The SIPB Ad Hoc Committee has put together a second survey in order to more accurately judge the views of the SIPB community regarding office atmosphere and regarding interpersonal and behavioral issues. The survey questions are based on feedback we received through the first survey. Members, prospectives, former prospective, guests, and others are all encouraged to reply. For your convenience, a version of this survey may be filled out on the web at:

http://...../

If you fill out the web form, a copy of the survey will be mailed to you for your personal records (and to ensure that you, and not someone else, filled out the survey).

1. Email address (will only be used to determine uniqueness of surveys and will be removed to preserve anonymity): _____

2. Membership status: a) member b) prospective c) other _____

3. Student status: a) student b) former-student c) non-student

For the remaining questions, please rank the degree to which you agree with the statement on a scale of 1-7 or V.

> 1 is disagree completely, as an uncompromisable matter of principle.
> 2 is disagree in almost all situations
> 3 is disagree mildly
> 4 is neutral
> 5 is agree mildly
> 6 is agree in almost all situations
> 7 is agree completely, as an uncompromisable matter of principle.
> V is feel strongly about the issue but agreement or disagreement varies greatly from situation to situation

4. There is sometimes too much PDA (public displays of affection) in the SIPB office.

5. Users should feel comfortable and willing to come into the office at all times.

6. The office should have a professional atmosphere.

7. The office should have a social atmosphere.

8. The office should always be kept at a reasonable volume.

9. It is reasonable for different behavioral standards to apply in different parts of the office.

10. It is reasonable for different behavioral standards to apply at different times of the day (for example, late at night vs. mid-day vs. right before meetings).

11. The atmosphere of the SIPB office is fine as it is.

12. The SIPB should have explicit policies describing what behaviors are and are not acceptable in the office.

13. SIPB has the *responsibility* to do something about some situations where someone is making a significant number of people in the office uncomfortable.

14. SIPB has the *right* to do something about some situations where someone is making a significant number of people in the office uncomfortable.

15. SIPB has the *responsibility* to do something when someone is interfering with the SIPB's ability to further its goals."

16. SIPB has the *right* to do something when someone is interfering with the SIPB's ability to further its goals.

17. SIPB should not handle determining the truth of allegations.

18. SIPB should not get involved with one-on-one personal disputes between its affiliates.

19. SIPB should not handle issues of harrasment.

20. SIPB should not deal with any issues for which there is an outside authority for dealing with the situation.

21. If an outside authority is dealing with an issue that directly affects the SIPB, the SIPB should have the option of taking interim action until the higher authority decides the issue."

22. SIPB should not handle sensitive issues which require privacy.

>>> This is really vague or something.
>>> Maybe the word sensitive should go away?
>>> "The SIPB should not attempt to deal with anything requiring
>>> personal privacy."

23. SIPB should not get involved in any personal or behavioral issues.

>>> Maybe we need to clarify "get involved in"?

24. If the SIPB is handling interpersonal or behavioral issues, it should deal with them in a timely manner.

25. Interpersonal and behavioral issues should be handled informally whenever possible.

26. Interpersonal and behavioral issues should be brought up with a designated contact (such as the chair) before being brought in front of the membership."

27. Before the SIPB takes action against an affiliate, the person should be given a warning.

28. Before the SIPB takes action against an affiliate, the person should be given a chance to change his/her behavior.

29. If the SIPB is going to take action against someone, the issue must be first discussed in an open meeting.

>>> or originally
>>> Before action is considered against someone,
>>> the issue must be brought up in open meeting.
>>> I'm still not sure I'm quite happy with the rewording of this.
>>> There are a number of different ideas here and I'm not sure what the
>>> best way to phrase them is. (It is critical to refer to the SIPB taking
>>> action, as opposed to more general action).

30. Before the SIPB takes action against someone, they must be given the opportunity to respond to any claims, charges, concerns, or accusations made about them.

31. It is reasonable for the SIPB to apply different standards for deciding who to make a member than for deciding who to allow into the office.

32. The SIPB should treat members and prospectives differently
    within decision-making processes.

33. The SIPB should treat students and non-students differently
    within any decision-making processes.

34. The SIPB should consider the degree to which someone
    furthers the goals of SIPB within any decision-making process.

>>> For 32-34, how does this apply to membership elections?

35. The actions of the SIPB often appear to be hypocritical.

36. The SIPB is not adequately responsive to the concerns of prospectives.

PROBLEMS

Scope if "issues"
Strength/weakness of statements (i.e., "in some situations")