4b.

8. DK  --> L4b.
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS  --> L4b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============
VAR 000616  L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1504 - 1504
Numeric

L4a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

For the FTF version of this question see L4a.

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING  --> L4a1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN  --> L4a2.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]  --> L4b.T/L4c.T

8. DK  --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS  --> L4b.T/L4c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============
VAR 000617  L4a1.T. How much should gov guar jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1505 - 1505
Numeric

L4a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to
it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1614      152       40        1

======================================================================
VAR 000618  L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1506 - 1506
Numeric

L4a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1368      346       93

======================================================================
VAR 000619  L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1507 - 1507
Numeric

L4x1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
------------------------------------------

Built from L4a; built from L4a.T and L4a1.T/L4a2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000620   L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1508 - 1508
Numeric

L4x2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
------------------------------------------

Built from L4a and L4x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000621 L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1509 - 1509
Numeric

L4b.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
VAR 000622  L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1510 - 1510
Numeric

L4b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING    --> L4b1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN       --> L4b2T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]     --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR -->L5.T
8. DK                                  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0  1  2  3  4
---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 801  74 128 206 208

5  6  7  8  9
---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 110 58 38 182 2

---------------------------------------------------------------------
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?
=================================================================================
1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
VAR 000624 L4bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1512 - 1512
Numeric

L4bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government
should see to it that every person has a job and a good
standard of living, or that government should just let
each person get ahead on their own?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should see to jobs and a good standard of
living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
=================================================================================

Built from L4b.T and L4b1.T/L4b2.

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4b and L4bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3, 4, 5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
VAR 000626  L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1514 - 1514
Numeric

L4c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF:  L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000627  L4c.T. Bush-guar job/std liv scl phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1515 - 1515
Numeric
L4c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?  (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE:  L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.  
For the FTF version of this question see L4c.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING                 --> L4c1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN                       --> L4c1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]     --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK                                 --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 000628  L4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1516 - 1516
Numeric

L4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?  
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY                --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY            --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK                      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1191</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000629   L4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1517 - 1517
Numeric
L4cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?  (Do you think he feels
government should see to it that every person has a
job and a good standard of living, or that government
should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should see to jobs and a good standard of
living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from  L4c.T and L4cl.T/L4c2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?) Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4c and L4cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000632    L4d.T. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1520 - 1520
Numeric

L4d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4d.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. **GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING**  --> L4d1.T
2. **GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN**  --> L4d1.T
3. **OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]**  --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
4. **DK**  --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. **RF**  --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
6. **NA; INAP, FTF mode**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000633  L4d1/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1521 - 1521
Numeric

L4d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000634  L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T
1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?
government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4d and L4dx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>429</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000636  L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1524 - 1524
Numeric

L4e.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000637 L4e.T. Rep Party-guar job/std liv phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1525 - 1525
Numeric

L4e.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
8. DK                          --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000638  L4e1/e2.T. Rep Party-strength guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1526 - 1526
Numeric

L4e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?

-----------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY                          --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY                       --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
8. DK                                --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000639  L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1527 - 1527
Numeric

L4ex1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party?  (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person has a job
and a good standard of living, or that government should
just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4e.T and L4e1.T/L4e2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000640    L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1528 - 1528
Numeric

L4ex2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4e and L4ex1.
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L5/L5.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 10 of the booklet.
Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.

-------------------------------
VAR 000641 L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1529 - 1529
Numeric

L5a.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
-------------------------------

See L5 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see L5a.T.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS</th>
<th></th>
<th>BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000642 L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1530 - 1530
Numeric

L5a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5.T for complete text.

For the FTF version of this question see L5a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS</th>
<th></th>
<th>BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000643 L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1531 - 1531
Numeric
L5a1/a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or
only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent
or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT   --> L5b.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> L5b.T
8. DK             --> L5b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5a.T


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000644    L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1532 - 1532
Numeric

L5ax1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or
only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent
or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5a.T and L5a1.T/L5a2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it
VAR 000645  L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  COLUMNS:  1533 - 1533
  Numeric

L5ax2.

  FTF:
  Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

  TELEPHONE:
  Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
  Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
  Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

  SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5a and L5ax1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

  0  1  2  3
    ---- ---- ---- ----
  Count 220 138 150  583

  4  5  8  9
    ---- ---- ---- ----
  Count 241 449  8  18

VAR 000646  L5b. Clinton plcmt-aid to blacks scale FTF
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  COLUMNS:  1534 - 1534
  Numeric
L5b.

FTF: (Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5b.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================
VAR 000647 L5b.T. Clinton-aid to blacks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1535 - 1535
Numeric

L5b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th
or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5b.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS  --> L5b1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES  --> L5b1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]  --> NEXT NAME

8. DK  --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000648  L5b1/b2.T. Clinton-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1536 - 1536
Numeric

L5b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

==============================================
1. GREAT EXTENT  --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME  --> NEXT NAME

8. DK  --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000649  L5bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1537 - 1537
Numeric

L5bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton?  (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve
the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels that government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
-----------------------------------------------

Built from L5b.T and L5b1.T/L5b2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000650 L5bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1538 - 1538
Numeric

L5bx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
Built from L5b and L5bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5c.T.
VAR 000652  L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1540 - 1540
Numeric

L5c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?  (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve the
social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort to help
blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
VAR 000653  L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          COLUMNS:  1541 - 1541
          Numeric

L5c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK             --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5c.T

          0    1    5    8
       ----- ----- ----- ----- 
        Count 1277  284  245    1

-------------------------------

VAR 000654  L5c1x1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blks
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          COLUMNS:  1542 - 1542
          Numeric

L5c1x1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?  (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve the
social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort to help
blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5c.T and L5c1.T/L5c2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000655     L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1543 - 1543
Numeric

L5cx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve the
social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort to help
blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L5c and L5cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
L5d.

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS  --> L5d1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES  --> L5d1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------
VAR 000658  L5d1/d2.T. Bush-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1546 - 1546
Numeric

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. GREAT EXTENT  ---> NEXT NAME/PARTY
5. ONLY SOME  ---> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK  ---> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5d.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================

VAR 000659  L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1547 - 1547
Numeric

L5dx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
=====================================================================

Built from L5d.T and L5d1.T/L5d2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5d and L5dx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See L5 for complete text.  
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------

VAR 000662   L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1550 - 1550
Numeric

L5e.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special
effort to help blacks because they should help
themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th
or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --&gt; L5e1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --&gt; L5e1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --&gt; NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L6.
8. DK --&gt; NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 000663 L5e1/e2.T. Dem Party-strgth aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1551 - 1551
Numeric

L5e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they feel the government should) help
blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --&gt; NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L6.
5. ONLY SOME --&gt; NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L6.
8. DK --&gt; NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5e.T
0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1258      301      247        1

============================================================================
VAR 000664  L5ex1.  5-pt br summary Dem Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1552 - 1552
Numeric

L5ex1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
============================================================================
Built from L5e.T and L5e1.T/L5e2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1006      256      181       26

4       5       8       9
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count      66       45     221        6

============================================================================
VAR 000665  L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1553 - 1553
Numeric

L5ex2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5e and L5ex1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5f.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2.                               --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3.                               --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5.                               --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000667    L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1555 - 1555
Numeric

L5f.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5f1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5f1.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000668  L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1556 - 1556
Numeric

L5f1/f2.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they feel the government should) help
blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

========================================
1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5f.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000669  L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1557 - 1557
Numeric

L5fx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L5f.T and L5f1.T/L5f2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000670     L5fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1558 - 1558
 Numeric

L5fx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5f and L5fx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3, 4, 5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 000671a L6. Appr/disappr affirmative action stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1559 - 1559
Numeric

L6.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.

What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7

8 DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6.E
VAR 000671b  L6.E. Appr/disappr affirmative action ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1560 - 1560
Numeric

L6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
8. DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6

VAR 000672  L6a. Strength for affirmative action
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1561 - 1561
Numeric

L6a.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should have to have affirmative action)?
1. STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
5. NOT STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
8. DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L6b.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L6x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

COMBINED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

Built from L6, L6.E.

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L6x1.

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

SUMMARY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)
Built from L6, L6.E and L6a/L6b.

1. Strongly - should have to have affirmative action
2. Not strongly - should have to have affirmative action
4. Not strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
5. Strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action

7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L7.

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending increased or decreased. The first program is:

VAR 000675  L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1565 - 1565
Numeric

L7a.

BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS
(If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS be increased decreased or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
What about WELFARE PROGRAMS?
(Should federal spending on WELFARE PROGRAMS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

What about SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH?
(Should federal spending on SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 000678 L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1568 - 1568
Numeric

What about FOREIGN AID?
(Should federal spending on FOREIGN AID be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about FOOD STAMPS? (Should federal spending on FOOD STAMPS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro. The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What about AID TO POOR PEOPLE? (Should federal spending on AID TO POOR PEOPLE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro. The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------
What about ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION? 
(Should federal spending on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What about PUBLIC SCHOOLS? 
(Should federal spending on PUBLIC SCHOOLS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 VAR 000684    L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS:  1574 - 1574
 Numeric

L7k.

What about DEALING WITH CRIME?
(Should federal spending on DEALING WITH CRIME be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 VAR 000685    L7m. Inc/dec child care
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
L7m.

What about CHILD CARE?
(Should federal spending on CHILD CARE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000686  L7n. Inc/dec against illegal immigrants
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8

L7n.

What about TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION?
(Should federal spending on TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000687  L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1577 - 1577
Numeric

What about AID TO BLACKS?
(Should federal spending on AID TO BLACKS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000688  L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Recently, there has been a lot of talk about how to spend the extra money the federal government is likely to have in the near future. Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

---

1. APPROVE
2. DISAPPROVE
3. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO L9
4. RF
5. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000689  L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1579 - 1579
Numeric

IF R APPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

---

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000690  L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: TAX CUT FROM SURPLUS

Built from L8 and L8a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO M1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1453</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000692  L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1582 - 1582  
Numeric

L9a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE/  
IF R DISAPPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?  
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY  
5. NOT STRONGLY  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000693  L9x. Summary surplus for Soc Sec medicare  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1583 - 1583  
Numeric

L9x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?  
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?  
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: USE SURPLUS TO PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY

Built from L9 and L9a/b.

1. Approve strongly  
2. Approve not strongly  
4. Disapprove not strongly  
5. Disapprove strongly
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**VAR 000694  M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement**

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8

**COLUMNS:** 1584 - 1584

**Numeric**

M1/M1.T.

**FTF:**
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

**TELEPHONE:**
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

---

1. **BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.**
2. **THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.**
3. **THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.**
4. **BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.**
7. **OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>753</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**VAR 000695  M1a. Importance of abortion to R**
M1a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 000696  M1b/M1b.T. Gore-abortion scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1586 - 1586
Numeric

M1b/M1b.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on abortion?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK --> SKIP TO M1c OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1587 - 1587
Numeric

M1b1.

IF GORE'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1b/M1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000698 M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1588 - 1588
Numeric
M1c/M1c.T.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK --> SKIP TO M1b OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000699  M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1589 - 1589
Numeric

M1c1.

IF GEORGE W. BUSH'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
1. VERY CERTAIN  
3. PRETTY CERTAIN  
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1c/M1c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==----------------------------------------------------------------------
VAR 000700  M2. App/dis abortion parental consent  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1590 - 1590  
Numeric

M2.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==----------------------------------------------------------------------
VAR 000701  M2a. Strength abortion parental consent  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1591 - 1591  
Numeric

M2a.

IF R FAVORS ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION/  
IF R OPPOSES ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION:

Strongly or not strongly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT FOR ABORTIONS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M2 and M2a.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions.
Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------

VAR 000704  M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1594 - 1594
Numeric

M3a/b.

IF R FAVORS BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS/
IF R OPPOSES BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS:

Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------

VAR 000705  M3x. Summary partial-birth abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1595 - 1595
Numeric

M3x.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions. Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?
Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

SUMMARY: BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS

Built from M3 and M3a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M4/M4.E/M4.T/M4.TE.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Please look at page 12 of the booklet.
Some people think it is important to protect the even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at one end of the scale, at point number 1) Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6).

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:
Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living.

========================================
COLUMNS: 1596 - 1596
Numeric
M4(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR ENVIRONMENT VERSUS JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard version (M4a)
2. FTF experimental version (M4a.E)
3. PHONE version 1 (M4a.T)
4. PHONE version 2 (M4a.TE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000707a M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1597 - 1597
Numeric

M4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4 for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
   -- SKIPTO M4b
2. --> SKIPTO M4b
3. --> SKIPTO M4b
4. --> SKIPTO M4b
5. --> SKIPTO M4b
6. --> SKIPTO M4b
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
   -- SKIPTO M4b
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in M4(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO M4b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000707b  M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1598 - 1598
Numeric

M4a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See M4.E for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
2. --> SKIP TO M4b
3. --> SKIP TO M4b
4. --> SKIP TO M4b
5. --> SKIP TO M4b
6. --> SKIP TO M4b
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in M4(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
M4ax.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL - 7PT JOBS VS. ENVIRONMENT

Built from M4a, M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (M4a only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4.T for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2). For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING  --> M4a1.T/
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT  --> M4a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]  --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in M4(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000709b  M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1601 - 1601
Numeric

M4a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

See M4.TE for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2). For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING  --> M4a1.T/
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT  --> M4a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]  --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in M4(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000710  M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1602 - 1602
Numeric
M4ax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS

Built from M4a.T and M4a.TE

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (M4a.T only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000711  M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1603 - 1603
Numeric

M4al/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT /
IF MAINTAINING JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT  --> M4b.T/M4c.T
5. ONLY SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT  --> M4b.T/M4c.T
8. DK  --> M4b.T/M4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in M4a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in M4a.TE
VAR 000712  M4a1x1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1604 - 1604
Numeric

M4a1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M4ax.T and M4a1/a2.T

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a.T only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000713  M4a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1605 - 1605
Numeric

M4a1x2.
FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4a and M4a1x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a and M4a.T only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000714 M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1606 - 1606
Numeric

M4b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING           --> M4c. OR M5.
2.                                               --> M4c. OR M5.
3.                                               --> M4c. OR M5.
4.                                               --> M4c. OR M5.
5.                                               --> M4c. OR M5.
6.                                               --> M4c. OR M5.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT           --> M4c. OR M5.
8. DK                                               --> M4c. OR M5.
9. RF                                               --> M4c. OR M5.
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===========================================
VAR 000715     M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone
               MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
               COLUMNS:  1607 - 1607
               Numeric

M4b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see M4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================

VAR 000716   M4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1608 - 1608
Numeric

M4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

===============================================

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
5. SOMewhat MORE IMPORTANT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================

VAR 000717   M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1609 - 1609
Numeric
M4bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M4b.T and M4b1.T/M4b2.T.

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================
VAR 000718 M4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1610 - 1610
Numeric

M4bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000719  M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1611 - 1611
Numeric

M4c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4b. OR M5.
2. --> M4b. OR M5.
3. --> M4b. OR M5.
4. \[\rightarrow M4b.\ OR\ M5.\]
5. \[\rightarrow M4b.\ OR\ M5.\]
6. \[\rightarrow M4b.\ OR\ M5.\]
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT \[\rightarrow M4b.\ OR\ M5.\]
8. DK \[\rightarrow M4b.\ OR\ M5.\]
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================
VAR 000720  M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1612 - 1612
Numeric

M4c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see M4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS
   JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING \[\rightarrow SKIP TO M4b.T\ OR \rightarrow M5.\]
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT \[\rightarrow SKIP TO M4b.T\ OR \rightarrow M5.\]
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] \[\rightarrow SKIP TO M4b.T\ OR \rightarrow M5.\]
8. DK \[\rightarrow SKIP TO M4b.T\ OR \rightarrow M5.\]
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
### VAR 000721 M4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1613 - 1613
Numeric

**M4c1/c2.T.**

**IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/**
**IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:**

**TELEPHONE:**

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

---

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4c.T

---

### VAR 000722 M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1614 - 1614
Numeric

**M4cx1.**

**TELEPHONE:**

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?
SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4c.T and M4c1.T/M4c2.T.

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0 1 2 3
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1007 78 114 39

4 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 159 164 243 3

VAR 000723 M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1615 - 1615
Numeric

M4cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4c and M4cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important  
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA  

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>492</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============
VAR 000724  M5. Fav/oppose homosexuals in military  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1616 - 1616  
Numeric  

M5.  
Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?  

1. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO SERVE         --> M5a  
5. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SERVE     --> M5b  
8. DK                                             --> M6a  
9. RF  
0. NA  

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============
VAR 000725  M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1617 - 1617  
Numeric  

M5a.  
IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:  
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?  

1. STRONGLY --> M6a  
5. NOT STRONGLY --> M6a
8. DK --> M6a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000726 M5b. Strength opp homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1618 - 1618
Numeric

M5b.

IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000727 M5x. Summary homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1619 - 1619
Numeric

M5x.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

SUMMARY: HOMOSEXUALS IN ARMED FORCES

Built from M5 and M5a/b.

1. Strongly - should be allowed to serve
2. Not strongly - should be allowed to serve
4. Not strongly – should not be allowed to serve
5. Strongly – should not be allowed to serve

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000728    M6a. R-gun control scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1620 - 1620
Numeric

M6a.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

==============================================
1. MORE DIFFICULT   --> SKIP TO M6a1
3. MAKE IT EASIER   --> SKIP TO M6a2
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6a3

8. DK   --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000729    M6a1. R-strength more gun control
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1621 - 1621
Numeric

M6a1.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

==============================================
1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT   --> SKIP TO M6a3
5. SOMEWHAT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3

8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000730    M6a2. R-strength less gun control
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1622 - 1622
Numeric

M6a2.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A LOT EASIER
5. SOMEWHAT EASIER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in M6a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000731    M6ax. Summary gun control
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1623 - 1623
Numeric

M6ax.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from M6 and M6a/b.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000732  M6a3. R-importance of gun control**

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8**

**COLUMNS: 1624 - 1624**

**Numeric**

**M6a3.**

ALL GUN RESTRICTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMewhat IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000733  M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale**

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8**

**COLUMNS: 1625 - 1625**

**Numeric**
Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]
M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. MORE DIFFICULT
3. MAKE IT EASIER
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6b3
8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 3 1139 19 350 294 2

VAR 000734 M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1626 - 1626
Numeric

M6b1/b2.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier? /
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

1. A LOT --> M6b3
5. SOMewhat --> M6b3
8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 649 718 437 3
Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: GORE POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6b and M6b1/b2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
IF STRENGTH OF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN 
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN 

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M6b1/M6b2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
VAR 000737  M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1629 - 1629 
Numeric

M6c.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]

M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. MORE DIFFICULT  
3. MAKE IT EASIER  
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME  --> M6c2  

8. DK  --> N1/n1.e  
9. RF  
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
VAR 000738  M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl 
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1630 - 1630  
Numeric

M6c1/c2.
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1117</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000739** M6cx. Summary Bush gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1631 - 1631
Numeric

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

**SUMMARY: GW BUSH POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS**

Built from M6c and M6c1/c2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000740    M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1632 - 1632
Numeric

M6c3.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
IF STRENGTH OF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6c1/M6c2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000741a   N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1633 - 1633
Numeric

N1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a
8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for N1.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>925</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM ➔ N1a
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM ➔ N1a
8. DK ➔ SKIP TO N2
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1 ➔ SKIP TO N2
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS ➔ SKIP TO N2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMBINED VERSIONS - SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Built from N1 and N1.E.
1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM  
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM

8. DK  
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1  
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000743    N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1636 - 1636  
Numeric

N1a/b.

IF R FAVORS SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM/
IF R OPPOSES SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

Note: an error in the instrument programming excluded for this question respondents from the standard version; only respondents from N1.E were asked N1a/b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000744    N1ax. Summary school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1637 - 1637  
Numeric

N1ax.

STANDARD VERSION:  
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Build from N1x and N1a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Not Strongly</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>Not Strongly</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DK</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>887</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N2. R fav/opp English official language

VAR 000745  N2. R fav/opp English official language
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1638 - 1638
Numeric

N2.

Do you favor a law making English the official language of the United States, meaning government business would be conducted in English only, or do you oppose such a law?

1. FAVOR
3. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE [VOL]
5. OPPOSE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Favor</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
<th>Not Strongly</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>Not Strongly</th>
<th>Strongly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1202</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools. Others claim that this is not the government's business. Have you been interested enough in this question to favor one side over the other?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO N4
8. DK --> SKIP TO N4
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R HAS OPINION WHETHER GOVT SEE TO BLACK/WHITE KIDS IN SAME SCHOOLS:

Do you think the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools or stay out of this area as it is not the government's business?

1. SEE TO IT THAT WHITE AND BLACK CHILDREN GO TO THE SAME SCHOOLS
5. STAY OUT OF THIS AREA AS IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
7. OTHER; DEPENDS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
N4.

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N5.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO N5a
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO N5b
8. DK --> SKIP TO P1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
N5a.

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E
5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E
8. DK --> P1/P1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>567</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================

VAR 000751  N5b. How much oppose death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1644 - 1644
Numeric

N5b.

IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in N5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================

VAR 000752  N5x. Summary R position on death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1645 - 1645
Numeric

N5x.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?
(Do you favor/oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?
SUMMARY: R POSITION ON DEATH PENALTY

Built from N5 and N5a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1/P1.T.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS: Please look at page 13 of the booklet.
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that a woman's place is in the home.

==============================
COLUMNS: 1646 - 1646
Numeric

P1(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT
FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard  
2. FTF experimental  
3. PHONE version 1  
4. PHONE version 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000754a  Pla. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1647 - 1647  
Numeric

Pla.

**IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:**

**FTF:**
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P1 for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).  
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and Pla.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
2.   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
3.   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
4.   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
5.   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
6.   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
8. DK   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.  
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2,3,4 in P1(1)  
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000754b  Pla.E. R plcmnt equal role scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1648 - 1648
Numeric

Pla.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See P1 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see P1a.T and P1a.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES        --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
3.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
4.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
5.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
6.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME                --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

8. DK                                            --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4 in P1(1)

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

Built from P1a and P1a.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (P1a only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18</th>
<th>572</th>
<th>142</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>104</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000756a  Pla.T. R equal role branch stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1650 - 1650
Numeric

Pla.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Pl.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see P1a and P1a.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES  --> Pla1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME  --> Pla1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]  --> Plb.T/Plc.T
8. DK  --> Plb.T/Plc.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1,2,4 in P1(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

See P1.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either P1a.T (version 1) or P1a.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see P1a and P1a.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> P1a1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> P1a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> P1b.T/P1c.T
8. DK --> P1b.T/P1c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3 in P1(1)

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

Built from P1a.T and P1a.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (P1a.T only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000758  Pla1/a2.T. R strength equal roles
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1653 - 1653
Numeric

Pla1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF R SAYS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R SAYS A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women
should have equal roles?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's
place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY  --> SKIP TO Plb.T/Plc.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO Plb.T/Plc.T
8. DK          --> SKIP TO Plb.T/Plc.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in P1a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in P1a.TE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1071</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000759  Pla1x1. 5-pt br summary R equal role
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1654 - 1654
Numeric

Pla1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Plax.T and Pla1/a2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------

VAR 000760 Pla1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1655 - 1655
Numeric

Pla1x2.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

--------------------------
Built from Plax and PlaIx1

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000761  P1b. Gore-equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1656 - 1656
Numeric

P1b.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P1b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES  --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
2.                                        --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
3.                                        --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
4.                                        --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
5.                                        --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
6.                                        --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME          --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
8. DK                                    --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
9. RF                                    --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P1b.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> P1b1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> P1b1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS THAT A WOMAN’S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1215</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Var 000764  P1bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore equal role
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS:  1659 - 1659
 Numeric

P1bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P1b.T and P1b1/b2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

**TELEPHONE:**
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

**SUMMARY:** 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Branching</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strongly - women equal role</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not strongly - equal role</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Other/depends/neither</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not strongly - place is in the home</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Strongly - place is in the home</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

---
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see Plc.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES   --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
2.                                         --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
3.                                         --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
4.                                         --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
5.                                         --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
6.                                         --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
7. A WOMAN’S PLACE IS IN THE HOME          --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
8. DK                                         --> SKIP TO P1b./P2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>803</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: P1b.T and Plc.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see Plc.
1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK --> P1b.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000768 P1cl/c1.T. Bush-strength equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1663 - 1663
Numeric

P1cl/c1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal roles?/
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

==================================
1. STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================
VAR 000769 P1cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1664 - 1664
Numeric

P1cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that
women should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P1c.T and P1c1/c2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------
VAR 000770 P1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1665 - 1665
Numeric

P1cx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that
women should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P1c and P1cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P2/P2.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.)

TELEPHONE:
Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business.

-----------------------------
VAR 000717 P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1666 - 1666
Numeric

P2a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P2 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see P2a.T

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
2. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P2a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P2.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see P2a.

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P2al.T.

VAR 000772  P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1667 - 1667
Numeric

VAR 000773  P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1668 - 1668
Numeric
IF R THINKS TOUGHER REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT  --> P2a3
5. SOMEWHAT  --> P2a3
8. DK  --> P2a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


VAR 000774  P2a2.T. How much are regulations a burden
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1669 - 1669
Numeric

IF R THINKS REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH
5. SOMEWHAT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


VAR 000775  P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1670 - 1670
Numeric

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-LACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P2a.T and P2a1/a2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000776    P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1671 - 1671
Numeric

P2ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P2a and P2ax1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3, 4, 5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much about it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000778  P2aa. Gore plcmt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1673 - 1673
Numeric
P2b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS
   NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT --> P2bb
2. --> P2bb
3. --> P2bb
4. --> P2bb
5. --> P2bb
6. --> P2bb
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
   ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS

8. DK --> SKIP TO P2c OR P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================================================
VAR 000779 P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1674 - 1674
Numeric

P2b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much
tougher government regulations on business in order to
protect the environment, OR that current regulations to
protect the environment are already too much of a burden
on business?

===================================================================
See P2.T for complete text. P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order. For the FTF version of this question see P2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000780 P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1675 - 1675
Numeric

P2b1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> P2bb
5. SOMewhat --> P2bb
8. DK --> P2c.T OR --> P3.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1324</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000781 P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAY TOO MUCH</td>
<td>P2bb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMEWHAT</td>
<td>P2bb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>P2c.T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>P3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, FTF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count

- 0: 1736
- 1: 7
- 5: 64

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2b.T and P2b1/b2.T.

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Bult from P2b and P2bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
### VAR 000784  P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1679 - 1679  
Numeric

**P2bb.**

**IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:**

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN  
3. PRETTY CERTAIN  
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2b; 7,8,9 or NA in P2b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 000785  P2c. Bush placemnt-envir regulation scale

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1680 - 1680  
Numeric

**P2c.**

**FTF:**

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see P2c.T

**Randomization:** Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO
PROTECT ENVIRONMENT                      --> P2cc
2.                                              --> P2cc
3.                                              --> P2cc
4.                                              --> P2cc
5.                                              --> P2cc
6.                                              --> P2cc
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS --> P2cc
8. DK                                           --> P2b OR P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================================
VAR 000786    P2c.T. Bush-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1681 - 1681
Numeric

P2c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2.T for complete text.
P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------
VAR 000787   P2c1.T. Bush-strength tougher regulation  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1682 - 1682  
Numeric

**P2c1.T.**

**IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT                   --> P2cc  
5. SOMEWHAT                --> P2cc  
8. DK                      --> P2b.T OR  --> P3.  
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------
VAR 000788   P2c2.T. Bush-strength regulation burden  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1683 - 1683  
Numeric

**P2c2.T.**

**IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. WAY TOO MUCH                   --> P2cc  
5. SOMEWHAT                       --> P2cc  
8. DK                            --> P2b.T OR  --> P3.  
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T
P2cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2c.T and P2c1/c2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2c and P2cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000791  P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1686 - 1686
Numeric

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2c; 7,8,9 or NA in P2c.T

0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8
---|---|---|---|---
Count 541 | 255 | 570 | 440 | 1

-----------------------------
VAR 000792    P3. R expect to vote in Nov election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1687 - 1687
Numeric

P3.

So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO P3c
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P3c
9. RF
0. NA

0 | 1 | 5 | 8
---|---|---|---
Count 3 | 1547 | 230 | 27

-----------------------------
VAR 000793    P3a. Who will R vote for President
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1688 - 1688
Numeric

P3a.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:

Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WILL VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P3c
7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========

VAR 000794 P3b. Strength of preference for candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1689 - 1689
Numeric

P3b.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:
IF INTENDED PRESIDENTIAL VOTE IS NOT NONE OR DK/RF:

Would you say that your preference for [GORE/BUSH/BUCHANAN/OTHER] is strong or not strong?

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
5. NOT STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
8. DK --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or 0 in P3a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========

VAR 000795 P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1690 - 1690
Numeric

P3c.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/
IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:
If you were going to vote, who do you think you would vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WOULD VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P4
7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 9 in P3; 1-4,7,8,9 or NA in P3a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000796  P3d. Strength of preference for candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1691 - 1691
Numeric

P3d.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/
IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R NAMES PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HE WOULD VOTE FOR IF VOTING:

Would you say that your preference for <CANDIDATE NAMED> is strong or not strong?

-----------------------------
1. STRONG
5. NOT STRONG
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,0,8,9 in P3c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
P4.

The next couple of questions are about what you think will happen as a result of the upcoming elections. After the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. House of Representatives -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P5.

Again, after the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. Senate, -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some people feel that if black people are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business. Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other?

1. YES, HAVE INTEREST IN QUESTION   --> P6a
5. NO, HAVEN'T HAD INTEREST         --> P7
8. DK                                --> P7
9. RF                                --> P7
0. NA                                --> P7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000800 P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equal trmt in jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1695 - 1695
Numeric

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?

1. GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON SHOULD SEE TO IT THAT BLACK PEOPLE GET FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS
5. THIS IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK                   --> P7
9. RF                   --> P7
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000801 P6a1. Strength blacks equal trtmnt jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1696 - 1696
Numeric
P6a1.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:
IF R'S POSITION IS SEE TO FAIR TREATMENT/
IF R'S POSITION IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVT'S BUSINESS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,7,8,9 in P6a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000802    P6x. Summary blacks equal treatment jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 7
COLUMNS:  1697 - 1697
Numeric

P6x.

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business? Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS

Built from P6,P6a,P6a1.

1. Strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
2. Not strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
4. Not strongly - not the government's business
5. Strongly - not the government's business

7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no interest (5 in P6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
P7.

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion -- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

1. FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7a
5. AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> section Q as randomly selected
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P7a.

IF R FAVORS PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9,0 in P7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
P7b.

IF R OPPOSES PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9,0 in P7

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P7x.

What about your opinion- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

Do you favor/oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN JOBS

Built from P7 and P7a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
Q0.

Now I'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton

=========================================
VAR 000807  Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1702 - 1702  
Numeric

Q1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office. First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER
3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO Q1b
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q2

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

=========================================
VAR 000808  Q1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Q1a.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS SMALLER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

---------------------------------------------

1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================

VAR 000809   Q1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1704 - 1704
Numeric

Q1b.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS LARGER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

---------------------------------------------

1. MUCH LARGER
5. SOMEWHAT LARGER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================

VAR 000810   Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1705 - 1705
Numeric

Q1x.
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller/larger or somewhat smaller/larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Q1 and Q1a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 000811 Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1706 - 1706
Numeric

Q2.
Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1 INCREASED
3 DECREASED
5 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q3
8 DK --> SKIP TO Q3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1707 - 1707
Numeric

IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS DECREASED SINCE 1992/
IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS INCREASED SINCE 1992:
A lot or somewhat?

1. A LOT
5. SOMEWHAT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q2

Q2x. Summary assistance to poor
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1708 - 1708
Numeric

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?
A lot or somewhat?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from Q2 and Q2a.
1. Increases a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>920</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000814 Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1709 - 1709
Numeric

Q3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q3b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q4

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>920</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000815 Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1710 - 1710
Numeric

Q3a.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000816 Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1711 - 1711
Numeric

Q3b.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1748</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000817 Q3x. Summary economy since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1712 - 1712
Numeric

Q3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?
(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Q3 and Q3a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
VAR 000818 Q4. Clinton made economy better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1713 - 1713
Numeric

Q4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO Q4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO Q5
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
VAR 000819 Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1714 - 1714
Numeric

Q4a.
**IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:**

Much better or somewhat better?

```
1. MUCH BETTER  --> SKIP TO Q5
5. SOMewhat BETTER  --> SKIP TO Q5
8. DK          --> SKIP TO Q5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q4
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1305</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000820** Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1715 - 1715
Numeric

**Q4b.**

**IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:**

Much worse or somewhat worse?

```
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q4
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1766</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000821** Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1716 - 1716
Numeric

**Q4x.**

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

**SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY**
Built from Q4 and Q4a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
Q6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE → SKIP TO Q6b
5. NO CHANGE → SKIP TO Q7
8. DK → SKIP TO Q7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6a.

IF R SAYS U.S. MORE SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6b. U.S. much less secure from enemies

IF R SAYS U.S. LESS SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

(Would you say) much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Q6 and Q6a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Not changed very much
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000827   Q7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1722 - 1722
Numeric

Q7.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q8
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Count  920  192  218  449  28

VAR 000828   Q7a. Clinton made U.S. much/smewhat more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1723 - 1723
Numeric

Q7a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8
5. SOMewhat more secure --> SKIP TO Q8
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q7
VAR 000829  Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/smewhat less secure
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1724 - 1724
Numeric

Q7b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMewhat LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q7

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----    
Count    1589       99      119

VAR 000830  Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1725 - 1725
Numeric

Q7x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Q7 and Q7a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------

VAR 000831  Q8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1726 - 1726
Numeric

Q8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q8b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q9

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------

VAR 000832  Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1727 - 1727
Numeric

Q8a.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP TO Q9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP TO Q9
8. DK                --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000833    Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1728 - 1728
Numeric

Q8b.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1531</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 000834    Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1729 - 1729
Numeric

Q8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

---------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Q8 and Q8a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================
VAR 000835 Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1730 - 1730
Numeric

Q9.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE              --> SKIP TO Q9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE      --> SKIP TO Q10

8. DK                 --> SKIP TO Q10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================
VAR 000836 Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat btr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1731 - 1731
Numeric
Q9a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q9b.

VAR 000837  Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat wrse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1732 - 1732
Numeric

Q9b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1735</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q9x.

VAR 000838  Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1733 - 1733
Numeric

Q9x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?
SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Q9 and Q9a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000839 Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1734 - 1734
Numeric

Q10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q11

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q10a.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q10b.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1337</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q10x.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q10 and Q10a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

---

Count     920       28       59      314
          -----    -----    -----    -----     
          4        5        8        9       

Count 209      260       15        2

VAR 000843 Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1738 - 1738
Numeric

Q11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE         --> SKIP TO Q11b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK         --> SKIP TO Q14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000844   Q11a.Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1739 - 1739
Numeric

Q11a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER   --> SKIP TO Q14
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP TO Q14
8. DK   --> SKIP TO Q14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000845   Q11b.Clinton made moral climate much/swht wrse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1740 - 1740
Numeric

Q11b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Q11 and Q11a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, ; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q14a. Angry - Clinton affect

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
ANGRY

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000848 Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1743 - 1743
Numeric

Q14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1744 - 1744
Numeric

Q14b.
Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO          --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK          --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

| Count | 891 | 469 | 444 | 3 |

Q14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14b
Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================================
VAR 000853    Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1748 - 1748
Numeric

Q14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK   --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Q14d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q15a/Q15a.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is)'<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL
Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 000856 Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1751 - 1751
Numeric

Q15b/Q15b.T.

FTF: Please look at page 4 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE: In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000857 Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1752 - 1752
Numeric

Q15c/Q15c.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]
In your opinion, does the phrase he is '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

[DON'T PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000858 Q15d/Q15d.T. Clinton trait-strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1753 - 1753
Numeric

Q15d/Q15d.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

Provides strong leadership

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 extremely well
2 quite well
3 not too well
4 not well at all
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000859 Q15e/Q15e.T. Clinton trait-dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1754 - 1754
Numeric

Q15e/Q15e.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000860 Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1755 - 1755
Numeric

Q15f/Q15f.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>.describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 000861 Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1756 - 1756
Numeric

Q15g/Q15g.T.
FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>107</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000862 R1. How opinionated is R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions. What about you? Would you say you have opinions about almost everything, about many things, about some things, or about very few things?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALMOST EVERYTHING</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANY THINGS</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOME THINGS</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERY FEW THINGS</td>
<td>693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEWER OPINIONS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF OPINIONS</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORE OPINIONS</td>
<td>969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000863 Rla. Fewer or more opinions than avg
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
VAR 000864  R1a1. How much more opinions than avg
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1759 - 1759
Numeric

R1a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot more opinions or just somewhat more opinions?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT MORE OPINIONS      --> SKIP TO R2
5. SOMEWHAT MORE OPINIONS   --> SKIP TO R2
8. DK                       --> SKIP TO R2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in R1a

          0        1        5
Count    1249      255      303

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 000865  R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1760 - 1760
Numeric

R1a2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot fewer opinions or just somewhat fewer opinions?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT FEWER OPINIONS
5. SOMEWHAT FEWER OPINIONS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R1a

          0        1        5
Count    1563       58      186

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 000866  Rlx. Summary degree R opinionated
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1761 - 1761
Numeric
Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions? Would you say that you have a lot more/fewer opinions or just somewhat more/fewer opinions?

SUMMARY: DEGREE R OPINIONATED

Built from R1a and R1a1/R1a2.

1. A lot fewer
2. Somewhat fewer
3. About the same
4. Somewhat more
5. A lot more

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some people like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, and other people don't like to have responsibility for situations like that. What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?

1. LIKE
3. DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R2b
5. NEITHER LIKE OR DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R3

8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
9. RF
0. NA
VAR 000868    R2a. How much like respnbty for thinking
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1763 - 1763
Numeric

R2a.

IF R SAYS R LIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you like it a lot or just somewhat?

1. A LOT            --> SKIP TO R3
5. SOMEWHAT         --> SKIP TO R3
8. DK               --> SKIP TO R3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R2

Count     739      707      360        1

VAR 000869    R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1764 - 1764
Numeric

R2b.

IF R SAYS R DISLIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

1. DISLIKE IT A LOT
5. DISLIKE IT SOMEWHAT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in R2

Count 1564       74      169
VAR 000870  R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1765 - 1765
Numeric

R2x.

What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it? Do you like/dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

SUMMARY: R LIKE/DISLIKE FOR INTENSIVE THOUGHT
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from R2 and R2a/b.

1. Like it a lot
2. Like it somewhat
3. Neither like it nor dislike it
4. Dislike it somewhat
5. Dislike it a lot
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================

VAR 000871  R3. Like simple or complex problems
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1766 - 1766
Numeric

R3.

Some people prefer to solve simple problems instead of complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve more complex problems. Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: simple or complex?
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. SIMPLE
5. COMPLEX
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA
### VAR 000872  S1. Is religion important to R

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNs: 1767 - 1767  
Numeric

**S1.**  

Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
1. IMPORTANT  
5. NOT IMPORTANT    --> SKIP TO S3  
8. DON'T KNOW     --> SKIP TO S3  
9. RF  
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 000873  S2. How much guidance from religion

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNs: 1768 - 1768  
Numeric

**S2.**  

IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:  

Would you say your religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
1. SOME  
3. QUITE A BIT  
5. A GREAT DEAL  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000874  S3/S3.T. How often does R pray
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1769 - 1769
Numeric

S3/S3.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 15 of the booklet.
People practice their religion in different ways.
Outside of attending religious services, do you pray
several times a day, once a day, a few times a week,
one a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:
People practice their religion in different ways.
Outside of attending religious services, do you pray
several times a day, once a day, a few times a week,
one a week or less or never?

1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
2. ONCE A DAY
3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
5. NEVER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1770 - 1770
Numeric

S4/S4.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 15 of the booklet.
Outside of attending religious services, do you read the
Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a
week, once a week or less or never?
Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
2. ONCE A DAY
3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
5. NEVER
6. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th></th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 000876  S5/S5.T. Bible is word of God or men
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1771 - 1771
Numeric

S5/S5.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 16 of the booklet. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a short list of statements. Please tell me which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of the statement you choose.

1. THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
2. THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
3. THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
4. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000877 X1. Attend religious services
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1772 - 1772
Numeric

X1.

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

1. YES --> SKIP TO X2
5. NO --> SKIP TO X1a
8. DK --> SKIP TO X1a
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000878 X1a. Part of a church or denomination
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1773 - 1773
Numeric

X1a.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

1. YES --> X3
5. NO --> GO TO Y1
8. DK --> GO TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,9,0 in X1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 000879  X2. Attend religious services how often
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1774 - 1774
Numeric

X2.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. EVERY WEEK             --> X2a
2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK      --> X3
3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH  --> X3
4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR     --> X3
5. NEVER                  --> X3
8. DK                     --> X3
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in X1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 000880  X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1775 - 1775
Numeric

X2a.

IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':

Would you say you go to religious services once a week or more often than once a week?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. ONCE A WEEK
2. MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9, 0 in X1; 2-5,8,9 or NA in X2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000881  X3. Attend church checkpoint
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  1776 - 1776
Numeric

X3.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH: /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR
DENOMINATION:

CHECKPOINT: CHURCH ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000882  X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1777 - 1777
Numeric

X3a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK  --> SKIP TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,9 in X3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count | 303 | 2 | 5 |

=======================================================

VAR 000883  X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1778 - 1778
Numeric

X3b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR
DENOMINATION:

Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,
Jewish, or something else?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. PROTESTANT    -->  X4
2. CATHOLIC      -->  SKIP TO  X7
3. JEWISH        -->  SKIP TO  X6b
7. OTHER         -->  X4

8. DK            -->  SKIP TO  Y1
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 1,0,9 in X3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1504</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count | 62 | 1 | 2 |

=======================================================

VAR 000884  X4. Denomination/other specify
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1779 - 1780
Numeric

X4.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR
DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

==================================================================
1. BAPTIST
2. EPISCOPALIAN/ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND
3. LUTHERAN
4. METHODIST
5. JUST PROTESTANT
6. PRESBYTERIAN
7. REFORMED
8. BRETHREN
9. EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN
10. CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN
11. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST
12. CHURCH (or CHURCHES) OF CHRIST
13. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST
14. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST
15. CHURCH OF GOD
16. ASSEMBLY OF GOD
17. CONGREGATIONALIST
18. HOLINESS
19. PENTACOSTAL
20. FRIENDS, QUAKER
21. ORTHODOX, e.g. Greek, Russian (SPECIFY);C
22. NON-DENOMINATIONAL - PROTESTANT
23. MORMONS
24. JEHOVAH’S WITNESSES
25. LATTER DAY SAINTS
26. UNITARIAN/UNIVERSALIST
27. BUDDHIST
28. HINDU
29. MUSLIM/ISLAM
30. NATIVE AMERICAN

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 9,0 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 2,3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b
90. OTHER (SPECIFY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>776</td>
<td></td>
<td>307</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000885  X4(1). (blanked) denomination other
COLUMNS:  1781 - 1781
Character

X4(1).

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

TEXT OF X4 DENOMINATION CODE 90 'OTHER' (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

VAR 000886  X4a. Baptist group
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1782 - 1782
Numeric

X4a.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:

With which Baptist group is your church associated?
Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group?

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION  --> X7
2. AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES IN USA  --> X7
3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION  --> X7
4. INDEPENDENT BAPTIST  --> X7
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)  --> X7
8. DK  --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,2-99 in X4
VAR 000887  X4b. Independent Baptist group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1783 - 1783  
Numeric

X4b.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R'S CHURCH IS BAPTIST IN X4:  
IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:

Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?

1. LARGER BAPTIST GROUP [SPECIFY] --> X7  
2. LOCAL --> X7  
8. DK --> X7  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1-3,7,8,9,0 in X4a

Count 1758 3 46

VAR 000888  X4c. Lutheran group  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1784 - 1784  
Numeric

X4c.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH  
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:  
IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN IN X4:

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?

1. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH --> X7  
2. MISSOURI SYNOD --> X7  
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1,2,4-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000889 X4d. Methodist group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1785 - 1785
Numeric

X4d.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST IN X4:

Is your church part of the United Methodist Church,
African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?

1. UNITED METHODIST CHURCH --> X7
2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-3,5-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 000890 X4e. Presbyterian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1786 - 1786
Numeric

X4e.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN IN X4:

Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?
1. PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH USA (FORMERLY UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH) --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-5,7-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
VAR 000891 X4f. Reformed group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1787 - 1787
Numeric

X4f.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED IN X4:

Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH --> X7
2. THE REFORMED CHURCH IN AMERICA --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-6,8-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
VAR 000892 X4g. Brethren group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1788 - 1788
Numeric

X4g.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN IN X4:
Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

1. CHURCH OF THE BRETHREN --> X7
2. THE PLYMOUTH BRETHREN --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-7,9-99 in X4

Count 1806 1

VAR 000893 X4h. Christian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1789 - 1789
Numeric

X4h.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN' IN X4:

When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?

1. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST --> X7
2. I AM JUST A CHRISTIAN --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-9,11-99 in X4

Count 1744 12 37 12 2

VAR 000894 X4i. Church of Christ group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1790 - 1790
Numeric

X4i.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST IN X4:

Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

1. CHURCH OF CHRIST -- X7
2. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST -- X7
8. DK -- X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-11,13-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000895   X4j. Church of God group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1791 - 1791
Numeric

X4j.

Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA -- X7
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE -- X7
3. CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST -- X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] -- X7
8. DK -- X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-14,16-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000896   X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal
COLUMNS: 1792 - 1792
Character
X4k.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL IN X4:

What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is the church called?

HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL TEXT (18 and 19 in X4)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

==============================
VAR 000897    X4a-j. (blanked) other
COLUMNS:  1793 - 1793
Character

X4a-j.

IF R'S DENOMINATION SPECIFIES 'OTHER' IN X4a-X4k:

TEXT OF OTHER (SPECIFY) IN DENOMINATIONAL FOLLOWUPS:

---------------------------------------------------------------------

This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

==============================
VAR 000898    X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination
COLUMNS:  1794 - 1794
Character

X4m.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand coded into the religion summary variable.

==============================
VAR 000899    X4m1. Is other group christian
X4m1.

IF R ATTENDS CHurch AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

Is that group Christian?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1722</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000900  X6a. Attend Jewish group

X6a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH IN X3a:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ORTHODOX</th>
<th>CONSERVATIVE</th>
<th>REFORM</th>
<th>OTHER [SPECIFY]</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1779</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000901  X6b. Jewish denomination
X6b.

**IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN X3b:**

Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?

1. **ORTHODOX**  --> X7
2. **CONSERVATIVE**  --> X7
3. **REFORM**  --> X7
7. **OTHER [SPECIFY]**  --> X7
8. **DK**  --> X7
9. **RF**
0. **NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000902**  X7. **Member place of worship**

**VAR 000903**  X8. **Born-again christian**

**X7.**

**IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/**

**IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:**

Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation, temple or other place of worship?

1. **YES**  --> X8 or Y1
5. **NO**  --> X8 or Y1
8. **DK**  --> X8 or Y1
9. **RF**
0. **NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 000903**  X8. **Born-again christian**
X8.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
A CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:
IF R IDENTIFIES A CHRISTIAN RELIGION IN X3a, X3b, X4 OR X4m1:

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b; 5,8,9 or NA in X4m1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X9x.

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else /
Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?
JEWISH:
Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what/
Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?
PROTESTANT OR SOMETHING ELSE:
What church or denomination is that?
IF BAPTIST: With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group? (INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?
IF LUTHERAN: Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?
IF METHODIST: Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?
IF PRESBYTERIAN: Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?
IF REFORMED: Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?
IF BRETHREN: Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?
IF 'CHRISTIAN': When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ" or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?
IF CHURCH OF CHRIST: Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?
IF CHURCH OF GOD: Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?
IF PENTECOSTAL OR HOLINESS: What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?
IF OTHER: What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?
IF OTHER: Is that group Christian?

RELIGION SUMMARY

This variable summarizes the information gathered in questions X3a/b, X4, X4a-m, X4m1, X6a/b. Information collected in the open-ended responses to the religion section component questions have been hand coded into this summary variable. NOTE: in 1 case, an R whose initial religious group was identified as Protestant was discovered, upon further probing, to be Roman Catholic.

Codes 010-990 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, no religious identification or preference (and R does not attend religious services)

VAR 000905 Y1(1). Month of birth
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 1803 - 1804
Numeric
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

MONTH

01-12

98. DK
99. RF; NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000906  Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)
COLUMNS:  1805 - 1805
Numeric

Y1(2).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

DAY (BLANKED)

This variable is blanked.

VAR 000907  Y1(3). Year of birth
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9998
COLUMNS:  1806 - 1809
Numeric

Y1(3).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR

9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA
VAR 000908  Y1x. Respondent age
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1810 - 1811
Numeric

Y1x.

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

SUMMARY - RESPONDENT AGE

Age was calculated by subtracting the year of birth from 2000. For cases where R refused to give year of birth or year of birth was NA in the survey variable, a check was made of Household listing information: if age of R was included in the Household listing, it was included here from the Household listing.

Codes 17-96 and:
97. 97 and older

00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000909  Y2. Marital status
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1812 - 1812
Numeric

Y2.

Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

Note: in cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212, 1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner.

1. MARRIED
2. WIDOWED
3. DIVORCED
4. SEPARATED
5. NEVER MARRIED
6. PARTNERED, NOT MARRIED [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>65</th>
<th>66</th>
<th>67</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>69</th>
<th>70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5        6        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  348       49        1        8

-----------------------------
VAR 000910   Y3. Highest grade completed
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:   1813 - 1814
Numeric

Y3.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college
you have completed?

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
17.  17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b

98. DK
99. RF; NA

0        2        4        5        6        7
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  3        3        5        2       14       12

8        9       10       11       12       13
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  30       26       52       66      483      181

14       15       16       17       99
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  240      106      316      259      9

-----------------------------
VAR 000911   Y3a. Diploma/GED
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:   1815 - 1815
Numeric

Y3a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school
equivalency test?

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
5. NO  --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
8. DK  --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 13-16 or NA in Y3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000912  Y3b. Highest degree earned
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  1816 - 1817
Numeric

Y3b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

-------------

01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
02. MASTER'S DEGREE
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
04. LLB, JD
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
06. JDC, STD, THD
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
96. NO DEGREE EARNED
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0-12, 99 in Y3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 000913  Y3x. R educ summary
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  1818 - 1818
Numeric

Y3x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that you have earned?

SUMMARY: R EDUCATION

-----------------------------------------------
Built from Y3, Y3a, Y3b.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3, 1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y3, 96 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y3b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]

8. DK [98 in Y3, 8 in Y3a; 98 in Y3b]
9. NA [99 in Y3; 9 in Y3a; 99 or NA in Y3b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 000914 Y4. Highest grade of partner
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 1819 - 1820
Numeric

Y4.

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

-----------------------------------------------
00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b
17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b

98. DK
99. RF; NA; INAP, 2-5,8,9,0 in Y2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000915  Y4a. Partner diploma/GED
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1821 - 1821
Numeric

Y4a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

1. YES  --> SKIP TO Y5
5. NO   --> SKIP TO Y5
8. DK   --> SKIP TO Y5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 13-17, 99 in Y4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000916  Y4b. Partner highest degree
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  1822 - 1823
Numeric

Y4b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that (he/she) has earned?

01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
02. MASTER'S DEGREE
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
04. LLB, JD
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
06. JDC, STD, THD
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
96. NO DEGREE EARNED
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1-12, 98, 99 in Y4
What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?
Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that (he/she) has earned?

SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

Built from Y4, Y4a, Y4b.
Note: 3 cases [561,1017,1719] coded 98 (DK) for number of school years in Y4 and 'no' in Y4a (no high school diploma) are coded 2 here; 3 cases [77,1057,1799] where R indicated 12 years of schooling but DK for Y4a diploma are coded 2.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y4, 1 in Y4a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y4, 96 in Y4b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y4b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y4b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y4, 2-6 in Y4b]
8. DK [98 in Y4, 8 in Y4a; 98 in Y4b]
9. NA [99 in Y4; 9 in Y4a; 99 or NA in Y4b]
Occupation/employment variables represent 5 series of questions. The question series initially assigned to R in Y6(1) is determined by R's self-identification of employment status. The series occupation data are:

- "UNEMPLOYED" - past occupation
- "RETIRED" - past occupation
- "DISABLED" - past occupation
- "HOMEMAKER" (nonworking) - past occupation
- "STUDENT" (nonworking) - past occupation
- "WORKING NOW" - current occupation
- "TEMPORARILY LAID OFF" - current occupation

Note: The final employment status summaries Y6(2)-Y6(3) are built using both R's initial self-identification per Y6(1) and additional information provided by R during questioning.

The retired who are also currently working have data in both the RETIRED and WORKING NOW series; the disabled may have data in both DISABLED and WORKING NOW series. For homemaker and student Rs, the 1st question in the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series asks whether R is currently working; if so, R is immediately transferred out of that series to the WORKING NOW series (the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series not completed).

Since many questions are asked across multiple series, data for common questions across series are "stacked" into variables V000979-V000989; for these stacked variables the following data are included:

- PAST employment of all retirees [15,50,51 in Y6(1)]
- PAST employment of the unemployed [40 in Y6(1)]
- PAST employment of nonworking disabled [60 in Y6(1)]
- PRESENT employment of working disabled [16,61 in Y6(1)]
- PRESENT employment of Rs working now only [10,20 in Y6(1)] or working homemaker/student [17,18,71,81 in Y6(2)]
- NO data for nonworking homemakers/students [70,75,80 in Y6(1)]

-----------------------------
VAR 000918    Y6(1). Assigned employment status
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1825 - 1825
Numeric

Y6(1).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?
ASSIGNED EMPLOYMENT SERIES

See notes Y6.
This variable describes the series of employment questions to which R is initially assigned according to R's self-identification of employment status.
By contrast, the Y6(2) and Y6(3) employment status summary variables are built using relevant information from R's Y6(1) self-identification and also from information obtained during questioning (especially number of hours worked).
Initial series assignment from multiple mentions given by R for his or her own employment status is as follows:
- RET ONLY or (RET and any others) --> retired series
- HM/ST ONLY or (HM/ST and UN/DIS/WD/TLO) --> hmkr/st series
- DIS ONLY or (DIS and UN/WD/TLO) --> disabled series
- WN/TLO ONLY --> wrkg now series
Note that Rs initially assigned per Y6(1) to the RETIRED, DISABLED or HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series are redirected from within those series to the WORKING NOW series if the R was also currently working (both series completed if retired or disabled). For this reason, the WORKING NOW series appears last in codebook variables:
- V000921-000931 UNEMPLOYED [UN]
- V000932-000944 RETIRED [RET]
- V000945-000956 PERMANENTLY DISABLED [DIS]
- V000957-000967 HOMEMAKER/STUDENT [HM/ST]
- V000968-000978 WORKING NOW/TEMP. LAID OFF [WN/TLO]

1. Assigned WORKING NOW series - working now (Y7-Y7j)
2. Assigned WORKING NOW series - temporarily laid off (Y7-Y7j)
3. Assigned UNEMPLOYED series (Y9-Y10h)
4. Assigned RETIRED series (Y11-Y12j)
5. Assigned PERMANENTLY DISABLED series (Y13-Y14j)
6. Assigned HOMEMAKER series (Y15-Y16j)
7. Assigned STUDENT series (Y15-Y16j)

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1 1035</th>
<th>2 17</th>
<th>3 59</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000919  Y6(2). 2 digit employment status
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1826 - 1827
Numeric

Y6(2).
Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 2 DIGIT SUMMARY

See notes Y6.
Built using Y5, Y12g, Y14g, Y15, Y7e.

This is a summary which describes R's occupation status as categorized AFTER all information has been collected. Note that case 1263 has been coded 81 rather than 18 although number of hours worked (V000974) was coded as DK; for this case, information in the thumbnail indicated that R worked only a few hours a week.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. WORKING NOW only</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. WORKING NOW and retired (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week</td>
<td>1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. WORKING NOW and permanently disabled, currently working 20 or more hours per week</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. WORKING NOW and homemaker (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. WORKING NOW and student (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. UNEMPLOYED</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. RETIRED, no other occupation</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. RETIRED and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61. PERMANENTLY DISABLED and working now, currently working fewer than 20 hours per week</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71. HOMEMAKER and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75. HOMEMAKER and student (R volunteers), no other occupation</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80. STUDENT, no other occupation</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81. STUDENT and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 1 DIGIT SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Y6(2)
1. R WORKING NOW (working now or working 20 hours or more per week if also retired, permanently disabled, homemaker or student
2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF [20 in Y6(2)]
4. R UNEMPLOYED [40 in Y6(2)]
5. R RETIRED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [50,51 in Y6(2)]
6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [60,61 in Y6(2)]
7. R HOMEMAKER, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [also nonworking Rs who are both homemakers and students--70,71,75 in Y6(2)]
8. R STUDENT, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week ([80,81 in Y6(2)]

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================
VAR 000921 Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1829 - 1829
Numeric

Y9.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Have you ever done any work for pay?
1. YES
5. NO --> Y10g
8. DK --> Y10g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1748</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000922 Y10a(1). 2-digit occup
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1830 - 1831
Numeric

Y10a(1).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

Codes 1-71 and:

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>37</th>
<th>42</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000922a  Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1832 - 1832
Numeric

Y10a(2).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

====================================================================
VAR 000923  Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1833 - 1834
Numeric

Y10a(3).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

UNEMPLOYED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VARIABLES
VAR 000924 Y10a(4). Prestige code (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
COLUMNS: 1835 - 1835
Numeric

Y10a(4).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y10a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

VAR 000925  Y10b. R unemp: past industry code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS:  1836 - 1838
Numeric

Y10b.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

VAR 000926  Y10c. R unemp: past self employed
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1839 - 1839
Numeric

Y10c.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y10e
8. DK --> Y10e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1749</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000927 Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1840 - 1840
Numeric

Y10d.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1755</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000928 Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1841 - 1841
Numeric

Y10e.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
Have you had a job in the last six months?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y10g
8. DK --> Y10g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000929 Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 1842 - 1844
Numeric

Y10f.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

VAR 000930 Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1845 - 1845
Numeric

Y10g.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
VAR 000931  Y10h. R unemp: worry about find job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1846 - 1846
Numeric

Y10h.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25

8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y10g

VAR 000932  Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  1847 - 1848
Numeric

Y11(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

MONTH

MONTH:
01. JANUARY
02. FEBRUARY
03. MARCH
04. APRIL
05. MAY
06. JUNE
07. JULY
96. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA

1        2        3        4        5
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count      53       15       22       47       16

6        7        8        9        10
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count     42       27       25       19       17

11       12       96       98
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count       6       30     1471       17

=================================================================================
VAR 000933    Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9996
COLUMNS:  1849 - 1852
Numeric

Y11(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

YEAR

---------------------------------------------------------------------

9996. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

=================================================================================
VAR 000934    Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS:  1853 - 1854
Numeric

Y12a(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?
R RETIRED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

VAR 000934a  Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS:  1855 - 1855
Numeric

Y12a(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

VAR 000935    Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS:  1856 - 1857
Numeric

Y12a(3).
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF

00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
Y12a(4).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y12a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

========================================================================
Y12b.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
VAR 000938  Y12c. R ret: self employed
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1862 - 1862
Numeric

Y12c.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED   --> Y12e
8. DK             --> Y12e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000939  Y12d. R ret: employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1863 - 1863
Numeric

Y12d.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000940  Y12e. R ret: work last 6 months
IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Have you had a job in the last six months?

1. YES
5. NO  --> Y12g
8. DK  --> Y12g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000941  Y12f. R ret: hours/wk work 6 months
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  1865 - 1867
Numeric

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

VAR 000942  Y12g. R ret: working for pay
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1868 - 1868
Numeric

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
1. YES          --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y12h.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y12j.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25

8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y12h

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1799 8

-----------------------------
VAR 000945 Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1871 - 1871
Numeric

Y13.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

----------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO --> Y14h

8. DK --> Y14h
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1733 68 6

-----------------------------
VAR 000946 Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS:  1872 - 1873
Numeric

Y14a(1).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

----------------------------------
See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

VAR 000946a   Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1874 - 1874
Numeric

Y14a(2).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

VAR 000947    Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1875 - 1876
Numeric

Y14a(3).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census
categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census
categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census
categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
(Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census
categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census
categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers
(Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF

00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 000948  Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99.99
COLUMNS:  1877 - 1877
Numeric
Y14a(4).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y14a(2)
00.00 INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

==============================
VAR 000949    Y14b. R dis: industry code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS:  1878 - 1880
Numeric

Y14b.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.

See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

==============================
VAR 000950  Y14c. R dis: self employed
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1881 - 1881
Numeric

Y14c.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED                 --> Y14e

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------

VAR 000951  Y14d. R dis: work for govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1882 - 1882
Numeric

Y14d.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14c

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------

VAR 000952  Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months
Y14e.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO    --> Y14g
8. DK    --> Y14g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000953   Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  1884 - 1886
Numeric

Y14f.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

VAR 000954   Y14g. R dis: working for pay now
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1887 - 1887
Numeric

Y14g.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

Note: Rs who respond "yes" to this question are also asked the WORKING NOW series for current employment.

1. YES   --› SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1801        2        4

VAR 000955  Y14h. R dis: looking for work now  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1888 - 1888  
Numeric

Y14h.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO   --› Y25
8. DK   --› Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in Y13; 8,9 or NA in Y14e; 1,8,9 or NA in Y14g

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1735        6       66

VAR 000956  Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  1889 - 1889  
Numeric

Y14j.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:
IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000957   Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1890 - 1890
Numeric

Y16.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000958   Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1891 - 1891
Numeric

Y16a.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
In the last six months, did you do any work for pay?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y16h
8. DK --> Y16h
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

| Count | 1666 | 35 | 106 |

==============================
VAR 000959  Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS:  1892 - 1893
Numeric

Y16a(1).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMemaker/student: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
in Y16a

==============================
VAR 000959a  Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS:  1894 - 1894
Numeric

Y16a(2).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
in Y16a

Y16a(3).

VAR 000960    Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1895 - 1896
Numeric

Y16a(3).

IF HOMemaker/student IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y16a(4).

VAR 000961 Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
COLUMNS: 1897 - 1897
Numeric

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y16a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

==============================================
VAR 000962    Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS:  1898 - 1900
Numeric

Y16b.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a

==============================================
VAR 000963    Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1901 - 1901
Numeric

Y16c.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y16f

8. DK --> Y16f
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------
VAR 000964  Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1902 - 1902
Numeric

Y16d.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
IF R WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?
---------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1774</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------
VAR 000965  Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  1903 - 1905
Numeric

Y16f.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
---------------------------------------------

1-168
VAR 000966  Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1906 - 1906
Numeric

Y16h.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO  --\ Y25

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO  --\ Y25
8. DK  --\ Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP,  1,8,9,0 in Y16

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count  1666       19      122

VAR 000967  Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1907 - 1907
Numeric

Y16j.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at
all?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT     --\ Y25
3. SOMewhat  --\ Y25
5. NOT MUCH  --\ Y25

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO  --\ Y25
8. DK  --\ Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP,  1,8,9,0 in Y16h
### Y7a(1).

**IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/**

**IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:**

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

**R WORKING NOW/TLO: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE**

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 in Y6(1) and not also working
   [40, 50, 60, 70, 75, 80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000968a  Y7a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wk now
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS:  1910 - 1910
Numeric

Y7a(2).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you
do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact NES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 000969 Y7a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS: 1911 - 1912
Numeric

Y7a(3).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
### Questionnaire Data

**Y7a(4).**

**IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/ IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:**

- What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
- What are your most important activities or duties?

**R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)**

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact NES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

**Y7b.**
IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What kind of (business/industry) is that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

VAR 000972 Y7c. Work now/TLO: self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1917 - 1917
Numeric

Y7c.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y7e
8. DK --> Y7e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>579</td>
<td>1041</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000973 Y7d. Work now/TLO: work for govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1918 - 1918
Numeric

Y7d.
Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y7c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>738</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000974  Y7e. Work now/TLO: hours work
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  1919 - 1921
Numeric

Y7f.

About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

VAR 000975  Y7f. Work now/TLO: work hours right
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1922 - 1922
Numeric

Is that more hours than you want to work, fewer hours than you want to work, or generally about right?
1. MORE
3. FEWER
5. ABOUT RIGHT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 996-998 in Y7e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y7g.

**VAR 000976**  Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1923 - 1923
Numeric

Y7g.

**IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/**
**IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:**

How worried are you about losing your job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. A LOT</th>
<th>3. SOMEWHAT</th>
<th>5. NOT MUCH AT ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y7h.

**VAR 000977**  Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1924 - 1924
Numeric

Y7h.

**IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/**
**IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:**

Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 597 108 1102

VAR 000978  Y7j. Work now: reduction in hrs/pay
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1925 - 1925
Numeric

Y7j.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 597 97 1113

VAR 000979  Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS:  1926 - 1927
Numeric

Y17(1).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?

STACKED - 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

---------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(1), Y10a(1), Y12a(1), Y14a(1).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

The original 3-digit 1990 US Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect Respondents' anonymity.
See CENSUS OCCUPATION Master Code.

Codes 01-71 and:

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### VAR 000979a  Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked)

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995  
COLUMNS:  1928 - 1928  
Numeric

**Y17(1a).**

**IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :**
**IF R IS RETIRED:/**
**IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /**
**IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:**

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?  
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

---

**STACKED - 3-DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)**

See notes Y6.  
Built from Y7a(2), Y10a(2), Y12a(2), Y14a(2).  
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).  
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA  
998. DK
VAR 000980    Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
COLUMNS:  1929 - 1930
Numeric

Y17(2).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(3), Y10a(3), Y12a(3), Y14a(3).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except
nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
currently working have their current occupation from
Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently
working have past occupation from Y12a coded here
rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled
and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is
unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census
categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census
categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census
categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
(Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census
categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census
categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers  
(Census categories 860-890)  
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)  

95. NA  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================================================================
VAR 000981 Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
COLUMNS: 1931 - 1931  
Numeric  

Y17(3).  

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED:/  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:  

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?  
What are/were your most important activities or duties?  

STACKED - OCCUPATION PRESTIGE (BLANKED)  
=============================================================================

See notes Y6.  
Built from Y7a(4), Y10a(4), Y12a(4), Y14a(4).  
This variable represents employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are not used).  
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a represented here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a represented here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.  
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity.

98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
99.99 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
00.00. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

-------------------------------------------
VAR 000982 Y17(4). Stacked - industry
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
COLUMNS: 1932 - 1934
Numeric

Y17(4).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO: 
IF R IS RETIRED:
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What do/did they make or do where you work/ed?
What kind of a business or industry is/was that?

STACKED - OCCUPATION INDUSTRY
---------------------------------------------------------------

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7b, Y10b, Y12b, Y14b.
This variable combines industry data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for industry of employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have industry of their current occupation from Y7b coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have industry of past occupation from Y12b coded here rather than industry of current occupation in Y7b. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

========================================
VAR 000983 Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1935 - 1935
Numeric

Y17(5).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED:
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Do/did you work for someone else, are/were you self-employed, or what?

STACKED - WORK FOR SELF

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7c, Y10c, Y12c, Y14c.
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7c coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have description of past occupation from Y12c coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7c.
If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000984 Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1936 - 1936
Numeric

Y17(6).

IF R Worked not exclusively for self and:
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED:
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Are/were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

STACKED - EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT

====================================
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7d, Y10d, Y12d, Y14d.
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7d coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have description of past occupation from Y12d coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7d.
If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 5,8,9,NA in Y10c/Y12c/Y14c/Y7c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000985 Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 1937 - 1939
Numeric

Y17(7).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS DISABLED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS:

About how many hours do/did you work on your job in the average week?

STACKED - HOURS WORKING/WORKED PER WEEK

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7e, Y10f, Y12f, Y14f.
This variable combines data for all Rs currently working or who have worked within the past six months:
- Employed or temp. laid off [present employment Y7e],
- Unemployed [employment within past 6 months Y10f],
- Retired [employment within past 6 months Y12f],
- Permanently disabled [employment within past 6 months Y14f].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 00 [Y16f data are NOT used].
disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have data for their current occupation from Y7e coded here. For retirees, since data for number of hours worked describes recent employment within past 6 months, this may in effect describe pre-retirement employment (i.e. for very recent retirees), current employment (i.e. for working retirees at a post-retirement job that has been held in the last 6 months), or employment that is neither pre-retirement nor a current job but rather an interim position.

1-168

996. INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 5,8,9,NA in Y10e, Y12e or Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

==============================
VAR 000986    Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job
  MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
  COLUMNS: 1940 - 1940
  Numeric

Y17(8).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:/
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

   How worried are you about losing your job/ not being able to find a job in the near future:
   A LOT, SOMEWHAT, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

STACKED - WORRIED ABOUT LOSING/FINDING JOB

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7g, Y10h, Y12j, Y14j.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Employed or temp. laid off [Y7g], (including working retired and working disabled)
- Unemployed [Y10h],
- Retired and not working [Y12j],
- Permanently disabled and not working [Y14j].
Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 0 [Y16j data are NOT used].

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y10g; 5,8,9,NA in Y12h; 5,8,9,NA in Y14h
VAR 000987  Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1941 - 1941
Numeric

Y17(9).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R IS RETIRED/
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the past 6 months?

STACKED - JOB IN PAST 6 MONTHS

See notes Y6.
Built from Y10e, Y12e, Y14e.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Unemployed  [Y10e],
- Retired [Y12e],
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked  [Y14e]
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16a
are NOT included.

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9;
   5,8,9,NA in Y13

        0     1     5
Count  1345  80  382

VAR 000988  Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1942 - 1942
Numeric

Y17(10).

IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

STACKED - LOOKING FOR WORK
See notes Y6.
Built from Y10g, Y12h, Y14h.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Unemployed [Y10g],
- Retired, if R is not currently working [Y12h],
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked but is not currently working [Y14h].
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16h are NOT included.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2);
   1,8,9,NA in Y12g; 1,8,9,NA in Y14g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1376</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000989  Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1943 - 1943
Numeric

Y17(11).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED:
   Have you ever done any work for pay?

STACKED - EVER WORK FOR PAY

==================================================================
See notes Y4.
Built from Y9 and Y13.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 10,15,17,18,20,50,51,70,71,75,80,81,0 in Y6(2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1674</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000990  Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union
Y25.

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union?

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y25a1.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1557</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y25a2.

VAR 000991b  Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1946 - 1946
Numeric

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1557</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y25a2.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. RESPONDENT</th>
<th>2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER</th>
<th>3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER</th>
<th>4. SOMEONE ELSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000991c  Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1947 - 1947
Numeric

Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+

VAR 000992

MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 1948 - 1948
Numeric
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS R THE ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER?

Note: This variable was completed by interviewers. In 67 cases, households corresponding to more than 1 adult were incorrectly coded 1 in this variable; as a result of the effect upon the skip pattern, household income was not asked. For these cases, the following revisions have been made to the original data: V000992 recoded to 5; V000993 [HH income] and V000994 [HH income summary] recoded to 00 NA; V000995 [R income] assigned the value formerly coded in V000996; V000996 recoded to 96 Inap.

1. YES, R IS ONLY HH MEMBER 14+ --> SKIP TO Y28
5. NO, THERE ARE OTHER HH MEMBERS 14+
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>1310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000993  Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 1949 - 1950
Numeric

Y27/Y27.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your family's income.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============

VAR 000994   Y27x. HH income -all HHs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  1951 - 1952
Numeric

Y27x.
IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ / 
IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+: 

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER: 
Please look at page 18 of the booklet. 
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. 

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER: 
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER: 
I am going to read you a list of income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your family's income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER: 
I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: HOUSEHOLD INCOME

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?] 
This variable combines data for Respondents from households with other members age 14 and older and data for Respondents who are the only member age 14 and older.

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================

VAR 000995 Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 1953 - 1954
Numeric

Y27a/Y27a.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000–$9,999
3. C. $10,000–$14,999
4. D. $15,000–$24,999
5. E. $25,000–$34,999
6. F. $35,000–$49,999
7. G. $50,000–$64,999
8. H. $65,000–$74,999
9. J. $75,000–$84,999
10. K. $85,000–$94,999
11. M. $95,000–$104,999
12. N. $105,000–$114,999
13. P. $115,000–$124,999
14. Q. $125,000–$134,999
15. R. $135,000–$144,999
16. S. $145,000–$154,999
17. T. $155,000–$164,999
18. U. $165,000–$174,999
19. V. $175,000–$184,999
20. W. $185,000–$194,999
21. X. $195,000–$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 000996    Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  1955 - 1956
Numeric
Y28/Y28a.T.

IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

96. INAP, 5, 9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary: R Income

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000–$9,999
3. C. $10,000–$14,999
4. D. $15,000–$24,999
5. E. $25,000–$34,999
6. F. $35,000–$49,999
7. G. $50,000–$64,999
8. H. $65,000–$74,999
9. J. $75,000–$84,999
10. K. $85,000–$94,999
11. M. $95,000–$104,999
12. N. $105,000–$114,999
13. P. $115,000–$124,999
14. Q. $125,000–$134,999
15. R. $135,000–$144,999
16. S. $145,000–$154,999
17. T. $155,000–$164,999
18. U. $165,000–$174,999
19. V. $175,000–$184,999
20. W. $185,000–$194,999
21. X. $195,000–$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000998  Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   COLUMNS:  1959 - 1959
   Numeric

Y29.

(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

==============================================
1. YES
5. NO --> Y29b
8. DK --> Y29b
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 000999  Y29a. Which one (workng or middle class)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1960 - 1960
Numeric

Y29a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MEMBER OF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Which one?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001000  Y29b. If had to choose class
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1961 - 1961
Numeric

Y29b.

IF R DOESN'T CONSIDER SELF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. DK                   --> SKIP TO Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1,5 or NA in Y29a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001001   Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1962 - 1962
Numeric

Y29c.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average middle class or
that you are in the upper part of the middle class?

1. AVERAGE MIDDLE
5. UPPER MIDDLE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001002   Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1963 - 1963
Numeric

Y29d.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to middle class
people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than
to people in other classes?

1. CLOSE    --> SKIP TO Y30
5. NOT CLOSE --> SKIP TO Y30

8. DK                   --> SKIP TO Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b
### Y29e. Working class - avg or upper

**VAR 001003**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1964 - 1964  
Numeric

**Y29e.**

**IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:**

Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Y29f. Working class - feel close to class

**VAR 001004**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 1965 - 1965  
Numeric

**Y29f.**

**IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:**

Would you say you feel pretty close to working class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?
(IF YES:) Which one?
(IF NO:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?
(IF MIDDLE CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class?
(IF WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

SUMMARY: R SOCIAL CLASS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Y29, Y29a, Y29b,Y29c,Y29e.

1. Average working class
2. Working class -- NA if average or upper
3. Upper working class
4. Average middle class
5. Middle class - NA if average or upper
6. Upper middle class
7. Other
8. DK in Y29b
9. RF in Y29b
0. NA in Y29/Y29b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 1 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial/Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. BLACK</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. ASIAN</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. NATIVE AMERICAN</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. HISPANIC OR LATINO</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. WHITE</td>
<td>1393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. DK</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. RF</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. NA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001006b  Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  1969 - 1970
Numeric

Y30(2).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 2 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial/Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. BLACK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. ASIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. NATIVE AMERICAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. HISPANIC OR LATINO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. WHITE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 3 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

10. BLACK
20. ASIAN
30. NATIVE AMERICAN
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
50. WHITE
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
70. JEWISH
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

0  10  20  30
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1757 6 2 11

40  50  60  80
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 4 24 2 1
Y30a.

Were both of your parents born in this country?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y30b(1). Ethnic/nationality group #1

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 1 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>26</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001009  Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group #2
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 1976 - 1977
Numeric

Y30b(2).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 2 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
00. NA; no further mention
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y30bx1.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS

Respondents who have identified more than one group are asked the 'choice' question Y30c.

0. NO NATIONALITY/GROUP MENTIONED
1. ONE NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUP MENTIONED
2. 2 OR MORE NATIONALITY/GROUPS MENTIONED
9. RESPONDENT REFUSED OR DK/NA ANSWER TO NATIONALITY OR ETHNICITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001010a

Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 1979 - 1980
Numeric

This is the exact number of mentions given by R

00. No mention (0 in Y30bx1)
01. One mention
02. Two mentions
...
06. Six mentions
96. Ethnicity question NA
98. R responded DK to ethnicity question
99. R refused ethnicity question

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001011

Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 1981 - 1982
Numeric

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:

With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

CHOICE - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.
Rs giving only 1 mention to Y22 were not asked this question; their single response to Y22 has been duplicated in this variable.
Note that this variable was coded according to IWR identification of number of ethnic groups mentioned; in some instances, the IWR counted only 1 mention
when in fact more than 1 was given by R. For such cases, the 'choice' variable (Y30c) was unasked.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

90. None; neither
95. Both/all of them
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; 0,9 in Y30bx1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was directed to the respondent at the interviewer's discretion if the interviewer decided that R had not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions coded 4, 5, 8, 12 or 16 in Y30b(1) or Y30b(2) contradict what has been coded in this checkpoint.

Note that, if R gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not be represented in the 2 variables for Y30.

See also Pre-Csheet.37 and Pre-Csheet.28 (V000068, V000069) and Post-Csheet.32 and Post-Csheet.33 (V000184d, V000184e).

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO Z1
8. DK --> SKIP TO Z1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1659</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was directed to the respondent at the interviewer's discretion if the interviewer decided that R had not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions coded 4, 5, 8, 12 or 16 in Y30b(1) or Y30b(2) contradict what has been coded in this checkpoint.

Note that, if R gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not be represented in the 2 variables for Y30.

See also Pre-Csheet.37 and Pre-Csheet.28 (V000068, V000069) and Post-Csheet.32 and Post-Csheet.33 (V000184d, V000184e).
FTF:
Please look at page 19 of the booklet and tell me which category best describes your Hispanic origin.

TELEPHONE:
Would you describe your Hispanic origin as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Latin American, Central American, or Spanish?

[CODE SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP W/O ASKING, IF R Y31a MENTIONS ONE OF THE RESPONSE OPTIONS BELOW]

1. MEXICAN
2. PUERTO RICAN
3. CUBAN
4. LATIN AMERICAN
5. CENTRAL AMERICAN
6. SPANISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y30x/Y31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001014   Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 998
COLUMNS:  1985 - 1987
Numeric

Z1.
Where was it that you grew up?

[IF UNITED STATES: WHICH STATE OR STATES?]
Use STATE AND COUNTRY codes
101-997 and:

998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

==============================

VAR 001015   Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.  
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were mostly brought up?

For telephone format, see Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T

1. ON A FARM  
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM  
3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)  
4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)  
5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)  
6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY  
7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)  
8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY  
9. DK  
0. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>-----</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================
VAR 001016  Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1989 - 1989
Numeric

Z2.T.

TELEPHONE:  
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?

[READ ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. ON A FARM  
3. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM  
5. IN A CITY  
7. OR, IN A SUBURB OF A CITY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 240 1 3

=====================================================================
VAR 001017  Z2a. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  1990 - 1990
Numeric

Z2a.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
 Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE
3. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY 50,000-100,000
5. IN A LARGE CITY, 100,000-500,000
7. OR, IN A VERY LARGE CITY, OVER 500,000

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,7,8,9,0 in Z2.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================
VAR 001018  Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z2b.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A SUBURB OF A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
 Were you mostly brought up in.

=====================================================================
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY (100,000 TO 500,000 PEOPLE)
5. OR IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000 PEOPLE)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,5,8,9,0 in Z2.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001019  Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 1992 - 1992
Numeric

Z2x.

FTF:
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were mostly brought up?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?
Were you mostly brought up in.

SUMMARY: SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP
=================================================================
Built from Z2 and Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T.

1. ON A FARM
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001020a  Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community

MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS:  1993 - 1994
Numeric

Z3(1).
(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

MONTHS

---------------------------------------------------------------------
0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001020b  Z3(2). Yrs. -how long lived in community

MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS:  1995 - 1997
Numeric

Z3(2).
(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

YEARS
Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community

VAR 001020c   Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community
    MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
    COLUMNS:  1998 - 1999
    Numeric

(Not using the booklet)

How long have you lived in your present (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY

Built from Z3(1) and Z3(2).

0. 0–11 months
1. 12–23 months
2. 2 years
   ...
89. 89 years
96. 'All my life'
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001021a  Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home
MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 2000 - 2001
Numeric

Z4(1)/Z4(1).T.

FTF:
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:
How long have you lived in your current home?

MONTHS

0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001021b    Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
COLUMNS: 2002 - 2004
Numeric

Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.

FTF:
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:
How long have you lived in your current home?

YEARS

0-100 and:

995. INAP, 96 in Z4(1)/Z4(1).T
997. NA
998. DK
999. RF

==============================
VAR 001021c    Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home
MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 2005 - 2006
Numeric

Z4x.

FTF:
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:
How long have you lived in your current home?
### SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN HOME


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0-11 months</th>
<th>12-23 months</th>
<th>2 years</th>
<th>6-11 months</th>
<th>12-23 months</th>
<th>24-35 months</th>
<th>36-59 months</th>
<th>60-79 months</th>
<th>80-96 months</th>
<th>97-111 months</th>
<th>99 years</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 26
Z5.

(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

1. OWN HOUSE
5. PAY RENT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Count 6 1205 531 56 9

Z8.

These are the last few questions. Often we find that people who have children or commute great distances have less time to participate in politics. How about you? Do you have any children?

(INCLUDE STEP AND ADOPTED CHILDREN)

1. YES
3. YES, STEPCHILDREN/ADOPTED [VOL]
5. NO --> SKIP TO Z9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Z9
9. RF
0. NA

Count 6 1306 19 475 1
Z8a. How many children R has under 18

IF R HAS ANY CHILDREN:

How many children do you have under 18?

0. --> SKIP TO Z9
1-25.

95. NA
96. INAP, 0, 5, 8, 9 in Z8
98. DK
99. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

How many of them live with you at least half of the time?

0. --> SKIP TO Z9
1-25.

95. NA
96. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
98. DK
99. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Z8c(1).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 1

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
98. DK
99. RF

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Z8c(2).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 2
95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001026c  Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
COLUMNS:  2017 - 2018
Numeric

Z8c(3).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 3

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001026d  Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
COLUMNS:  2019 - 2020
Numeric

Z8c(4).
IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001026e Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
COLUMNS: 2021 - 2022
Numeric

Z8c(5).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001026f Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
COLUMNS: 2023 - 2024
Numeric
Z8c(6).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 6

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count: 11 16 95

Z8c(7).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 7

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z8c(8).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:
What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 8

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

10  95

Count 1 1806

VAR 001027  Z9. How many miles R drives per day
MD1: EQ 998,  MD2: GE 999
COLUMNS:  2029 - 2031
Numeric

Z9.

(And finally), about how many miles do you drive in a
typical day?

0-996

997. 997 and more

998. DK

999. NA

VAR 001028  ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2032 - 2032
Numeric

ZZ0.

IF HIGHEST GRADE AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING /
IF HIGHEST GRADE <12 AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING:

ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO WAY OF BEING 100% ACCURATE, PLEASE
GIVE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE OF THE RESPONDENT'S PROBABLE
APPROXIMATE EDUCATION LEVEL [IF YOU FIND IT IMPOSSIBLE
TO CHOOSE BETWEEN 2 CHOICES, SELECT 'OTHER' AND
EXPLAIN]

1. LOW - PROBABLY LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
2. PROBABLY HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
3. AT LEAST SOME COLLEGE
4. OTHER  (SPECIFY)

0. NA; INAP, education data not missing
0 1
--- ---
Count 1806 1

VAR 001029 ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
COLUMNS: 2033 - 2033
Numeric

ZZ1.
R's sex is:

1. MALE
2. FEMALE

1 2
--- ---
Count 790 1017

VAR 001030 ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2034 - 2034
Numeric

ZZ2.
FTF:
R's race is:

1. WHITE
2. BLACK
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

0 1 2 8 9
--- --- --- --- ---
Count 804 863 137 1 2

VAR 001031a ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2035 - 2035
Numeric

ZZ3(1).
FTF:
Others present at time of interview:
0. NONE
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001031b      ZZ3(2). FTF: #2 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2036 - 2036
Numeric

ZZ3(2).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

-----------------------------------------------
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001031c      ZZ3(3). FTF: #3 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2037 - 2037
Numeric
FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001031d ZZ3(4). FTF: #4 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2038 - 2038
Numeric

ZZ3(4).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 4

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001031e ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2039 - 2039
Numeric

ZZ3(5).
FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 5

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

9
-----
Count 1807

VAR 001032 ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2040 - 2040
Numeric

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

1. VERY GOOD
2. GOOD
3. FAIR
4. POOR
5. VERY POOR
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001033 ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2041 - 2041
Numeric

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:
1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001034    ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2042 - 2042
Numeric

ZZ6.

R's apparent intelligence:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001035    ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2043 - 2043
Numeric

ZZ7.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
3. VERY SUSPICIOUS

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

1. COMPLETE SINCERE  --> ZZ10
3. USUALLY SINCERE  --> ZZ9a
5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE  --> ZZ9a

0. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:
Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This variable is blanked.

VAR 001039 ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2047 - 2047
Numeric

ZZ10.

FTF:
Do you feel R reported income accurately?

1. YES, THINK R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY
2. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME INCORRECTLY
3. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME DISHONESTLY
4. R REFUSED INCOME Q's

0. NA; INAP, phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001040 ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 2048 - 2049
Numeric

ZZ10a.

IF R DID NOT REPORT INCOME OR IWR SUSPECTS DID NOR REPORT CORRECTLY:

If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 1999).

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

97. DK
98. Y. Impossible to estimate
00. NA; INAP, 1 in ZZ10; phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001041a ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2050 - 2051
Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
   MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/ HAD BAD
   EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
   SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
   KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation.
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
50. R could not read respondent booklet (FTF only)
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)
80. Neutral or no feedback

00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001041b ZZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2052 - 2053
Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

----------------------------------------
10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before IW completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or IWR observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or IWR observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation.
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001041c ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2054 - 2055
Numeric

ZZ11(3).
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGrets HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention
VAR 001041d  ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2056 - 2057
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIVE
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED.  AFTER STARTING IW, R
    MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
    EYESIGHT ETC.  IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
    SLOW READER.  IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
    OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention
VAR 001041e  ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2058 - 2059
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

-----------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001041f  ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2060 - 2061
Numeric
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>1803</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R complained and/or IWR observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or IWR observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation.
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
50. R could not read respondent booklet (FTF only)
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)
80. Neutral or no feedback
00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1804</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001041h ZZ11(8). Mention 8 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2064 - 2065
Numeric

ZZ11(8).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 8
-----------------------------
10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before IW completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or IWR observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or IWR observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation.
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
50. R could not read respondent booklet (FTF only)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1806 1

----------------------------------------------------------------------
VAR 001041j ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2066 - 2067
Numeric

ZZ11(9).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 9

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1806 1

=====================================================================
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2068 - 2068
Numeric
Pre-Rand.A4/A5.

Order of Gore and Bush in Pre A4-A5 Likes/dislikes

1. Gore first
2. GW Bush first
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.C1b.

Position of Gore in Pre Thermometers
C1b-Cle / C1b.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.C1c.

Position of GW Bush in Pre Thermometers
C1b-Cle / C1b.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA
Pre-Rand.Cld.

Position of Buchanan in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:
9. NA

Pre-Rand.Cle.

Position of Nader in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:
9. NA

Pre-Rand.Clf/g.

Position of McCain, Bradley in thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Pre-Rand.C1f/g.

Position of McCain and Bradley in Pre Thermometers
C1f-C1g / C1f.T-C1g.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. McCain 6th name, Bradley 7th name
2. Bradley 6th name, McCain 7th name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001048 Pre.Rand.C1h/k. Pos-Cheney,Lieberman ther
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2074 - 2074
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1h/k.

Position of Lieberman and Cheney in Pre Thermometers
C1h-C1j / C1h.T-C1j.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Lieberman 8th name, Cheney 9th name
2. Cheney 8th name, Lieberman 9th name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001049 Pre.Rand.C2. Order-parties in therm
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2075 - 2075
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C2.

Order of 3 major parties in Pre thermometers
C2a-C2c
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd, Reform 3rd
2. Democratic 1st, Reform 2nd, Republican 3rd
3. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd, Reform 3rd
4. Republican 1st, Reform 2nd, Democratic 3rd
5. Reform 1st, Democratic 2nd, Republican 3rd
6. Reform 1st, Republican 2nd, Democratic 3rd
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2076 - 2076
Numeric

Pre-Rand.D1/D3.

Order of 2 major parties in Pre 'Likes-Dislikes'
D1-D2/D3-D4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001051  Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2077 - 2077
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1-F3.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Affects series
F1,F2,F3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                | 5                                      | 6                                      | 9                                      |
| Count          | 285                                    | 290                                    | 29                                     |
VAR 001052  Pre.Rand.F1a. Pos-angry -Gore affects  
MD:  EQ 9  
COLUMNS:  2078 - 2078  
Numeric  

Pre-Rand.F1a.  
Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001053  Pre.Rand.F1b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects  
MD:  EQ 9  
COLUMNS:  2079 - 2079  
Numeric  

Pre-Rand.F1b.  
Pre: Position of Hopeful among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001054  Pre.Rand.F1c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects  
MD:  EQ 9  
COLUMNS:  2080 - 2080  
Numeric  

Pre-Rand.F1c.  
Pre: Position of Afraid among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
### VAR 001055  Pre.Rand.F1d. Pos-proud -Gore affects

**MD:** EQ 9  
**COLUMNS:** 2081 - 2081  
**Numeric**

Pre-Rand.F1d.

**Pre:** Position of Proud among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001056  Pre.Rand.F2a. Pos-angry -GW Bush affects

**MD:** EQ 9  
**COLUMNS:** 2082 - 2082  
**Numeric**

Pre-Rand.F2a.

**Pre:** Position of Angry among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001057  Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects

**MD:** EQ 9  
**COLUMNS:** 2083 - 2083  
**Numeric**

Pre-Rand.F2b.

**Pre:** Position of Hopeful among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001058 Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GWB affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2084 - 2084
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001059 Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GWB affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2085 - 2085
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2d.

Pre: Position of Proud among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001060 Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2086 - 2086
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3a.

Pre: Position of Angry among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

-----------------------------
Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001061  Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2087 - 2087
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3b.
Pre: Position of Hopeful among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001062  Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2088 - 2088
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3c.
Pre: Position of Afraid among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001063  Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2089 - 2089
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3d.
Prec: Position of Proud among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001064  Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/con
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2090 - 2090
Numeric

Pre-Rand.G3-G5.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre
Liberal-Conservative placements G3-G5 / G3.T-G5.T

Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5 | 6 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
| Count | 67 | 85 | 1339 |

==============================================

MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2091 - 2091
Numeric

Pre-Rand.G8-10.E.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre

==============================================
Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================================================
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2092 - 2092
Numeric


Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series
K2,K3,K4

====================================================================================================

1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================================================
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2093 - 2093
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2a.
Pre: Order of Moral among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 6 7

Count 255 249 244

Pre-Rand.K2b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 6 7

Count 246 300 236

Pre-Rand.K2c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
### Pre-Rand.K2d.

**Pre: Order of Leadership among Gore traits K2a-K2g**

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>259</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pre-Rand.K2e.

**Pre: Order of Dishonest among Gore traits K2a-K2g**

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>274</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>259</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Pre-Rand.K2f. Order-intell -Gore traits**

**Pre: Order of Intelligent among Gore traits K2a-K2g**

Codes 1-7 and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>267</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pre-Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits**

**Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Gore traits K2a-K2g**

Codes 1-7 and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pre-Rand.K3a. Order-moral -GW Bush traits**

**Pre: Order of Moral among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g**
Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------

VAR 001075 Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2101 - 2101
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------

VAR 001076 Pre.Rand.K3c. Order-knowldg -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2102 - 2102
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Pre-Rand.K3d. Pre: Order of Leadership among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5  | 6  | 7  |
| Count | 229 | 267 | 251 |

### Pre-Rand.K3e. Pre: Order of Dishonest among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5  | 6  | 7  |
| Count | 263 | 281 | 266 |

### Pre-Rand.K3f. Pre: Order of Intelligence among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

### Data

|   | 5  | 6  | 7  |
| Count | 264 | 234 | 245 |
Pre-Rand.K3f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5  | 6  | 7  |
| Count | ----- | ----- | ----- |

Pre-Rand.K3g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 5  | 6  | 7  |
| Count | ----- | ----- | ----- |

Pre-Rand.K4a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).
Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001082 Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2108 - 2108
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001083 Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2109 - 2109
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped
### VAR 001084  Pre.Rand.K4d. Ord-leader -Buchann traits

**MD:** EQ 9  
**COLUMNS:** 2110 - 2110  
**Numeric**  

**Pre-Rand.K4d.**

Pre: Order of Leadership among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g  

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).  

Codes 1-7 and:  

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001085  Pre.Rand.K4e. Ord-dishon -Buchann traits

**MD:** EQ 9  
**COLUMNS:** 2111 - 2111  
**Numeric**  

**Pre-Rand.K4e.**

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g  

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).  

Codes 1-7 and:  

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Pre-Rand.K4f. Ord-intell - Buchanan traits

**VAR 001086**  
**MD:** EQ 9  
**COLUMNS:** 2112 - 2112  
Numeric

**Pre-Rand.K4f.**

**Pre:** Order of Intelligent among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pre-Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits

**VAR 001087**  
Pre.Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits  
**MD:** EQ 9  
**COLUMNS:** 2113 - 2113  
Numeric

**Pre-Rand.K4g.**

**Pre:** Order of Out of Touch among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.L1c/L1d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Services/Spending placements L1c-L1d / L1c-L1d.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name
9. NA

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L1e/L1f.

Pre: Order of major parties among Services/Spending placements L1e-L1f / L1e-L1f.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th
9. NA

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Defense Spending placements L2b-L2c / L2b.T-L2c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

1  2
-----  -----
Count 910  897

Pre-Rand.L2d/L2e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Defense Spending placements L2d-L2e / L2d.T-L2e.T

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th

9. NA

1  2
-----  -----
Count 910  897

Pre-Rand.L4b/L4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4b-L4c / L4b.T-L4c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

1  2
-----  -----
Count 886  921
Pre-Rand.L4d/L4e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4d-L4e / L4d.T-L4e.T

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L5c/L5d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Aid to Blacks placements L5c-L5d /L5c.T-L5d.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.L5e/L5f.

Pre Order of major parties among Aid to Blacks placements L5e-L5f /L5e.T-L5f.T

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 001096 Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2122 - 2123
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7b.

Order of Welfare among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 001097 Pre.Rand.L7c. Order-aids resch-fed spend
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2124 - 2125
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7c.

Order of Aids Research among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).
Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7d.

Order of Foreign Aid among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7e.

Order of Food Stamps among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA
VAR 001100  Pre.Rand.L7f. Order-aid poor -fed spend  
MD:  EQ 9  
COLUMNS:  2130 - 2131  
Numeric  

Pre-Rand.L7f.  

Order of Aid to Poor among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001101  Pre.Rand.L7g. Order-soc sec -fed spend  
MD:  EQ 9  
COLUMNS:  2132 - 2133  
Numeric  

Pre-Rand.L7g.  

Order of Soc Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.L7h.

Order of Env Protection among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7j.

Order of Public Schls among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.L7k.

Order of Deal w/Crime among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7m.

Order of Child Care among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7n.

Order of Border sec among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'The border is always first' (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.L7n.

Order of Border Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
---------------------------------------------------------------------
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>154</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================================
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2144 - 2145
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7p.

Order of Aid to Blacks among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
---------------------------------------------------------------------
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>152</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================================
VAR 001108    Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2146 - 2146
Numeric

Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Abortion placements
M1b-M1c / M1b.T-M1c.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

1  2  9
----- ----- ----- 
Count 921 883 3

====================================================================================
VAR 001109  Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNs: 2147 - 2147
Numeric

Pre-Rand.M4b/M4c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environment-Jobs placements M4b-M4c / M4b.T-M4c.T
====================================================================================
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

1  2  9
----- ----- ----- 
Count 920 884 3

====================================================================================
VAR 001110  Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNs: 2148 - 2148
Numeric

Pre-Rand.M6b/M6c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush for Gun restriction placement M6b-M6c
====================================================================================
1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

1  2  9
----- ----- ----- 
Count 926 878 3

====================================================================================
VAR 001111  Pre.Rand.P1b/P1c. Ord-Gore-women's role
Pre-Rand.P1b/P1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Women's Role placements P1b-P1c / P1b.T-P1c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.P2b/P2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environmental regulation placements P2b-P2c / P2b.T-P2c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.Q14a.

Pre: Order of Angry among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001114 Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2152 - 2152
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14b.
Pre: Order of Hopeful among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001115 Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2153 - 2153
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14c.
Pre: Order of Afraid among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001116 Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2154 - 2154
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14d.
Pre: Order of Proud among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.
Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001117 Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2155 - 2155
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001118 Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2156 - 2156
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

---

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Var 001119  Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2157 - 2157
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15c.
Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Var 001120  Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2158 - 2158
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15d.
Pre: Order of Leadership among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Var 001121  Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits
Pre-Rand.Q15e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.Q15f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.Q15g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001201  A1. R interest in campaigns
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2162 - 2162
Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

---------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001202  A2. R watched programs about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2163 - 2163
Numeric

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

---------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP TO A3
8. DK           --> SKIP TO A3
9. RF           --> SKIP TO A3
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------

VAR 001203    A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2164 - 2164
Numeric

A2a.

IF R WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Would you say you watched a good many, several, or just one or two?

---------------------------------------------

1. A GOOD MANY
3. SEVERAL
5. JUST ONE OR TWO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------

VAR 001204    A3. Does R ever discuss politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2165 - 2165
Numeric

A3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

---------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO           --> SKIP TO A4

8. DK           --> SKIP TO A4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001205   A3a. How often does R discuss politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  2166 - 2167
Numeric

A3a.

IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS:

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?

1-7
96. NONE (NO DAYS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9 in A3; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001206   A4. Does R recall names of House candidates
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2168 - 2168
Numeric

A4.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

1. YES, REMEMBER
5. NO, DON'T REMEMBER --> SKIP TO B1
8. DK --> SKIP TO B1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate -- nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A4b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they? What is <NAME>'s> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001209    A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2172 - 2172
Numeric

A4(1)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1394</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001210  A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  2173 - 2173
Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME>'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY
=======================================================================
Built from A4a1 and A4b1.

1.  Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2.  Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3.  Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4.  Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5.  Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6.  No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7.  No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9.  NA/RF for candidate name and NA/RF for party mention
0.  Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001211  A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2174 - 2175
Numeric
A4a2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================================

VAR 001212   A4b2. Hse party recall 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2176 - 2176
Numeric

A4b2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other

0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1664</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?  
Who were they?  
What is <NAME>'S> party?  

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY  
--------------------------------------------------

Built from A4a2 and A4b2.

1.  Valid candidate name given and correct party  
    associated with name  
2.  Valid candidate name given but incorrect party  
    associated with name  
3.  Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party  
    associated with name  
4.  Invalid candidate name given with any mention of  
    party associated with name  
5.  Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party  
    associated with name  
6.  No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party  
    mention  
7.  No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party  
9.  NA for candidate name and NA for party mention  
0.  Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001215   A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code  
MD: EQ 0  
COLUMNS: 2179 - 2180  
Numeric  

A4a3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?  
Who were they?
3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK

00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names;
   Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========================================

VAR 001216 A4b3. Hse party recall 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2181 - 2181
Numeric

A4b3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in
Washington -- who ran in the November election from this
district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME’S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001217  A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2182 - 2182
Numeric

A4(3)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.: 
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other
0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1799</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001218  A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  2183 - 2183
Numeric

A4(3)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.: 
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME'S> party?
3RD RECALLED NAME – ACCURACY

Built from A4a3 and A4b3.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001219    B1. Party talked to R about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2184 - 2184
Numeric

B1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIP TO B1b
8. DK   --> SKIP TO B1b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001220 Bla. Party that spoke to R about campgn
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2185 - 2185
Numeric

Bla.

IF SOMEONE FROM PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. DEMOCRATS
5. REPUBLICANS
6. BOTH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001221 B1b. Anyone else talk to R about cands
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2186 - 2186
Numeric

B1b.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1378</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001222  Blc. Party send R mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2187 - 2187
Numeric

Blc.

Did anyone from one of the political parties send you mail about the campaign this year?

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIP TO B1D
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================

VAR 001223  B1c1. Party that sent mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2188 - 2188
Numeric

B1c1.

IF POLITICAL PARTY SENT MAIL:

Which party was that?

1. DEMOCRATS
5. REPUBLICANS
6. BOTH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================

VAR 001224  B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2189 - 2189
Numeric

B1d.
Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) send you mail about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B2.

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001227  B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2192 - 2192
Numeric

B4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

==============================================

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001228  B5. Did R do any other campaign work
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2193 - 2193
Numeric

B5.

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

==============================================

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
VAR 001229  B6. Did R contribute to candidate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2194 - 2194
Numeric

B6.

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B7
8. DK --> SKIP TO B7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 252 43 1512

----------------------------------------------------------------------
VAR 001230  B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2195 - 2195
Numeric

B6a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:

Which party did that candidate belong to?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
6. BOTH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE AND REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (VOL)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B6

0 1 3 5 6 8
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1704 33 54 9 5 2
B7. Did you give money to a political party during this election year?  

1. YES  
5. NO  --> SKIP TO B8  
8. DK  --> SKIP TO B8  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B7a. Party - R contribution  

IF R GAVE MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:  
To which party did you give money?  

1. DEMOCRATS  
3. REPUBLICANS  
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)  
6. BOTH DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B7  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate  

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2198 - 2198  
Numeric
B8.

Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B9.

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B10.

Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001236  B11. Clergy provide election information
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2201 - 2201
Numeric

B11.

Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?

[DON'T PROBE DK RESPONSE]

1. YES
5. NO, NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE
7. NO, DON'T GO TO CHURCH [VOL] --> C1
8. DK --> C1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001237  B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2202 - 2202
Numeric

B12.

IF R DID NOT INDICATE NON-ATTENDANCE IN B11:

Did the clergy or other church leaders at your place of worship encourage you to vote for a particular candidate or party?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO C1
8. DK --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in B11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001238  B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98

COLUMNS:  2203 - 2204

Numeric

B12a1.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 1 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party
OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1723</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 001239  B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2205 - 2206
Numeric

B12a2.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 2 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)
SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001240    B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2207 - 2208
Numeric

B12a3.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 3 - CANDIDATE CODE

--------------------------------------------
[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Republican House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12a; no further mention

0        82
-----    -----  
Count 1806     1  

==============================================
VAR 001241    C1. Did R vote
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2209 - 2209
Numeric
C1.

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.
Which of the following statements best describes you:
One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?
[INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS]

1. I DID NOT VOTE (IN THE ELECTION THIS NOVEMBER).
2. I THOUGHT ABOUT VOTING THIS TIME, BUT DIDN'T.
3. I USUALLY VOTE, BUT DIDN'T THIS TIME.
4. I AM SURE I VOTED  --> SKIP TO C3

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001242  C2. Was R registered
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS:  2210 - 2210
 Numeric

C2.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

Were you registered to vote in this election?

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP TO C9(2)
6. VOL: NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER IN R'S STATE  --> SKIP TO C9(2)

8. DK  --> SKIP TO C9(2)
9. RF  --> SKIP TO C9(2)
0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 001243  C3. Registered in county of IW?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2211 - 2211
Numeric

C3.

IF R IS REGISTERED/
IF R VOTED:

Your residence is located in <NAME'S> County.
Are you registered to vote in <NAME'S> County? /
Your residence is located in Washington D.C.
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?
-----------------------------------------------

1. YES, REGISTERED IN (PRELOAD COUNTY)  --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4,
/WASHINGTON D.C  ELSE GO TO C9(1))
5. NO, REGISTERED IN OTHER COUNTY        --> SKIP TO C3a
8. DK  --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
9. RF  --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 5, 6, 8, 9 or NA in C2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001244  C3a1. State of registration
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2212 - 2213
Numeric

C3a1.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION
-----------------------------------------------

ICPSR state code

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1741</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41</th>
<th>42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001244a  C3a2. County of registration (blank)
COLUMNS:  2214 - 2214
Character

C3a2.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the respondent.

-----------------------------
VAR 001245    C4. Did R vote on election day or before
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2215 - 2215
Numeric

C4.

IF R VOTED:

Did you vote on election day -- that is, November 7th 2000, or did you vote at some time before this?

1. ELECTION DAY                --> SKIP TO C5
5. SOME TIME BEFORE THIS       --> C4a
8. DK                          --> SKIP TO C5
9. RF                          --> SKIP TO C5
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

        0        1        5
Count   625    1000    182
-----------------------------
VAR 001246    C4a. How long before election did R vote
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2216 - 2217
Numeric
C4a.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 7th did you vote?  

[PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1626</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C4b.

VAR 001247  C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2218 - 2218  
Numeric

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?  

1. IN PERSON --> SKIP TO C5  
5. ABSENTEE BALLOT --> SKIP TO C5  
7. R VOLUNTEERS: BY MAIL [OREGON ONLY] --> SKIP TO C5  
8. DK --> SKIP TO C5  
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4
VAR 001248  C5. Did R vote for President?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2219 - 2219
Numeric

C5.

IF R VOTED:

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

1. YES, VOTED FOR PRESIDENT
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR PRESIDENT  --> SKIP TO C9

8. DK  --> SKIP TO C9
9. RF  --> SKIP TO C9

0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  Count     625     1178        4

0        1        3        5
-----    -----    -----    -----  Count     629      590      530        3

VAR 001249  C6. R vote cast for President
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2220 - 2220
Numeric

C6.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you vote for?

1. AL GORE  --> SKIP TO C6a
3. GEORGE W. BUSH  --> SKIP TO C6a
5. PAT BUCHANAN  --> SKIP TO C6a
6. RALPH NADER  --> SKIP TO C6a
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)  --> SKIP TO C6a

8. DK  --> SKIP TO C9
9. RF  --> SKIP TO C9

0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C5

0        1        3        5
-----    -----    -----    -----  Count     629      590      530        3
C6a. How strong R support Pres cand

VAR 001250 C6a. How strong R support Pres cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2221 - 2221
Numeric

C6a.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

Would you say that your preference for (IF NAME GIVEN: <candidate name>) / (IF OTHER SPECIFY: this candidate) was strong or not strong?

1. STRONG
5. NOT STRONG
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

Count 642 876 286 3

C7. Timing of Pres vote decision

VAR 001251 C7. Timing of Pres vote decision
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2222 - 2223
Numeric

C7.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?

[PROBE IF NECESSARY "WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?"]

01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party; before the convention/nomination (no mention of Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader); before the primaries; 9 months or more
02. Before the convention because of Gore's/ Bush's/ Buchanan's/ Nader's candidacy; as soon as Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader said he would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit before the election"
03. At the time of the Republican convention/ when Bush was nominated; just after the Republican convention; 3 months; July; "a few months"; "2-3 months"
04. During the Democratic convention when Gore was nominated; just after the Democratic convention; 4 months; August
05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS); 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
06. Five to seven weeks before the election
07. One month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates
08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"
09. In the last few days of the campaign; the last part of it
10. On election day
11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); "several months"; 5-8 months
12. After the vice-presidential debate
97. Other
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001252a C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2224 - 2225
Numeric

C8(1).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the
Presidential election this (past) November, is there any
other candidate you would have found acceptable and have
voted for?

MENTION 1

---------------------------------------------------------------
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================================================================
VAR 001252b  C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS:  2226 - 2226
 Numeric

C8(2).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the
Presidential election this (past) November, is there any
other candidate you would have found acceptable and have
voted for?

MENTION 2

================================================================================================

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================================================================
VAR 001252c  C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
 COLUMNS:  2227 - 2227
 Numeric

C8(3).
IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 3

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

0

Count 1807

-----------------------------
VAR 001253a  C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2228 - 2228
Numeric

C9(1).

CHECKPOINT: VOTE IN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE (AND DK IF VOTED)
4. WASHINGTON DC

9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREAT AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview

0 1 2 3 4

Count 252 1130 48 373 4

-----------------------------
VAR 001253b  C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2229 - 2229
Numeric

C9(2).
IF R VOTED:

CHECKPOINT: RANDOM ORDER OF DEMOCRATIC/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES

This describes, for House vote and nonvoter House preference, the order in which names appeared on the FTF ballot card or the order in which names were read in Telephone administration.

NOTE: in VT01 and VA05, the independent incumbent candidate was randomized, in the FTF ballot card and in the order of names in the Telephone wording, as if the candidate were a major party candidate: VT01 candidate Bernie Sanders was randomized as if a Democratic name, and in VA05 Virgil Goode Jr. was randomized as if a Republican name (no Democratic name was preloaded for VT01 and no Republican name was preloaded for VA05). All other ind/3rd-party candidate names appearing on the FTF ballot card or included in Telephone wording appear last in order.

NOTE: ind/3rd-party candidate names are included in response codes/question wording whenever they have been preloaded; ind/3rd-party names are preloaded only for candidates who have been identified as especially viable. See note concerning randomization failure in V000262.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>629</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001254  C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2230 - 2230
Numeric

C9a/C11a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives
in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001255 C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2231 - 2231
Numeric

C9b(1)/C11bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.
CODE 6 NOTE: In 8 cases where R resided in county of interview, R did not select one of the names from the district of the interview location but provided a name which was later identified as belonging to another congressional district.
These cases have been coded 6 in V001255 but the candidate code and party identification according to the other district are coded in V001256 and V001257. In Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) these cases are coded as a vote outside CD of interview.

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD-PARTY INCUMBENT NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
6. R PROVIDED NAME FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001256  C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2232 - 2233
Numeric
C9b(2)/C11bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only
if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
37. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============

VAR 001257 C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2234 - 2234
Numeric

C9b(3)/C11bx.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (3rd party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2235 - 2235
Numeric

C10a/C12a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

--------------------
FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1759</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001259 C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2236 - 2237
Numeric

C10b1/C12b1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes 30,31,32,33,34,35,36,39).

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW:
   DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
   81. Democratic candidate
   82. Republican candidate
   DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
   83. Democratic incumbent
   84. Republican incumbent
   85. Democratic challenger
   86. Republican challenger
   ALL DISTRICTS:
   80. Third party or independent candidate **
   91. Democrat--no name given
   92. Republican--no name given
   97. Name not on candidate list (name unidentified with party or candidate or CD not identified)

98. DK --> C14a
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>81</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>82</th>
<th>83</th>
<th>84</th>
<th>86</th>
<th>91</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|     | 92  | 97  | 98  |
|-----|-----|-----|
| Count| 6   | 2   | 6   |
### VAR 001260 C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party

* MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
* COLUMNS: 2238 - 2238
* Numeric

C10b2/C12b2.T.

**IF R VOTED:**
**IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:**
**IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:**
**IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:**

Who did you vote for?  
Which party was that?

**OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY**

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001261 C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote

* MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
* COLUMNS: 2239 - 2239
* Numeric

C10c/C12c.T.

**IF R VOTED:**
**IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:**
**IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:**
**IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:**

Which party was that?

**PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH HOUSE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)**

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1775</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001262  C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2240 - 2241
Numeric

C10x1/C12x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

Built from C9b(2)/C11bx2.T and C10b1/C12b1.T.
** IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger

ALL OUT OF COUNTY DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate **
81. Democrat--no name given
82. Republican--no name given
83. Name not on candidate list

88. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C9(1); 5,8,9,NA in C9a/C11a.T or 5,7,8,9, NA in C10a/C12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001263   C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:    2242 - 2242
Numeric

C10x2/C12x2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)
SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

Built from C10x1/C12x1.T

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (independent and 3rd party)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline
\text{Count} & 824 & 467 & 444 & 1 \\
7 & 8 & 9 & \\
\text{Count} & 38 & 27 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\]

For identification of states without races, see the Senate type race variable (V000204).

1. Race in state of interview
2. No race in state of inerview
0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington DC

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline
\text{Count} & 629 & 900 & 278 \\
\end{array}
\]

====================================================================

VAR 001264 C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2243 - 2243
Numeric

C13(1).

IF R VOTED:

SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW

For identification of states without races, see the Senate type race variable (V000204).

1. Race in state of interview
2. No race in state of inerview

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington DC

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline
\text{Count} & 629 & 900 & 278 \\
\end{array}
\]

====================================================================

VAR 001265 C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2244 - 2244
Numeric

C13(2).
SENATE CHECKPOINT: R VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE/DK IF VOTED
4. WASHINGTON DC

9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREATED AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================

VAR 001266 C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2245 - 2245
Numeric

C13a/C15a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

================================

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE

8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or 2, 3, 4, 0 in C13(2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================

VAR 001267 C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2246 - 2246
Numeric

C13b1/C15bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the
ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she
voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party
ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she
voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate
from that party ran in R's district.
MISSOURI NOTE: In 4 cases in Missouri (IDs 581,1071,1072,1507)
Rs voted for Mel Carnihan (deceased). Because this name was
not included in the preload, these responses were coded 7.
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME> --> D1
3. <PRELOAD 1ST IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
4. <PRELOAD 2ND IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME --> D1
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> D1

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================

VAR 001268 C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)  
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>  
candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE – CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on  
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that  
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).  
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].  
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,  
his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001269  C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2249 - 2249
Numeric

C13b3/C15bx3.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001270    C14a/C16a.T. Out-county:vote for Senate?
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   COLUMNS:  2250 - 2250
   Numeric

C14a/C16a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

   How about the election for the United States Senate?
   Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

   1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
   5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE --> D1
   7. R VOLUNTEERS:  NO RACE IN STATE OF VOTE --> D1
   8. DK --> D1
   9. RF
   0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

   0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | Count
-----|-----|-----|-----|
 1765 | 32 | 8 | 2

=====================================================================

VAR 001271    C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote -cand code
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
   COLUMNS:  2251 - 2252
   Numeric

C14b1/C16b1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

   Who did you vote for?
   Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
=====================================================================

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district
of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes
08,10,11,12,13,14,15,16).

CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race (name not identified with candidate or party, or CD not identified)

98. DK
99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>91</th>
<th>92</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001272  C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2253 - 2253
Numeric

C14b2/C16b2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001273  C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2254 - 2254
Numeric
C14c/C16c.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH SENATE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

1. DEMOCRAT --> D1
5. REPUBLICAN --> D1
7. OTHER: SPECIFY: --> D1
8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001274 C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2255 - 2256
Numeric

C14x1/C16x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

**IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race; 'outside' name not identified with candidate or party, or 'outside' CD not identified)
98. DK
99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1); 5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 001275        C14x2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party
MD1: EQ 0,     MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:    2257 - 2257
Numeric

C14x2/C16x2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

Built from C14x1/C16x1.T

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
VAR 001276    C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2258 - 2258
Numeric

C17.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

How about the election for President?
Did you prefer one of the candidates for President?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

VAR 001277    C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2259 - 2259
Numeric

C17a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you prefer?

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W. BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2260 - 2260
Numeric

C17b.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:
IF NAMED PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:

Would you say your preference for this candidate was
strong or not strong?

1. STRONG
5. NOT STRONG

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C17a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001279 C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2261 - 2261
Numeric

C18/C18.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this
district. How about the election for the House of
Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD CARD]

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================

VAR 001280 C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2262 - 2262
Numeric

C18a/C18a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
1. <preload Democratic candidate name>
3. <preload Ind/3rd-party cand name>
5. <preload Republican candidate name>
7. Other - specify

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001281 C18al/C18a1.T.Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 2263 - 2264
Numeric

C18al/C18a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================
VAR 001282    C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2265 - 2265
Numeric

C18a2/C18a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T
VAR 001283  C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2266 - 2266
Numeric

C19a/C19.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD BALLOT CARD]

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or; 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

0  1  5  8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1518 90 195 4

VAR 001284  C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2267 - 2267
Numeric

C19b/C19a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD 1ST INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
4. <PRELOAD 2ND INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
7. OTHER; SPECIFY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001285 C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
COLUMNS: 2268 - 2269
Numeric
C19b1/C19a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)


** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger

97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2270 - 2270
Numeric

C19b2/C19a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001287 (Blank)
COLUMNS: 2271 - 2271
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

---

0

Count 1807

---

VAR 001289 (Blank)
COLUMNS: 2273 - 2273
Numeric

---

VAR 001290 (Blank)
COLUMNS: 2274 - 2274
Numeric

---

VAR 001291 C20. How fair was November election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2275 - 2275
Numeric

C20.

Please continue thinking about the November election. In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the presidential election we've just had, do you believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

---

This variable was added 11/10/2000.
1. VERY FAIR
2. SOMewhat FAIR
3. NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR
4. SOMEWHAT UNFAIR
5. VERY UNFAIR
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview or portion of interview administered before question added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D1/D1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.
D1a/D1a.T.

 D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton
 MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS:  2276 - 2278
 Numeric

 D1a/D1a.T.

 FTF:  
 (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
 BILL CLINTON

 TELEPHONE:  
 Our first person is Bill Clinton. Where on that feeling 
 thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

 THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

 [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
 DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
 YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
 D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly 
 administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered 
 as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered 
 as the 7th-12th names.

 0-100

 995. NA
 996. INAP, no Post interview
 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
 998. DK WHERE TO RATE
 999. RF

 D1b/D1b.T.

 D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
 MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
 COLUMNS:  2279 - 2281
 Numeric

 D1b/D1b.T.

 FTF:  
 (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
 AL GORE

 TELEPHONE:  
 The next person is AL GORE. (Where on that feeling 
 thermometer would you rate him/her?)

 THERMOMETER - AL GORE

 [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
 DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

================================

VAR 001294  D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2282 - 2284
Numeric

D1c/D1c.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) GEORGE W. BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is GEORGE W. BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
VAR 001295    D1d/D1d.T. Thermometer Nader
   MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
   COLUMNS: 2285 - 2287
   Numeric

D1d/D1d.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
RALPH NADER

TELEPHONE:
The next person is RALPH NADER. (Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
VAR 001296    D1e/D1e.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson
   MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
   COLUMNS: 2288 - 2290
   Numeric

D1e/D1e.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) JESSE JACKSON

TELEPHONE:
The next person is JESSE JACKSON. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
VAR 001297 D1f/D1f.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2291 - 2293
Numeric

D1f/D1f.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
VAR 001298   D1g/D1g.T. Thermometer Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2294 - 2296
Numeric

D1g/D1g.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
VAR 001299 D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2297 - 2299
Numeric

D1h/D1h.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]  
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
VAR 001300   D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2300 - 2302
Numeric

D1j/D1j.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF HOUSE INCUMBENT IS NOT RUNNING:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate
him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names.  D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, retiring House Representative; no Post interview;
    Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-------------------

VAR 001300a   D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?
MD:  EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2303 - 2303
Numeric

D1jx.

CHECKPOINT: IS RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ALSO
THE SENATE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
0. House retiree is not also Senate candidate
1. House retiree is also the Senate Democratic candidate
2. House retiree is also the Senate Republican candidate
9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1535</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001301  D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2304 - 2306
Numeric

D1k/D1k.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Post interview;
   Washington D.C.; 1 in D1jx.
VAR 001302 D1m/D1m.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand
   MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
   COLUMNS: 2307 - 2309
   Numeric

D1m/D1m.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

========================================
VAR 001303  Dln/Dln.T. Thermometer Ind House cand
  MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
  COLUMNS:  2310 - 2312
  Numeric

Dln/Dln.T.

IF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS VT01 OR VA05:

  FTF:
  (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
  <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

  TELEPHONE:
  The next person is <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

  THERMOMETER - <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>
  -------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

  [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
  DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
  YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

  D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

  0-100

  995. NA
  996. INAP, no incumbent Independent House candidate (VT01, VA05); no Post interview or Washington D.C.; 0,9 in Dlnx.
  997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
  998. DK WHERE TO RATE
  999. RF

VAR 001303a  Dlnx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05
  MD:  EQ 9
  COLUMNS:  2313 - 2313
  Numeric

Dlnx.

  CHECKPOINT:  VT01 OR VA05
  -------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

  0. CD OF INTERVIEW IS NEITHER VT01 NOR VA05
VAR 001304    D2a. Thermometer supreme court
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2314 - 2316
Numeric

D2a.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE SUPREME COURT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

VAR 001305    D2b. Thermometer Congress
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2317 - 2319
Numeric

D2b.
(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONGRESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D2c.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
D2d.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D2e.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BLACKS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
VAR 001309    D2f. Thermometer whites
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2329 - 2331
Numeric

D2f.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - WHITES

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
VAR 001310    D2g. Thermometer conservatives
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2332 - 2334
Numeric
D2g.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES
-----------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
VAR 001311    D2h. Thermometer liberals
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2335 - 2337
Numeric

D2h.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LIBERALS
-----------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
VAR 001312 D2j. Thermometer labor unions
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2338 - 2340
Numeric

D2j.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

VAR 001313 D2k. Thermometer big business
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2341 - 2343
Numeric

D2k.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================
VAR 001314    D2m. Thermometer poor people
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2344 - 2346
Numeric

D2m.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================
VAR 001315    D2n. Thermometer people on welfare
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2347 - 2349
Numeric
D2n.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================
VAR 001316    D2p. Thermometer Hispanics
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2350 - 2352
Numeric

D2p.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
D2q.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

D2r.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
VAR 001319    D2s. Thermometer older people
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2359 - 2361
Numeric

D2s.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
VAR 001320    D2t. Thermometer environmentalists
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2362 - 2364
Numeric
D2t.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
VAR 001321    D2u. Thermometer homosexuals
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2365 - 2367
Numeric

D2u.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - GAY MEN AND LESBIANS, THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================
VAR 001322    D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition
               MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
               COLUMNS:  2368 - 2370
               Numeric

D2v.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE CHRISTIAN COALITION

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
 DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
 YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================
VAR 001323    D2w. Thermometer Catholics
               MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
               COLUMNS:  2371 - 2373
               Numeric

D2w.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
 DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
 YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===============
VAR 001324 D2x. Thermometer Jews
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2374 - 2376
Numeric

D2x.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - JEWS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===============
VAR 001325 D2xx. Thermometer Protestants
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2377 - 2379
Numeric
D2xx.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================
VAR 001326    D2y. Thermometer feminists
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS:  2380 - 2382
Numeric

D2y.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
896. INAP, no Post interview
897. DON'T RECOGNIZE
898. DK WHERE TO RATE
899. RF

-------------------------------
VAR 001327    D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
COLUMNS: 2383 - 2385
Numeric

D2z.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

------------------------------------------------------

E0.

Next I would like to ask you some questions about the
candidate(s) who ran in this district for the U.S.
House of Representatives.

-----------------------------
VAR 001328    E1. Like anything- Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2386 - 2386
Numeric

E1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:
Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES --> SKIP TO E1a
5. NO --> SKIP TO E2
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> E3 or E5a
8. DK --> SKIP TO E2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Democratic candidate in House race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001329  Ela(1). #1 like Dem House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 2387 - 2390
Numeric

Ela(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no Post IW

-----------------------------
VAR 001330   Ela(2). #2 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2391 - 2394
Numeric

Ela(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

-----------------------------
VAR 001331   Ela(3). #3 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2395 - 2398
Numeric

Ela(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

-----------------------------
VAR 001332  Ela(4). #4 like Dem House candidate
            MD:  EQ 0
            COLUMNS:  2399 - 2402
            Numeric

Ela(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

    (What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================

VAR 001333  Ela(5). #5 like Dem House candidate
            MD:  EQ 0
            COLUMNS:  2403 - 2405
            Numeric

Ela(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

    (What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================

VAR 001334  E2. Dislike anything- Dem House cand
            MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            COLUMNS:  2406 - 2406
            Numeric

E2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:
Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E2a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E3
8. DK --> SKIP TO E3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 7 in E1; Democratic candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E2a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no Post IW

==============================================================================
VAR 001336    E2a(2). #2 dislike Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2411 - 2414
Numeric

E2a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
==============================================================================

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================================================
VAR 001337    E2a(3). #3 dislike Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2415 - 2418
Numeric

E2a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
==============================================================================

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================================================
VAR 001338    E2a(4). #4 dislike Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2419 - 2422
Numeric
E2a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

E2a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

E3.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?
The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E3a
5. NO, NOTHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E4
7. R V olunteers: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO E3 or E5a
8. DK --> SKIP TO E4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 001341   E3a(1). #1 like Repub House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
COLUMNS: 2428 - 2431
Numeric

E3a(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no Post IW
E3a(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

E3a(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

E3a(4).
IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY–CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

======================================
VAR 001345  E3a(5). #5 like Repub House candidate
           MD:  EQ 0
           COLUMNS:  2444 - 2447
           Numeric

E3a(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY–CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

======================================
VAR 001346  E4. Dislike anything– Repub House cand
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
           COLUMNS:  2448 - 2448
           Numeric

E4.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like
about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05:
<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of
Representatives?
---------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E4a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E5
8. DK --> SKIP TO E5a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 7 in E3

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WARNING: CROSSTABULATION

E4a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no Post IW

E4a(2).

VAR 001348 E4a(2). #2 dislike repun House candidate
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2453 - 2456
Numeric
E4a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

E4a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

E4a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

---------------------------------------------
[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY–CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================
VAR 001351    E4a(5). #5 dislike Repub House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2464 - 2467
Numeric

E4a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY–CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================
VAR 001352    E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cands
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  2468 - 2468
Numeric

E5.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

1. One House candidate in candidate list
2. Two or more House candidates in candidate list

9. Washington DC
0. No Post IW

==============================
VAR 001353a   E5a(1). 2 cands- either cand incumbent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  2469 - 2469
Numeric
E5a(1).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"?

1. YES, <DEMOCRATIC NAME> --> E6
2. YES, <REPUBLICAN NAME> --> E6
3. YES <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE> --> E6
4. BOTH [VOL] --> E6
5. NO, NEITHER WAS [VOL] --> E6
7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL] --> E6

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in E5

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----  Count  471      368      399        3

4        5        7        9  Count  17       57  491        1

================================================================================
VAR 001353b  E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2470 - 2471
Numeric

E5a(2).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND ) NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 2 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"?

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

30. INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE (VA05/VT01)
31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
35. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
36. REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
39. NONINCUMBENT INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE
90. "BOTH"

00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5a(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001354 E5b(1). 1 cand- is candidate incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2472 - 2472
Numeric

E5b(1).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

1. YES
5. NO
7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 9, 0 in E5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E5b(2).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"?

31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE

00. INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5b(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------
VAR 001356    E6. Party control House before election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2475 - 2475
Numeric

E6.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. THE DEMOCRATS
5. THE REPUBLICANS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>849</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E7.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(If necessary: Which one?) [Don't probe DK]

1. The Democrats
5. The Republicans
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F1.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

1. Approve --> SKIP TO F1a
5. Disapprove --> SKIP TO F1b
8. DK --> F2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F1a.

Strength approve House incumbent
Fla.

IF R APPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?
-----------------------------------------------

1. APPROVE STRONGLY   --> SKIP TO F2
5. NOT STRONGLY       --> SKIP TO F2
8. DK                 --> SKIP TO F2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1

0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count 895      481      426        5

=================================
VAR 001360    Flb. Strength disapp House incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2479 - 2479
Numeric

Flb.

IF R DISAPPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?
-----------------------------------------------

1. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in F1

0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count 1635       87       82        3

=================================
VAR 001361    Flx. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2480 - 2480
Numeric

Flx.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?  
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVE RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

Built from F1 and F1a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK in F1 or F1a/b
9. RF in F1a or F1a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>256</th>
<th>481</th>
<th>426</th>
<th>82</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>87</th>
<th>463</th>
<th>12</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001362 F2. Special action by incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2481 - 2481
Numeric

F2.

Do you happen to remember anything special that
Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT
NAME> has done for the people in <HIS/HER> district
while <HE/SHE> has been in Congress?

-------------------------------
VAR 001363 F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2482 - 2482
Numeric
F3.

Do you happen to know about how many years <HE/SHE> has been in the House of Representatives?

1. YES, R KNOWS --> SKIP TO F3a
5. NO --> SKIP TO F3b
8. DK --> SKIP TO F3b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001364  F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2483 - 2484
Numeric

F3a.

IF R SAYS KNOWS HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

(About how many?)

Actual number coded.

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F3

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001365  F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
F3b.

IF R DK HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

Would you say less than 12 years, about 12 years, or more than 12 years?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. LESS THAN 12 YEARS
3. ABOUT 12 YEARS
5. MORE THAN 12 YEARS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in F3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001366  F4. House incumb kept in touch w/distric
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

F4.

How good a job would you say U.S. Representative <NAME> does of keeping in touch with the people in your district -- does (he/she) do a very good job, fairly good, fairly poor, or a very poor job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?

1. VERY GOOD
2. FAIRLY GOOD
3. FAIRLY POOR
4. VERY POOR
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F5.

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or hardly at all?

1. MOST OF THE TIME
2. SOME OF THE TIME
3. ONLY NOW AND THEN
4. HARDLY AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

[DO NOT PROBE]

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> G2b
2. LIBERAL --> G2b
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> G2b
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
6. CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
8. DK --> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1b.

VAR 001369 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2489 - 2489
Numeric

G1b.

IF R LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

1. LIBERAL
3. CONSERVATIVE
5. MODERATE [VOL]
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 in Gla/Gla.T; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001370    G1x. 3-category lib-con summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2490 - 2490
Numeric

G1x.

FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

TELEPHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SUMMARY

Built from Gla/Gla.T and G1b. This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years. Recode values used for each category appear in the order: Gla/Gla.T, G1b.

1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
7. Refused to choose (0/8,7/9)

8. DK (0/8,8)
9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,NA); No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001371    G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
G2/G2.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 2 3 4
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- -----  
Count 252 202 487 286 259

5 6 7 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 68 71 29 150 3

G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

G3/G3.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g., for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001373 G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2493 - 2493
Numeric

G3a/G3a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G4a/G4a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G4/G4.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------
VAR 001376 G5/G5.T. Buchanan placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2496 - 2496
Numeric

G5/G5.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK            --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================
VAR 001377    G5a/G5a.T. Buchanan-certain lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2497 - 2497
Numeric

G5a/G5a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF BUCHANAN IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G5/G5.T

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================
VAR 001378    G6. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05
MD:   EQ 9
COLUMNS:  2498 - 2498
Numeric

G6.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House
incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement.  
In VA05, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House
incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.
1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001378a   G6(1)/G6(1).T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2499 - 2499
Numeric

G6(1)/G6(1).T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1g):

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Constant                G6(2)/G6(2).T. #1 incum Ind cand place
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 COLUMNS: 2500 - 2500
 Numeric

G6(2)/G6(2).T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:
Where would you place <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? (Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

Don't probe DK

Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK                --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

          0          3
Count     1806        1

==============================================
VAR 001379a  G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtnt lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2501 - 2501
Numeric

G6a1/G6a1.T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except
VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(1)/G6(1).T

          0         1         3         5         8
Count     1133      135      314      224        1

==============================================
VAR 001379b  G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtnt lib
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2502 - 2502
Numeric

G6a2/G6a2.T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?
Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(2)/G6(2).T

| Count | 1806 | 1 |

VAR 001380a G7(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2503 - 2503
Numeric

G7(1)/G7(1).T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1h):

FTF: Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>? (Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 001380b   G7.(2)/G7(2).T. #2 incum Ind cand-lib
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2504 - 2504
Numeric

G7(2)/G7(2).T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:
Where would you place <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001381a  G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2505 - 2505
Numeric

G7a1/G7a1.T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(1)/G7(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001381b  G7a2/G7a2.T. #2 incum Ind cand lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2506 - 2506
Numeric

G7a2/G7a2.T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(2)/G7(2).T

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001382  G8/G8.T. Dem Party placemnt lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2507 - 2507
Numeric

G8/G8.T.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK   --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 001383  G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2508 - 2508
Numeric

G9/G9.T.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK   --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
0        1        2        3        4
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count    252       14       84       70      152

5        6        7        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count    271      693       70      199        2

=====================================================================

VAR 001384    G10/G10.T. Reform Party placement lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2509 - 2509
Numeric

G10/G10.T.

FTF:
Where would you place the Reform Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Reform Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK         --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        2        3        4
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count    252       42       99       80      264
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING → G11b or G11c
2.                                           → G11b or G11c
3.                                           → G11b or G11c
4.                                           → G11b or G11c
5.                                           → G11b or G11c
6.                                           → G11b or G11c
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING → G11b or G11c
8. DK                                     → G11b or G11c
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS → G11b or G11c
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven’t you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11a1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11a2.T
5. CONTINUE AT PRESENT LEVEL --> G11a3.T
8. DK --> G11a3.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview
0. HAVEN’T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> G11a3.T

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T

8. DK --> G11b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------
VAR 001388 G11a2.T. How much increase services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2513 - 2513
Numeric

G11a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a
great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL   --> G11b.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> G11b.T
8. DK             --> G11b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------
VAR 001389 G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2514 - 2514
Numeric

G11a3.T.

IF R THINKS SERVICES/SPENDING SHOULD STAY SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed,
would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. INCREASE
3. DECREASE
5. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3 in G11a.T; FTF mode; no Post interview

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1399</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001390 G11ax. PH Summary R serv/spend scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2515 - 2515
Numeric

G11ax1.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT


1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (0/5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (0/5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much (0,0,0,5/8/9/NA)

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

948
VAR 001390a  G11ax. Summary R serv/spend scale  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2516 - 2516  
Numeric

G11ax2.

FTF:  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:  
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?
(If REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(If INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(If SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G11a and G11ax1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count | 326     | 218     | 162     | 8       | 253     |
In VT01, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001391a  G11b1. Dem Hse candidate on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2518 - 2518
Numeric

G11b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name:
in VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(2).
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001391b G11b2. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2519 - 2519
Numeric

G11b2.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(1).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING         --> G12
2.                                         --> G12
3.                                         --> G12
4.                                         --> G12
5.                                         --> G12
6.                                         --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING         --> G12
8. DK                                      --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0
-----
Count   1807

====================================

VAR 001392a  G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spending  
             MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
             COLUMNS:  2520 - 2520  
             Numeric

G11b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:  
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to  
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,  
provide many services even if it means an increase in  
spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and  
G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.  
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate  
was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name:  
in VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(2).T.  
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in  
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic  
although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the  
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of  
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization  
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING   --> G11b1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING   --> G11b2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL---> G11b3.T

8. DK                                --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
   candidate coded 997 in thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001392b   G11b(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2521 - 2521
Numeric

G11b2.T.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

   TELEPHONE:
   What about (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?
   Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING   --> G11b1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING   --> G11b2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL---> G11b3.T

8. DK                                --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================
VAR 001393a G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2522 - 2522
Numeric

G11b1(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1771</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================
VAR 001393b G11b1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbnt Hse cand- how much red
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2523 - 2523
Numeric

G11b1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

Count 1807

VAR 001394a G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much incrsease ser/sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2524 - 2524
Numeric

G11b2(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

Count 1625 77 104 1

VAR 001394b G11b2(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2525 - 2525
Numeric

G11b2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

0

Count 1807

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001395a G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cand- if serv/spnd chnged, inc/dec
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2526 - 2526
Numeric

G11b3(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

0 1 3 5 8

Count 1714 57 19 14 3

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001395b G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2527 - 2527
Numeric

G11b3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------
VAR 001396  G11bx1. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2528 - 2528
Numeric

G11bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(If REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(If INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(If SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT
------------------------------------

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001396a  G11bx2. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2529 - 2529
Numeric

G11bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from and G11b1/G11b2 and G11bx1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001397a  G11c1. Repub House candidate on services/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2530 - 2530
Numeric

G11c1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>
on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2)
were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(2).
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING     --> G12
2.                                     --> G12
3.                                     --> G12
4.                                     --> G12
5.                                     --> G12
6.                                     --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING     --> G12
8. DK                                  --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

----------------------
5 6 7 8 9
Count 171 158 91 550 1

----------------------
5 6 7 8 9
Count 1295 6 36 98 94

----------------------
5 6 7 8 9
Count 49 15 3 211

----------------------
VAR 001397b  G11c2. #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
G11c2.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in districts other than VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(1).

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING         --> G12
2.                                         --> G12
3.                                         --> G12
4.                                         --> G12
5.                                         --> G12
6.                                         --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING        --> G12
8. DK                                      --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0
-----
Count 1807

==================================
VAR 001398a   G11c1.T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2532 - 2532
Numeric

G11c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(2).T.
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001398b  G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2533 - 2533
Numeric

G11c2.T.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

--}

FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in districts other than VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(1).T.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T
8. DK                                --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1805</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================================================
VAR 001399a  G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2534 - 2534
Numeric

G11c1(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1638</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================================================
VAR 001399b  G11c1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2535 - 2535
Numeric

G11c1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G1lc(2).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001400a  G1lc2(1).T. Rep Hse cand-how much incrsease serv/spd
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2536 - 2536
Numeric

G1lc2(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G1lc(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1748</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001400b  G1lc2(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incre
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2537 - 2537
Numeric

G1lc2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
VAR 001402  G1lcx1. PH Summary Rep cand services/spending
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2540 - 2540
Numeric

G1lcx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPENDING REPUB HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G1lc1/G1lc2.T, G1lc1(1)/G1lc1(2).T, G1lc2(1).T/G1lc2(2).T, G1lc3(1).T/G1lc3(2).T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK         --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001402a  G11cx2. Summary Rep cand services/spending
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2541 - 2541
Numeric

G11cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW
Please look at page 4 of the booklet. There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>612</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMewhat IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 9, 0 in G12/G12.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001405  G12b. Checkpoint: number of cands/VT01/VA05
MD: EQ 9
COLUMNS: 2544 - 2544
Numeric

G12b.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR ABORTION HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001405a  G12b1/G12b1.T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2545 - 2545
Numeric

G12b1/G12b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.   --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE
   OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS
   IN DANGER.                                      --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
   OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE
   WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE
   ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.        --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO
   OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL
   CHOICE.                                       --> G12c or G13
5. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]                         --> G12c or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001405b  G12b2/G12b2.T. #1 Ind inc cand plac on abort
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2546 - 2546
Numeric

G12b2/G12b2.T.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE
   OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS
   IN DANGER. --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
   OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE
   WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE
   ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO
   OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL
   CHOICE. --> G12c or G13
5. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12c or G13
6. DK
7. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1n

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAR 001406a G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse Cand-cetainty of plcmt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 COLUMNS: 2547 - 2547 Numeric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1. VERY CERTAIN |
| 3. PRETTY CERTAIN |
| 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN |
| 8. DK |
| 9. RF |
| 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1431</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAR 001406b G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T. #1 Ind inc Cnd-cetainty of plc MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 COLUMNS: 2548 - 2548 Numeric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VT01 INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1. VERY CERTAIN |
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(2)/G12b(2).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001407a  G12c1/G12c1.T. Rep Hse cand placmt on abortion
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2549 - 2549
Numeric

G12c1/G12c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>
(on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except
VA05; in VT01, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) and
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.      --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE
   OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS
   IN DANGER.                                           --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
   OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE
   WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE
   ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.              --> G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN
AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1h

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>655</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 46 1 763 2

=====================================================================
VAR 001407b  G12c2/G12c2.T. #2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2550 - 2550
Numeric

G12c2/G12c2.T.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT
HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE
CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except
VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE
   OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS
   IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.  --> G12b or G13

4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.  --> G12b or G13

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]  --> G12b or G13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in Dln

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001408a  G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand-certainty of plct
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2551 - 2551
Numeric

G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

===============================================

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(1)/G12c(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001408b  G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cand-certainty of plc
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2552 - 2552
Numeric

G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:
How certain are you of <VA05 IND HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(2)/G12c(2).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001409 G13. R thinks of themself as Republican or Democrat
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2553 - 2553
Numeric

G13.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat or Republican?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001410 H1. R better/worse off in last year financially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2554 - 2554
Numeric
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP H1b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP H2

8. DK --> SKIP H2
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered ' section H in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001411a  H1a. How much better off in last yer financially
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2555 - 2555
Numeric

H1a.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H2
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H2

8. DK --> SKIP H2
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001411b  H1b. How much worse off last year financially
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2556 - 2556
Numeric
IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION WORSE IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 001412  H1x. Summary of R's financial situation in last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2557 - 2557
Numeric

H1x.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK in H1 or H1a/b
9. RF in H1 or H1a/b
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW; R selected for administration in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001412a  H1x1. Pre/Post Summary of R financial situation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2558 - 2558
Numeric

H1x1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMBINED PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post. Built from Pre Elx and Post H1x

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001413  H2. Put off medical or dental treatmnt in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2559 - 2559
Numeric

H2.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------

VAR 001413a  H2x. Pre/Po: Put off medical or dental treatment
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2560 - 2560
Numeric

H2x.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

COMBINED PRE/POST: MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT POSTPONED

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post. Built from Pre E2 and Post H2.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------

VAR 001414  H3. R expects next year to be better/worse financial
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2561 - 2561
Numeric

H3.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> Skip H3b
5. THE SAME --> Skip H4

8. DK --> Skip H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001415    H3a. How much better off next year financially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2562 - 2562
Numeric

H3a.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT YEAR:

Much better off or somewhat better off?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H4

8. DK --> Skip H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001416    H3b. How much worse off next year financially
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2563 - 2563
Numeric

H3b.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT YEAR:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 001417 H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2564 - 2564
Numeric

H3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK in H3 or H3a/b
9. RF in H3 or H3a/b
0. NA; INAP, no post IW; administered in Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 001417a H3x1. Pre/Po: Financial situation in next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2565 - 2565
Numeric

H3x1.
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

**PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR**

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001418  H4. How afraid is R of assault in next year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2566 - 2566
Numeric

H4.

How afraid are you that a member of your family, or a close friend, or you yourself might be the victim of an assault during the coming year? Would you say you are very afraid, somewhat afraid, a little bit afraid, or not afraid?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

NOTE: due to an error in the application logic, this variable was administered only to cases selected for Post administration of questions H1-H3.

1. VERY AFRAID
3. SOMewhat AFRAID
5. A LITTLE BIT AFRAID
7. NOT AFRAID
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, logic error; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============= VAR 001419 J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2567 - 2567
Numeric

J1.

If you were selected to serve on a jury, would you be happy to do it or would you rather not serve?

---------------------------------------------------------------
1. HAPPY TO DO IT
5. RATHER NOT SERVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------
J2.

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your own views.

======================================== VAR 001420 J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2568 - 2568
Numeric

J2a.

ONE, the less government, the better; OR
TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]
1. THE LESS GOVERNMENT THE BETTER
2. MORE THINGS GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001421   J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrkt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2569 - 2569
Numeric

J2b.

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR
TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. NEED A STRONG GOV'T TO HANDLE COMPLEX ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
2. FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE WITHOUT GOV'T INVOLVEMENT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001422   J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2570 - 2570
Numeric

J2c.

ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR
TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]
1. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE IT'S INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD HANDLE THEMSELVES
2. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE PROBLEMS BIGGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001423    J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2571 - 2571
Numeric

J2d.

ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; OR
TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. MORE IMPT. TO BE COOPERATIVE
2. MORE IMPT. TO BE SELF-RELIANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001424    J3. # times R watched jeopardy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS: 2572 - 2573
Numeric

J3.

Now I'd like to ask you a few short questions about television viewing, because what you watch often determines what political advertising you will see. How many times in the last week have you watched "Jeopardy"?
### J4. How many times in the last week have you watched "Wheel of Fortune"?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COLUMNs:** 2574 - 2575

**VAR 001425**

| J5. How many times did you watch the morning news in the last week? |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Count | 284 | 105 | 86 | 57 | 23 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| Count | 61 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 1 |
| 95 | 96 | 98 | 99 | |
| Count | 68 | 1097 | 1 | 1 |
### J5.

How many times in the last week have you watched morning news programs such as "Today," "Good Morning America," or "The Early Show"?

---

**1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES**

- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
- 98. DON'T KNOW
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### J6.

How many times in the last week have you watched daytime television talk shows such as "Oprah Winfrey," "Rosie O'Donnell," or "Jerry Springer"?

---

**1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES**

- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
- 98. DON'T KNOW
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**VAR 001428  J7. Network news program R watches most**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2580 - 2580
Numeric

J7.

Which of the network news programs do you watch most often - "World News Tonight" on ABC, "NBC Nightly News," "The CBS Evening News," or some other network news program?

1. ABC  
2. NBC  
3. CBS  
4. WATCH NO NETWORK NEWS PROGRAM [VOL]  
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)  
6. DON'T KNOW  
7. RF  
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>394</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 001429  J8. How much can you trust the media**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2581 - 2581
Numeric

J8.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?

1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS  
2. MOST OF THE TIME  
3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME  
4. ALMOST NEVER [VOL]  
5. DK  
6. RF  
7. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are a number of programs on radio in which people call in to voice their opinions about politics. Do you ever listen to political talk radio programs of this type?

1. YES, LISTEN --> GO TO J9a
5. NO, DON'T LISTEN --> GO TO J10
8. DK --> GO TO J10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

How often do you listen to those programs -- every day, most days, once or twice a week, or only occasionally?

1. EVERYDAY
2. MOST DAYS
3. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK
4. ONLY OCCasionally

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001432  J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    COLUMNS:  2584 - 2584
    Numeric

J9b.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

Some people listen carefully to these talk radio programs while others just keep them on in the background while they do other things. How about you? When you turn on a political talk radio program, would you say you pay very close attention, fairly close attention, occasional attention, or very little attention?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CLOSE ATTENTION
2. FAIRLY CLOSE ATTENTION
3. OCCASIONAL ATTENTION
4. VERY LITTLE ATTENTION

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001433  J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    COLUMNS:  2585 - 2585
    Numeric

J10.

Do you have access to the Internet or the World Wide Web?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO   --> J11

8. DK   --> J11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001434  J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet
IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET:

Have you seen any information about this election campaign on the (Internet/Web)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

J11.

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES, DIFFERENCES</th>
<th>NO, NO DIFFERENCES</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA; INAP, no Post interview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001436a J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - DIFFERENCE
------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

=================================
VAR 001436b  J11a1(2). #1 party refnc b/w Reps/Dems
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
    COLUMNS: 2591 - 2591
    Numeric

J11a1(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - PARTY REFERENCE
------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

8. DK (998 in J11a1(1))
9. RF (999 in J11a1(1))
0. NA (995 in J11a1(1)); Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
VAR 001436c  J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
J11a2(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================

VAR 001436d   J11a2(2). #2 party refnec b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2595 - 2595
Numeric

J11a2(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### J11a3(1).

**IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:**

What are those differences?

**MENTION 3 - DIFFERENCE**

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.

Codes 001-985 and:

- 990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

---

### J11a3(2).

**IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:**

What are those differences?

**MENTION 3 - PARTY REFERENCE**

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference—some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5  6  7
-----  -----  -----
Count  245  1  163

==============================================
VAR 001436g  J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2600 - 2602
Numeric

J11a4(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:
990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================
VAR 001436h  J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2603 - 2603
Numeric

J11a4(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001436j  J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2604 - 2606
Numeric

J11a5(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

VAR 001436k  J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2607 - 2607
Numeric

J11a5(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001436m  J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2608 - 2610
Numeric

J11a6(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - DIFFERENCE

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================
VAR 001436n  J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2611 - 2611
Numeric

J11a6(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - PARTY REFERENCE

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
K1.

Some people think that certain groups have too much influence in American life and politics, while other people feel that certain groups don't have as much influence as they deserve. I am going to read you a list of groups, for each one please tell me whether that group has too much influence, just about the right amount of influence or too little influence.

VAR 001437 K1a. Whites influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2612 - 2612
Numeric

K1a.

The first group is WHITES.
Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

VAR 001438 K1b. Blacks influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2613 - 2613
Numeric
K1b.

What about BLACKS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001439  K1c. Hispanics influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2614 - 2614
Numeric

K1c.

What about HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001440  K1d. Asian-Americans influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2615 - 2615
Numeric

K1d.

What about ASIAN AMERICANS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)
1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001441    K1e. Jews influence
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2616 - 2616
Numeric

Kle.

What about JEWS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001442    K1f. Protestants influence
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2617 - 2617
Numeric

K1f.

What about PROTESTANTS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001443  K1g. Catholics influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2618 - 2618
Numeric

K1g.

What about CATHOLICS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001444  K1h. Men influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2619 - 2619
Numeric

K1h.

What about MEN?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
### K1j. Women influence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001445  K1j. Women influence  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2620 - 2620  
Numeric

And the last group is WOMEN.  
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE  
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT  
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard

VAR 001446a  K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2621 - 2621  
Numeric

K2a.

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like.

---

### K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.  
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

---

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]  
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.
1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a.E; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------------
VAR 001446b  K2a.E. Identify Trent Lott -experimental
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2622 - 2622
Numeric
K2a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------------
VAR 001447  K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2623 - 2623
Numeric
K2ax.

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

SUMMARY: TRENT LOTT OFFICE RECOGNITION
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001448 K2al.E. Identify Trent Lott - DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2624 - 2624
Numeric

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

CHECKPOINT: LOTT - PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001449a K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2625 - 2625
Numeric
If R selected for standard version of office recognition items:

William Rehnquist [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[Don't probe don't knows]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. Correctly identifies Rehnquist as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court
5. Identification is incomplete or wrong

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001449b   K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2626 - 2626
Numeric

If R selected for experimental version of office recognition items:

William Rehnquist [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[Probe don't knows with, "Well, what's your best guess?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. Correctly identifies Rehnquist as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court
5. Identification is incomplete or wrong

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001450  K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2627 - 2627
Numeric

K2bx.

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: REHNQUIST OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2b/K2b.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================================================

VAR 001451  K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2628 - 2628
Numeric

K2b1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview
IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c.E; no Post interview

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.
1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================
VAR 001453 K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2631 - 2631
Numeric

K2cx.

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: BLAIR OFFICE RECOGNITION
==============================================================================

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2c/K2c.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================
VAR 001454 K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2632 - 2632
Numeric

K2c1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001455a K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2633 - 2633
Numeric

K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d.E; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001455b K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2634 - 2634
Numeric

K2d.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1277</th>
<th>296</th>
<th>108</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001456 K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2635 - 2635
Numeric

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: RENO OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOWS] /
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2d/K2d.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>252</th>
<th>857</th>
<th>273</th>
<th>421</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001457    K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2636 - 2636
Numeric

K2d1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT:  PROBE USED?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

K3.

Next, I'd like to ask you about the candidates who ran
for President and their running mates. We're interested
in some of the things that people may have heard about
these candidates. The first candidate I'd like to ask
you about is George W. Bush.

----------------------------------------

VAR 001458    K3a. In what state does Bush live
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2637 - 2637
Numeric

K3a.

What U.S. state does George W. Bush live in now?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001459  K3a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2638 - 2638
Numeric

K3a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

-----------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001460  K3b. What is Bush's religion
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2639 - 2639
Numeric

K3b.

What is George W. Bush's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001461  K3b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2640 - 2640
Numeric

K3b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
-----------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K4.

Now take Al Gore

VAR 001462  K4a. What state is Gore from
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2641 - 2641
Numeric

K4a.

What U.S. state is Al Gore from originally?
---------------------------------------------

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Var 001463  K4a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2642 - 2642
Numeric

K4a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Var 001464  K4b. What is Gore's religion
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 2643 - 2643
Numeric

K4b.

What is Al Gore's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
VAR 001465  K4b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2644 - 2644
Numeric
K4b1.

CHECKPOINT:  PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

VAR 001466  K5a. In what state does Cheney live
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
COLUMNS:  2645 - 2645
Numeric
K5a.

What U.S. state does Dick Cheney live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count | 288 | 270 | 823 | 1 |

-----------------------------
VAR 001467   K5a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2646 - 2646
Numeric

K5a1.

CHECKPOINT:  PROBE USED?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001468   K5b. What is Cheney's religion
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
COLUMNS:  2647 - 2647
Numeric

K5b.

What is Dick Cheney's religion?
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001469  K5b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2648 - 2648
Numeric

K5b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K6.

And Joseph Lieberman.

VAR 001470  K6a. In what state does Lieberman live
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2649 - 2649
Numeric

K6a.

What U.S. state does Joseph Lieberman live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count 252    473       16       11
4        7        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  5       355      694       1

VAR 001471  K6a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2650 - 2650
Numeric

K6a1.

CHECKPOINT:  PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count 252    614      941

VAR 001472  K6b. What is Lieberman's religion
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS:  2651 - 2651
Numeric

K6b.

What is Joseph Lieberman's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count 2       18        6      1083
VAR 001473  K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
            MD:  EQ 0
            COLUMNS:  2652 - 2652
            Numeric

K6b1.

CHECKPOINT:  PROBE USED?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

      0        1        5
Count   252      319     1236

VAR 001474  K7. Volunteer work in last year
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            COLUMNS:  2653 - 2653
            Numeric

K7.

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

      0        1        5
Count   252      665      890

VAR 001475  K8. Are people trustworthy
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            COLUMNS:  2654 - 2654
            Numeric
K8.

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

1. MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
5. CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001476    K9. People take advantage or act fairly
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2655 - 2655
Numeric

K9.

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

1. TAKE ADVANTAGE
5. TRY TO BE FAIR
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001477    K10. People helpful or selfish
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2656 - 2656
Numeric

K10.

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are just looking out for themselves?

-----------------------------------
1. TRY TO BE HELPFUL
5. JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES
8. DON’T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001478 K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrm homosexu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2657 - 2657
Numeric

K11.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO K11b
8. DK --> SKIP TO K12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001479 K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2658 - 2658
Numeric

K11a.

IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?

1. FAVOR STRONGLY --> K12
5. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY --> K12
8. DK --> K12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K11
**VAR 001480  K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals**

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2659 - 2659  
Numeric

K11b.

**IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:**

Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

1. OPPOSE STRONGLY  
5. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in K11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 001481  K11x. Summary protctng homosxls against job discrim**

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2660 - 2660  
Numeric

K11x.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?  
(IF FAVOR/OPPOSE:)  
Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?/  
Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

**SUMMARY: PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION**

Bult from K11 and K11a/b

1. Favor strongly  
2. Favor not strongly  
4. Disfavor not strongly  
5. Disfavor strongly  
8. DK in K11 or K11a/b
K12/K12.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 5 of the booklet. Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other people say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. Still others say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished.

=================================================================

VAR 001482 K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2661 - 2661
Numeric

K12a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
### TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address social problems that cause crime</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do something in between</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haven't thought much about this</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**VAR 001482a**
K12a.T. R plcmnt crime -address social prbl/punish
MD1: Eq 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2662 - 2662
Numeric

**K12a.T.**

**TELEPHONE:**
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

---

**VAR 001483**
K12al.T. How much btr is approach -adress social
MD1: Eq 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2663 - 2663
Numeric

**K12al.T.**

**IF R'S OPINION IS TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are
caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

---

1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001484   K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2664 - 2664
Numeric

K12a2.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT AND PUNISHED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

---

1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001485   K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2665 - 2665
Numeric

K12a3.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS 'SOMETHING IN BETWEEN'/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:
If you had to choose, which way would you say is better
at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

---

1. ADDRESSING SOCIAL PROBLEMS  
5. MAKING SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED  
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]

8. DK  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 9 in K12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001486  
K12ax1. PH Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2666 - 2666  
Numeric

K12ax1.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?
(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?
(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

---

5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

<p>| |
|   |
|---|---|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |
|   |
|---|---|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001486a  K12ax2. Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2667 - 2667
Numeric

K12ax2.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT
==================================================================
Built from K12 and K12ax1
1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SCALE: 2/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. SCALE: 3/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. SCALE: 4/ IN-BETWEEN
5. SCALE: 5/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SCALE: 6/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. SCALE: 7/ MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 001487    K13. How worried is R about nuclear war
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2668 - 2668
Numeric

K13.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to telephone Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>947</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 001488    K14. How worried is R about conventional war
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2669 - 2669
Numeric

K14.

How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?
Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to FTF Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

K15.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform. Some people believe that we should protect government from excessive influence by campaign contributors. Others think that protecting the freedom of individuals to financially support political candidates and parties is more important.

K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2670 - 2670
Numeric

Which is closer to the way you think, or haven't you thought much about this?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K16. How should the financing of campaigns change
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2671 - 2671
Numeric
K16.

In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country: it needs to be completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it is?

1. COMPLETELY OVERHAULED
3. MAJOR CHANGES
5. MINOR CHANGES
7. FINE THE WAY IT IS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001491  L5. Worked on community issue in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2672 - 2672
Numeric

L5.

During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001492  L6. Contacted public official to express in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2673 - 2673
Numeric
L6.

During the past twelve months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L7.

During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L8.

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kinterviewanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups,
and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?

1. YES
5. NO  --> L9
8. DK  --> L9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>910</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001495  L8a. How many organizations is R currently a member
MD1: EQ 98,  MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:  2676 - 2677
Numeric

L8a.

How many organizations are you currently a member of?
                                                                
0.      NO ORGANIZATIONS           --> L9
1.      ONE ORGANIZATION           --> L8b
2-96.   ORGANIZATIONS              --> L8bb
97.     97 OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS   --> L8bb
98. DK                             --> L8bb
99. RF; NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>281</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count | 15 | 20 | 99 |
|-------|----|----|
| 1     | 1  | 1162|

-----------------------------

VAR 001496  L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  2678 - 2679
Numeric

L8b.
If R Belongs to One Organization Only:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001497  L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2680 - 2680
Numeric

L8c.

If R Belongs to One Organization Only:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence government?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001498  L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2681 - 2681
Numeric

L8d.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools?

1. YES          --> L9
5. NO           --> L9
8. DON'T KNOW   --> L9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1526</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001499  L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS:  2682 - 2683
Numeric

L8bb.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### L8cc.

**IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:**

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### L8dd.

**IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:**

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001502   L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
COLUMNS: 2686 - 2687
Numeric

L8x1.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organization(s)?

SUMMARY: NUMBER OF HOURS/WEEK WITH ORGANIZATIONS

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001503   L8x2. Summary org influence schools
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2688 - 2688
Numeric
L8x2.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence government? /
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001504   L8x3. Summary org influence government
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2689 - 2689
Numeric

L8x3.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools/
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE SCHOOLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001505   L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year
Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aside from a strike against your employer, in the past twelve months, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How many times protested/marched
IF R TAKEN PART IN PROTEST, MARCH OR DEMONSTRATION ON LOCAL ISSUE:

About how many times was that -- once, two or three times, or four times or more?

1. ONCE
2. TWO OR THREE
4. FOUR TIMES OR MORE
7. CAN'T REMEMBER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M3/M3.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 6 in the booklet.
Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:
Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

VAR 001508    M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2693 - 2693
Numeric

M3a/M3a.T.

'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
VAR 001509  M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2694 - 2694
Numeric

M3b/M3b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If blacks would try harder they could be well off.

**VAR 001510**  M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be welloff
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2695 - 2695
Numeric

M3c/M3c.T.

**FTF:**
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

**TELEPHONE:**
'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

---

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAR 001511**  M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2696 - 2696
Numeric

M3d/M3d.T.

**FTF:**
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work
their way out of the lower class.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now I'd like to ask you about your feelings toward the federal government. Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong. Do you have an opinion on this or not?

1. YES
5. NO --> N2

8. DK --> N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
VAR 001513  N1a. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2698 - 2698
Numeric

N1a.

IF R HAS AN OPINION:

What is your feeling, do you think the government is
growing too powerful or do you think the government is
not getting too strong?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. GOVERNMENT TOO POWERFUL              --> SKIP TO N1b
5. GOVERNMENT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG
7. OTHER; DEPENDS (SPECIFY)              --> SKIP TO N2
8. DK                                   --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in N1

0  1  5  8  9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count   847   622   293  38  6  1

---------------------------------------------------------------------
VAR 001514  N1a1. Should govt become more powerful
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2699 - 2699
Numeric

N1a1.

IF R THINKS GOVT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG:

Do you think the government should become more powerful
or should it stay the way it is?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. BECOME MORE POWERFUL     --> N1b
5. STAY THE WAY IT IS       --> N2
8. DK                      --> N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a

0  1  5  8
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count  1514  62  229  2
VAR 001515    N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2700 - 2700
Numeric

N1b.

IF R THINKS GOVT TOO POWERFUL IN N1a/
IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD BECOME MORE POWERFUL IN N1a1:

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a powerful government in Washington -- the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them on this?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. NO DIFFERENCE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a; 5, 8, 9 or NA in N1a1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N2/N2.T.

FTF:
Please continue looking at page 6 of the booklet. I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time and please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

VAR 001516    N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstdg of pol issues
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2701 - 2701
Numeric

N2a/N2a.T.

' I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the
important political issues facing our country.

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. AGREE STRONGLY</th>
<th>2. AGREE SOMEWHAT</th>
<th>3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE</th>
<th>4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT</th>
<th>5. DISAGREE STRONGLY</th>
<th>8. DK</th>
<th>9. RF</th>
<th>0. NA; INAP, no Post interview</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count 252</td>
<td>Count 287</td>
<td>Count 725</td>
<td>Count 200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count 4</td>
<td>Count 5</td>
<td>Count 8</td>
<td>Count 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count 221 | Count 109 | Count 11 | Count 2 |

VAR 001517 N2b/N2b.T. R well-qulfd to participate in politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2702 - 2702
Numeric

N2b/N2b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics.
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

| 1. AGREE STRONGLY | 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT | 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE | 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT | 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY | 8. DK | 9. RF |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------------|

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001518  N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2703 - 2703
Numeric

N2c/N2c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'I feel that I could do as good a job in public office
as most other people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'I feel that I could do as good a job in public office
as most other people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001519  N2d/N2d.T. Better informed about govt than most
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001520 N3. Does R believe their vote matters
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2705 - 2705
Numeric

So many other people vote in the national election that it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

This variable was added 11/10/2000.
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;
   question not implemented at time of interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1a/P1a.T.

(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Next, I'd like to ask you about equal rights.
I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read several statements about equal rights. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

================================
VAR 001521 P1a/P1a.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2706 - 2706
Numeric

P1a/P1a.T.

' Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed. ' Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
VAR 001522  P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2707 - 2707
Numeric

P1b/P1b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001523 Plc/Plc.T. We don't give everyone equal chance
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2708 - 2708
Numeric

Plc/Plc.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' One of the big problems in this country is that we
don't give everyone an equal chance. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' One of the big problems in this country is that we
don't give everyone an equal chance. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 001524 Pld/Pld.T. Better if we worried less about equality
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2709 - 2709
Numeric

Pld/Pld.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' This country would be better off if we worried less
about how equal people are. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)
' This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001525 P1e/P1e.T. OK if some people have more chances than
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2710 - 2710
Numeric

P1e/P1e.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

 TELEPHONE:
' It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
VAR 001526    P1f/P1f.T. We'd have fewr probs if people treated eq
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2711 - 2711
Numeric

P1f/P1f.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
Q1a/Q1a.T.

FTF:
(Again looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these statements about the government. The first is:

PUBLIC OFFICIALS DON'T CARE

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q1b/Q1b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================================================
VAR 001529 Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2714 - 2714
Numeric

Q1c/Q1c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

======================================================================
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2/Q2.T.

FTF:
(Still on page 6)
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is.

TELEPHONE:
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is.

=================================
VAR 001530    Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2715 - 2715
Numeric

Q2a/Q2a.T.

'The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------
VAR 001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2716 - 2716
Numeric

Q2b/Q2b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

-----------------------------------------------
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2717 - 2717
Numeric

Q2c/Q2c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' This country would have many fewer problems if there 
were more emphasis on traditional family ties. ' 
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor 
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with 
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' This country would have many fewer problems if there 
were more emphasis on traditional family ties. ' 
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor 
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with 
this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2718 - 2718
Numeric

Q2d/Q2d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live 
according to their own moral standards, even if they are 
very different from our own. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. ' 
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. AGREE STRONGLY</th>
<th>2. AGREE SOMEWHAT</th>
<th>3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE</th>
<th>4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT</th>
<th>5. DISAGREE STRONGLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q3/Q3.T.

FTF:
(Not using the booklet)
People have different ideas about the government in Washington.
These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

TELEPHONE:
People have different ideas about the government in Washington.
These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

VAR 001534     Q3a. How much can govt be trusted
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2719 - 2719
Numeric
Q3a.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- just about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?

1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
4. NEVER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste

1. WASTE A LOT
3. WASTE SOME
5. DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q5. Govt run by big intersts or for benefit of all
Q5.

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

1. GOV'T RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
5. GOV'T RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6. How many in govt are crooked

1. QUITE A FEW ARE CROOKED
3. NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED
5. HARDLY ANY ARE CROOKED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q7. Elections make govt pay attention

VAR 001537 Q6. How many in govt are crooked
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2722 - 2722
Numeric

VAR 001538 Q7. Elections make govt pay attention
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2723 - 2723
Numeric
Q7.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- good deal, some, or not much?

1. A GOOD DEAL
3. SOME
5. NOT MUCH

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q8.

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?

1. A GOOD DEAL
3. SOME
5. NOT MUCH

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R1/R1.T.

FTF: Looking at page 7 of the booklet, here is a list of groups. Please read over the list and tell me the number of those groups you feel particularly close to -- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of groups. For each, please
tell me if it is a group you feel particularly close to
-- people who are most like you in their ideas and
interests and feelings about things.

-----------------------------
VAR 001540  R1a. Close to whites FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2725 - 2725
Numeric

R1a.

FTF:
WHITES

CLOSE TO GROUP - WHITES
-----------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

  0     1     5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1112  463  232

-----------------------------
VAR 001540a  R1a.T. Close to whites phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2726 - 2726
Numeric

R1a.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to
whites?
-----------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
VAR 001541  R1ax. Summary close to whites
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2727 - 2727
Numeric

R1ax.

FTF: WHITES

TELEPHONE:
What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to whites?

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO WHITES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

VAR 001542  R1b. Close to poor people FTF
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2728 - 2728
Numeric

R1b.

FTF:
POOR PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - POOR PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
### Table 1: Rlb.T. Close to poor people phone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**VAR 001542a  Rlb.T. Close to poor people phone**

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2729 - 2729  
Numeric

**Rlb.T.**

**TELEPHONE:**

(What about) poor people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes  
5. No  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 2: Rlbx. Summary close to poor people

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**VAR 001543  Rlbx. Summary close to poor people**

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2730 - 2730  
Numeric

**Rlbx.**

**FTF: POOR PEOPLE**

**TELEPHONE:**

(What about) poor people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

**SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO POOR PEOPLE**

---

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes  
5. Not mentioned by R/ No  
8. DK (phone only)  
9. RF (phone only)  
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW
### VAR 001544  R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF
**MD:** EQ 0  
**COLUMNS:** 2731 - 2731  
**Numeric**

R1c.

**FTF:**
ASIAN AMERICANS
CLOSE TO GROUP - ASIAN AMERICANS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R  
5. Not mentioned by R  
0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001544a  R1c.T. Close to Asian americans phone
**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8  
**COLUMNS:** 2732 - 2732  
**Numeric**

R1c.T.

**TELEPHONE:**
(What about) Asian Americans  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes  
5. No  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
VAR 001545  R1cx. Summary close to Asian Americans
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2733 - 2733
Numeric

R1cx.

FTF: ASIAN AMERICANS

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Asian Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY - R FEELS CLOSE TO ASIAN AMERICANS

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

0        1        5        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count     253      732      790       20       12

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
VAR 001546a  R1d.T. Close to liberals phone
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  COLUMNS: 2735 - 2735
  Numeric

R1d.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) liberals
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

VAR 001547  R1dx. Summary close to liberals
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  COLUMNS: 2736 - 2736
  Numeric

R1dx.

FTF: LIBERALS

TELEPHONE:
(What about) liberals
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO LIBERALS

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001548 R1e. Close to elderly FTF
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2737 - 2737
Numeric

R1e.

FTF:  THE ELDERLY
CLOSE TO GROUP - THE ELDERLY

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001548a R1e.T. Close to elderly phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2738 - 2738
Numeric

R1e.T.

TELEPHONE:  (What about)  the elderly
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001549  R1ex. Summary close to elderly
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2739 - 2739
Numeric

R1ex.

FTF: THE ELDERLY

TELEPHONE:
(What about)  the elderly
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO THE ELDERLY

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001550  R1f. Close to blacks FTF
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2740 - 2740
Numeric

R1f.

FTF:
BLACKS

CLOSE TO GROUP - BLACKS

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 001550a  Rlf.T. Close to blacks phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2741 - 2741
Numeric

Rlf.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) blacks
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================

VAR 001551  Rlfx. Summary close to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2742 - 2742
Numeric

Rlfx.

FTF: BLACKS

TELEPHONE:
(What about) blacks
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO BLACKS

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001552 R1g. Close to labor unions FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2743 - 2743
Numeric

R1g.

FTF:
LABOR UNIONS
CLOSE TO GROUP - LABOR UNIONS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001552a R1g.T. Close to labor unions phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2744 - 2744
Numeric

R1g.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about labor unions
Do you feel particularly close to them?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001553 R1gx. Summary close to labor unions
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2745 - 2745
Numeric

R1gx.

FTF: LABOR UNIONS

TELEPHONE:
(What about) labor unions
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO LABOR UNIONS

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001554 R1h. Close to feminists FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2746 - 2746
Numeric

R1h.

FTF:
FEMINISTS

CLOSE TO GROUP - FEMINISTS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001554a  R1h.T. Close to feminists phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2747 - 2747
Numeric

R1h.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) feminists
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001555  R1hx. Summary close to feminists
COLUMNS: 2748 - 2748
Numeric

R1hx.

FTF: FEMINISTS

TELEPHONE:
(What about) feminists
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO FEMINISTS

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================================================================

VAR 001556  R1j. Close to southerners FTF
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2749 - 2749
Numeric

R1j.

FTF:
SOUTHERNERS
CLOSE TO GROUP - SOUTHERNERS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================================================================

VAR 001556a R1j.T. Close to southerners phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
COLUMNS:  2750 - 2750
Numeric

R1j.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) southerners
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001557 R1jx. Summary close to southerners
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2751 - 2751
Numeric

R1jx.

FTF: SOUTHERNERS

TELEPHONE:
(What about) southerners
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO SOUTHERNERS

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001558 R1k. Close to business people FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2752 - 2752
Numeric

R1k.

FTF:
BUSINESS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - BUSINESS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001558a  R1k.T. Close to business people phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2753 - 2753
Numeric

R1k.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) business people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================

VAR 001559  R1kx. Summary close to business people
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2754 - 2754
Numeric

R1kx.

FTF: BUSINESS PEOPLE

TELEPHONE:
(What about) business people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO BUSINESS PEOPLE

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------

VAR 001560 Rlm. Close to young people FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2755 - 2755
Numeric

Rlm.

FTF:
YOUNG PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - YOUNG PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------

VAR 001560a Rlm.T. Close to young people phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2756 - 2756
Numeric

Rlm.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) young people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

VAR 001561  R1mx. Summary close to young people
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2757 - 2757
Numeric

R1mx.

FTF: YOUNG PEOPLE

TELEPHONE:
(What about)  young people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO YOUNG PEOPLE
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

VAR 001562  R1n. Close to conservatives FTF
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2758 - 2758
Numeric

R1n.

FTF:
CONSERVATIVES

CLOSE TO GROUP - CONSERVATIVES
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001562a  Rln.T. Close to conservatives phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2759 - 2759
Numeric

Rln.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) conservatives
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

------------------------------
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001563  Rlnx. Summary close to conservatives
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2760 - 2760
Numeric

Rlnx.

FTF: CONSERVATIVES

TELEPHONE:
(What about) conservatives
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO CONSERVATIVES

------------------------------
1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>253</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001564  Rlp. Close to Hispanic-Americans FTF
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2761 - 2761
Numeric

Rlp.

FTF:
HISPANIC-AMERICANS
CLOSE TO GROUP - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001564a  Rlp.T. Close to Hispanic-Americans ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2762 - 2762
Numeric

Rlp.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Hispanic-Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001565   R1px. Summary close to Hispanic-Americans
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2763 - 2763
Numeric

R1px.

FTF: HISPANIC AMERICANS

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Hispanic-Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO HISPANIC-AMERICANS

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No

8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001566   R1q. Close to women FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2764 - 2764
Numeric

R1q.

FTF: WOMEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - WOMEN

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No

8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 001568  R1r. Close to working-class FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2767 - 2767
Numeric

R1r.

FTF:
WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE
CLOSE TO PEOPLE - WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 001568a  R1r.T. Close to working-class phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2768 - 2768
Numeric

R1r.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) working-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001569  R1rx. Summary close to working-class
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2769 - 2769
Numeric

R1rx.

FTF: WORKING CLASS PEOPLE

TELEPHONE:
(What about) working-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No

8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001570  R1s. Close to middle-class FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2770 - 2770
Numeric

R1s.

FTF:
MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001570a  R1s.T. Close to middle-class phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2771 - 2771
Numeric

R1s.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) middle-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

----------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001571  R1sx. Summary close to middle-class
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2772 - 2772
Numeric

R1sx.

FTF: MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE

TELEPHONE:
(What about) middle-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No

8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

---

VAR 001572 R1t. Close to men FTF
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2773 - 2773
Numeric

R1t.

FTF:
MEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - MEN

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001572a R1t.T. Close to men phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2774 - 2774
Numeric

R1t.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about) men
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

---

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001573  R1tx. Summary close to men
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2775 - 2775
Numeric

R1tx.

FTF: MEN

TELEPHONE:
What about) men
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

SUMMARY: R FEELS CLOSE TO MEN

1. Mentioned by R/ Yes
5. Not mentioned by R/ No
8. DK (phone only)
9. RF (phone only)
0. NA (phone); INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R2/R2.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 8 of the booklet.
Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated.
In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.
Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. Imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first question a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

VAR 001574 R2a/R2a.T. Hardworking- whites
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2776 - 2776
Numeric

R2a/R2a.T.

FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites in general on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

HARDWORKING RATING - WHITES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

1. HARDWORKING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
R2b/R2b.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7?
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4
indicates most blacks are not closer to one end
or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - BLACKS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001576 R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2778 - 2778
Numeric
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate:
Hispanic Americans on a scale of 1 to 7?
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001577  R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2779 - 2779
Numeric

------------
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R3/R3.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 9 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "intelligent" or "unintelligent."

TELEPHONE:
The next set of questions ask about intelligence. Again, please imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "intelligent." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "unintelligent." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer
to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

| Count | 79 | 39 | 23 | 64 | 28 |

VD

-------------------------------
VAR 001578  R3a/R3a.T. Intelligence- whites
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2780 - 2780
Numeric
R3a/R3a.T.

FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

INTELLIGENT RATING - WHITES

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001579  R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2781 - 2781
Numeric
R3b/R3b.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet, Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - BLACKS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================
VAR 001580 R3c/R3c.T. Intelligence- Hispanic-Americ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2782 - 2782
Numeric

R3c/R3c.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet, Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1
to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================================================================
VAR 001581    R3d/R3d.T. Intelligence- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2783 - 2783
Numeric

R3d/R3d.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate:
Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R4/R4.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 10 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "trustworthy" or "untrustworthy."

TELEPHONE:
Thinking about trustworthiness as a general group characteristic, please imagine a seven point scale again. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be trustworthy. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are untrustworthy. A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

=================================
VAR 001582 R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2784 - 2784
Numeric
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - WHITES
-----------------------------------------------
1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001584  R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2786 - 2786
Numeric

R4c/R4c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================
VAR 001585  R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2787 - 2787
Numeric

R4d/R4d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.

4.

5.

6.

7. UNTRUSTWORTHY

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------------------

R5.

Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities.

-----------------------------

VAR 001586    R5a. Independence or respect for elders
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2788 - 2788
Numeric

R5a.

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:
INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS

--------------------------------------------

1. INDEPENDENCE

3. BOTH [VOL] 

5. RESPECT FOR ELDERS

7. NEITHER [VOL] 

8. DK 

9. RF 

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### VAR 001587 R5b. Obedience or self-reliance

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2789 - 2789  
Numeric

**R5b.**

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)

**OBEDIENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001588 R5c. Curiosity or good manners

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS: 2790 - 2790  
Numeric

**R5c.**

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)

**CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
R5d. Considerate or well behaved

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
BEING CONSIDERATE OR WELL BEHAVED

1. BEING CONSIDERATE
3. BOTH
5. WELL BEHAVED
7. NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S0.

Now we'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton.

S1. Budget deficit lar/smal since 1992

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office. First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER
2. GOTTEN LARGER
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
4. DK
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001591  S1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2793 - 2793
Numeric

S1a.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT SMALLER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

1. MUCH SMALLER
2. SOMEWHAT SMALLER
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001591a  S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2794 - 2794
Numeric

S1b.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT LARGER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from S1 and S2a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much stronger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

---------------------------
VAR 001592a  S1x1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2796 - 2796
Numeric
S1x1.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

COMB SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

---

Built from Pre Q1s and Post S1x.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much stronger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001593  S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2797 - 2797
Numeric

S2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME  --> SKIP TO S3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO S3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001594  S2a. Spend poor a lot/somewhat more/less
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2798 - 2798
Numeric

S2a.

IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR INCREASED SINCE 1992/
IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR DECREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?

1. A LOT
5. SOMEWHAT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1357</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001595  S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2799 - 2799
Numeric

S2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from S2 and S2a.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 001595a S2x1. Summary pre & post - spending on poor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2800 - 2800
Numeric

S2x1.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?
A little or a lot?

COMB. SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
==============================================

Built from Pre Q2x and Post S2x.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
VAR 001596 S3. Economy better/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2801 - 2801
Numeric
S3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
2. WORSE --> SKIP TO S3b
3. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S4
4. DK --> SKIP TO S4
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S3a.

IF U.S. ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S4
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S4
3. DK --> SKIP TO S4
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S3b.

VAR 001598 S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2803 - 2803
Numeric
S3b.

IF U.S. ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================================

VAR 001599 S3x. Summary - economy since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2804-2804
Numeric

S3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from S3, S3a, S3b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S3x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better or somewhat better? (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q3x and Post S3x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO S4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO S5
8. DK --> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001601 S4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2807 - 2807
Numeric

S4a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001602 S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2808 - 2808
Numeric

S4b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001603  S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2809 - 2809
Numeric

S4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from S4, S4a, S4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001603a  S4x1. Summary pre & post - Clinton made econ
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2810 - 2810
Numeric

S4x1.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?
COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from Pre Q4x and Post S4x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------------------------
VAR 001604 S5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2811 - 2811
Numeric

S5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001604a  S5x. Clinton admin hurt/help R economically?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2812 - 2812
Numeric  

S5x.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q5 and Post S5.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001605  S6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2813 - 2813
Numeric  

S6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE  --> SKIP TO S6b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO S7

8. DK --> SKIP TO S7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001606 S6a. U.S. much/somewhat more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2814 - 2814
Numeric

S6a.

IF U.S. MORE SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001607 S6b. U.S. much/somewhat less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2815 - 2815
Numeric

S6b.

IF U.S LESS SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? (Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure? (Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S6x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? (Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure? (Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>277</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001609 S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2818 - 2818
Numeric

S7.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

---

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO S7b
5. NO CHANGE   --> SKIP TO S8

8. DK          --> SKIP TO S8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001610 S7a. Clinton made much/smwhet more secure
**S7a.**

**IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:**

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUCH MORE SECURE</td>
<td>1622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**S7b.**

**IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:**

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUCH LESS SECURE</td>
<td>1609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**S7x.**

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from S7, S7a, S7b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------
VAR 001612a  S7x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on secur
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2822 - 2822
Numeric

S7x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Pre Q7x and Post S7x.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001613  S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2823 - 2823
Numeric

S8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE  --> SKIP TO S8b
5. THE SAME  --> SKIP TO S9
8. DK  --> SKIP TO S9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001614  S8a. U.S. crime rate much/smwh better
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2824 - 2824
Numeric

S8a.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?
1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP TO S9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP TO S9
8. DK                --> SKIP TO S9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VALIDATION SUMMARY:

VAR 001615  S8b. U.S. crime rate much/smwh better worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2825 - 2825
Numeric

S8b.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1587</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VALIDATION SUMMARY:

VAR 001616  S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2826 - 2826
Numeric

S8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from S8, S8a, S8b.

1. Much better
Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same? (Would you say) much better or somewhat better? (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q8x and Post S8x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S9.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S10
8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S9a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
5. SOMewhat BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S9b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S.S CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MUCH WORSE</th>
<th>SOMEWHAT WORSE</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S9x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from S9, S9a, S9b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
### VAR 001620a  S9x1.Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001620a  S9x1.Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

- Much better or somewhat better/
- Much worse or somewhat worse?
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

### COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Pre Q9x and Post S9x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001621  S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001621  S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92

Would you say that the nation's moral climate has improved since 1992?

- Very much better or somewhat better?
- Much better or somewhat better?
- No difference?
- Much worse or somewhat worse?
- Very much worse or somewhat worse?

- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

S10.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S11

8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001622 S10a. Moral climate much/smwht better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2834 - 2834
Numeric

S10a.

IF U.S MORAL CLIMATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S11

8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

0 | 1 | 5
---|---|---
Count | 1749 | 11 | 47 |

-----------------------------
VAR 001623 S10b. Moral climate much/smwht worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2835 - 2835
Numeric

S10b.
IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from S10, S10a, S10b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 1 2 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse? (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/ (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q10x and Post S10x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001625  S11. Clinton made moral climate better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2838 - 2838
Numeric

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --&gt; SKIP TO S11b
5. NO DIFFERENCE  --> SKIP TO S14

8. DK  --> SKIP TO S14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001626  S11a. Clinton made moral climate better
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2839 - 2839
Numeric

S11a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER  --> SKIP TO S14
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER  --> SKIP TO S14

8. DK  --> SKIP TO S14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001627  S11b. Clinton made moral climate worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2840 - 2840
Numeric

S11b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11
### S11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much better</td>
<td>1471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat better</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No difference</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat worse</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much worse</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from S11, S11a, S11b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

---

### S11x1.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much better</td>
<td>1016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat better</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No difference</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat worse</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much worse</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### S11x1.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Much better</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat better</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No difference</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat worse</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### VAR 001628a  S11x1.Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on moral climate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2842 - 2842

Numeric

---

### VAR 001628  S11x. Summ-Clinton impact on moral climate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

COLUMNS: 2841 - 2841

Numeric

---
COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Pre Q11x and Post S11x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S14.

Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done -- ever made you feel:

=============
VAR 001629    S14a. Clinton makes R angry
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2843 - 2843
Numeric

S14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

ANGRY

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK  --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001629a  S14ax. Summ pre & post Clinton - angry
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2844 - 2844
Numeric

S14ax.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
ANGRY

COMB.PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - ANGRY

-----------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q14a and Post S14a.

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================

VAR 001630  S14a1. How often Clinton makes R angry
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2845 - 2845
Numeric

S14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:
How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN  
2. FAIRLY OFTEN  
3. OCCASIONALLY  
4. RARELY  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1337</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S14alx.

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTEN AFFECT - ANGRY

Built from Pre Q14al and Post S14al.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is)
or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:) HOPEFUL

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================
VAR 001631a S14bx. Summ pre & post Clinton -hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2848 - 2848
Numeric

S14bx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:) HOPEFUL

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL
=====================================================================

Built from Pre Q14b and Post S14b.

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
### S14b1. How often Clinton makes R hopeful

- **MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8
- **COLUMNS:** 2849 - 2849
- **Numeric**

**S14b1.**

**IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:**

> How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1447</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### S14b1x. Summ pre & post - how often hopeful

- **MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8
- **COLUMNS:** 2850 - 2850
- **Numeric**

**S14b1x.**

**How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?**

**COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL**

**Built from Pre Q14b1 and Post S14b1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001633  S14c. Clinton makes R afraid  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMN: 2851 - 2851  
Numeric  

S14c.  

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)  AFRAID  

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.  

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES  
5. NO  -- SKIP to next affect  
8. DK  -- SKIP to next affect  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001633a  S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMN: 2852 - 2852  
Numeric  

S14cx.  

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

AFRAID

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c and Post S14c.

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001634 S15c1. How often Clinton makes R afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2853 - 2853
Numeric

S14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S14c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001634a S14clx. Summ pre & post - how often afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2854 - 2854
Numeric

S14clx.
How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================

VAR 001635  S14d. Clinton makes R proud
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2855 - 2855
Numeric

S14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

PROUD

=================================

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================

VAR 001635a  S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2856 - 2856
Numeric

S14dx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d and Post S14d.

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================

VAR 001636  S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2857 - 2857
Numeric

S14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1499</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001636a  S14dlx. Summ pre & post - how often proud
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2858 - 2858
Numeric

S14dlx.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d1 and Post S14d1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

S15/S15.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe Bill Clinton. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes him extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all.

---

VAR 001637  S15a. Clinton trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
VAR 001637a   S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2860 - 2860
Numeric
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - MORAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q15a and Post S15a.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>807</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========================================
VAR 001638  S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2861 - 2861
Numeric

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001638a  S15bx. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait-cares
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2862 - 2862
Numeric

S15bx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - CARES

Built from Pre Q15b and Post S15b.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
$S15cx$. Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

Built from Pre Q15c and Post S15c.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$S15d$. Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001640a  S15dx. Summ pre & post-Clinton leadership
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2866 - 2866
Numeric

S15dx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - LEADERSHIP
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q15d and Post S15d.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================
VAR 001641   S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2867 - 2867  
Numeric

S15e.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - DISHONEST

Built from Pre Q15e and Post S15e.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?)

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001642a   S15fx. Summ pre & post-Clinton-intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2870 - 2870
Numeric

S15fx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?)

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

Built from Pre Q15f and Post S15f.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
VAR 001643 S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2871 - 2871
Numeric

S15g.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001643a  S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2872 - 2872
Numeric

S15gx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH

---------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q15g and Post S15g.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 4 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
| Count | 324 | 49 | 1 |

==============================================
VAR 001644  T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2873 - 2873
Numeric

T1.

Did you watch a televised presidential debate between Al Gore and George W. Bush?

---------------------------------------------
1. YES, WATCHED --> SKIP TO T1a
5. NO, DIDN'T WATCH --> SKIP TO T2
8. DK --> SKIP TO T2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001645  T1a. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2874 - 2874
Numeric

T1a.

IF R WATCHED PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE:

Did you watch an entire debate or just part of it?

1. WATCHED ENTIRE DEBATE
5. JUST PART OF IT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001646  T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2875 - 2875
Numeric

T2.

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio?

1. YES --> SKIP TO T2a
5. NO --> SKIP TO T3
8. DK --> SKIP TO T3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
VAR 001647  T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches & diss
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2876 - 2876
Numeric

T2a.

IF R LISTENED TO RADIO CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS:

Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or just one or two?

| 1. A GOOD MANY |
| 3. SEVERAL    |
| 5. JUST ONE OR TWO |
| 8. DK         |
| 9. RF         |
| 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T2 |

Count: 255  614  937  1

VAR 001648  T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2877 - 2877
Numeric

T3/T3.T.

FTF:
Looking at page 12 in the booklet:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

| 1. A GREAT DEAL |
| 2. QUITE A BIT |
| 3. SOME        |
| 4. VERY LITTLE |
| 5. NONE        |
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001649   T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2878 - 2878
Numeric

T4/T4.T.

FTF:
(Still on page 12 in the booklet)
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>481</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
VAR 001650   T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party
Looking at page 13 of the booklet:
Here is a question about political parties.
Which of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen? You can just tell me the number of the outcome you choose.

TELEPHONE:
Which one of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen?
ONE, a continuation of the two party system of Democrats and Republicans.
TWO, elections in which candidates run as individuals without party labels or
THREE, the growth of one or more parties that could effectively challenge the Democrats and Republicans?

1. ...A CONTINUATION OF THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?
2. ...ELECTIONS IN WHICH CANDIDATES RUN AS INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT PARTY LABELS?
3. ...THE GROWTH OF ONE OR MORE PARTIES THAT COULD EFFECTIVELY CHALLENGE THE DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------
VAR 001651   T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2880 - 2880
Numeric

T6.

On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.
1. SATISFIED
2. FAIRLY SATISFIED
3. NOT VERY SATISFIED
4. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview administered before question added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================================
| VAR 001652 | V1. Bush effect on US economy |
| MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
| COLUMNS: 2881 - 2881 |
| Numeric |

V1.

As you will remember, former President George Bush (George W. Bush's father) was elected in November 1988. The next several questions ask you to think about his four years as President. Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE ---> SKIP TO V1b
5. NO EFFECT ---> SKIP TO V2
7. DK ANYTHING ABOUT BUSH SR. [VOL.] --> SKIP TO Y5

8. DK ---> SKIP TO V2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================================
| VAR 001653 | V1a. How much better Bush made economy |
| MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 |
| COLUMNS: 2882 - 2882 |
| Numeric |

V1a.
IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP TO V2
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP TO V2
8. DK                --> SKIP TO V2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001654  Vlb. How much worse Bush made economy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2883 - 2883
Numeric

Vlb.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001655  Vlx. Summary: Bush effect on economy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2884 - 2884
Numeric

Vlx.

Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?
SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON 1988-1992 ECONOMY

Built from V1, V1a, V1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001656 V2. Bush made US more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2885
Numeric

V2.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO V2b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V3
8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001657 V2a. Bush made US how much more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2886
Numeric
V2a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

---

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3

8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V2b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

---

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V2x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001660  V3. Bush effect on US crime rate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2889 - 2889
Numeric

V3.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

1. BETTER
3. WORSE   --> SKIP TO V3b
5. NO DIFFERENCE  --> SKIP TO V4

8. DK   --> SKIP TO V4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V3a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

---

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V4

8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

0 1 5
----- ----- -----
Count 1610 55 142

VAR 001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2891 - 2891
Numeric

V3b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

---

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

0 1 5
----- ----- -----
Count 1689 39 79

VAR 001663 V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2892 - 2892
Numeric

V3x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure/?
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?


Built from V3, V3a, V3b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001664 V4. Bush effect on moral climate
  MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
  COLUMNS: 2893 - 2893
  Numeric

V4.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

-----------------------------------------------
1. BETTER
3. WORSE  --> SKIP TO V4b
5. NO DIFFERENCE  --> SKIP TO V5
8. DK  --> SKIP TO V5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001665 V4a. Bush moral climate how much better
  MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
  COLUMNS: 2894 - 2894
  Numeric
**V4a.**

**IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:**

Much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUCH BETTER</td>
<td>1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMEWHAT BETTER</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse**

```
VAR 001666  V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2895 - 2895
Numeric
```

**V4b.**

**IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:**

Much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUCH WORSE</td>
<td>1754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMEWHAT WORSE</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate**

```
VAR 001667  V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2896 - 2896
Numeric
```

**V4x.**

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON MORAL CLIMATE 1988-1992

Bult from V4, V4a, V4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>476</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V5/V5.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush.
For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.
(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush.
For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.
(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

V5a. Bush trait - moral
VAR 001668
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2897 - 2897
Numeric
V5a.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

0        1        2        3        4        8
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    476      459      689      112       21       50  

===============================================
VAR 001669 V5b. Bush trait - cares about people
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2898 - 2898
Numeric

V5b.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V5c. Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>" describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

--------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V5f. Bush trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2902 - 2902
Numeric

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])
INTELLIGENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001674 V5g. Bush trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2903 - 2903
Numeric

V5g.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y4.

Now, on another topic..

==================================================
VAR 001675  Y5. Is R currently working
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2904 - 2904
Numeric

Y5.

Are you currently working?

1. YES   --> Y7
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================================
VAR 001676a  Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2905 - 2905
Numeric

Y6a.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED       --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED           --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER         --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT           --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001676b   Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2906 - 2906
Numeric

Y6b.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]
8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001676c   Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2907 - 2907
Numeric

Y6c.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a
homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 3

=================================================================
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED
AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT
INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1804</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001677 Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2908 - 2908
Numeric
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

1. VERY SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. VERY DISSATISFIED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y7a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5. OR BY YOURSELF
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y8a.

Y8.
IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
6. R INSISTS [VOL]: NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> 21

7. DON'T KNOW
8. RF
9. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001680  Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2911 - 2911
Numeric

Y8a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

6. DON'T KNOW
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8
VAR 001681  Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2912 - 2912
Numeric

Y8b.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

VAR 001682  Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2913 - 2913
Numeric

Y8c.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
1. EXTREMELY WELL  --> Z1
2. QUITE WELL       --> Z1
3. NOT TOO WELL     --> Z1
4. NOT WELL AT ALL  --> Z1
5. 8. DON'T KNOW   --> Z1
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

          0    1    2    3
Count    798  248  626  102
          4    8    9
Count     23   8   2

==============================
VAR 001683  Y8d. WRKS: Racial diversity of Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2914 - 2914
Numeric

Y8d.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Thinking about the diversity of your workplace, are the people who work where you work all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

1. ALL WHITE
2. MOSTLY WHITE
3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
4. MOSTLY BLACK
5. ALL BLACK
6. R VOLUNTEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
7. 8. DON'T KNOW
8. RF
9. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

          0    1    2    3    4
Count    798  208  463  187   37
          5    7    8    9
Count     6   102   5    1

==============================
VAR 001684  Y10. Laid off: Does R spend days alone/with others
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5. OR BY YOURSELF
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001686  Y11a. LOff: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2917 - 2917
Numeric

Y11a.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of
the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker
or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001687  Y11b. LOff: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2918 - 2918
Numeric

Y11b.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at
work) Would you say that they treat others with respect
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time,
hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001688  Y11c. LOFF: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2919 - 2919
Numeric

Y11c.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

-----------------------------------------------

1. EXTREMELY WELL    --> Z1
2. QUITE WELL        --> Z1
3. NOT TOO WELL      --> Z1
4. NOT WELL AT ALL   --> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW        --> Z1
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001689  Y15. Retired:Does R spend days alone/with others
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2920 - 2920
Numeric

Y15.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

-----------------------------------------------

1  WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5  OR BY YOURSELF
8  DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001690  Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2921 - 2921
Numeric

Y16.

IF R IS RETIRED:

For these next few questions please continue thinking about your last job. I'm going to ask you about the people you saw regularly at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION  --> Z1

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

VAR 001691  Y16a. RET:Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2922 - 2922
Numeric

Y16a.

IF R IS RETIRED:
Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?  

1. ALL OF THE TIME 
2. MOST OF THE TIME 
3. SOME OF THE TIME 
4. HARDLY EVER 
5. NEVER 

8. DON'T KNOW 
9. RF 
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y16b. RET: Were Rs coworkers respectful 

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 
COLUMNS: 2923 - 2923 
Numeric 

IF R IS RETIRED: 

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?  

1. ALL OF THE TIME 
2. MOST OF THE TIME 
3. SOME OF THE TIME 
4. HARDLY EVER 
5. NEVER 

8. DON'T KNOW 
9. RF 
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001693  Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2924 - 2924
Numeric

Y16c.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest described the people you
worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
   7 in Y16

Count  1536       92      150       20        5        4

VAR 001694  Y17x. Summary: R work with others
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2925 - 2925
Numeric

Y17x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

In your current position, do you spend time during the
day with other people or are you pretty much by
yourself?/
When you are working, do you spend time during the day
with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/
Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during
the day with other people or were you pretty much by
yourself?

SUMMARY: R WORK WITH OTHERS
1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5. OR BY YOURSELF

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001695  Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2926 - 2926
Numeric

Y18x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS LOOK OUT FOR SELVES

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001696 Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2927 - 2927
Numeric

Y18ax.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time,
most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or
never?/
Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of
the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
Would you say those people you saw regularly at work
tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most
of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TAKE ADVANTAGE

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001697 Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2928 - 2928
Numeric

Y18bx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TREAT OTHERS WITH RESPECT

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
   no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================
VAR 001698   Y18cx. Summary: co-workers honest
MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2929 - 2929
Numeric

Y18cx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/
Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/
Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS HONEST

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now let's shift our attention to another area. From time to time, people discuss government, elections and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you about the people with whom you discuss these matters. These people might or might not be relatives. Can you think of anyone?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 1

1. YES
5. NO --> Z25
8. DK -->Z25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Z3.

Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 2

1. YES
5. NO --> Z9

8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z5.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 3

1. YES
5. NO --> Z9

8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>946</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z7.
Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 4

1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

Count 1257 327 223

VAR 001703 Z9. Is name 1 relative
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2934 - 2934
Numeric

Z9.

Is <NAME 1> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z10
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z9a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z9a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

Count 655 263 266 619 2 2

VAR 001704 Z9a. Is name 1 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2935 - 2935
Numeric

Z9a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 1> male or female?

1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001705    Z9b. Is name 1 coworker
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2936 - 2936
Numeric

Z9b.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 1> a co-worker?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001706    Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2937 - 2937
Numeric

Z9c.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 1> go to the same place of worship?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Z9d.

**VAR 001707**  
Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2938 - 2938  
Numeric

**VAR 001708**  
Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2939 - 2939  
Numeric

---

**Z9d.**

**IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:**

Does <NAME 1> live in your neighborhood?

```
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Z10.**

When you talk with <NAME 1>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

```
1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY
7. NEVER
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001709    Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2940 - 2940
Numeric

Z11.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 1> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001710    Z12. How name 1 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2941 - 2942
Numeric

Z12.

I have another question about the first person you have named. How do you think <NAME 1> voted in the election? Do you think he/she voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think <NAME 1> didn't vote?

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### VAR 001711  Z13. Is name 2 relative

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2943 - 2943  
Numeric

**Z13.**  

Is <NAME 2> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?  

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)  --> SKIP TO Z14  
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR  --> SKIP TO Z13a  
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z13a  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VAR 001712  Z13a. Is name 2 male or female

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2944 - 2944  
Numeric

**Z13a.**  

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 2> male or female?  

1. MALE  --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14  
5. FEMALE  --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Z13b.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 2> a co-worker?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z13c.

VAR 001714  Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2946 - 2946
Numeric

Z13c.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 2> go to the same place of worship?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z13d.

VAR 001715  Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2947 - 2947
Numeric

Z13d.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 2> live in your neighborhood?
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001716  Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2948 - 2948
Numeric

Z14.

When you talk with <NAME 2>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. OFTEN  3. SOMETIMES  5. RARELY  7. NEVER
8. DK  9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
VAR 001717  Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2949 - 2949
Numeric

Z15.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 2> knows about politics? Would you say:

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL  3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR  5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE  9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3
Z16. How name 2 voted in election

Do you think <NAME 2> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

Z17. Is name 3 relative

Is <NAME 3> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z18
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z17a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z17a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 001720   Z17a. Is name 3 male or female  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2953 - 2953  
Numeric

Z17a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 3> male or female?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MALE      --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
5. FEMALE    --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 001721   Z17b. Is name 3 coworker
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
COLUMNS:  2954 - 2954  
Numeric

Z17b.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 3> a co-worker?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
VAR 001722   Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with R
Z17c.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 3> go to the same place of worship?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z17d.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 3> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3
When you talk with <NAME 3>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY, OR
7. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001725    Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2958 - 2958
Numeric

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 3> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001726    Z20. How name 3 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2959 - 2960
Numeric

Do you think <NAME 3> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't vote?)
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY) [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001727    Z21. Is name 4 relative
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2961 - 2961
Numeric

Z21.

Is <NAME 4> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

-----------------------------------------------
1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A
   SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) -- SKIP TO Z22
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR -- SKIP TO Z21a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE -- SKIP TO Z21a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001728    Z21a. Is name 4 male or female
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS: 2962 - 2962
Numeric

Z21a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 4> male or female?
1. MALE   --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z21b.

If name 4 is not spouse/partner or other relative:

Is <NAME 4> a co-worker?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z21c.

If name 4 is not spouse/partner or other relative:

Do you and <NAME 4> go to the same place of worship?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count  1607       29      171  

==============================

VAR 001731  Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2965 - 2965
Numeric

Z21d.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 4> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count  1607       45      155  

==============================

VAR 001732  Z22. How often does R discuss politics w/name 4
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2966 - 2966
Numeric

Z22.

When you talk with <NAME 4>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY, OR
7. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

0        1        3        5        7
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  1480       58      168       97        4  

==============================
VAR 001733  Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2967 - 2967
Numeric

Z23.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 4> knows about politics? Would you say:

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1.  A GREAT DEAL
3.  AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5.  NOT MUCH AT ALL
8.  DK - DON'T PROBE
9.  RF - DON'T PROBE
0.  NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

VAR 001734  Z24. How name 4 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2968 - 2969
Numeric

Z24.

Do you think <NAME 4> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1.  AL GORE
3.  GEORGE W BUSH
5.  SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
7.  DIDN'T VOTE
8.  INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98.  DK - DON'T PROBE
99.  RF - DON'T PROBE
00.  NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
Z25.

Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood. During the past twelve months, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z26.

On the whole, how satisfied are you with your neighborhood? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

1. VERY SATISIFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. VERY DISSATISFIED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001739    Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
COLUMNS:  2976 - 2977
Numeric

Z27b.

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood) Would you say they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1.  ALL OF THE TIME
3.  MOST OF THE TIME
5.  SOME OF THE TIME
7.  HARDLY EVER
8.  NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
VAR 001740    Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2978 - 2978
Numeric

Z27c.

Would you say that honest describes the people in your neighborhood extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8 DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 4 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
| Count | 47 | 70 | 3 |

VAR 001741  Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2979 - 2979
Numeric

Z27d.
Thinking about the diversity of your neighborhood, are the people who live where you live all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

1. ALL WHITE
2. MOSTLY WHITE
3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
4. MOSTLY BLACK
5. ALL BLACK
7. R VOLUNERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Count | 30 | 104 | 5 | 1 |

VAR 001742  Z28. How satisfying is Rs life
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
COLUMNS:  2980 - 2980
Numeric
In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're spending your life these days? Would you call it completely satisfying, pretty satisfying, or not very satisfying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPLETELY SATISFYING</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRETTY SATISFYING</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT VERY SATISFYING</td>
<td>1029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, no Post interview</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Others present at time of interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mention</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHILDREN UNDER 6</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLDER CHILDREN</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOUSE</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER RELATIVES</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER ADULTS</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE INTERVIEW</td>
<td>857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-COULD NOT TELL</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001743b ZZ1(2). Others present for interview
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2981 - 2981
Numeric
### ZZ1(2).

**Others present at time of interview:**

**MENTION 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|         | 8    | 15   | 28   | 14   | 10   | 857  | 875  |

### ZZ1(3).

**Others present for interview**

**MENTION 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|         | 3    | 6    | 3    | 6    | 857  | 932  |

### ZZ2.

**Rs cooperation**

**MENTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|         | 3    | 6    | 3    | 6    | 857  | 932  |
ZZ2.

R's cooperation was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VERY GOOD</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POOR</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERY POOR</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, no Post interview</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZZ3.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VERY HIGH</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRLY HIGH</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRLY LOW</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERY LOW</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, no Post interview</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZZ4.

R's apparent intelligence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VERY HIGH</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRLY HIGH</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. FAIRLY LOW  
5. VERY LOW  

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------

VAR 001747  ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem  
MD: EQ 0  
COLUMNS: 2987 - 2987  
Numeric  

ZZ5.  

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:  

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS  
3. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS  
5. VERY SUSPICIOUS  

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------

VAR 001748  ZZ6. How great Rs interest in IW  
MD: EQ 0  
COLUMNS: 2988 - 2988  
Numeric  

ZZ6.  

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?  

1. VERY HIGH  
2. FAIRLY HIGH  
3. AVERAGE  
4. FAIRLY LOW  
5. VERY LOW  

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VAR 001749   ZZ7. How sincere did R seem
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2989 - 2989
Numeric

ZZ7.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. COMPLETE SINCERE       --> ZZ11
3. USUALLY SINCERE        --> ZZ7a
5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE  --> ZZ7a
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0  1  3  5
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 257 1438 97 15

=====================================================================
VAR 001750   ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity
COLUMNS:  2990 - 2990
Character

ZZ7a.

IF R NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

(BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This response was blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the Respondent.

=====================================================================
VAR 001751a   ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2991 - 2992
Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1
---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================================
VAR 001751b  ZZ11(2). R's reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2993 - 2994
Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

-----------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------
VAR 001751c ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2995 - 2996
Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

-----------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------
VAR 001751d  ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  2997 - 2998
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

---------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

VAR 001751e ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
COLUMNS: 2999 - 3000
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41</th>
<th>70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001751f  ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  3001 - 3002
Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE
COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBarrassments over lack of
political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

00  40  41
-----  -----  -----  
Count 1805  1  1

=================================================================

VAR 001751g  ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
COLUMNS:  3003 - 3004
Numeric

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIVE
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.
Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.

Order of Gore, GW Bush and Nader in Post Thermometers

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name)

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Nader 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Nader 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Nader 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Nader 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Nader 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Count | 167 | 186 | 190 |
Post-Rand.D1g.

Order of Democratic House candidate in Post Thermometers
D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
01. NA; no Democratic candidate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Rand.D2h.

VAR 001755  Post-Rand.D2h.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3009 - 3010
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1h.

Order of Republican House candidate in Post Thermometers
D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Republican candidate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001756 Post-Rand.D2j.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3011 - 3012
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1j.

Order of Retiring House Representative in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no retiring House representative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Rand.D2k.

Order of Democratic Senate candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Rand.D2m.

Order of Republican Senate candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no race in state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001759  Post-Rand.D2n.

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99

COLUMNS: 3017 - 3018

Numeric

Post-Rand.D1n.

Order of Independent Incumbent House candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

This var represents candidates in VT01 and VA05. D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

VAR 001762  Post-Rand.D3c.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:  3023 - 3024
Numeric
Post-Rand.D2c.

Order of the Military in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====

VAR 001763  Post-Rand.D3d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3025 - 3026
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2d.

Order of the Federal Govt in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews--or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews--that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001764    Post-Rand.D3e.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:  3027 - 3028
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2e.

Order of Blacks in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews--or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews--that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

VAR 001765 Post-Rand.D3f.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3029 - 3030
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2f.

Order of Whites in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order of Conservatives in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001767 Post-Rand.D3h.
  MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
  COLUMNS: 3033 - 3034
  Numeric

Post-Rand.D2h.

Order of Liberals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Order of Labor Unions in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Order of Big Business in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

**Codes 1-24 and:**

- 00. Inap, no Post interview
- 99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:  3043 - 3044
Numeric


Order of Hispanics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z
=================================

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001773  Post-Rand.D3q.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS:  3045 - 3046
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2q.

Order of Christian Fund in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001777 Post-Rand.D3u.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3053 - 3054
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2u.

Order of Homosexuals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>00. Inap, no Post interview</th>
<th>99. NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Post-Rand.D3w.

**VAR 001779**  
**Post-Rand.D3w.**  
**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99**  
**COLUMNS: 3057 - 3058**  
**Numeric**
Order of Catholics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order of Jews in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order of Protestants in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAR 001782 Post-Rand.D3y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMNS: 3063 - 3064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Rand.D2y.

Order of Feminists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
VAR 001783 Post-Rand.D3z.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
COLUMNS: 3065 - 3066
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2z.

Order of Asian-Americans in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## VAR 001784  Post-Rand.E1/E3.
### MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
### COLUMNS: 3067 - 3067
### Numeric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## VAR 001785  Post-Rand.G3-G5.
### MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Rand.G3-G5.


These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 5th, Republican House candidate 6th
2. Republican House candidate 5th, Democratic House candidate 6th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3070 - 3070
Numeric


These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan, the Democratic House candidate, and the Republican House candidate.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
VAR 001791  Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3074 - 3074
Numeric

Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.

Post: Order of racial groups Intelligent
R3b-R3d/R3b.T-R3d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001792 Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3075 - 3075
Numeric

Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.

Post: Order of racial groups Trustworthy
R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001800 Post-Rand.S15d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3083 - 3083
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Rand.S15e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Rand.S15f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAR 001803 Post-Rand.S15g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3086 - 3086
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15g.
Post: Order of Out of Touch in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
COLUMNS: 3092 - 3092
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CODEBOOK APPENDIX

> NOTES ON SAMPLING VARIABLES

PSU (Primary Selection Unit)

An abbreviated version of the Census MSA of which it is part for SR MSAs and Non-SR MSAs. For non-MSAs the PSU Name is the name of the county involved. If there is more than one county in the non MSA (non MSA counties were linked to reach a minimum measure of size of 5000 Households) it is named for the county with the most Households in 1990.

The hundreds place of the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) for Cross Section Segments that are all from the 1990 National Sample indicates when the segment is in a Self Representing (SR) Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA), a Non Self
Representing (Non SR) MSA or a Non MSA as designated by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

100's are SR MSA areas
200's are Non SR MSA areas*
300's are Non MSA areas**
400's are Non MSA PSU's from the 1980 National Sample Design

* PSU 434 is a Non Self Representing MSA from the 1980 National Sample Design.
**For NES 2000 all Non MSA PSU's are Non MSA's from the 1980 National Sample Design.

The tens place of the PSU for the 1990 National Sample indicate which Census Division the segment is located in. The variable Census Region (described on page two) indicates the Census Region for each of the divisions mentioned below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division</th>
<th>Region (Census Region)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 New England</td>
<td>North East (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Middle Atlantic</td>
<td>North East (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 East North Central</td>
<td>MidWest (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 West North Central</td>
<td>MidWest (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 South Atlantic</td>
<td>South (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 East South Central</td>
<td>South (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 West South Central</td>
<td>South (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 Mountain</td>
<td>West (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 Pacific</td>
<td>West (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ones place of the PSU are simply sequential numbers within the division.

SEGMENT NAME

The name of the city or Census Place or Census MCD with the most housing units (HUs) within the area segment boundary.

BELT CODE

Code 1 includes all except two of the 28 1990 National Sample Frame self-representing areas. Central Cities of Denver, Co MSA and Kansas City MSA are NOT to be coded 1. Central Cities of all other self-representing areas of the 1990 National Sample Frame are coded 1 (including Nassau-Suffolk NY and Newark NJ as part of the NYC, NY-Northern NJ CMSA; Los Angeles, Anaheim, and Riverside CA as part of the LA-etc. CMSA; and San Francisco and Oakland CA as part of the SF-Oakland CA CMSA). For the most part, no 1990 Frame non-self-representing MSA Central Cities are coded 1, except as noted below. [NOTE: In 1990, the PSU definition in New England states is the New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA). This means that for the 1990 Frame segments in New England--there may be Central Cities of MSAs included in the NECMA definition that are not in the CMSA/MSA definition. For example, in Boston MA (1990 frame) the Central Cities include not only those for
Boston MSA but some from other New England MSAs -- some of which could be outside of the Boston CMSA definition and could be coded '2'. It also means that in the Manchester-Nashua NH NECMA (non-self-representing) there are two segment selections in Nashua, NH (central city of Nashua MA PMSA) which is part of the Boston MA CMSA and has therefore been coded '1'.

Suburbs - defined as all "urbanized areas" in the Primary Area exclusive of the areas coded '1' and '2' above, plus the remainder of any county which includes a central city or parts of a central city.

NECMASMSA

The NECMA is the New England County Metropolitan Area code as described in the 1990 SRC National Sample Design and Development documentation.
In 1990 the U.S. Census adopted slightly different wording and abbreviations for metropolitan areas, now known collectively as Metropolitan Areas (MAs). What in 1980 were Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSAs) became in 1990 either Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) or Primary Metropolitan Statistical Areas (PMSAs). MSA is used for a metropolitan area which stands alone, i.e., is not a part of a Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA). PMSA is used for a metropolitan area which is part of a larger CMSA.

The metropolitan area standards for the 1990's generally reflect a continuity with those adopted for the 1980's and maintain the basic concepts originally developed in 1950. The few substantive changes made between 1980 and 1990 are detailed in the Federal Register, Vol. 55, No.62 cited in the footnote.

New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMAs) provide an alternative to the official city-and-town based metropolitan statistical areas in that Census region for the convenience of data users who desire a county-defined set of areas. NECMAs have their separate definition standards detailed in the Federal Register (p. 12159). Since the 1990 SRC National Sample primary stage of selection was based on the NECMA in New England, the 1990 NECMA is provided in place of 1990 MSA or PMSA for this variable.
CMSA

The 1990 Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) codes are in most cases identical to the 1980 SCSA codes with the exceptions noted in parenthesis. In addition to the major changes noted, minor changes in SCSA and CMSA names have also occurred between 1980 and 1990.
THIS NOTE CONTAINS DEFINITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING TERMS USED BY THE 1990 U.S. CENSUS OF POPULATION:

- Metropolitan Statistical Areas
- Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas
- Urbanized Areas
- Places
- Incorporated Places
- Unincorporated Places

1. "METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (MSA's):"

The general concept of a metropolitan area is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjacent communities that have a high degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus.

In 1990 the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the U.S. Census have used the term Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) for what in 1980 was referred to as Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA). An attempt has been made by the study staff to be consistent in using the newer terms in the current documentation and definitions. The definitions of characteristics to be classified as a metropolitan area have remained fairly consistent—with only minor changes between 1980 and 1990. However, due to changes in population size and density, employment, commuting and other behavior which defines metropolitan areas, the specific geographical composition of any given metropolitan area has, of course, frequently changed. The specific MSA title may also have changed as to which cities are named and in what order.

Each MSA has one or more central counties containing the area's main population concentration: an organized area with at least 50,000 inhabitants. An MSA may also include outlying counties that have close economic and social relationships with the central counties. The outlying counties must have a specified level of commuting to the central counties and must also meet certain standards regarding metropolitan character, such as population density, urban population and population growth. In New England, MSA's are composed of cities and towns rather than whole counties.

The population living in MSA's may also be referred to as the metropolitan population. The population is subdivided into "inside central city (or cities)" and "outside central city (or cities)." (The population living outside MSA's constitutes the non-metropolitan population.) Most MSA's have one to three CENTRAL CITIES that are named in the census title of the MSA.
2. "CONSOLIDATED METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (CMSA's):"

In some parts of the country, metropolitan development has progressed to the point that adjoining MSA's are themselves socially and economically interrelated. These areas are designated consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSA's) by the Office of Management and Budget, and are defined using standards included as part of the new MSA standards described above. MSA's that are a part of a CMSA are referred to as primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSA's).

Definitions of the six largest CMSA's:

NEW YORK-NORTHERN NEW JERSEY-LONG ISLAND, NY-NJ-CT, CMSA
Bergen-Passaic, NJ PMSA
Bridgeport-Milford, CT PMSA
Danbury, CT PMSA
Jersey City, NJ PMSA
Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ PMSA
Monmouth-Ocean NJ PMSA
Nassau-Suffolk, NY PMSA*
New York, NY PMSA*
Newark, NJ PMSA*
Norwalk, CT PMSA
Orange County, NY PMSA
Stamford, CT PMSA

LOS ANGELES-ANAHEIM-RIVERSIDE, CA, CMSA
Anaheim-Santa Ana, CA PMSA*
Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA PMSA*
Oxnard-Ventura, CA PMSA
Riverside-San Bernardino, CA PMSA*

CHICAGO-GARY-LAKE COUNTY (IL), IL-IN-WI CMSA
Aurora-Elgin, IL PMSA* (Kane Co part only)
Chicago, IL PMSA*
Gary-Hammond, IN PMSA
Joliet, IL PMSA* (Will Co part only)
Kenosha, WI PMSA
Lake County, IL PMSA*

SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND-SAN JOSE, CA, CMSA
Oakland, CA PMSA*
San Francisco, CA PMSA*
San Jose, CA PMSA
Santa Cruz, CA PMSA
Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CA PMSA
Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa, CA PMSA

PHILADELPHIA-WILMINGTON-TRENTON, PA-NJ-DE-MD, CMSA
Philadelphia, PA-NJ, PMSA*
Trenton, NJ PMSA
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ PMSA
Wilmington, DE-NJ-MD PMSA
For the purpose of size and distance coding of suburbs and non-MSAs, the central cities of the six largest CMSAs are listed as:

1. New York City (Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, Queens), NY, Elizabeth, NJ and Newark, NJ
2. Los Angeles, Long Beach, Pasadena, Pomona, Burbank, Anaheim, Santa Ana, Riverside, San Bernardino and Palm Springs, CA.
3. Chicago, Evanston and Chicago Heights, Aurora, Elgin, Joliet, Waukegan and North Chicago, IL
4. San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley and Livermore, CA
5. Philadelphia and Norristown, PA and Camden, NJ
6. Detroit, Dearborn, Pontiac and Port Huron, MI

Both the CMSA definitions and the central city designations above are from Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1990, U.S. Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC (Jun 1990) (PB90-214420)

3. "URBANIZED AREAS:"

The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe").

4. "PLACES:"

Two types of places are recognized in the census reports—incorporated places and unincorporated places, defined as follows:

5. "INCORPORATED PLACES:"

These are political units incorporated as cities, boroughs, towns and villages with the following exceptions: (a) boroughs in Alaska; and (b) towns in New York, Wisconsin and the New England states.

6. "UNINCORPORATED PLACES:"

The Census Bureau has delineated boundaries for closely settled population centers without corporate limits. Each place so delineated possesses a definite nucleus of
residences and has its boundaries drawn to include, if feasible, all the surrounding closely settled area. These are called Census Designated Places (CDP's).
>> 2000 TYPE RACE MASTER CODE

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLENGERS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

71 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
72 Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
73 Other incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
75 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
76 Other incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
77 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
79 Other incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Party Incumbents, no race in state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SENATE:
------

OPEN RACE
09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **
08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent **
11 Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12 Republican candidate in open Senate race
13 Democratic Senate incumbent
14 Republican Senate incumbent
15 Democratic Senate challenger
16 Republican Senate challenger

TERM NOT UP
19 Democratic Senator, term not up in state with race
29 Republican Senator, term not up in state with race
20 Third party or independent Senator, term not up in state with race

RETIRING
21 Democratic Senator--retiring (state with open race)
22 Republican Senator--retiring (state with open race)
23 Third party or independent Senator--retiring (state with open race)

NO RACE IN STATE
17 Democratic Senator, no race in state (1st Dem)
18 Republican Senator, no race in state (1st Rep)
27 Democratic Senator, no race in state (2nd Dem)
28 Republican Senator, no race in state (2nd Rep)
26 Third party or independent Senator, no race in state

HOUSE:
-----

OPEN RACE
30 Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31 Democratic candidate in open House race
32 Republican candidate in open House race
33 Democratic House incumbent
34 Republican House incumbent
35 Democratic House challenger
36 Republican House challenger
38 Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39 Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

RETIRING
40 Third party or independent Representative--retiring (district with open race)
41 Democratic Representative--retiring (district with open race)
42 Republican Representative--retiring (district with open race)

OTHER:
90 Both Democratic and Republican candidates (used in incumbency vars only)
97 Name given not on Candidate List
MISSING DATA:
98    DK; refused to name candidate
99    NA
00    INAP

++VOTE QUESTION ONLY, VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
81    Democratic candidate
82    Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
83    Democratic incumbent
84    Republican incumbent
85    Democratic challenger
86    Republican challenger

ALL DISTRICTS: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
80    Third party or independent candidate **
91    Democrat--no name given
92    Republican--no name given

**  IF 3RD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE NAMED, THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST (IF NAME NOT ON CANDIDATE LIST, CODE 97 IS USED).

NOTE:  CODE 97 INCLUDES INSTANCES WHERE R VOTED STRAIGHT MAJOR PARTY TICKET BUT NO CANDIDATE FOR R'S PARTY RAN FOR GIVEN OFFICE (OR: R INSISTS VOTED FOR A MAJOR PARTY'S CANDIDATE BUT NO CANDIDATE RAN FOR GIVEN OFFICE REPRESENTING NAMED MAJOR PARTY).

++ CODES 80-86,91,92 ARE NOT USED IN VARS OTHER THAN VOTE VARS.
ETHNICITY MASTER CODE

WESTERN HEMISPHERE

North America

01. American Indian, tribal mentions
02. Canadian; not specified as French-Canadian (03)
03. Canadian, of French origin
04. Mexican (excluding explicit mention of "Chicano", "Mexican-American")
05. Central American

West Indies

07. Barbados
08. Cuban
09. Dominican Republic
10. Haitian
11. Jamaican
12. Puerto Rican
13. West Indian--not from one of the above countries
14. West Indian--NA which country

South America

16. South American--any country

EUROPE

British Isles

18. English, British
19. Irish (not specified as from Northern Ireland, Ulster--22)
20. Scottish
21. Welsh
22. From Northern Ireland (Ulster)
23. Scot-Irish
24. From British Isles; from two or more countries of the British Isles

Western Europe

26. Austrian
27. Belgian
28. French
29. German; also Pennsylvania Dutch
30. Luxembourg
31. Netherlands, Holland; Dutch
32. Swiss
33. From Western Europe; two or more countries of Western Europe

Scandinavia

35. Danish
36. Finn, Finnish
37. Norwegian
38. Swedish
39. Icelander
40. Scandinavian; reference to two or more Scandinavian countries

Multiple - Western Europe/Scandinavia/British Isles

41. Reference to two or more countries from combination of the following areas: British Isles, Western Europe, Scandinavia, Mediterranean countries, Greece

Eastern Europe

43. Czechoslovakian, Slavic
44. Estonian
45. Hungarian
46. Latvian
47. Lithuanian
48. Polish
49. Russian; from U.S.S.R.
50. Ukrainian
51. Eastern Europe; reference to two or more countries of Eastern Europe

Balkan Countries

53. Albanian
54. Bulgarian
55. Greek
56. Rumanian
57. Yugoslavian
58. Mention of two or more Balkan Countries

Mediterranean Countries

60. Italian
61. Portuguese
62. Spanish
63. Maltese

Multiple - Europe

64. European; general mention of Europe; reference to two or more European countries of Europe not codeable above

ASIA (exc. NEAR EAST)

65. Pakistani
66. Afghan
67. Indian (not American Indian, code 01)
68. Southeast Asia--from Indochina, Thailand, Malaya, Burma, Philippines, Indonesia
69. Chinese
70. Japanese; Japanese American
71. Korean
72. Asian
NEAR EAST
73. Egyptian
74. Iranian, Persian
75. Iraqi
76. Israeli
77. Jordanian
78. Lebanese
79. Arab, Arabian, Saudi Arabian
80. Syrian
81. Turk, Turkish
82. Armenian

AFRICA
83. African; from any African country excluding only Egypt (U.A.R.); South African (formerly 90)

OCEANIA
84. South Pacific Islander other than 85 (incl native Hawaiian)
85. Australian, New Zealander, Tasmanian

ETHNIC GROUPS
86. White, Caucasian
87. Black; Negro; American Black; African American
88. Chicano; Mexican-American; Hispanic; Latin American
89. Asian-American (exc. specifically Japanese American, 70)

OTHER MISCELLANEOUS **
90. None; neither (response to 'choice' question)
91. Catholic
92. Protestant
93. Jewish
94. Other religious groups
95. Both/all of them (response to 'choice' question only
96. 'American'; 'Just American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable above

MISSING DATA **
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; no further mention

** these codes are slightly revised in 2000
ICPSR STATE AND COUNTRY MASTER CODE

UNITED STATES:
New England

-----------
101 Connecticut
102 Maine
103 Massachusetts
104 New Hampshire
105 Rhode Island
106 Vermont
109 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Middle Atlantic

-----------
111 Delaware
112 New Jersey
113 New York
114 Pennsylvania
118 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - Eastern States

-----------------------
119 EAST; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH NEW ENGLAND AND MIDDLE ATLANTIC

East North Central

-----------
121 Illinois
122 Indiana
123 Michigan
124 Ohio
125 Wisconsin
129 General mention of area; two or more states in area

West North Central

-----------
131 Iowa
132 Kansas
133 Minnesota
134 Missouri
135 Nebraska
136 North Dakota
137 South Dakota
138 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - East and Central States

--------------------------------
139 MIDWEST; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH EAST NORTH CENTRAL AND WEST North Central

Solid South

-------
141 Alabama
142 Arkansas
143 Florida
144 Georgia
145 Louisiana
146 Mississippi
147 North Carolina
148 South Carolina
149 Texas
140 Virginia
157 General mention of area; the South; two or more states in area

Border States
-------------
151 Kentucky
152 Maryland
153 Oklahoma
154 Tennessee
155 Washington, D.C.
156 West Virginia
158 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - South and Border States
-------------------------------
159 SOUTH; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH SOLID SOUTH AND BORDER STATES

Mountain States
-------------
161 Arizona
162 Colorado
163 Idaho
164 Montana
165 Nevada
166 New Mexico
167 Utah
168 Wyoming
169 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Pacific States
-------------
171 California
172 Oregon
173 Washington
178 General mention of area; two or more states in area

Multiple - Mountain and Pacific States
-------------------------------
179 WEST; MENTION OF STATES IN BOTH MOUNTAIN STATES AND PACIFIC STATES

External States and Territories
-------------------------------
180 Alaska
181 Hawaii
182 Puerto Rico
183 American Samoa, Guam
184 Panama Canal Zone
185 Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands
186 Virgin Islands
187 Other U.S. Dependencies

Multiple - U.S. States/Regions or NA State/Region
------------------------------------
Reference to Two or More States from Different Regions of the United States; or NA Which State
191 Northeast and South (New England or Middle Atlantic and Solid South or Border States)
192 Northeast and Midwest (New England or Middle Atlantic and East North Central or West North Central)
194 West (Mountain States or Pacific States) and Midwest; West and Northeast
195 West and South (Solid South or Border States)
196 Midwest and South
198 Lived in 3 or more regions (NA whether lived in one
more than the rest)
199 United States, NA which state

WESTERN HEMISPHERE Except U.S.
North America
-------------
201 North America (except U.S.) comb. Canada, Mexico,
and/or Central America
207 Canada -- ancestry of Anglo-Saxon origin
208 Canada -- ancestry of French origin
209 Canada -- NA origin or other origin
219 Mexico
229 Central America
West Indies (except Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands)
--------------------------------------
231 Barbados
232 Cuba
233 Dominican Republic
234 Haiti
235 Jamaica
236 Netherlands Antilles
237 Trinidad and Tobago
238 Islands of Lesser Antilles--except Virgin Islands
and Netherlands Antilles
239 West Indies (except Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands)
or "Caribbean"--reference to two or more West
Indian countries

South America
-------------
259 South America; South American country or countries

EUROPE
British Isles
---------
301 England
302 Ireland (NA North or South); southern Ireland
303 Scotland
304 Wales
305 Northern Ireland (Ulster)
306 Scot-Irish
308 United Kingdom; Great Britain
309 "BRITISH ISLES"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE
TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF THE BRITISH ISLES

Western Europe
-------------
310 Austria
311 Belgium
312 France
313 Federal Republic of Germany (W. Germany)
314 German Democratic Republic (E. Germany)
315 Germany--NA East or West
316 Luxembourg
317 Netherlands; Holland
318 Switzerland
319 "WESTERN EUROPE"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA;
REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF WESTERN EUROPE

Scandinavia
--------
321 Denmark
322 Finland
323 Norway
324 Sweden
325 Iceland

Multiple - Western Europe and Scandinavia
---------------------------------------
328 GENERAL MENTION OF AREA OF WESTERN EUROPE AND/OR SCANDINAVIA AND/OR BRITISH ISLES AND/OR MEDITERRANEAN COUNTRIES AND/OR GREECE; REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES IN DIFFERENT AREAS LISTED ABOVE

Scandinavia General
-------------------
329 "SCANDINAVIA"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE SCANDINAVIAN COUNTRIES

Eastern Europe
------------
331 Czechoslovakia (Slavic)
332 Estonia
333 Hungary
334 Latvia
335 Lithuania
336 Poland
337 Russia (or U.S.S.R.)
338 Ukraine
339 "EASTERN EUROPE"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF EASTERN EUROPE

Balkan Countries
----------------
341 Albania
342 Bulgaria
343 Greece
344 Rumania
345 Yugoslavia
348 General mention of area; reference to two or more Balkan Countries
349 "BALKANS"; GENERAL REFERENCE OF AREA; REFERENCE TO COUNTRIES IN EASTERN EUROPE AND BALKAN COUNTRIES

Mediterranean Countries
-----------------------
351 Italy
352 Portugal
353 Spain
354 Malta or Gozo

Multiple and General - Europe
----------------------------
399 "EUROPE"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF EUROPE IN DIFFERENT AREAS

ASIA except Near East
401 Afghanistan
404    India
405    1990: Pakistan
406    Pakistan
428    Southeast Asia: Indochina, Thailand, Malaya, Burma, Philippines, Indonesia; Hong Kong
431    China (mainland)
432    1990: Taiwan, Formosa
434    Taiwan, Formosa
451    Japan
452    Korea (North or South)
499    "ASIA"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF ASIA

NEAR EAST
501    U.A.R. (Egypt)
502    Iran
503    Iraq
504    Israel (or Palestine)
505    Jordan
506    Lebanon
507    Saudi Arabia
508    Syria
509    Turkey
599    "NEAR EAST"; "MIDDLE EAST"; GENERAL MENTION OF AREA; REFERENCE TO TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES OF NEAR EAST

AFRICA
655    South Africa
699    Africa; any African country or countries, excluding only South Africa and U.A.R. (Egypt)

OCEANIA
704    Australia, New Zealand, Tasmania
705    South Pacific islands (exc. 704)

OTHER:
997    Other (combinations) not codeable elsewhere
998    DK
999    RF
000    NA
>> RELIGION

Codes followed by * have been newly added in 2000.

GENERAL PROTESTANT

010. Protestant, no denomination given
020. Non-denominational Protestant
030. Community church
040. Inter-denominational Protestant
099. Christian (NFS); "just Christian"

ADVENTIST

100. 7th Day Adventist
109. Adventist (NFS)

ANGLICAN

110. Episcopalian; Anglican
111. Independent Anglican, Episcopalian

BAPTIST

120. American Baptist Association
121. American Baptist Churches U.S.A. (inaccurately known as "Northern Baptist")
122. Baptist Bible Fellowship
123. Baptist General Conference
124. Baptist Missionary Association of America
125. Conservative Baptist Association of America
126. General Association of Regular Baptist Churches (G.A.R.B.)
127. National Association of Free Will Baptists (United Free Will Baptist Church)
128. Primitive Baptists
129. National Baptist Convention in the U.S.A.
130. National Baptist Convention of America
131. National Primitive Baptist Convention of the U.S.A.
132. Progressive National Baptist Convention
134. Reformed Baptist (Calvinist)
135. Southern Baptist Convention
147. Fundamental Baptist (no denom. ties)
148. Local (independent) Baptist churches with no denominational ties or links to a national fellowship
149. Baptist (NFS)

CONGREGATIONAL

150. United Church of Christ (includes Congregational, Evangelical and Reformed)
155. Congregational Christian
EUROPEAN FREE CHURCH (ANABAPTISTS)

160. Church of the Brethren
161. Brethren (NFS)
162. Mennonite Church
163. Moravian Church
164. Old Order Amish
165. Quakers (Friends)
166. Evangelical Covenant Church (not Anabaptist in tradition)
167. Evangelical Free Church (not Anabaptist in tradition)
168. Brethren in Christ
170. Mennonite Brethren

HOLINESS

180. Christian and Missionary Alliance (CMA)
181. Church of God (Anderson, IN)
182. Church of the Nazarene
183. Free Methodist Church
184. Salvation Army
185. Wesleyan Church
186. Church of God of Findlay, OH
199. Holiness (NFS); Church of God (NFS); R not or NA whether R Pentecostal or Charismatic

INDEPENDENT-FUNDAMENTALIST

200. Plymouth Brethren
201. Independent Fundamentalist Churches of America
219. Independent-Fundamentalist (NFS)

LUTHERAN

220. Evangelical Lutheran Church in America (formerly Lutheran Church in America and The American Lutheran Church); ELCA
221. Lutheran Church--Missouri Synod; LC-MS
222. Wisconsin Evangelical Lutheran Synod; WELS
223. Other Conservative Lutheran
229. Lutheran (NFS)

METHODIST

230. United Methodist Church; Evangelical United Brethren
231. African Methodist Episcopal Church
232. African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church
233. Christian Methodist Episcopal Church
234. Primitive Methodist
240. Congregational Methodist (fundamentalist)
249. Methodist (NFS)

PENTECOSTAL

250. Assemblies of God
251. Church of God (Cleveland, TN)
252. Church of God (Huntsville, AL)
253. International Church of the Four Square Gospel
254. Pentecostal Church of God
255. Pentecostal Holiness Church
256. United Pentecostal Church International
257. Church of God in Christ (incl. NA whether 258)
258. Church of God in Christ (International)
259. Church of God of the Apostolic Faith
261. Church of God of Prophecy
262. Vineyard Fellowship
263. Open Bible Standard Churches
264. Full Gospel
267. Apostolic Pentecostal
268. Spanish Pentecostal
269. Pentecostal (NFS); Church of God (NFS); R not or NA whether R Pentecostal or Chrismatic

PRESBYTERIAN

270. Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A.
271. Cumberland Presbyterian Church
272. Presbyterian Church in American (PCA)
275. Evangelical Presbyterian
276. Reformed Presbyterian
279. Presbyterian (NFS)

REFORMED

280. Christian Reformed Church (inaccurately known as "Dutch Reformed")
281. Reformed Church in America
282. Free Hungarian Reformed Church
289. Reformed (NFS)

RESTORATIONIST

290. Christian Church (Disciples of Christ)
291. Christian Churches and Churches of Christ
292. Churches of Christ; "Church of Christ" (NFS)
293. Christian Congregation

NON-TRADITIONAL PROTESTANTS

300. Christian Scientists
301. Mormons; Latter Day Saints
302. Spiritualists
303. Unitarian; Universalist
304. Jehovah's Witnesses
305. Unity; Unity Church; Christ Church Unity
306. Fundamentalist Adventist (Worldwide Church of God)
309. Non-traditional Protestant (NFS)

ROMAN CATHOLIC

400. Roman Catholic

JEWISH

500. Jewish, no preference
501. Orthodox
502. Conservative
503. Reformed
524. Jewish, other

MIXED CHRISTIAN

600. Roman Catholic AND Protestant

EASTERN ORTHODOX (GREEK RITE CATHOLIC)

700. Greek Rite Catholic
701. Greek Orthodox
702. Russian Orthodox
703. Rumanian Orthodox
704. Serbian Orthodox
705. Syrian Orthodox
706. Armenian Orthodox
707. Georgian Orthodox
708. Ukrainian Orthodox
719. Eastern Orthodox (NFS)

NON-CHRISTIAN/NON-JEWISH

720. Muslim; Mohammedan; Islam
721. Buddhist
722. Hindu
723. Bahai
724. American Indian Religions (Native American Religions)
725. New Age
726. Wica (Wiccan)
727. Pagan

729. Other non-Christian/non-Jewish
750. Scientology
790. Religious/ethical cults

MIX OF MAJOR RELIGIONS

795. More than 1 major religion (e.g., Christian, Jewish, Moslem, etc.)
OTHER

800. Agnostics
801. Atheists
990. R indicates attendance/affiliation but specifies none
997. Other
The full 3-digit 1990 Census Occupation Code was used to code the occupation of respondents. In order to minimize the amount of highly specific information released about respondents, the full occupation code has been recoded to a 71 category code, which is based on the occupation code sub-headings in the Census Code.

Users who need access to the full 3-digit occupation code for their research purposes should contact NES project staff for details about how this could be arranged.

In the code description that follows, the full 1990 Census Code is presented. At the beginning of each recoded section, the statement "(XXX) THROUGH (YYY) ARE RECODED TO (ZZ)" indicates the code values to which the specific occupations have been recoded. For example, purchasing managers (009), legislators (003), and funeral directors (019) have all been recoded to (01). Numbers in parentheses following the occupation categories are the U.S. Department of Commerce's 1980 Standard Occupational Classification code equivalents. The abbreviation "pt" means "part" and "N.E.C." means "not elsewhere classified".

MANAGERIAL AND PROFESSIONAL SPECIALTY OCCUPATIONS

Executive, Administrative, and Managerial

(003) THROUGH (022) ARE RECODED TO: 01

003    LEGISLATORS (111)
004    CHIEF EXECUTIVES AND GENERAL ADMINISTRATORS, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION (112)
005    ADMINISTRATORS AND OFFICIALS, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION (1132-1139)
006    ADMINISTRATORS, PROTECTIVE SERVICES (1131)
007    FINANCIAL MANAGERS (122)
008    PERSONNEL AND LABOR RELATIONS MANAGERS (123)
009    PURCHASING MANAGERS (124)
013    MANAGERS, MARKETING, ADVERTISING, AND PUBLIC RELATIONS (125)
014    ADMINISTRATORS, EDUCATION AND RELATED FIELDS (128)
015    MANAGERS, MEDICINE AND HEALTH (131)
016    POSTMASTERS AND MAIL SUPERINTENDENTS (1344)
017    MANAGERS, FOOD SERVING AND LODGING ESTABLISHMENTS (1351)
018    MANAGERS, PROPERTIES AND REAL ESTATE (1353)
019    FUNERAL DIRECTORS (PT 1359)
021    MANAGERS, SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, N.E.C. (127, 1352, 1354, PT 1359)
022    MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS, N.E.C. (121, 126, 132-1343, 136-139)
### Management-Related Occupations

*(023) THROUGH (037) ARE RECODED TO: 02*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Occupation Description</th>
<th>N.O. Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>023</td>
<td>ACCOUNTANTS AND AUDITORS</td>
<td>1412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024</td>
<td>UNDERWRITERS</td>
<td>1414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>025</td>
<td>OTHER FINANCIAL OFFICERS</td>
<td>1415, 1419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT ANALYSTS</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>027</td>
<td>PERSONNEL, TRAINING, AND LABOR RELATIONS SPECIALISTS</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>028</td>
<td>PURCHASING AGENTS AND BUYERS, FARM PRODUCTS</td>
<td>1443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>029</td>
<td>BUYERS, WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE, EXCEPT FARM PRODUCTS</td>
<td>1442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033</td>
<td>PURCHASING AGENTS AND BUYERS, N.E.C.</td>
<td>1449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>034</td>
<td>BUSINESS AND PROMOTION AGENTS</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>035</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION INSPECTORS</td>
<td>1472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>036</td>
<td>INSPECTORS AND COMPLIANCE OFFICERS, EXC. CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>1473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>037</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT RELATED OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C.</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Professional Specialty Occupations

* ..............

* engineers, architects and surveyors -

*(043) THROUGH (063) ARE RECODED TO: 03*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Occupation Description</th>
<th>N.O. Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>043</td>
<td>ARCHITECTS</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENGINERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AEROSPACE ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>045</td>
<td>METALLURGICAL AND MATERIALS ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>046</td>
<td>MINING ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>047</td>
<td>PETROLEUM ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>048</td>
<td>CHEMICAL ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>049</td>
<td>NUCLEAR ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>053</td>
<td>CIVIL ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>054</td>
<td>AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>055</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1633, 1636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>056</td>
<td>INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>057</td>
<td>MECHANICAL ENGINEERS</td>
<td>1635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>058</td>
<td>MARINE ENGINEERS AND NAVAL ARCHITECTS</td>
<td>1637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>059</td>
<td>ENGINEERS, N.E.C.</td>
<td>1639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>063</td>
<td>SURVEYORS AND MAPPING SCIENTISTS</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - mathematical and computer scientists -

*(064) THROUGH (068) ARE RECODED TO: 04*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Occupation Description</th>
<th>N.O. Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>064</td>
<td>COMPUTER SYSTEMS ANALYSTS AND SCIENTISTS</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>065</td>
<td>OPERATIONS AND SYSTEMS RESEARCHERS AND ANALYSTS</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>066</td>
<td>ACTUARIES</td>
<td>1732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>067</td>
<td>STATISTICIANS</td>
<td>1733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>068</td>
<td>MATHEMATICAL SCIENTISTS, N.E.C.</td>
<td>1739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- natural scientists -

(069) THROUGH (083) ARE RECODED TO: 05

069 PHYSICISTS AND ASTRONOMERS (1842, 1843)
073 CHEMISTS, EXCEPT BIOCHEMISTS (1845)
074 ATMOSPHERIC AND SPACE SCIENTISTS (1846)
075 GEOLOGISTS AND GEODESISTS (1847)
076 PHYSICAL SCIENTISTS, N.E.C. (1849)
077 AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD SCIENTISTS (1853)
078 BIOLOGICAL AND LIFE SCIENTISTS (1854)
079 FORESTRY AND CONSERVATION SCIENTISTS (1852)
083 MEDICAL SCIENTISTS (1855)

- health diagnosing occupations -

(084) THROUGH (089) ARE RECODED TO: 06

084 PHYSICIANS (261)
085 DENTISTS (262)
086 VETERINARIANS (27)
087 OPTOMETRISTS (281)
088 PODIATRISTS (283)
089 HEALTH DIAGNOSING PRACTITIONERS, N.E.C. (289)

- health assessment and treating occupations -

(095) THROUGH (106) ARE RECODED TO: 07

095 REGISTERED NURSES (29)
096 PHARMACISTS (301)
097 DIETITIANS (302)

THERAPISTS

098 INHALATION THERAPISTS (3031)
099 OCCUPATIONAL THERAPISTS (3032)
103 PHYSICAL THERAPISTS (3033)
104 SPEECH THERAPISTS (3034)
105 THERAPISTS, N.E.C. (3039)
106 PHYSICIANS' ASSISTANTS (304)

- teachers, postsecondary -

(113) THROUGH (154) ARE RECODED TO: 08

113 EARTH, ENVIRONMENTAL AND MARINE SCIENCE TEACHERS (2212)
114 BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2213)
115 CHEMISTRY TEACHERS (2214)
116 PHYSICS TEACHERS (2215)
117 NATURAL SCIENCE TEACHERS, N.E.C. (2216)
PSYCHOLOGY TEACHERS (2217)
ECONOMICS TEACHERS (2218)
HISTORY TEACHERS (2222)
POLITICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2223)
SOCIOLOGY TEACHERS (2224)
SOCIAL SCIENCE TEACHERS, N.E.C. (2225)
ENGINEERING TEACHERS (2226)
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2227)
COMPUTER SCIENCE TEACHERS (2228)
MEDICAL SCIENCE TEACHERS (2231)
HEALTH SPECIALTIES TEACHERS (2232)
BUSINESS, COMMERCE, AND MARKETING TEACHERS (2233)
AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY TEACHERS (2234)
ART, DRAMA, AND MUSIC TEACHERS (2235)
PHYSICAL EDUCATION TEACHERS (2236)
EDUCATION TEACHERS (2237)
ENGLISH TEACHERS (2238)
FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHERS (2242)
LAW TEACHERS (2243)
SOCIAL WORK TEACHERS (2244)
THEOLOGY TEACHERS (2245)
TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL TEACHERS (2246)
HOME ECONOMICS TEACHERS (2247)
TEACHERS, POSTSECONDARY, N.E.C. (2249)
POSTSECONDARY TEACHERS, SUBJECT NOT SPECIFIED

- teachers, except postsecondary -

(155) THROUGH (165) ARE RECODED TO: 09

TEACHERS, PREKINDERGARTEN AND KINDERGARTEN (231)
TEACHERS, ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (232)
TEACHERS, SECONDARY SCHOOL (233)
TEACHERS, SPECIAL EDUCATION (235)
TEACHERS, N.E.C. (236,239)
COUNSELORS, EDUCATIONAL AND VOCATIONAL (24)

LIBRARIANS, ARCHIVISTS, AND CURATORS

LIBRARIANS (251)
ARCHIVISTS AND CURATORS (252)

- social scientist and urban planners -

(166) THROUGH (173) ARE RECODED TO: 10

ECONOMISTS (1912)
PSYCHOLOGISTS (1915)
SOCIOLOGISTS (1916)
SOCIAL SCIENTISTS, N.E.C. (1913, 1914, 1919)
URBAN PLANNERS (192)
- social, recreation, and religious workers -

(174) THROUGH (177) ARE RECODED TO: 11

174 SOCIAL WORKERS (2032)
175 RECREATION WORKERS (2033)
176 CLERGY (2042)
177 RELIGIOUS WORKERS, N.E.C. (2049)

------------------------------------------------------------

- lawyers and judges -

(178) THROUGH (179) ARE RECODED TO: 12

178 LAWYERS (211)
179 JUDGES (212)

------------------------------------------------------------

- writers, artists, entertainers, and athletes -

(183) THROUGH (199) ARE RECODED TO: 13

183 AUTHORS (321)
184 TECHNICAL WRITERS (398)
185 DESIGNERS (322)
186 MUSICIANS AND COMPOSERS (323)
187 ACTORS AND DIRECTORS (324)
188 PAINTERS, SCULPTORS, CRAFT-ARTISTS, AND ARTIST PRINTMAKERS (325)
189 PHOTOGRAPHERS (326)
193 DANCERS (327)
194 ARTISTS, PERFORMERS, AND RELATED WORKERS, N.E.C. (328, 329)
195 EDITORS AND REPORTERS (331)
197 PUBLIC RELATIONS SPECIALISTS (332)
198 ANNOUNCERS (333)
199 ATHLETES (34)

------------------------------------------------------------

TECHNICIANS AND RELATED SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS

Health Technologists and Technicians

(203) THROUGH (208) ARE RECODED TO: 14

203 CLINICAL LABORATORY TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS (362)
204 DENTAL HYGIENISTS (363)
205 HEALTH RECORD TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS (364)
206 RADIOLOGIC TECHNICIANS (365)
207 LICENSED PRACTICAL NURSES (366)
208 HEALTH TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (369)
Technologists and Technicians, except Health

- engineering and related technologists and technicians -

(213) THROUGH (218) ARE RECODED TO: 15

213 ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC TECHNICIANS (3711)
214 INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS (3712)
215 MECHANICAL ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS (3713)
216 ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (3719)
217 DRAFTING OCCUPATIONS (372)
218 SURVEYING AND MAPPING TECHNICIANS (373)

- science technicians -

(223) THROUGH (225) ARE RECODED TO: 16

223 BIOLOGICAL TECHNICIANS (382)
224 CHEMICAL TECHNICIANS (3831)
225 SCIENCE TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (3832, 3833, 384, 389)

- technicians, except health, engineering, and science -

(226) THROUGH (235) ARE RECODED TO: 17

226 AIRPLANE PILOTS AND NAVIGATORS (825)
227 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS (392)
228 BROADCAST EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (393)
229 COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS (3971, 3972)
233 TOOL PROGRAMMERS, NUMERICAL CONTROL (3974)
234 LEGAL ASSISTANTS (396)
235 TECHNICIANS, N.E.C. (399)

SALES OCCUPATIONS

Supervisors and Proprietors

(243) IS RECODED TO: 18

243 SUPERVISORS AND PROPRIETORS, SALES OCCUPATIONS (40)

Sales Representatives, Finance and Business Services

(253) THROUGH (257) ARE RECODED TO: 18

253 INSURANCE SALES OCCUPATIONS (4122)
254 REAL ESTATE SALES OCCUPATIONS (4123)
255 SECURITIES AND FINANCIAL SERVICES SALES OCCUPATIONS (4124)
256 ADVERTISING AND RELATED SALES OCCUPATIONS (4153)
257 SALES OCCUPATIONS, OTHER BUSINESS SERVICES (4152)
Sales Representatives, Commodities except Retail

(258) THROUGH (259) ARE RECODED TO: 19

258  SALES ENGINEERS (421)
259  SALES REPRESENTATIVES, MINING, MANUFACTURING, AND WHOLESALE (423, 424)

Sales Workers, Retail and Personal Services

(263) THROUGH (278) ARE RECODED TO: 20

263  SALES WORKERS, MOTOR VEHICLES AND BOATS
     (4342, 4344)
264  SALES WORKERS, APPAREL (4346)
265  SALES WORKERS, SHOES (4351)
266  SALES WORKERS, FURNITURE AND HOME FURNISHINGS
     (4348)
267  SALES WORKERS; RADIO, TELEVISION, HI-FI, AND APPLIANCES
     (4343, 4352)
268  SALES WORKERS, HARDWARE AND BUILDING SUPPLIES
     (4353)
269  SALES WORKERS, PARTS (4367)
274  SALES WORKERS, OTHER COMMODITIES
     (4345, 4347, 4354, 4356, 4359, 4362, 4369)
275  SALES COUNTER CLERKS (4363)
276  CASHIERS (4364)
277  STREET AND DOOR-TO-DOOR SALES WORKERS (4366)
278  NEWS VENDORS (4365)

Sales Related Occupations

(283) THROUGH (285) ARE RECODED TO: 21

283  DEMONSTRATORS, PROMOTERS AND MODELS, SALES (445)
284  AUCTIONEERS (447)
285  SALES SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (444, 446, 449)

ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT, (incl. Clerical supervisors)

Clerical Supervisors

(303) THROUGH (307) ARE RECODED TO: 22

303  SUPERVISORS, GENERAL OFFICE (4511, 4513, 4514, 4516, 4519, 4529)
304  SUPERVISORS, COMPUTER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (4512)
305  SUPERVISORS, FINANCIAL RECORDS PROCESSING (4521)
306  CHIEF COMMUNICATIONS OPERATORS (4523)
307  SUPERVISORS; DISTRIBUTION, SCHEDULING, AND ADJUSTING CLERKS
     (4522, 4524-4528)
Computer Equipment Operators

(308) THROUGH (309) ARE RECODED TO: 23

308 COMPUTER OPERATORS (4612)
309 PERIPHERAL EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (4613)

------------------------------------------------------------

Secretaries, Stenographers, and Typists

(313) THROUGH (315) ARE RECODED TO: 24

313 SECRETARIES (4622)
314 STENOGRAPHERS (4623)
315 TYPISTS (4624)

------------------------------------------------------------

Information Clerks

(316) THROUGH (323) ARE RECODED TO: 25

316 INTERVIEWERS (4642)
317 HOTEL CLERKS (4643)
318 TRANSPORTATION TICKET AND RESERVATION AGENTS (4644)
319 RECEPTIONISTS (4645)
323 INFORMATION CLERKS, N.E.C. (4649)

------------------------------------------------------------

Records Processing Occupations, except Financial

(325) THROUGH (336) ARE RECODED TO: 26

325 CLASSIFIED-AD CLERKS (4662)
326 CORRESPONDENCE CLERKS (4663)
327 ORDER CLERKS (4664)
328 PERSONNEL CLERKS, EXCEPT PAYROLL AND TIMEKEEPING (4692)
329 LIBRARY CLERKS (4694)
335 FILE CLERKS (4696)
336 RECORDS CLERKS (4699)

------------------------------------------------------------

Financial Records Processing Occupations

(337) THROUGH (344) ARE RECODED TO: 27

337 BOOKKEEPERS, ACCOUNTING, AND AUDITING CLERKS (4712)
338 PAYROLL AND TIMEKEEPING CLERKS (4713)
339 BILLING CLERKS (4715)
343 COST AND RATE CLERKS (4716)
344 BILLING, POSTING, AND CALCULATING MACHINE OPERATORS (4718)
Duplicating, Mail and Other Office Machine Operators

(345) THROUGH (347) ARE RECODED TO: 28

345  DUPLICATING MACHINE OPERATORS (4722)
346  MAIL PREPARING AND PAPER HANDLING MACHINE OPERATORS (4723)
347  OFFICE MACHINE OPERATORS, N.E.C. (4729)

------------------------------------------------------------

Communications Equipment Operators

(348) THROUGH (353) ARE RECODED TO: 29

348  TELEPHONE OPERATORS (4732)
353  COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT OPERATORS, N.E.C. (4733, 4739)

------------------------------------------------------------

Mail and Message Distributing Occupations

(354) THROUGH (357) ARE RECODED TO: 30

354  POSTAL CLERKS, EXC. MAIL CARRIERS (4742)
355  MAIL CARRIERS, POSTAL SERVICE (4743)
356  MAIL CLERKS, EXC. POSTAL SERVICE (4744)
357  MESSENGERS (4745)

------------------------------------------------------------

Material Recording, Scheduling, and Distributing Clerks

(359) THROUGH (374) ARE RECODED TO: 31

359  DISPATCHERS (4751)
363  PRODUCTION COORDINATORS (4752)
364  TRAFFIC, SHIPPING, AND RECEIVING CLERKS (4753)
365  STOCK AND INVENTORY CLERKS (4754)
366  METER READERS (4755)
368  WEIGHERS, MEASURERS, CHECKERS, AND SAMPLERS (4756, 4757)
373  EXPEDITERS (4758)
374  MATERIAL RECORDING, SCHEDULING, AND DISTRIBUTING CLERKS, N.E.C. (4759)

------------------------------------------------------------

Adjusters and Investigators

(375) THROUGH (378) ARE RECODED TO: 32

375  INSURANCE ADJUSTERS, EXAMINERS, AND INVESTIGATORS (4782)
376  INVESTIGATORS AND ADJUSTERS, EXCEPT INSURANCE (4783)
377  ELIGIBILITY CLERKS, SOCIAL WELFARE (4784)
378  BILL AND ACCOUNT COLLECTORS (4786)
Miscellaneous Administrative Support Occupations

(379) THROUGH (389) ARE RECODED TO: 33

379    GENERAL OFFICE CLERKS (463)
383    BANK TELLERS (4791)
384    PROOFREADERS (4792)
385    DATA-ENTRY KEYERS (4793)
386    STATISTICAL CLERKS (4794)
387    TEACHERS' AIDS (4795)
389    ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (4787, 4799)

------------------------------------------------------------

SERVICE OCCUPATIONS

Private Household Occupations

(403) THROUGH (407) ARE RECODED TO: 34

403    LAUNDERERS AND IRONERS (503)
404    COOKS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD (504)
405    HOUSEKEEPERS AND BUTLERS (505)
406    CHILD CARE WORKERS, PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD (506)
407    PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD CLEANERS AND SERVANTS (502, 507, 509)

------------------------------------------------------------

Protective Service Occupations

...............-supervisors, protective service occupations-

(413) THROUGH (415) ARE RECODED TO: 35

413    SUPERVISORS, FIREFIGHTING AND FIRE PREVENTION OCCUPATIONS (5111)
414    SUPERVISORS, POLICE AND DETECTIVES (5112)
415    SUPERVISORS, GUARDS (5113)

------------------------------------------------------------

-firefighting and fire prevention occupations-

(416) THROUGH (417) ARE RECODED TO: 35

416    FIRE INSPECTION AND FIRE PREVENTION OCCUPATIONS (5122)
417    FIREFIGHTING OCCUPATIONS (5123)

------------------------------------------------------------

-police and detectives-

(418) THROUGH (424) ARE RECODED TO: 35

418    POLICE AND DETECTIVES, PUBLIC SERVICE (5132)
423    SHERIFFS, BAILIFFS, AND OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS (5134)
424    CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION OFFICERS (5133)
-guards-

(425) THROUGH (427) ARE RECODED TO: 35

425 CROSSING GUARDS (5142)
426 GUARDS AND POLICE, EXCEPT PUBLIC SERVICE (5144)
427 PROTECTIVE SERVICE OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (5149)

Service Occupations, except Protective and Household

- food preparation and service occupations -

(433) THROUGH (444) ARE RECODED TO: 36

433 SUPERVISORS, FOOD PREPARATION AND SERVICE OCCUPATIONS (5211)
434 BARTENDERS (5212)
435 WAITERS AND WAITRESSES (5213)
436 COOKS (5214, 5215)
438 FOOD COUNTER, FOUNTAIN AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS (5216)
439 KITCHEN WORKERS, FOOD PREPARATION (5217)
443 WAITERS'/WAITRESSES' ASSISTANTS (5218)
444 MISCELLANEOUS FOOD PREPARATION OCCUPATIONS (5219)

- health service occupations -

(445) THROUGH (447) ARE RECODED TO: 37

445 DENTAL ASSISTANTS (5232)
446 HEALTH AIDES, EXCEPT NURSING (5233)
447 NURSING AIDES, ORDERLIES, AND ATTENDANTS (5236)

-cleaning and building service occupations, exc. household -

(448) THROUGH (455) ARE RECODED TO: 38

448 SUPERVISORS, CLEANING AND BUILDING SERVICE WORKKERS (5241)
449 MAIDS AND HOUSEMEN (5242, 5249)
453 JANITORS AND CLEANERS (5244)
454 ELEVATOR OPERATORS (5245)
455 PEST CONTROL OCCUPATIONS (5246)

-personal service occupations -

(456) THROUGH (469) ARE RECODED TO: 39

456 SUPERVISORS, PERSONAL SERVICE OCCUPATIONS (5251)
457 BARBERS (5252)
458 HAIRDRESSERS AND COSMETOLOGISTS (5253)
ATTENDANTS, AMUSEMENT AND RECREATION FACILITIES (5254)
GUIDES (5255)
USHERS (5256)
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION ATTENDANTS (5257)
BAGGAGE PORTERS AND BELLHOPS (5262)
WELFARE SERVICE AIDES (5263)
FAMILY CHILD CARE PROVIDERS (PT 5264)
EARLY CHILDHOOD TEACHER'S ASSISTANTS (PT 5264)
CHILD CARE WORKERS (PT 5264)
PERSONAL SERVICE OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (5258, 5269)

FARMING, FORESTRY, AND FISHING OCCUPATIONS

Farm Operators and Managers

(473) THROUGH (476) ARE RECODED TO: 40
FARMERS, EXCEPT HORTICULTURAL (5512-5514)
HORTICULTURAL SPECIALTY FARMERS (5515)
MANAGERS, FARMS, EXCEPT HORTICULTURAL (5522-5524)
MANAGERS, HORTICULTURAL SPECIALTY FARMS (5525)

Other Agricultural and Related Occupations

-farm occupations, except managerial-

(477) THROUGH (484) ARE RECODED TO: 41
SUPERVISORS, FARM WORKERS (5611)
FARM WORKERS (5612-5617)
MARINE LIFE CULTIVATION WORKERS (5618)
NURSERY WORKERS (5619)

-related agricultural occupations-

(485) THROUGH (489) ARE RECODED TO: 42
SUPERVISORS, RELATED AGRICULTURAL OCCUPATIONS (5621)
GROUNDSKEEPERS AND GARDENERS, EXCEPT FARM (5622)
ANIMAL CARETAKERS, EXCEPT FARM (5624)
GRADERS AND SORTERS, AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (5625)
INSPECTORS, AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (5627)

-forestry and logging occupations-

(494) THROUGH (496) ARE RECODED TO: 43
SUPERVISORS, FORESTRY AND LOGGING WORKERS (571)
FORESTRY WORKERS, EXCEPT LOGGING (572)
TIMBER CUTTING AND LOGGING OCCUPATIONS (573, 579)
-fishers, hunters, and trappers-

(497) THROUGH (499) ARE RECODED TO: 43

497 CAPTAINS AND OTHER OFFICERS, FISHING VESSELS (PT 8241)
498 FISHERS (583)
499 HUNTERS AND TRAPPERS (584)

PRECISION PRODUCTION, CRAFT, AND REPAIR OCCUPATIONS

Mechanics and Repairers

.................

-mechanics and repairers supervisors-

(503) IS RECODED TO: 44

503 SUPERVISORS, MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS (60)

-mechanics and repairers, vehicle and mobile equipment-

(505) THROUGH (517) ARE RECODED TO: 44

505 AUTOMOBILE MECHANICS (PT 6111)
506 AUTOMOBILE MECHANIC APPRENTICES (PT 6111)
507 BUS, TRUCK, AND STATIONARY ENGINE MECHANICS (6112)
508 AIRCRAFT ENGINE MECHANICS (6113)
509 SMALL ENGINE REPAIRERS (6114)
514 AUTOMOBILE BODY AND RELATED REPAIRERS (6115)
515 AIRCRAFT MECHANICS, EXCEPT ENGINE (6116)
516 HEAVY EQUIPMENT MECHANICS (6117)
517 FARM EQUIPMENT MECHANICS (6118)

-mechanics and repairers, except vehicle and mobile equipment-

(518) THROUGH (534) ARE RECODED TO: 45

518 INDUSTRIAL MACHINERY REPAIRERS (613)
519 MACHINERY MAINTENANCE OCCUPATIONS (614)

ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT REPAIRERS

523 ELECTRONIC REPAIRERS, COMMUNICATIONS AND INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT (6151, 6153, 6155)
525 DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT REPAIRERS (6154)
526 HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCE AND POWER TOOL REPAIRERS (6156)
527 TELEPHONE LINE INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6157)
529 TELEPHONE INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6158)
533 MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT EQUIPMENT REPAIRERS (6152, 6159)
534 HEATING, AIR Conditioning, AND REFRIGERATION MECHANICS (616)
-miscellaneous mechanics and repairers

(535) THROUGH (549) ARE RECODED TO: 46

535  CAMERA, WATCH, AND MUSICAL INSTRUMENT REPAIRERS
     (6171, 6172)
536  LOCKSMITHS AND SAFE REPAIRERS (6173)
538  OFFICE MACHINE REPAIRERS (6174)
539  MECHANICAL CONTROLS AND VALVE REPAIRERS (6175)
543  ELEVATOR INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6176)
544  MILLWRIGHTS (6178)
547  SPECIFIED MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS, N.E.C.
     (6177, 6179)
549  NOT SPECIFIED MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS

Construction Trades

---------------
-supervisors, construction occupations-

(553) THROUGH (558) ARE RECODED TO: 47

553  SUPERVISORS; BRICKMASONS, STONEMASONS, AND TILE SETTERS (6312)
554  SUPERVISORS, CARPENTERS AND RELATED WORKERS (6313)
555  SUPERVISORS, ELECTRICIANS AND POWER TRANSMISSION INSTALLERS (6314)
556  SUPERVISORS; PAINTERS, PAPERHANGERS, AND PLASTERERS (6315)
557  SUPERVISORS; PLUMBERS, PIPEFITTERS, AND STEAMFITTERS (6316)
558  SUPERVISORS, N.E.C. (6311, 6318)

-construction trades, except supervisors-

(563) THROUGH (599) ARE RECODED TO: 48

563  BRICKMASONS AND STONEMASONS, (PT 6412, PT 6413)
564  BRICKMASON AND STONEMASON APPRENTICES
     (PT 6412, PT 6413)
565  TILE SETTERS, HARD AND SOFT (6414, PT 6462)
566  CARPET INSTALLERS (PT 6462)
567  CARPENTERS (PT 6422)
569  CARPENTER APPRENTICES (PT 6422)
573  DRYWALL INSTALLERS (6424)
575  ELECTRICIANS (PT 6432)
576  ELECTRICIAN APPRENTICES (PT 6432)
577  ELECTRICAL POWER INSTALLERS AND REPAIRERS (6433)
579  PAINTERS, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE (6442)
583  PAPERHANGERS (6443)
584  PLASTERERS (6444)
585  PLUMBERS, PIPEFITTERS, AND STEAMFITTERS (PT 645)
587  PLUMBER, PIPEFITTER, AND STEAMFITTER APPRENTICES (PT 645)
CONCRETE AND TERRAZZO FINISHERS (6463)  
GLAZIERS (6464)  
INSULATION WORKERS (6465)  
PAVING, SURFACING, AND TAMING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (6466)  
ROOFERS (6468)  
SHEETMETAL DUCT INSTALLERS (6472)  
STRUCTURAL METAL WORKERS (6473)  
DRILLERS, EARTH (6474)  
CONSTRUCTION TRADES, N.E.C. (6467, 6475, 6476, 6479)

Extractive Occupations

(613) THROUGH (617) ARE RECODED TO: 49

SUPERVISORS, EXTRACTIVE OCCUPATIONS (632)  
DRILLERS, OIL WELL (652)  
EXPLOSIVES WORKERS (653)  
MINING MACHINE OPERATORS (654)  
MINING OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (656)

Precision Production Occupations

-precision metalworking occupations-

(634) THROUGH (655) ARE RECODED TO: 50

TOOL AND DIE MAKERS (PT 6811)  
TOOL AND DIE MAKER APPRENTICES (PT 6811)  
PRECISION ASSEMBLERS, METAL (6812)  
MACHINISTS (PT 6813)  
MACHINIST APPRENTICES (PT 6813)  
BOILERMAKERS (6814)  
PRECISION GRINDERS, FITTERS, AND TOOL SHARPENERS (6816)  
PATTERNMAKERS AND MODEL MAKERS, METAL (6817)  
LAY-OUT WORKERS (6821)  
PRECIOUS STONES AND METALS WORKERS (JEWELERS) (6822, 6866)  
ENGRAVERS, METAL (6823)  
SHEET METAL WORKERS (PT 6824)  
SHEET METAL WORKER APPRENTICES (PT 6824)  
MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION METAL WORKERS (6829)
-precision woodworking occupations-

(656) THROUGH (659) ARE RECODED TO: 51

656 PATTERNMAKERS AND MODEL MAKERS, WOOD (6831)
657 CABINET MAKERS AND BENCH CARPENTERS (6832)
658 FURNITURE AND WOOD FINISHERS (6835)
659 MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION WOODWORKERS (6839)

-precision textile, apparel, and furnishings machine workers-

(666) THROUGH (674) ARE RECODED TO: 52

666 DRESSMAKERS (PT 6852, PT 7752)
667 TAILORS (PT 6852)
668 UPHOLSTERERS (6853)
669 SHOE REPAIRERS (6854)
674 MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION APPAREL AND FABRIC WORKERS (6856, 6859, PT 7752)

-precision workers, assorted materials-

(675) THROUGH (684) ARE RECODED TO: 53

675 HAND MOLDERS AND SHAPERS, EXCEPT JEWELERS (6861)
676 PATTERNMAKERS, LAY-OUT WORKERS, AND CUTTERS (6862)
677 OPTICAL GOODS WORKERS (6864, PT 7477, PT 7677)
678 DENTAL LABORATORY AND MEDICAL APPLIANCE TECHNICIANS (6865)
679 BOOKBINDERS (6844)
683 ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT ASSEMBLERS (6867)
684 MISCELLANEOUS PRECISION WORKERS, N.E.C. (6869)

-precision food production occupations-

(686) THROUGH (688) ARE RECODED TO: 54

686 BUTCHERS AND MEAT CUTTERS (6871)
687 BAKERS (6872)
688 FOOD BATCHMAKERS (6873, 6879)

-precision inspectors, testers and related workers-

(689) THROUGH (693) ARE RECODED TO: 55

689 INSPECTORS, TESTERS, AND GRADERS (6881, 828)
693 ADJUSTERS AND CALIBRATORS (6882)
Plant and System Operators

(694) THROUGH (699) ARE RECODED TO: 56
694 WATER AND SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT OPERATORS (691)
695 POWER PLANT OPERATORS (PT 693)
696 STATIONARY ENGINEERS (PT 693, 7668)
699 MISCELLANEOUS PLANT AND SYSTEM OPERATORS (692, 694, 695, 696)

----------------------------------------

OPERATORS, FABRICATORS, AND LABORERS

Machine Operators, Assemblers, and Inspectors

...........................
-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
metalworking and plastic working machine operators-

(703) THROUGH (717) ARE RECODED TO: 57
703 LATHE AND TURNING MACHINE SET-UP OPERATORS (7312)
704 LATHE AND TURNING MACHINE OPERATORS (7512)
705 MILLING AND PLANING MACHINE OPERATORS (7313, 7513)
706 PUNCHING AND STAMPING PRESS MACHINE OPERATORS
(7314, 7317, 7514, 7517)
707 ROLLING MACHINE OPERATORS (7316, 7516)
708 DRILLING AND BORING MACHINE OPERATORS (7318, 7518)
709 GRINDING, ABRADING, BUFFING, AND POLISHING MACHINE
OPERATORS (7322, 7324, 7522)
713 FORGING MACHINE OPERATORS (7319, 7519)
714 NUMERICAL CONTROL MACHINE OPERATORS (7326)
715 MISCELLANEOUS METAL, PLASTIC, STONE, AND GLASS
WORKING MACHINE OPERATORS (7329, 7529)
717 FABRICATING MACHINE OPERATORS, N.E.C. (7339, 7539)

----------------------------------------

-metal operators and tenders, except precision:
metal and plastic processing machine operators-

(719) THROUGH (725) ARE RECODED TO: 58
719 MOLDING AND CASTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7315, 7342, 7515, 7542)
723 METAL PLATING MACHINE OPERATORS (7343, 7543)
724 HEAT TREATING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (7344, 7544)
725 MISCELLANEOUS METAL AND PLASTIC PROCESSING MACHINE
OPERATORS (7349, 7549)

----------------------------------------

-metal operators and tenders, except precision:
woodworking machine operators-

(726) THROUGH (733) ARE RECODED TO: 59
726 WOOD LATHE, ROUTING AND PLANING MACHINE OPERATORS
(7431, 7432, 7631, 7632)
727 SAWING MACHINE OPERATORS (7433, 7633)
SHAPING AND JOINING MACHINE OPERATORS (7435, 7635)
NAILING AND TACKING MACHINE OPERATORS (7636)
MISCELLANEOUS WOODWORKING MACHINE OPERATORS (7434, 7439, 7634, 7639)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
  printing machine operators-
  (734) THROUGH (737) ARE RECODED TO: 60
PRINTING PRESS OPERATORS (7443, 7643)
PHOTOENGRAVERS AND LITHOGRAPHERS (6842, 7444, 7644)
TYPESETTERS AND COMPOSITORS (6841, 7642)
MISCELLANEOUS PRINTING MACHINE OPERATORS (6849, 7449, 7649)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
  textile, apparel, and furnishings machine operators-
  (738) THROUGH (749) ARE RECODED TO: 61
WINDING AND TWISTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7451, 7651)
KNITTING, LOOPING, TAPING, AND WEAVING MACHINE OPERATORS (7452, 7652)
TEXTILE CUTTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7654)
TEXTILE SEWING MACHINE OPERATORS (7655)
SHOE MACHINE OPERATORS (7656)
PRESSING MACHINE OPERATORS (7657)
LAUNDERING AND DRY CLEANING MACHINE OPERATORS (6855, 7658)
MISCELLANEOUS TEXTILE MACHINE OPERATORS (7459, 7659)

-machine operators and tenders, except precision:
  machine operators, assorted materials-
  (753) THROUGH (779) ARE RECODED TO: 62
CEMENTING AND GLUING MACHINE OPERATORS (7661)
PACKAGING AND FILLING MACHINE OPERATORS (7462, 7662)
EXTRUDING AND FORMING MACHINE OPERATORS (7463, 7663)
MIXING AND BLENDING MACHINE OPERATORS (7664)
SEPARATING, FILTERING, AND CLARIFYING MACHINE OPERATORS (7476, 7666, 7676)
COMPRESSING AND COMPACTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7467, 7667)
PAINTING AND PAINT SPRAYING MACHINE OPERATORS (7669)
ROASTING AND BAKING MACHINE OPERATORS, FOOD (7472, 7672)
WASHING, CLEANING, AND PICKLING MACHINE OPERATORS (7673)
FOLDING MACHINE OPERATORS (7474, 7674)
FURNACE, KILN, AND OVEN OPERATORS, EXC. FOOD (7675)
CRUSHING AND GRINDING MACHINE OPERATORS
(P.T. 7477, P.T. 7677)
SLICING AND CUTTING MACHINE OPERATORS (7478, 7678)
MOTION PICTURE PROJECTIONISTS (P.T. 7479)
PHOTOGRAPHIC PROCESS MACHINE OPERATORS
(6863, 6868, 7671)
MISCELLANEOUS MACHINE OPERATORS, N.E.C.
(P.T. 7479, 7665, 7679)
MACHINE OPERATORS, NOT SPECIFIED

-fabricators, assemblers, and hand working occupations-

(783) THROUGH (795) ARE RECODED TO: 63

WELDERS AND CUTTERS (7332, 7532, 7714)
SOLDERERS AND BRAZERS (7333, 7533, 7717)
ASSEMBLERS (772, 774)
HAND CUTTING AND TRIMMING OCCUPATIONS (7753)
HAND MOLDING, CASTING, AND FORMING OCCUPATIONS
(7754, 7755)
HAND PAINTING, COATING, AND DECORATING OCCUPATIONS
(7756)
HAND ENGRAVING AND PRINTING OCCUPATIONS (7757)
MISCELLANEOUS HAND WORKING OCCUPATIONS (7759)

-production inspectors, testors, samplers, and weighers-

(796) THROUGH (799) ARE RECODED TO: 64

PRODUCTION INSPECTORS, CHECKERS, AND EXAMINERS
(782, 787)
PRODUCTION TESTERS (783)
PRODUCTION SAMPLERS AND WEIGHERS (784)
GRADERS AND SORTERS, EXCEPT AGRICULTURAL (785)

Transportation and Material Moving Occupations

-motor vehicle operators-

(803) THROUGH (814) ARE RECODED TO: 65

SUPERVISORS, MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATORS (8111)
TRUCK DRIVERS (8212-8214)
DRIVER-SALES WORKERS (8218)
BUS DRIVERS (8215)
TAXICAB DRIVERS AND CHAUFFEURS (8216)
PARKING LOT ATTENDANTS (874)
MOTOR TRANSPORTATION OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C. (8219)
Transportation Occupations, except Motor Vehicles

- rail transportation occupations -

(823) THROUGH (826) ARE RECODED TO: 66

823 RAILROAD CONDUCTORS AND YARDMASTERS (8113)
824 LOCOMOTIVE OPERATING OCCUPATIONS (8232)
825 RAILROAD BRAKE, SIGNAL, AND SWITCH OPERATORS (8233)
826 RAIL VEHICLE OPERATORS, N.E.C. (8239)

-water transportation occupations -

(828) THROUGH (834) ARE RECODED TO: 66

828 SHIP CAPTAINS AND MATES, EXCEPT FISHING BOATS (PT 8241, 8242)
829 SAILORS AND DECKHANDS (8243)
833 MARINE ENGINEERS (8244)
834 BRIDGE, LOCK, AND LIGHTHOUSE TENDERS (8245)

Material Moving Equipment Operators

(843) THROUGH (859) ARE RECODED TO: 67

843 SUPERVISORS, MATERIAL MOVING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (812)
844 OPERATING ENGINEERS (8312)
845 LONGSHORE EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (8313)
848 HOIST AND WINCH OPERATORS (8314)
849 CRANE AND TOWER OPERATORS (8315)
853 EXCAVATING AND LOADING MACHINE OPERATORS (8316)
855 GRADER, DOZER, AND SCRAPER OPERATORS (8317)
856 INDUSTRIAL TRUCK AND TRACTOR EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (8318)
859 MISCELLANEOUS MATERIAL MOVING EQUIPMENT OPERATORS (8319)

Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers, and Laborers

(864) THROUGH (873) ARE RECODED TO: 68

864 SUPERVISORS; HANDLERS, EQUIPMENT CLEANERS, AND LABORERS, N.E.C. (85)
865 HELPERS, MECHANICS AND REPAIRERS (863)

HELPERS, CONSTRUCTION AND EXtractive OCCupations

866 HELPERS, CONSTRUCTION TRADES (8641-8645, 8648)
867 HELPERS, SURVEYOR (8646)
868 HELPERS, EXTRACTive OCCupations (865)
869 CONSTRUCTION LABORERS (871)
873 PRODUCTION HELPERS (861, 862)
Freight, Stock, and Material Handlers

(875) THROUGH (883) ARE RECODED TO: 69

875  GARBAGE COLLECTORS (8722)
876  STEVEDORES (8723)
877  STOCK HANDLERS AND BAGGERS (8724)
878  MACHINE FEEDERS AND OFFBEARERS (8725)
883  FREIGHT, STOCK, AND MATERIAL HANDLERS, N.E.C.
     (8726)

(885) THROUGH (889) ARE RECODED TO: 70

885  GARAGE AND SERVICE STATION RELATED OCCUPATIONS
     (873)
887  VEHICLE WASHERS AND EQUIPMENT CLEANERS (875)
888  HAND PACKERS AND PACKAGERS (8761)
889  LABORERS, EXCEPT CONSTRUCTION (8769)

(903) THROUGH (905) ARE RECODED TO: 71

903  COMMISSIONED OFFICERS AND WARRANT OFFICERS
904  NON-COMMISSIONED OFFICERS AND OTHER ENLISTED PERSONNEL
905  MILITARY OCCUPATION, RANK NOT SPECIFIED

(999) IS RECODED TO: 99

999  NA
NUMBERS IN PARENTHESES FOLLOWING THE INDUSTRY CATEGORIES ARE THE 1987 SIC DEFINITIONS. THE ABBREVIATION "PT" MEANS "PART" AND "N.E.C." MEANS "NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED."

1990 CENSUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry category</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, AND FISHERIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010 Agricultural production, crops (01)</td>
<td>011 Agricultural production, livestock (02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012 Veterinary services (074)</td>
<td>020 Landscape and horticultural services (078)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030 Agricultural services, n.e.c. (071, 072, 075, 076)</td>
<td>031 Forestry (08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>032 Fishing, hunting, and trapping (09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040 Metal mining (10)</td>
<td>041 Coal mining (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>042 Oil and gas extraction (13)</td>
<td>050 Nonmetallic mining and quarrying, except fuels (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>060 CONSTRUCTION (15, 16, 17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANUFACTURING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondurable Goods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 Meat products (201)</td>
<td>101 Dairy products (202)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 Canned, frozen, and preserved fruits and vegetables (203)</td>
<td>110 Grain mill products (204)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 Bakery products (205)</td>
<td>112 Sugar and confectionery products (206)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 Beverage industries (208)</td>
<td>121 Miscellaneous food preparations and kindred products (207, 209)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122 Not specified food industries</td>
<td>130 Tobacco manufactures (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile mill products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132 Knitting mills (225)</td>
<td>140 Dyeing and finishing textiles, except wool and knit goods (226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 Carpets and rugs (227)</td>
<td>142 Yarn, thread, and fabric mills (221-224, 228)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 Miscellaneous textile mill products (229)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel and other finished textile products</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151 Apparel and accessories, except knit (231-238)</td>
<td>152 Miscellaneous fabricated textile products (239)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Paper and allied products

160 Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills (261-263)
161 Miscellaneous paper and pulp products (267)
162 Paperboard containers and boxes (265)

Printing, publishing, and allied industries

171 Newspaper publishing and printing (271)
172 Printing, publishing, and allied industries, except newspapers (272-279)

Chemicals and allied products

180 Plastics, synthetics, and resins (282)
181 Drugs (283)
182 Soaps and cosmetics (284)
190 Paints, varnishes, and related products (285)
191 Agricultural chemicals (287)
192 Industrial and miscellaneous chemicals (281, 286, 289)

Petroleum and coal products

200 Petroleum refining (291)
201 Miscellaneous petroleum and coal products (295, 299)

Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products

210 Tires and inner tubes (301)
211 Other rubber products, and plastics footwear and belting (302-306)
212 Miscellaneous plastics products (308)

Leather and leather products

220 Leather tanning and finishing (311)
221 Footwear, except rubber and plastic (313, 314)
222 Leather products, except footwear (315-317, 319)

Durable Goods

Lumber and wood products, except furniture

230 Logging (241)
231 Sawmills, planing mills, and millwork (242, 243)
232 Wood buildings and mobile homes (245)
241 Miscellaneous wood products (244, 249)
242 Furniture and fixtures (25)

Stone, clay, glass, and concrete products

250 Glass and glass products (321-323)
251 Cement, concrete, gypsum, and plaster products (324, 327)
252 Structural clay products (325)
261 Pottery and related products (326)
262 Miscellaneous nonmetallic mineral and stone products (328, 329)
Metal industries

270 Blast furnaces, steelworks, rolling and finishing mills (331)
271 Iron and steel foundries (332)
272 Primary aluminum industries (3334, part 334, 3353–3355, 3363, 3365)
280 Other primary metal industries (3331, 3339, part 334, 3351, 3356, 3357, 3364, 3366, 3369, 339)
281 Cutlery, handtools, and general hardware (342)
282 Fabricated structural metal products (344)
290 Screw machine products (345)
291 Metal forgings and stampings (346)
292 Ordnance (348)
300 Miscellaneous fabricated metal products (341, 343, 347, 349)
301 Not specified metal industries

Machinery and computing equipment

310 Engines and turbines (351)
311 Farm machinery and equipment (352)
312 Construction and material handling machines (353)
320 Metalworking machinery (354)
321 Office and accounting machines (3578, 3579)
322 Computers and related equipment (3571–3577)
331 Machinery, except electrical, n.e.c. (355, 356, 358, 359)
332 Not specified machinery

Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies

340 Household appliances (363)
341 Radio, TV, and communication equipment (365, 366)
342 Electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies, n.e.c. (361, 362, 364, 367, 369)
350 Not specified electrical machinery, equipment, and supplies

Transportation Equipment

351 Motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment (371)
352 Aircraft and parts (372)
360 Ship and boat building and repairing (373)
361 Railroad locomotives and equipment (374)
362 Guided missiles, space vehicles, and parts (376)
370 Cycles and miscellaneous transportation equipment (375, 379)

Professional and photographic equipment, and watches

371 Scientific and controlling instruments (381, 382, exc. 3827)
372 Medical, dental, and optical instruments and supplies (3827, 384, 385)
380 Photographic equipment and supplies (386)
381 Watches, clocks, and clockwork operated devices (387)
390 Toys, amusement, and sporting goods (394)
391 Miscellaneous manufacturing industries (39 exc. 394)
392 Not specified manufacturing industries
TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES

**Transportation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>Railroads (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>Bus service and urban transit (41, except 412)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>Taxicab service (412)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Trucking service (421, 423)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>Warehousing and storage (422)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>U.S. Postal Service (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>Water transportation (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>Air transportation (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>Pipe lines, except natural gas (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td>Services incidental to transportation (47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Communications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>Radio and television broadcasting and cable (483, 484)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>Telephone communications (481)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442</td>
<td>Telegraph and miscellaneous communications services (482, 489)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Utilities and sanitary services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>Electric light and power (491)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451</td>
<td>Gas and steam supply systems (492, 496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452</td>
<td>Electric and gas, and other combinations (493)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>Water supply and irrigation (494, 497)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>471</td>
<td>Sanitary services (495)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>472</td>
<td>Not specified utilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WHOLESALE TRADE**

**Durable Goods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>Motor vehicles and equipment (501)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>Furniture and home furnishings (502)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502</td>
<td>Lumber and construction materials (503)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510</td>
<td>Professional and commercial equipment and supplies (504)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>Metals and minerals, except petroleum (505)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>Electrical goods (506)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>Hardware, plumbing and heating supplies (507)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>Machinery, equipment, and supplies (508)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>Scrap and waste materials (5093)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>Miscellaneous wholesale, durable goods (509, exc. 5093)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nondurable Goods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>Paper and paper products (511)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>Drugs, chemicals and allied products (512, 516)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542</td>
<td>Apparel, fabrics, and notions (513)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>Groceries and related products (514)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>Farm-product raw materials (515)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552</td>
<td>Petroleum products (517)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>Alcoholic beverages (518)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Farm supplies (5191)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>562</td>
<td>Miscellaneous wholesale, nondurable goods (5192-5199)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>571</td>
<td>Not specified wholesale trade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RETAIL TRADE

580 Lumber and building material retailing (521, 523)
581 Hardware stores (525)
582 Retail nurseries and garden stores (526)
590 Mobile home dealers (527)
591 Department stores (531)
592 Variety stores (533)
600 Miscellaneous general merchandise stores (539)
601 Grocery stores (541)
602 Dairy products stores (545)
610 Retail bakeries (546)
611 Food stores, n.e.c. (542, 543, 544, 549)
620 Auto and home supply stores (553)
621 Gasoline service stations (554)
622 Miscellaneous vehicle dealers (555, 556, 557, 559)
623 Apparel and accessory stores, except shoe (56, except 566)
630 Shoe stores (566)
631 Furniture and home furnishings stores (571)
632 Household appliance stores (572)
633 Radio, TV, and computer stores (5731, 5734)
640 Music stores (5735, 5736)
641 Eating and drinking places (58)
642 Drug stores (591)
650 Liquor stores (592)
651 Sporting goods, bicycles, and hobby stores (5941, 5945, 5946)
652 Book and stationery stores (5942, 5943)
660 Jewelry stores (5944)
661 Gift, novelty, and souvenir shops (5947)
662 Sewing, needlework and piece goods stores (5949)
663 Catalog and mail order houses (5961)
670 Vending machine operators (5962)
671 Direct selling establishments (5963)
672 Fuel dealers (598)
681 Retail florists (5992)
682 Miscellaneous retail stores (593, 5948, 5993-5995, 5999)
691 Not specified retail trade

FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE

700 Banking (60 exc. 603 and 606)
701 Savings institutions, including credit unions (603, 606)
702 Credit agencies, n.e.c. (61)
710 Security, commodity brokerage, and investment companies (62, 67)
711 Insurance (63, 64)
712 Real estate, including real estate-insurance offices (65)

BUSINESS AND REPAIR SERVICES

721 Advertising (731)
722 Services to dwellings and other buildings (734)
731 Personnel supply services (736)
732 Computer and data processing services (737)
740 Detective and protective services (7381, 7382)
741 Business services, n.e.c. (732, 733, 735, 7383-7389
742 Automotive rental and leasing, without drivers (751)
750 Automobile parking and carwashes (752, 7542)
751 Automotive repair and related services (753, 7549)
752 Electrical repair shops (762, 7694)
760 Miscellaneous repair services (763, 764, 7692, 7699)

PERSONAL SERVICES

761 Private households (88)
762 Hotels and motels (701)
770 Lodging places, except hotels and motels (702, 703, 704)
771 Laundry, cleaning, and garment services (721 exc. part 7219)
772 Beauty shops (723)
780 Barber shops (724)
781 Funeral service and crematories (726)
782 Shoe repair shops (725)
790 Dressmaking shops (part 7219)
791 Miscellaneous personal services (722, 729)

ENTERTAINMENT AND RECREATION SERVICES

800 Theaters and motion pictures (781-783, 792)
801 Video tape rental (784)
802 Bowling centers (793)
810 Miscellaneous entertainment and recreation services (791, 794, 799)

PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES

812 Offices and clinics of physicians (801, 803)
820 Offices and clinics of dentists (802)
821 Offices and clinics of chiropractors (8041)
822 Offices and clinics of optometrists (8042)
830 Offices and clinics of health practitioners, n.e.c. (8043, 8049)
831 Hospitals (806)
832 Nursing and personal care facilities (805)
840 Health services, n.e.c. (807, 808, 809)
841 Legal services (81)
842 Elementary and secondary schools (821)
850 Colleges and universities (822)
851 Vocational schools (824)
852 Libraries (823)
860 Educational services, n.e.c. (829)
861 Job training and vocational rehabilitation services (833)
862 Child day care services (part 835)
863 Family child care homes (part 835)
870 Residential care facilities, without nursing (836)
871 Social services, n.e.c. (832, 839)
872 Museums, art galleries, and zoos (84)
873 Labor unions (863)
880 Religious organizations (866)
881 Membership organizations, n.e.c. (861, 862, 864, 865, 869)
882 Engineering, architectural, and surveying services (871)
890 Accounting, auditing, and bookkeeping services (872)
891 Research, development, and testing services (873)
892 Management and public relations services (874)
893 Miscellaneous professional and related services (899)
PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

900  Executive and legislative offices (911-913)
901  General government, n.e.c. (919)
910  Justice, public order, and safety (92)
921  Public finance, taxation, and monetary policy (93)
922  Administration of human resources programs (94)
930  Administration of environmental quality and housing programs (95)
931  Administration of economic programs (96)
932  National security and international affairs (97)

ACTIVE DUTY MILITARY

Armed Forces

940  Army
941  Air Force
942  Navy
950  Marines
951  Coast Guard
952  Armed Forces, Branch not specified
960  Military Reserves or National Guard

EXPERIENCED UNEMPLOYED NOT CLASSIFIED BY INDUSTRY

992  Last worked in 1984 or earlier
PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE

* indicates new or amended code in 2000
** indicates "other" codes

PARTY ONLY -- PEOPLE WITHIN PARTY

0001 Johnson
0002 Kennedy, John; JFK
0003 Kennedy, Robert; RFK
0004 Kennedy, Edward; "Ted"
0005 Kennedy, NA which
0006 Truman
0007 Roosevelt; "FDR"
0008 McGovern
0009 Carter
0010 Mondale
0011 McCarthy, Eugene
0012 Humphrey
0013 Muskie
0014 Dukakis, Michael
0015 Wallace
0016 Jackson, Jesse
0017 Clinton, Bill
0018 Clinton, Hillary
0019 Gore, Al
* 0020 Lieberman, Joseph

0031 Eisenhower; Ike
0032 Nixon

0034 Rockefeller
0035 Reagan
0036 Ford
0037 Bush, Sr., George
0038 Connally
0039 Kissinger
0040 McCarthy, Joseph
0041 Buchanan, Pat
0042 Dole
* 0043 Gingrich, Newt
* 0044 Cheney, Dick
* 0045 Bush, Jr. George W.
* 0046 McCain, John

0051 Other national party figures (Senators, Congressman, etc.)
0052 Local party figures (city, state, etc.)
0053 Good/Young/Experienced leaders; like whole ticket
0054 Bad/Old/Inexperienced leaders; dislike whole ticket
0055 Reference to vice-presidential candidate

** 0097 Other people within party reasons
PARTY ONLY -- PARTY CHARACTERISTICS

0101 Traditional Democratic voter: always been a Democrat; just a Democrat; never been a Republican; just couldn't vote Republican

0102 Traditional Republican voter: always been a Republican; just a Republican; never been a Democrat; just couldn't vote Democratic

0111 Positive, personal, affective terms applied to party--good/nice people; patriotic; etc.

0112 Negative, personal, affective terms applied to party--bad/lazy people; lack of patriotism; etc.

0121 Can trust them; they keep their promises; you know where they stand

0122 Can't trust them; they break their promises; you don't know where they stand

0131 Party is well-organized, sticks together, is united; members are disciplined; votes party line

0132 Party is poorly-organized/really two parties/divided/factionalized; members not disciplined; doesn't vote party line

0133 Party is (more) representative/good cross-section of the country; encompasses a wider variety of views/people; is more at the center of the country's views

0134 Party is less/not representative;bad cross-section of the country; encompasses more restricted views; is less at the center of the country's views

0135 Reference to participation of minority candidate(s)

0141 Reference to party's most recent National Convention; party's process/method of selecting presidential/vice-presidential candidates

0151 Performance of local branch of party; how they've done in this state/county/town

0161 Reference to the predominant faction that R sees as being in control of the party (NA which faction); "I don't like the people running it"

0162 Reference to Northerners/Liberals (as in control) of Democratic Party

0163 Reference to Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Democratic Party

0164 Reference to Easterners/Liberals/Moderates (as in control) of Republican Party
0165 Reference to Midwesterners/Westerners/Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Republican Party; "Old Boy Network"

0166 Reference to Christian Coalition/Religious Right (as in control) of Republican Party

0167 Can't win; doesn't have a chance

0168 Can win; party can't be beat

0169 Too big a party; there are too many of them; party is too powerful

0170 Too small a party; there are not enough of them; party is too weak

0171 Listens (more) to people; takes (more) into consideration the needs and wants of people; understands (better) the people/the majority of the people

0172 Doesn't listen to/understand the needs and wants of the people/the majority of the people

0173 Campaign tactics, uses too much money in campaigns, slings mud

0174 Party been in office too long (but use 0430 for candidate been in office too long)

** 0197 Other party-characteristic reasons

CANDIDATE ONLY -- EXPERIENCE, ABILITY

0201 General reference to him as "a good/bad man or a good/bad guy"; R has heard good/bad things about him; qualifications; general ability; reference to his "personality" ("job being done" is in code 0609)

0203 Not qualified for the office; the job is too big for him to handle

0211 Experienced (NA what kind) (see 0217, 0218, 0220 for specific kinds of experience; if in foreign policy see 1100's)

0212 Inexperienced

0213 Dependable/Trustworthy/Reliable; a man you can trust with the responsibilities of government ("trust" in the capability sense, rather than the honesty sense)

0214 Undependable/Untrustworthy/Unreliable; a man you can't trust with the responsibilities of government

0215 A military man; a good military/war record

0216 Not a military man; bad military/war record; no military/war record (but see 0719)
0217 His record in public service; how well he's performed in previous offices; voting record in Congress

0218 Has government experience/political experience/seniority/incumbency (also see code 0722)

0219 Lacks government experience/political experience

0220 A statesman; has experience in foreign affairs

0221 Not a statesman; lacks experience in foreign affairs

0222 "He has done a good job so far"; he has brought us through hard times; has gotten things done has some good ideas; trying to do right things

0223 Hasn't done anything; hasn't produced any results (general); has not been able to get programs off the ground

0224 Has fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises

0225 Has not fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises

** 0297 Other candidate experience/ability reasons

** CANDIDATE ONLY -- CANDIDATE LEADERSHIP QUALITIES

0301 Dignified/has dignity

0302 Undignified/lacks dignity

0303 Strong/decisive/self-confident/aggressive; will end all this indecision

0304 Weak/indecisive/lacks self-confidence/vacillating; "waffles"; "wishy-washy"

0305 Inspiring; a man you can follow; "a leader"; charisma

0306 Uninspiring; not a man you can follow; not a leader; lacks charisma

0335 Makes people feel good about America/being Americans; is patriotic/loves the country

0307 People have confidence in him

0308 People don't have confidence in him

0309 Good at communicating with blacks, young people, other "problem" groups

0310 Bad at communicating with blacks, young people, other "problem" groups (if communicate in general, see 0441, 0442)
0311 Knows how to handle people (at personal level)
0312 Doesn't know how to handle people (at personal level)
0313 A politician/political person; (too) much in politics; a good politician; part of Washington crowd; politically motivated; just wants to be re-elected
0314 Not a politician; not in politics; above politics; a bad politician
0315 Independent; no one runs him; his own boss
0316 Not independent; run by others; not his own man/boss
0317 Humble; knows his limitations; doesn't pretend to know all the answers
0318 Not humble enough; too cocky/self-confident
0319 (Too) Careful/Cautious/Good judgment
0320 (Too) Impulsive/Careless/Bad/Poor judgment
0334 Poor at explaining himself/his positions; doesn't answer questions clearly; speaks off the top of his head/doesn't stop to think before he speaks
0321 Helps people in the district on a personal level; has helped R personally with a problem (specific mention); tries to do things for the people
0322 Doesn't help people in the district on a personal level; was not helpful to R with a personal problem (specific mention)
0323 Represents (well) the views of the district; close to people in the district; comes home regularly to chat and mix with people
0324 Does not represent (well) the views of the district; not close to the people in the district; doesn't interact enough with the people
0325 Keeps people well informed about governmental matters; communicates with constituents; any mention of R receiving newsletters or communications from him/her; explains matters well so people can understand
0326 Does not inform people enough about governmental matters; does not send enough newsletters or communications; doesn't explain matters well
0327 Listens to the people/solicits public opinion; any mention of polls or questionnaires; is accessible to constituents (NFS)
0328 Doesn't listen to the people/does not solicit public opinion; isn't accessible to constituents (NFS)
0329 Has helped local (district) economy; brought money, projects, jobs to district

0330 Has not helped local (district) economy; not brought money, projects, jobs to district

0331 Candidate helps the district; watches out for the interests of the district or region in general

0332 Candidate has not protected/watched out for the interests of the district (specific mentions)

0334 Located after 0320

0335 Located after 0306

** 0397 Other candidate leadership reason

CANDIDATE ONLY -- PERSONAL QUALITIES

0401 Honest/Sincere; keeps promises; man of integrity; means what he says; fair; not tricky; open and candid; straightforward; positive Playboy references (1976)

0402 Dishonest/Insincere; breaks promises; no integrity; doesn't mean what he says; tricky; not open and candid; not straightforward

0403 Man of high principles/ideals; high moral purpose; idealistic (if too idealistic, code 0416)

0404 Lacks principles/ideals

0405 Racist/Bigoted/Prejudiced

0406 Not a racist/bigoted/prejudiced

0407 Public servant; man of duty; conscientious; hard-working; would be a full-time President; good attendance record in Congress; dedicated; really interested in serving people

0408 Doesn't take public service seriously; lazy; would be a part-time President; poor attendance record in office; not dedicated; not really interested in serving people; "shallow"

0409 Doesn't use office for personal benefit; not in office to maximize personal benefit

0410 Uses/in office (mostly) for personal benefits (junket trips, big salary, other perks)

0411 Patriotic; (88) like Bush's stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue
0412 Unpatriotic; (88) dislike Dukakis' stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue

0413 Understands the nation's/district's problems; well-informed; studies up on issues

0414 Doesn't understand the nation's/district's problems; poorly informed; doesn't study up on issues

0415 Realistic

0416 Unrealistic; too idealistic; (if "idealistic" in positive sense, code 0403)

0417 Uses common sense; makes a lot of sense; pragmatic/practical/down-to-earth

0418 Not sensible; impractical

0419 (Too) well educated; scholarly

0420 Poorly educated; unschooled

0421 Intelligent/Smart

0422 Unintelligent/Stupid/Dumb

0464 Uninformed; doesn't (seem to) know anything about the issues/what is going on in the country/government (for being "informed", see code 04130

0423 Religious; "moral" (in religious sense); God-fearing; "too" religious

0424 "Irreligious"; "immoral" (in religious sense); Playboy interview (reflects on Carter--1976)

0425 Self-made; not well off; started out as poor; worked his way up; (started out) unpolished/unrefined/rough

0426 Wealthy; rich; born with silver spoon in mouth; polished/refined/well-mannered; buy way into office; use of own money to finance campaign

0427 Old hat; has run before; a die-hard; "a loser" (in the past)

0428 Someone new; a fresh face

0429 Don't change horses in midstream

0430 Time for a change (see 0174 for party has been in office too long)

0431 Unsafe/Unstable; dictatorial; craves power; ruthless

0432 Safe/Stable

0433 Sense of humor; jokes a lot (too much)
0434 No sense of humor; humorless (too serious)
0435 Kind/Warm/Gentle; caring
0436 Cold/Aloof
0437 Likeable; gets along with people; friendly; outgoing; nice
0438 Not likeable; can't get along with people
0439 Democratic (in non-partisan sense)
0440 Undemocratic (in non-partisan sense)
0441 High-fallutin'/High-brow; talks in circles; can't talk to common man; can't communicate ideas well
0442 Not high-fallutin'/is low-brow; talks straight; can talk to common man; can communicate ideas well
0443 Well-known; "I know him/her"
0444 Unknown; not well known
0445 Reference to his family (not 0457)
0446 Reference to his wife/spouse
0447 Speaking ability
0448 Health
0449 Appearance/Looks/Face/ Appearance on TV; his smile
0450 Age (NA how perceived)
0451 (Too) Old
0452 (Too) Young
0453 Mature
0454 Immature
0455 Regional reference; "he's a Southerner"; "he's a Midwesterner"; he comes from the country/a rural area; area reference
0456 Previous occupation
0457 He's a family man
0459 Energetic; too energetic
0460 Not energetic
0461 Gender, e.g., "She's a woman"
0462 Racial/Ethnic attribute; "He is a black man"

0463 Sexual orientation mentioned; "She is a lesbian"

0464 Located after 0422

* 0465 Taking undeserved credit; taking credit for actions, events, or policies one is not responsible for; Gore claiming "to have invented the internet"

* 0466 Overcoming adversity in one's personal life; overcoming handicaps, disabilities, disease, alcoholism, or other similar problem.

** 0495 Other negative personal qualities

** 0496 Other positive personal qualities

** 0497 Other candidate personal qualities

0498 References to Playboy interview--NA direction or neutral; "it's OK," "that is what the Bible says" (not 0401)--1976

CANDIDATE ONLY--PARTY CONNECTIONS

0500 A Democrat; good Democrat; typical Democrat

0501 A Republican; good Republican; typical Republican

In codes 0500 and 0501 it may be necessary to flip answers. If the R will not vote for a Democrat because they say they are a Republican voter, then the response should be coded as Democrat. The R is Republican and will not vote for the candidate because he is a Democrat.

0502 Controlled by party regulars/bosses/machine

0503 Not controlled by party regulars/bosses

0504 Reference to men around him/staff/followers

0505 Reference to his speeches (exc. 0447), campaign tactics; mud-slinging; (88) dislike Bush's stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue; all campaign mentions

0506 Can win; best choice for party victory

0507 Cannot win; not good choice for party victory

0508 Reference to linkage with other party figures (he's close to the Kennedy's; he was close to Eisenhower; etc.)

0509 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic policies (unspecified)
0510 Would change/get rid of " "

0511 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic domestic policies (unspecified, not codeable in 0900's)

0512 Would change/get rid of " "

0513 Would continue/keep/follow Democratic foreign policies (unspecified, not codeable in 1100's)

0514 Would change/get rid of " "

0515 Would continue/keep/follow Republican policies (unspecified)

0516 Would change/get rid of " "

0517 Would continue/keep/follow Republican domestic policies (unspecified, not codeable in 0900's)

0518 Would change/get rid of " "

0519 Would continue/keep/follow Republican foreign policies (unspecified, not codeable in 1100's)

0520 Would change/get rid of " "

0531 More liberal than most Democrats; a Northern Democrat

0532 More conservative " " ; a Southern Democrat

0533 More liberal than most Republicans; an Eastern Republican

0534 More conservative " " ; a Midwestern/Western/Southern Republican

0535 Will bring in/listen to the (party) liberals

0536 Will bring in/listen to the (party) conservatives

0541 Reference to the Eagleton affair--1972; reference to physical or mental health of vice-presidential incumbent/candidate; emotional stability/state of V-P incumbent/candidate

0542 Reference to vice-presidential incumbent/candidate, running mate

0543 Mondale's selection of a woman for vice-president (1984); reference to age/gender/race/ethnicity of V-P incumbent/candidate

0544 Mention of issues that V-P incumbent/candidate is identified with or has taken a leading role in promoting: 1992--Gore's position on environment

0551 References to link with "Watergate"--positive reference to Watergate
0552 Not associated with "Watergate"--negative reference to Watergate; making too much out of Watergate

0553 Ford's pardon of Nixon--NA direction or against pardon

0554 " " " --pro; brave/right thing to do

0555 Positive references about independent candidacy; maybe the country needs a third party; third parties should have more recognition; the two party system needs buckling

0556 Negative references/liabilities related to independent candidacy; "he's an independent" (NFS); "we don't need a third party"; "he lacks backing from a party"

** 0597 Other candidate party connection reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT

0601 Good/Efficient/Businesslike administration; balanced budget; lower/wouldn't increase national debt; cautious spending

0602 Bad/Inefficient/Unbusinesslike administration; wasteful; "bureaucratic"; deficit budget; higher/increased national debt; overspend

0603 Honest government; not corrupt; no "mess in Washington"

0604 Dishonest/Corrupt government; "mess in Washington"; immorality in government; reference to Hayes, Mills, Lance

0605 (Would) Spend less (than other side); (would) spend too little

0606 (Would) Spend more (than other side); (would) spend too much

0607 Has brought/will bring about bureaucratic reform

0608 Has not brought/will not bring about bureaucratic reform

0609 General assessment of job he/they would do/are doing; is good/bad President; are providing good/bad administration

0622 Doesn't work (hard) at job; not involved (enough) in the work of his office/delegates too much authority to others; has chosen poor/incompetent aides; his aides have not performed well

0610 Reference to management/performance in Congress/Supreme Court/other government agency; made poor appointments

0611 He has/has not worked well with (Democratic) Congress; would/could have done better with (Republican) Congress; he kept/would keep Congress in check
0612 He will work well/better with (Democratic) Congress
0613 Gets more done/accomplishes as much/more productive
0614 Gets less done/doesn't accomplish as much/less productive
0625 Mostly approve of/happy with job done so far, but doesn't approve of everything that has been done
0615 Sympathy/understanding expressed for the complexity/magnitude of the job (e.g., President): tough job
0616 Sympathy/understanding expressed for the difficult situation ("a mess") inherited by the incumbent
0623 Doing the best he can (under the circumstances); doing as good a job as anyone else could do; everyone makes some mistakes
0617 Will face (difficult) issues; faces problems directly; faces up to political reality
0618 Will not face (difficult) issues; will not face problems directly; ignores political reality
0619 Supports the president/works well with the president/would work well with the president
0620 Does not support the president/does not (would not) work well with the president
0621 Response to/handling of domestic crisis or natural disaster--riot, hurricane, tornado, earthquake, flood, etc.
0622 Located after 0609
0623 Located after 0616
0625 Located after 0614
0626 Favors term limits
0627 The economy is bad, but that is not (necessarily) his fault

** 0697 Other government management reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--MISCELLANEOUS

0701 Just like him/them (NA why); like everything about him/them; "I was hoping he would win the (nomination/primaries)"
0702 Just dislike/Don't like him/them (NA why); don't like anything about him/them
0732 Used to like him but don't now; have lost respect for him
0703 Will save America; America needs him/them
0704 Will ruin America; last thing America needs
0705 Will unite Americans/bring people together
0706 Will divide Americans/drive people apart
0707 Speaks of party/candidate as good protector(s); will know what to do; more intelligent
0708 Speaks of party/candidate as bad protector(s); won't know what to do
0709 Good for country (unspecified); trying to do good job; trying; not just out for self/own best interest; has/have country's interest at heart
0710 Bad for country (unspecified); don't have country's interests at heart; only looking out for their own interests
0711 Lesser of two evils
0718 Treatment of Jesse Jackson; didn't offer him the vice-presidential nomination; didn't use him (effectively) to get out the Black vote; weren't courteous/respectful toward him; didn't keep promises made to him
0719 Sexual scandals; reference to Chappaquidic; Kennedy's personal problems; damaging incidents in personal life--sexual escapades
0720 Reference to Watergate affair (exc. 0551-0554)
0721 The way the incumbent came to office; the people should select President
0722 The incumbent should have a chance (on his own)/another chance/second chance
0723 (I believe in/Necessary for) a two-party system; choice between candidates; opposition; balances power of other party
0724 Vote for the man rather than party; look for more qualified man; don't pay attention to parties
0725 The opponent who the candidate ran against; the candidate was the better of the two in general; the candidate ran against someone I really dislike
0726 Splits votes; will elect wrong candidate; "spoiler"
0727 Expression of sympathy/admiration for the candidate's underdog position; trying hard against terrible odds; courageous uphill battle; "I like underdogs"; "they are
bucking the guy" (keeping him off ballot, not taking him seriously, not giving him enough publicity)

0728 Negative comments about the candidate's switching parties, being a turncoat, disloyal to his original party

0729 Party selection of a woman for vice-president

0730 Mention of debates; candidate's performance in the debates

0731 Position (vote) on increasing congressional salary; position (vote) on accepting honoraria/outside pay/royalties while in office

0732 Located after 0702

* 0734 Non-sexual scandals; Whitewater; Travel Office firings; FBI file controversy; (Whitewatergate, Travelgate, FBI gate); Bush Jr's drug and alcohol use. All sexual scandals should be coded in 0719 (incl. Paula Jones, "womanizing," "can't keep pants on," etc.)

* 0735 Campaign finance scandals; Gore at the Buddhist temple; Gore soliciting funds from his office

0796 References to unfair/undeserved/excessive criticism by media or public

** 0797 Other miscellaneous reasons: Other miscellaneous reasons relating to image and candidate/party effect on nation

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY/PHILOSOPHY

0801 General assessment of ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)

0802 Different from other party/candidate

0803 Same as other party/candidate; not different enough

0804 (Too) negative; always tearing down other side; no solutions of his/their own

0805 For government activity; believe government should take care of things; for big government; supports social programs/spending (not 0905-0907)

0806 Against government activity; believe government involved in too many things; favors reduction in social programs/spending (not 0905-0907)

0807 Humanistic; favor human beings over property rights

0808 Not humanistic; favor property rights over human beings

0809 Favor social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions
0810 Against social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions

0811 Socialistic

0812 Anti-socialistic

0813 Communist/soft/hard-liner on Communism/apologist for Communists/dupe

0814 (Too) anti-communist/hard-liner on Communism

0815 (Too) liberal (except 0531 or 0533)

0816 (Too) conservative (except 0532 or 0534)

0817 Moderate/middle of the road/for slow change; not an extremist/fanatic

0818 Extremist/fanatic/too far out; not too moderate/not a fence-sitter

0819 Pro-Far Right/Birchers/reactionaries; encouraging fascist/police state

0820 Anti-Far Right/ " " ; discouraging "

0821 Pro-Far Left/radicals/Yippies/SDS; encouraging anarchy/guerilla state

0822 Anti-Far Left/ " " ; discouraging "

0823 Pro-Extremists (NA direction)/nuts/bomb-throwers

0824 Anti-Extremists " "

0827 Pro-States'/local/community rights; better local government

0828 Anti- " " ; worse/weaker local government

0829 For equality; believe everyone should have things equally/be treated equally

0830 Anti-equality; believe some people should have more than others/people should not be treated equally

0831 Generous, compassionate, believe in helping others

0832 Selfish, only help themselves

0833 Acceptance of change/new ideas; less bound to status quo; more open to new ideas/ways of doing things; flexible, innovative

0834 Resistance to change/new ideas; stick to (protect) status quo; resist new ways of doing things; rigid
0835 Has a well-defined set of beliefs/definite philosophy; does not compromise on principles; has (clear) understanding of goals they stand for

0836 Has poorly defined set of beliefs; lacks a definite philosophy; compromise on principles; has no (clear) understanding of goals they stand for

0837 Favor work ethic; believes in self-reliance/in people working hard to get ahead

0838 Doesn't favor work ethic; believes in people being handed things/in government handouts (if specific policy mentioned, code in 0900's)

0841 Keep track of/control over administration heads, cabinet members, etc.; follow through on policies; determine if programs are working

0842 Don't (as in 0841)

0843 Conditional evaluation: R suggests candidate/party cannot solve problems because not under his/their control (no negative connotations); will he/they be able to do what they say (determining factor outside his/their control); "I like what he says but wonder if he can do it" (if clearly negative, code in 0122 or 0402)

0845 Will involve/wants to involve people/Congress/Cabinet/advisors/other government officials in government/decision making

0846 Will not involve people/Congress/Cabinet/advisors/other government officials in government/decision making

0847 Separation of church and state/religion and politics--pro

0848 Separation of church and state/religion and politics--anti

0849 Stand/views on religion (church/state relationship NA)

** 0897 Other Government Activity/Philosophy reasons

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--DOMESTIC POLICIES

0900 General assessment of domestic ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)

0901 General assessment of economic policy (unspecified)

0902 Government economic controls--NA direction

0903 " " " --Pro; we need planned economy; control of private enterprise
0904 " " " " --Anti; we have too much interference in private enterprise

0905 Welfare/Poverty problems--NA direction; give-away

0906 " " " " --Pro government aid/activity; pro give-aways

0907 " " " " --Anti government aid/activity; anti give-aways; pro self-help

0908 Social Security/Pensions--NA direction

0909 " " " " --Pro expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits

0910 " " " " --Anti expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease

0911 Unemployment compensation--NA direction

0912 " " " " --Pro expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits

0913 " " " " --Anti expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease

0914 Aid to education--NA direction

0915 " " " --Pro

0916 " " " --Anti

0917 Aid to parochial schools--NA direction

0918 " " " " --Pro

0919 " " " " --Anti

1047 Establish/enforce standards for schools (test teachers, require minimum curricula, regulate class size, etc) -- NA direction

1048 " " " " -- Pro

1049 " " " " --Anti

0920 Housing--NA direction

0921 " --Pro more public housing

0922 " --Anti more public housing

* 0923 Aid/Programs for older people/the aged, Medicare, Medicaid, prescription drug plan, direction -- NA
School vouchers--NA direction

Monetary policy--NA direction

Tax policy--NA direction

Solvency/stability/regulation/control of the nation's FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS. [1990] Involvement in the Savings and Loan scandals

[1990] Candidate voted for the budget agreement which resulted in increased taxes/fees

"The Times"/General conditions/Prosperity/The Economy

Inflation/Cost of living

Wages/Salaries/Income/Employment

Prices for producers

Programs to help farmers -- NA direction
Civil rights/Racial justice/Integration/Desegregation/Voting Rights -- NA direction

Civil liberties/Freedom of expression/First amendment/Privacy -- NA direction

Affirmative Action programs -- NA direction

General assessment of Labor policy (unspecified)

Right to work laws--NA direction

Strikes--NA direction

Public power/Utilities/TVA/Atomic reactors/Nuclear power plants/Etc. -- NA direction

Ecology/Environment; Air and Water Pollution--NA direction

Will crack down on polluters, will be activist; will protect the environment
Won't crack down on polluters, doesn't care; in league with polluters; not willing to protect the environment.

Veterans' Benefits--NA direction

--Pro expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits

--Anti expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease

Law and order--NA direction

--soft line--unspecified

--blacks

--campus demonstrators

--criminals/organized crime/hoodlums/street crime

--anti power of police; court interference

--opposes death penalty

--hard line--unspecified

--blacks

--campus demonstrators

--criminals/organized crime/hoodlums/street crime

--pro power of police; reduced court interference

--favors death penalty

Public morality--NA direction

--Strict/older/traditionalistic outlook; improve/renew morality of country; pro-family; defends family values

--Permissive/newer/modernistic outlook; not (strongly enough) pro-family; doesn't defend (strongly enough) family values

Drugs--NA direction

--Pro legalization/decriminalization; soft-liner; (88) doesn't support (strongly enough) the war on drugs; not willing to do more to combat drug use/pushers; involvement with Noreiga
0984 " --Anti legalization/decriminalization; hard-liner; (88) supports the war on drugs; willing to do more to combat drug use/pushers

0985 Abortion and birth control--NA direction

0986 " " " --Pro reform/legalization; new outlook
0987 " " " --Anti reform/legalization; traditional outlook

0988 Gun control--NA direction

0989 " " --Pro; controls
0990 " " --Anti; "everyone has the right to own a gun"

0991 Busing--NA direction

0992 " --Pro; against neighborhood school
0993 " --Anti; for neighborhood school

0994 Urban problem/Cities--NA direction

0995 " " " --Pro government aid/activity
0996 " " " --Anti government aid/activity

** 0997 Other domestic policy reasons

1001 National Health Insurance--NA direction

1002 " " " --Pro
1003 " " " --Anti

1004 Energy/Gas shortage--Development of alternative energy source, NA direction

1005 " " " --Pro development of alternative source, better/handled better; more fuel
1006 " " " --Anti development of alternative energy source, worse/handled worse; less fuel

References to nuclear energy should be coded in 0959.

1007 Government plans to make more jobs--NA direction; make-work programs; CETA; WPAL; CCA

1008 " " " " --Pro
1009 " " " " --Anti

1010 Confidence/Trust in government--NA direction
1011 " " " --would handle better; restore confidence
1012 " " " --would handle worse; cause loss of confidence
1013 ERA; Women's rights--NA direction
1014 " " " --Pro
1015 " " " --Anti
* 1016 Influx of political/economic refugees (Cubans, Haitians, Mexicans, etc.); include "the little Cuban boy"-Elian Gonzalez --NA direction
* 1017 " " " --Pro
* 1018 " " " --Anti
1019 School prayer--NA direction
1020 " " --Pro
1021 " " --Anti
1022 Gay rights--NA direction
1023 " " --Pro
1024 " " --Anti
1025 Health--NA direction; medical reform (do not use 1001 unless specific reference to National Health Insurance)
1026 Health--Pro government programs/aid for mentally ill, disabled, handicapped, AIDS (except 0923, 0924, 0925)
1027 " --Anti " " " " " (except 0923, 0924, 0925)
1028 Space program--NA direction
1029 " " --Pro
1030 " " --Anti
1031 Help to/improvement in a specific industry or occupation--NA direction
1032 " " " " " -- Pro help/ improvement
1033 " " " " " -- Anti help/ improvement
(NOTE: Tobacco industry/smoking has been coded under 1031-1033)
1035 Polarization of classes/increasing gap between rich and poor--NA direction
1036 " " " " " --will stop trend/handle better
1037 " " " " " --will accelerate trend/handle worse
1038 Day care--NA direction
1039 " " --favors/will expand or extend day care programs
1040 " " --opposes/will not expand or extend (will cut or eliminate) day care programs
1041 Located after 0973
1042 Located after 0978
1043 Located after 0948
1044 Located after 0948
1045 Located after 0948
1046 Located after 0928
1047 Located after 0919
1048 Located after 0919
1049 Located after 0919
1050 Local/state issue mentioned--NA direction
1051 " " --pro
1052 " " --anti
1053 How candidate feels about_votes on Clinton
impeachment--NA direction
1054 " " --pro
1055 " " --anti
* 1056 Financing of elections; campaign finance reform--NA dir
* 1057 " " --Pro
* 1058 " " --Anti

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--FOREIGN POLICIES

1101 General assessment of foreign ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)
1102 Foreign policies more clear-cut/decisive; less bungling
1103 Foreign policies less clear-cut/decisive; more bungling
1104 Internationalist/Interested in other countries' problems/Interested in world role/Pro-UN and allies;
Meddling in other people's problems
1105 Isolationist/America First/Fortress America/Would meddle less in other people's problems

1184 Military/Defense position/spending--NA direction or neutral (not 1106, 1107)

1106 Strong military position/Preparedness/Weapons systems/ Pentagon spending/Overkill; SDI ("Star Wars")

1107 Weak military position/Pentagon spending cutbacks/No overkill/Reduce armed forces; SDI ("Star Wars")

1108 Cold-war oriented; opposed detente; international Communist-fighter

1109 Against cold war/Wants thaw/Detente/Understanding with international communists (if NA whether international, code in 0813-0814)

1110 Military aid to allies--NA direction

1111 " " " --Pro

1112 " " " --Anti

1113 Economic aid/Foreign aid/AID/Non-military aid--NA dir.

1114 " " " " " " " --Pro

1115 " " " " " " " --Anti

1116 Located after 1163

1117 " " "

1118 Mideast--NA direction; any references to oil embargo; boycott of companies dealing with Israel

1119 " --handle better/more experience; positive comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty

1120 " --handle worse/less experience; negative comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty; [1992] Iraagate

1121 " --Pro-Israel/anti-Arabs

1122 " --Anti-Israel/pro-Arabs; wishy-washy on Israel

1123 Red China--NA direction

1124 " " --handle better/more experience/doing well, better

1125 " " --handle worse/less experience/doing poorly
Russia--NA direction

--handle better/more experience
--handle worse/less experience

--pro understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of relations; SALT II
--anti understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of relations; SALT II

Eastern Europe--NA direction

--handle better/more experience
--handle worse/less experience
--pro defense of Iron-Curtain countries
--anti

Latin America--NA direction

--handle better/more experience
--handle worse/less experience

--pro-third world posture; reach understanding with Castro/Chile/neutrals; anti-colonialism/European powers; against Contra aid/pro-Sandinista
--anti-third world posture; hard anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy; pro-colonialism/European powers; pro Contra aid/anti-Sandinista

Africa--NA direction

--handle better/more experience
--handle worse/less experience

--pro-third world posture; reach understanding with leftists/neutrals; anti-colonialism/European powers
anti-third world posture; hard
anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy;
pro-colonialism/European powers

Asia/India--NA direction

--handle better/more experience

--handle worse/less experience

--pro India/Bangladesh

--pro Pakistan

Located after 1163

Vietnam/Indochina/Southeast Asia--NA direction

--better chance for peace

--poorer chance for peace; failed
to end war

--pro military victory/
preservation of Saigon regime

--anti military victory/
willing to sacrifice Thieu/Ky;
favoring withdrawal

--will bring policy change
(unspec.)

Trouble spots (not specifically coded)--would handle better
(Panama, Afghanistan,
Persian Gulf, Iraq)

--would handle worse
(Panama, Afghanistan,
Persian Gulf, Iraq)

The invasion of Grenada

The Persian Gulf war/ Desert Storm

War in the Balkans; US/NATO led air war against Serbia

Would raise American prestige

Would lower American prestige; not maintain American prestige

Would have better chance for peace (unspecified); not get us into
trouble abroad
Would have poorer chance for peace (unspecified); get us into war/trouble abroad

Tariffs--NA direction

" --Pro free trade/reduce tariffs; would not protect US labor from foreign competition

" --Anti free trade; for high tariffs; would protect US labor from foreign competition

Foreign trade/balance of payments deficit--any mention

Trade with communists--NA direction

" " --Pro

" " --Anti

Draft--NA direction

" --Pro volunteer army/abolition of peacetime draft

" --Anti volunteer army; for peacetime draft

" --Pro amnesty/pardon

" --Anti amnesty/draft dodgers/pardon

Amnesty--NA direction

POW-MIA--Will get prisoners back, will not abandon them

POW-MIA--Will not get prisoners back, will abandon them

POW-MIA--NA direction

Located after 1174

Did a good job of getting the boys/country out of Vietnam war; got us out of Vietnam

Should have won Vietnam war; gave too much away and then pulled out

Secrecy/deception in U.S. foreign policy; shuttle diplomacy; Kissinger's foreign policy (1976) --NA direction

" " " " --Pro

" " " " --Anti

Located after 1105

Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction or increase but allocation of existing defense budget--Pro
Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction or increase but allocation of existing defense budget--Anti

Iranian crisis; American hostages (1980)/Arms sale (1986)--NA direction

" " " " --has handled well/would handle better

" " " " --has handled poorly/would handle worse

Nuclear freeze/Disarmament--NA direction

" " " " --Pro

" " " " --Anti

Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189)-- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya

" " " " -- has handled/would handle better; (88) Bombing of Libya/handling of Khadafy

" " " " -- has handled/would handle worse; (88) Bombing of Libya/handling of Khadafy

* Security at the Dept of Energy; supposed Chinese spying for nuclear secrets; treatment of physicist Wen Ho Lee; mismanagement by Energy Secretary Bill Richardson--NA dir

* " " -- has handled well/would handle better

* " " -- has handled poorly/would handle worse

Located after 1166

** Other foreign policy reasons

Located after 1142

Iran-Contra affair--NFS (NA whether 1187 or 1198)

PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GROUP CONNECTIONS

Special interests/Privileged people/Influential--Pro

" " " " --Anti

"People like me"--pro, NA whether 1205, 1206

" " " " --anti, " " " "

Common man/People/Little people/Working people--Pro

" " " " --Anti
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Pro/Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1207</td>
<td>Labor/Unions/Labor bosses/Racketeers</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1208</td>
<td>Big Business/Corporate rich/The rich individuals/People with power/Wall Street/Industry/Upper classes</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1209</td>
<td>Small businessman</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1210</td>
<td>White collar workers/Salaried people/Middle class</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1211</td>
<td>Farmers/Country people</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1212</td>
<td>Blacks/Black people/Negroes</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213</td>
<td>People on welfare/ADC mothers/&quot;Chiseler&quot;</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214</td>
<td>Old people/Senior citizens</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1215</td>
<td>Young people/Kids/&quot;Freaks&quot;/Hippies</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1216</td>
<td>Women/Feminists/Womens Liberationists,&quot;sexists&quot;</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1217</td>
<td>Veterans/Servicemen</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1218</td>
<td>Ethnic or racial group (exc. 1217-1218); Minority groups (NA composition)</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1219</td>
<td>Poor people/needy people/handicapped/disabled</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1220</td>
<td>Civil servants</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221</td>
<td>Gays/lesbians</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1222</td>
<td>Christian Right/Religious Right</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1223</td>
<td>White/White Race/White people</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* 1245    White Men--Pro
* 1246    White Men--Anti
* 1247    Hispanics/Latinos/Chicanos--Pro
* 1248    "               
** 1297    Other group connection reasons
1300    Located after 1162

MISSING DATA CODES

    9001    R has been influenced by spouse
    9002    R has been influenced by someone else
*    9991    No text, "none", "no", other uncodeable
    9996    Refused to say
**    9997    Other miscellaneous
    9998    DK
    9999    NA
0000    Inap, no further mentions

EVENTS UNIQUE TO ONE CAMPAIGN

    5001    Perot quit race, is quitter--NFS
    5002    (Because Perot quit race) he is not trustworthy or dependable (let down supporters)
    5003    (Because Perot quit race) re-entered, he is indecisive, inconsistent, not stable, other mention of quit and re-enter--NFS
    5004    Not a serious/legitimate candidate
MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM MASTER CODE

* indicates new or amended code in 2000
** indicates "other" codes

SOCIAL WELFARE PROBLEMS

001. General reference to domestic issues; repairing/maintaining the nation's infrastructure (roads, bridges, dams, etc)

005. POPULATION; any mention of population increase; reference to over-population/birth control

006. DAY CARE; child care

010. UNEMPLOYMENT; the number of people with jobs; unemployment rate/compensation; job retraining

013. CREATE JOBS/RECRUIT INDUSTRY in specific area/region/state

020. EDUCATION; financial assistance for schools/colleges/students; quality of education/the learning environment/teaching

030. AGED/ELDERLY; social security benefits; administration of social security; medical care for the aged; medicare benefits; insuring against catastrophic illness; prescription drug program for elderly

035. Social Security won't be around in the future; paying into a system which won't benefit me/Them

040. HEALTH PROBLEMS/COST OF MEDICAL CARE; quality of medical care; medical research/training of doctors and other health personnel; hospitals; National Health insurance program

045. Located after 330
046. Located after 383

048. Other specific references to health problems; AIDS

050. HOUSING; providing housing for the poor/homeless; ability of young people to afford to buy homes/find homes to buy

060. POVERTY; aid to the poor/underprivileged people; help for the (truly) needy; welfare programs (such as ADC); general reference to anti-poverty programs; hunger/help for hungry people in the U.S.

090. SOCIAL WELFARE PROBLEMS; "welfare"--NFS

091. For general or other social welfare programs; "we need to help people more"
092. Against general or other social welfare programs; "too many give
away programs for the people who don't deserve it"; for welfare reform

** 099. Other specific mentions of social welfare problems

AGRICULTURE

100. FARM ECONOMICS; payment for crops/price of feed/cost of farming

103. SUBSIDIES/crop payments/government aid to farmers

120. WORLD FOOD PROBLEMS; food shortages/starvation/famine
   (not 406 or 407)

NATURAL RESOURCES

150. CONSERVATION OF NATURAL RESOURCES; conservation,
   ecology; protecting the environment/endangered species

151. Controlling/REGULATING GROWTH or land development; banning further
growth/development in crowded or ecologically sensitive areas;
preserving natural areas

153. POLLUTION; clean air/water

154. Disposal of RADIOACTIVE/TOXIC waste (dumps, landfills)

160. DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES /ENERGY SOURCES;
harbors, dams, canals, irrigation, flood control, navigation,
reclamation; location, mining, stock-piling of minerals; water
power, atomic power; development of alternative sources of energy
(includes mentions of solar or nuclear power)

** 199. Other specific mentions of agriculture or natural resources problems

LABOR PROBLEMS: UNION-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS

200. LABOR/UNION PROBLEMS; union practices; job security provided
   workers; job safety issues; working conditions

220. Anti-union; unions too powerful

** 299. Other specific mention of labor or union-management problems

RACIAL PROBLEMS

300. CIVIL RIGHTS/RACIAL PROBLEMS; programs to enable Blacks
to gain social/economic/educational/political equality;
relations between Blacks and whites
302. PROTECTION (expansion) OF WHITE MAJORITY; maintenance of segregation; right to choose own neighborhood; right to discriminate in employment

304. Discrimination against whites; preferred treatment given to minorities

TECHNOLOGY

310. Technology; mentions that are specific to technology; e.g., the Year 2000 computer (Y2K) problem

PUBLIC ORDER PROBLEMS

320. NARCOTICS; availability of drugs; extent of drug/alcohol addiction in the U.S.; interdiction of drugs coming to the U.S. from foreign countries; alcohol or drug related crime; drug laws

330. WOMEN'S RIGHTS; references to women's issues; economic equality for women; ERA

045. PRO-ABORTION; pro-choice; the right of a woman to control her body

340. CRIME/VIOLENCE; too much crime; streets aren't safe; mugging, murder, shoplifting; drug related crime

360. LAW AND ORDER; respect for the law/police; support for the police; death penalty; tougher sentences for criminals; need for more prisons

361. Legal reform--general (for mentions regarding specific issues, see specific issue); see also Adhering to the Constitution (code 850)

* 363. Police brutality; police not doing their job properly

367. Against unregistered ownership of guns; legislative control of guns; "CONTROL OF GUNS"--NFS

368. For gun ownership; right to have guns; against gun control

370. EXTREMIST GROUPS/TERRORISTS; terrorist bombings/hostage-taking; political subversives; revolutionary ideas/approaches

375. Euthanasia; right to die

380. General mention of MORAL/RELIGIOUS DECAY (of nation); sex, bad language, adult themes on TV

381. Family problems--divorce; proper treatment of children; decay of family (except 006); child/elder abuse (incl. sexual); family values

046. ANTI-ABORTION; pro-life; "abortion"--NFS

383. Problems of/with YOUNG PEOPLE; drug/alcohol abuse among young people; sexual attitudes; lack of values/discipline; mixed-up thinking; lack of goals/ambition/sense of responsibility
384. Religion (too) mixed up in politics; prayer in school

385. HOMOSEXUALITY; protecting civil rights of gays and lesbians; accepting the lifestyle of homosexuals; granting homosexual couples the same rights and benefits as heterosexual couples

** 399. Other specific mention of racial or public order problems; other mention of domestic issues

ECONOMIC AND BUSINESS PROBLEMS

If R mentions both "inflation" (400) and rise in prices of specific items (407-409), code "Inflation" (400). (See also 496.)

400. INFLATION; rate of inflation; level of prices; cost of living

401. WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS/GUIDELINES; freezing prices; control of business profits

403. High price of food, all mentions (exc. 100)

404. High price of other specific items and services

405. MINIMUM WAGE, any mention; any mention of wage levels

407. Food shortages; economic aspects of food shortages, e.g., price of sugar (other references, code 120)

408. Fuel shortages; "energy crisis"; oil companies making excessive profits; depressed condition of the oil industry

410. RECESSION, DEPRESSION; prosperity of the nation; economic growth; GNP

411. MONETARY RESTRAINTS/CONTROLS; level of interest rates; availability of money/the money supply

413. Future vision of what America will be like; a need to look to the future

415. Against (increased) government spending; balancing of the (national) budget; against government stimulation of the economy; the size of the budget deficit

416. TAXES; general reference to tax structure; tax surcharge (NA R's direction); tax reform; other specific tax reference

417. For tax cuts; against tax surcharge; for tax reform

418. Against tax cuts; for tax surcharge; against tax reform

424. PRODUCTIVITY of American industry; "giving a day's work for a day's pay"; revitalizing American industry
425. STOCK MARKET/GOLD PRICES; all references to gold prices, stock brokers, stock fluctuations, etc.

427. VALUE OF THE DOLLAR; strength/weakness of the dollar against other currencies

433. Large businesses taking over small businesses

440. Class oriented economic concerns--middle class, working class (pro); MIDDLE CLASS GETTING SQUEEZED

441. Class oriented economic concerns--big business, monied interests (anti) too powerful

442. Concern for inequitable distribution of wealth; gap between the rich and the poor; concentration of wealth in the hands of a few

451. For the regulation of interstate commerce, transportation, air travel, railways, government auto safety regulations; in favor of increased government regulation of business; mention of problems caused by deregulation

452. Against (increased) regulation of interstate commerce, transportation; AIR TRAVEL, RAILWAYS, etc.


* 460. IMMIGRATION POLICY; establishing limits on how many people from any one nation can enter the U.S.; prohibiting specified types of persons from entering the U.S. (All mentions of Elian Gonzales or "the Cuban child" go here. If power of Federal government mentioned, see codes 801 and 887.)

463. Problems relating to the influx of political/economic refugees (Cubans, Haitians, Mexicans, etc.)

491. Economics--general; "Economics"--NFS

492. International economics--general; economic problems in specific countries or regions

493. U.S. foreign trade, balance of payments position; foreign oil dependency

494. Control of FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN U.S.; mention of foreigners buying U.S. assets (businesses, real estate, stocks, etc)

495. PROTECTION OF U.S. INDUSTRIES; imposition of tariffs/reciprocal restrictions on foreign imports; limitation of foreign imports; mention of problems in specific industries competing with foreign manufacturers

496. The economy--not further specified (code specific mention if R clarifies by saying "inflation", etc.; also see 400)
497. International competitiveness; outsourcing; loss of jobs to foreign competition; moving jobs abroad; modernizing plants/equipment/management techniques to meet foreign competition; matching the quality of foreign goods

498. Mention of "twin problems" of a large national debt/budget deficit and unfavorable balance of trade/import-export ratio

**499. Other specific mention economic or business problems

FOREIGN AFFAIRS

500. FOREIGN RELATIONS/FOREIGN AFFAIRS; foreign policy/relations, prestige abroad

504. Relations with the Third World (no specific country or region mentioned)

505. Relations with WESTERN EUROPE; Great Britain, France, Germany; our allies

510. VIETNAM; general reference to "the war," Indochina, Cambodia; aid

514. Latin America, South America--any references; reference to war/situation in Nicaragua; U.S. support of the Contras

515. Iran; mention of American hostages in Teheran; arms deal

516. African countries; developing areas in Africa (not 518) --any mention; U.S. response to apartheid in South Africa

519. Other specific countries/areas/trouble spots (exc. 520's, 530's)

524. MIDDLE EAST-- support or aid to Israel/Arab states; Arab/Israeli conflict; Iran-Iraq war; hostages in Lebanon/Middle East. [1990] Iraqi aggression in the Persian Gulf; Saddam Hussein

530. RUSSIA/Eastern Europe; relations with Russia/the Communist bloc; detente/trade/negotiations with Russia-- NA whether 531 or 532

531. For PEACEFUL RELATIONS with Russia/Detente/Eastern Europe; for increased TRADE with Russia; talking/resuming negotiations with Russia on arms control/reduction (reaching/concluding a treaty is 711)

532. Against policy of Detente with Russia; COLD WAR; threat of external Communism; need to oppose/be wary of Russia

533. Prevention of Russian (Communist) expansion; mention of Soviet invasion and occupation of Afghanistan--any reference; references to Soviet activity in Central America/Nicaragua

*535. US/NATO involvement in the Balkans; US/NATO led air war to contain Serbia
539. Other specific references to Russia/Detente/Eastern Europe, etc. (including changing site/boycotting 1980 Moscow Olympics); threat of/preventing war with Russia (exc. 714)

540. FIRMNESS IN FOREIGN POLICY; maintenance of position of MILITARY/DIPLOMATIC STRENGTH (not 710-712)

550. U.S. FOREIGN (MILITARY) INVOLVEMENT/COMMITMENT, extent of U.S. Foreign involvement; military assistance/aid (exc. 524)

560. U.S. FOREIGN (ECONOMIC) INVOLVEMENT/COMMITMENTS; extent of U.S.(foreign) economic aid; "foreign aid"

570. Prevention of war; ESTABLISHMENT OF PEACE; any reference

585. Obligation to TAKE CARE OF PROBLEMS AT HOME before helping foreign countries

** 599. Other specific mention of foreign affairs problems

NATIONAL DEFENSE

700. NATIONAL DEFENSE; defense budget; level of spending on defense

710. DISARMAMENT; general reference to ENDING OF THE ARMS RACE; nuclear proliferation; test ban treaty (not 540); SALT; INF treaty

711. For DISARMAMENT; for extension of test ban treaty; support toward ending of arms race; against (additional) expenditures on military/arms development; SALT; SDI ("Star Wars"); INF treaty

712. Against (increased) policy of DISARMAMENT; against test ban treaty; for additional WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT; missile program; scientific/technological development in weapons/strategy; atomic bomb testing; increased DEFENSE BUDGET, increased arms expenditure (not 540); SALT; increased pay for military personnel; SDI ("Star Wars"); INF treaty

713. General or specific references to functioning and performance of defense; waste, inefficiency (not codable in 710-712)

714. Nuclear war; the threat of nuclear war; nuclear proliferation

* 715. Security of nuclear secrets; Dept of Energy/Los Alamos nuclear security; Wen Ho Lee or Energy Secretary Richard compromising security; supposed Chinese spying

740. The space program; space race (not 711,712)

750. MORALE OF NATION; Patriotism; National spirit; national unity; greed, selfishness of people

760. BENEFITS FOR VETERANS; general reference

765. Allowing/accepting GAYS IN THE MILITARY
** 799. Other specific mention of national defense problems

ISSUES RELATING TO THE FUNCTIONING OF GOVERNMENT

800. POWER OF THE (FEDERAL) GOVERNMENT; power of/control exercised by the federal government

810. (LACK OF) HONESTY IN GOVERNMENT; (LACK OF) ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT--general reference (exc. 811)

811. LACK OF PERSONAL ETHICS/morality of persons related to or part of government

812. The President lied/didn't tell the truth; covered up personal involvement with a young woman (also see more general code 874)

813. The President has low morals; had affair with a young woman (also see more general code 874)

820. CAMPAIGN DONATIONS/PUBLIC FINANCING OF ELECTIONS; any mentions; campaign finance reform

830. CONFIDENCE/TRUST in political leaders/system; wisdom, ability, responsiveness of political leaders; quality of leadership provided by political leaders

833. QUALITY/EFFICIENCY of public employees, diplomats, civil service; SIZE OF THE GOVERNMENT BUREAUCRACY; COST OF GOVERNMENT

836. COMPENSATION; all references to the compensation of government employees, officials, congressmen, judges, local politicians/bureaucrats

837. Waste in government spending; keeping tabs on where money goes

838. Government BUDGET PRIORITIES are wrong; Congress/President is spending money in the wrong areas/not spending money on the right things

840. SIZE OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT; the (large) size of government/civil service/bureaucracy; the number of government departments/employees/programs

850. Adhering to the Constitution (see also legal reform, code 361)

853. POWER OF CONGRESS--general reference
856. POWER OF THE SUPREME COURT, all other references to the Supreme Court except 857, 858

859. Other specific references to the (federal) balance of power; legislative gridlock in Washington

862. FAIR ELECTION PROCEDURES; prevention of vote manipulation; curbing of political "bosses", smear campaigns

869. Other specific references to problems of representation; term limitations for members of Congress

874. Lack of support for the President; any anti-President comments, negative reference to the PRESIDENT's quality, style, etc. (also see codes 812, 813)

875. Impeachment proceeding; mention of the Special Prosecutor; Republicans attempting to get the President out of office/Democrats attempting to keep the President in office (also see codes 812, 813)

878. Mention of a specific CANDIDATE or relative of a candidate -- NFS

881. New president/administration getting started; other references specific to the President; support for the President; "let him get on with his job"

885. PUBLIC APATHY/disinterest--all references

886. References to unfair/undeserved/excessive criticism by the media. All media mentions should go here: "The news can't be trusted"

887. Extending/protecting EQUAL RIGHTS, basic freedoms, human rights of all citizens

** 899. Other specific mention of problems relating to the functioning of government

NON-POLITICAL PROBLEMS

995. "There were no issues"; "there were no issues, just party politics"

996. "There was no campaign in my district"

** 997. Other specific mentions of important problems

998. DK
999. NA

000. Inap, no further mention; no problems
PARTY DIFFERENCES MASTER CODE

* indicates new or amended code in 2000
** indicates "other" codes

Responses that refer specifically to the candidates rather than parties should be coded 910. However, if the candidates are referred to as leaders or representatives of the parties, the response should be coded with the appropriate code category.

BROAD PHILOSOPHY

Liberal Responses

001. More liberal, progressive--too far left
005. Pro-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of liberal/progressive judges
006. Anti-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of conservative/reactionary judges
100. More conservative/reactionary; too far right
105. Pro-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of conservative/reactionary judges
106. Anti-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of liberal/progressive judges

010. Acceptance of change/new ideas; less bound to status quo; more open to new ideas; new ways of doing things
020. Quick (rash) response to problems; tackle problems quickly; impetuous; impulsive; too aggressive; take more chances; not cautious enough
030. More extreme, radical (NFS)
040. Socialistic; for welfare state; for social welfare programs; sensitive to social problems; leaves less to (interferes more with) private enterprise
050. Depends (too much) on federal government (rather than state or local government); (too) centralized, paternalism; want Washington to do everything; Pro Big government.
060. Destroy personal initiative/individual responsibility/individual dignity; recognize individual needs
government help; society has obligation to provide safety net
070. Future-oriented; plan ahead; look to the future
085. Freedom to do as one chooses; less interested in strict control of social behavior; not interested in moral standards
086. Not religious; against prayer in school

** 090. Other broad philosophy--liberal

Conservative Responses

100. More conservative/reactionary; too far right
105. Pro-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of conservative/reactionary judges
106. Anti-Supreme Court/judicial appointment of liberal/progressive judges

110. Resistance to change/new ideas; stick to (protect) status quo; traditionalists; resist new ways of doing things; rigid
120. Slow (cautious) response to problems; do-nothing; lets things go
130. Moderate; middle of road (NFS); less extreme
140. For free enterprise capitalism; against socialism (code "help big business" under group references); unaware of social problems; for development of private enterprise; against expansion of government activities into areas of private enterprise

* 150. For states' rights, local government; less interference from Washington at local level; against powerful federal government; Anti Big government

* 160. Initiative/responsibility/dignity of individual protected; for self-reliance.

170. Not future-oriented; don't plan ahead; don't worry about the future

185. Definite moral standards/stands; concern for/control of public morality; upholds/fosters family values

186. (Good) Christian; strong religious beliefs; for prayer in school

** 190. Other broad philosophy--conservative

BROAD PHILOSOPHY-DIRECTION NA

* 195. Pro-Party influence on appointments to Supreme Court/judicial appointment (NA type)

* 196. Anti-Party influence on appointments to Supreme Court/judicial appointment (NA type)

GROUP REFERENCES

Party Seen as Good for, Helping, Giving Special Advantage to:

200. Everybody; nobody; no catering to special interests, "people" (the majority)

* 210. Working or little people; the common people, the working class; "average man"

* 211. Needy; poor; disadvantaged; welfare recipients

212. People like me; people like us

220. Unions, "labor", labor leaders

230. Big business; industry, "business(men)", Wall Street (except small businessman, code 240); agribusiness/large farming businesses

231. Rich people; upper classes; wealthy (powerful) people

240. Small businessmen

250. Middle class people; white collar people

260. Farmers

270. Blacks

272. Hispanics/Chicanos/Latinos

273. Asians

** 280. Other racial and ethnic groups

281. The South, some portion of the south

282. The North, some portion of the north

283. White people, white people only

284. Minorities, minority groups (NA which)
285. Old people
286. The educated, intellectuals, students
287. Families
288. Religious conservatives; religious right

** 290. Other groups
* 291. Children
* 292. Women

299. Group differences codeable in 200 or 300 series--NA which

Party Seen as Bad for, Anti, Keeping in Check, Putting in Place

300. Divisive (sets class against class, caters to special interests (NA what), plays group politics, not for all the people; (Dems/Reps) only for themselves
* 310. Working or little people; the common people, the working class; "average man"
* 311. Needy; poor; disadvantaged; welfare recipients
312. People like me; people like us
320. Unions, "labor", labor leaders
330. Big business; industry, "business(men)", Wall Street (except small businessman, code 340); agribusiness/large farming businesses
331. Rich people; upper classes; wealthy (powerful) people
340. Small businessmen
350. Middle class people; white collar people
360. Farmers
370. Blacks
371. Racist, prejudiced, bigoted
372. Hispanics/Chicanos/Latinos
373. Asians

** 380. Other racial and ethnic groups; "minority groups" other or not specified

381. The South, some portion of the south
382. The North, some portion of the north
383. White people, white people only
384. Minorities, minority groups (NA which)
385. Old people
386. The educated, intellectuals, students
387. Families
388. Religious conservatives; religious right

** 390. Other groups

* 391. Children
* 392. Women
DOMESTIC POLICY REFERENCES

Fiscal Policy--Easy Spending Responses

400. Spend more freely/high spenders (NFS)
401. Spend much relative to what is accomplished; wasteful, not careful with spending
402. Spend much relative to money available; spend us deeper in debt; deficit spending
403. Spend under special circumstances, such as hard times
  * 404. Bring cheap money; more money circulating; lower interest rates

** 405. Other easy spending response

406. Raise taxes--NFS; keep taxes high; seek to increase government revenues
407. Increase income taxes; will not cut income taxes; rely on increase in/high income tax to provide government revenues

Fiscal Policy--Cautious Spending Responses

500. Spend less freely; economy in government (NFS)
501. Spend little relative to what is accomplished; less wasteful/more careful with government (taxpayers') money
502. Spend little relative to money available; reduce debt, keep debt from getting higher, balanced budget
503. Spend little even when special circumstances might warrant
  * 504. For sound money/tight money, deflation; higher interest rates

** 505. Other cautious spending response

506. Cut taxes--NFS; keep taxes low; seek to decrease government revenues
507. Cut income taxes; will not increase income taxes; rely on taxes other than income tax to provide government revenue

591. General mention of taxes--neutral or NA direction
599. General mention of spending--neutral or NA direction

Association of Party with Good/Positive Domestic Situations

411. Responsible promised (NA what); restraint on promises, realistic, doesn't promise too much
412. Don't have (too much) government control over the economy; or lets business get more involved/handle problems of poverty/unemployment, etc.
413. (Good) government control of the economy, business
415. Good for the nation's economy--general positive reference
420. Prosperity in nation; good times for all, high national production, avoidance of depression, high employment
431. Price inflation held in check; lower cost of living
435. Propose/enact fair taxes; believe everyone should be taxed the same/that taxes should be even-handed
436. Give tax breaks to the poor/working/middle class people; tax policies favor the lower/middle classes
440. Local personal good times economically; head of family gets (keeps) better job (wages) when party is in power, family better off economically under this party (no direct government benefits like social security mentioned)

450. Honesty and integrity--characteristics of the party or administration (local or national), other similar characteristics of the party

451. One party has more experience, is better, smarter, more united

480. (Only) party has a philosophy/program/platform; stands for something

** 490. Other positive domestic associations

491. General mention of unemployment--neutral or NA direction

492. General mention of inflation--neutral or NA direction

493. General mention of economic policy/handling of the economy

499. A domestic issue difference is cited which could be coded in the 400 or 500 series, but NA which

Association of Party with Bad/Negative Domestic Situations

511. Irresponsible promises (NA what); promises too much; unrealistic, pie-in-the-sky; can't fulfill promises

512. Have (too much) government control over the economy; or does not let business get more involved/handle problems of poverty/unemployment, etc.

513. (Poor) government control of the economy

515. Bad for the nation's economy, general negative reference

520. Hard times, depression in nation, much unemployment, low (over) production

531. Create/does not control price inflation; high cost of living in nation

535. Propose/enact unfair taxes; show favoritism/give tax breaks to certain groups or types of people

536. Give tax breaks to the wealth/corporations; tax policies favor the rich/powerful/upper classes

540. Local/personal hard times economically; head of family gets laid off (poorer wages) when party is in power; family worse off economically under this party

550. Dishonesty/corruption (nepotism, graft, patronage) of party or administration (local or national); other similar characteristics of the party; Watergate

551. One party has less experience/is worse/not as smart; party is not (is less) unified

580. Party has no philosophy/programs/platform; doesn't stand for anything

** 590. Other negative domestic association with party

Specific Domestic Policies Favored by Party

600. Minimum wage legislation; favors raising minimum wage, or favors raising unemployment compensation

601. Social Security; government pension raises

* 602. Financing of campaigns; for campaign finance reform

* 607. Immigration policy; for allowing (more) immigrants in
* 610. Medical (health) insurance; medical card for aged; socialized medicine; medicare; prescription drug plans

* 611. Affirmative action

612. Housing; aid to the homeless
620. Government control of utilities; more attention to conservation; public works; mention of ecology, environment

630. Federal aid to education/school-building; teachers' pay higher
631. Bussing; forced integration
632. Other federal control of education or schools response; school choice plans

634. Gun control

640. Civil rights; insist more strongly on civil rights
641. Law and order--hard line (or NA line); wants a police state; support death penalty (88)
642. Law and order--soft line; oppose death penalty (88)
643. Property rights; open housing
644. Policies which would divide country; have civil war; race war
650. Higher tariffs; less free trade
660. "Wet" legislation; anti-prohibition

670. General mention of social welfare; "give away programs"
671. Poverty program
672. Employment (job) training programs, Job Corps, etc.

680. Farm policy
681. Abortion
682. Women's rights; ERA
683. Legalization of marijuana; (more) lenient drug laws
684. Homosexual/gay rights
691. Democrats support Clinton (against impeachment), although he lied/had affair; anti-Ken Starr

** 690. Other specific domestic policy favored

695. Domestic issues difference, but NA which

Specific Domestic Policies--Neutral or NA Direction

605. Minimum wage or unemployment compensation
606. Social Security; government pension

* 608. Financing of campaigns; campaign finance reform

* 609. Immigration policy

* 615. Medical (health) insurance; medical card for aged; socialized medicine; medicare; prescription drug plans

617. Housing; aid to the homeless
625. Government control of utilities; conservation; public works; ecology, environment

635. Federal aid to education; school choice plans
636. Bussing; forced integration
637. Other federal control of education or schools response

639. Gun control
645. Civil rights (legislation)
646. Law and order--hard line (or NA line); death penalty (88)
647. Law and order--soft line; death penalty (88)

648. Property rights; open housing
649. Policies which would divide country; have civil war; race war

* 651. Affirmative action
Higher tariffs; free trade
Prohibition; "dry"/"wet" legislation
General mention of social welfare; "give away programs"
Poverty program
Employment (job) training programs, Job Corps, etc.
Farm policy
Abortion
Women's rights; ERA
Legalization of marijuana; lenient drug laws
Homosexual/gay rights

Specific Domestic Policies Opposed by Party

- Minimum wage or unemployment compensation; won't raise minimum wage, won't improve unemployment compensation
- Social Security; against raising benefits
- Financing of campaigns; against campaign finance reform
- Immigration policy; against letting (more) immigrants in
- Medical (health) insurance; against medical card for aged; against socialized medicine, medicare; prescription drug plans
- Affirmative action
- Housing; aid to the homeless
- Government control of utilities; for private power; less interested in conservation; public works; mention of ecology, environment
- Federal aid to education; against or drag feet on aid to education
- Bussing; forced integration
- Other federal control of education or schools response; school choice plans
- Gun control
- Civil rights; against or drag feet on civil rights legislation; leave it to states
- Following a tough or hard line in maintenance of law and order/prevention of crime, etc.; police state; imposing the death penalty (88)
- Following a soft line in maintenance of law and order/prevention of crime, etc.; imposing the death penalty (88)
- Property rights; open housing
- Policies which would divide country; have civil war; race war; want to unite the country
- High tariffs; want free trade
- Repeal; want prohibition; "dry"
- General mention of social welfare; "give away programs"
- Poverty program
- Employment (job) training programs, Job Corps, etc.
- Farm policy
- Abortion
- Women's rights; ERA
- Legalization of marijuana; lenient drug laws
- Homosexual/gay rights
- Republicans are against Clinton (in impeachment) because of lying/having affair; pro-Ken Starr
- Other specific domestic policy opposed
FOREIGN POLICY

800. War; get us into war (faster); party associated with war; militarist
810. Peace; more likely to keep peace; party associated with peace
820. Internationalist; more for foreign aid, government activities abroad; cooperate with allies, U.N.; "more for foreign aid/trade"
825. Foreign aid/trade, NA direction
830. Isolationist; avoid foreign activities; cut foreign aid (military or economic); "cut foreign aid/trade"
840. National security; for strong national defense (spending); strong (firm) (too aggressive) posture toward communism (Russia); too much defense spending
845. National defense--general, NA or neutral direction
850. Inadequate national security; fail to maintain (spend for) defense; weak posture toward communism (Russia)
860. Specific trouble spots
870. Control of nuclear weapons
880. Strong foreign policy
881. Weak foreign policy
884. Space; space policy

** 890. Other foreign policy--other substantive foreign policy mentions (direction of response usually indicated)

891. Mention of "foreign policy" difference but no substance or direction given (e.g., usual response is "the two parties or candidates differ on foreign policy, on how they will handle foreign policy")

MISCELLANEOUS AND NO PARTY DIFFERENCES RESPONSES

900. Miscellaneous other party differences
901. (Only) one party is more successful than the others; wins elections; is (is not) majority party, etc.
902. (Only) one party is less successful than the others; doesn't win elections much; is the minority party
910. Personality/candidate only mentions--candidate is dangerous, fanatic, aggressive, courageous, honest, untrustworthy, impulsive, outspoken, firm, dishonest, negative, lack of integrity, bad politician, etc. (but code 371 racist, prejudiced, bigoted)
920. Reference to probable inability to get things done, e.g., gain congressional support
930. Leadership mentions--a good (bad) leader, is head of the party (R must specifically mention the candidate as leader or head of the party), or one party has better leadership than another
980. The parties are different; everything about them is different (NA what the differences are)
991. There used to be differences, but not now
992. Indicate dissatisfaction with the lack of differences
993. Favorable to both parties, e.g., both parties are seeking to serve the people
994. Indicates that individual candidates are more important than parties anyhow
995. Unfavorable to both parties, e.g., both parties are just after money
996. On variation within parties
** 997. Other comments

998. DK (Code in 1st var only)
999. NA (Code in 1st var only)

000. No party differences ("No" or "DK" and no further comment); no